
From: Chris Aujard[IMCEAEX-
_O=MMS_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29
_CN=RECIPIENTS_CN=CHRISTOPHER+20AA0452485-80B7-40D2-ADE7-
6F6FEAE19CC3F88@C72A47.ingest.local]
Sent: Thur 10/07/2014 9:17:21 AM (UTC)
To: Sophie Bialaszewski[GRO]; Belinda
Crowe[GRO]; Avene O'Farrell[GRO];
David Oliver[GRO]; Mark R Davies[GRO];
Melanie Corfield[GRO]
Cc: Martin Edwards[GRO]
Subject: RE: Urgent; Letter from james Arbuthnot

Thanks Sophie – it seems like a good plan to me, though just be aware that many of the recipients of this email are tied up in a Sparrow meeting all day! Cheers Chris

From: Sophie Bialaszewski
Sent: 10 July 2014 08:12
To: Belinda Crowe; Avene O'Farrell; David Oliver; Chris Aujard; Mark R Davies; Melanie Corfield
Cc: Martin Edwards
Subject: Re: Urgent; Letter from james Arbuthnot

Mel and I can draft the response and circulate this am. Sophie

From: Belinda Crowe
Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2014 08:10 AM
To: Avene O'Farrell; David Oliver; Chris Aujard; Sophie Bialaszewski; Mark R Davies; Melanie Corfield
Cc: Martin Edwards; Belinda Crowe
Subject: RE: Urgent; Letter from james Arbuthnot

My view of this is that, if we are to respond we should simply refer to what we have said previously, and is referenced in the Scheme documentation in that details of the cases will be kept confidential. Also, the meeting note between Paula, Alice and JA says:

JA questioned whether this report could not be published earlier and suggested that Second Sight could produce a report for the end of February. PV explained that that would not be possible and that Second Sight needed to keep matters internal while the mediation was ongoing but that there could be a report at the end. AP made the point that it was not helpful to provide a running commentary and that there was a need now to focus on delivering the Scheme. JA accepted these points.

This note was signed off by JA's office. What I am not sure about is the extent to which we want to say that it would be disappointing if he were to make the point about it is possible, but he has not been told so, that PO don't want to say anything about individual cases until something can be said about all of them, as he agreed at the meeting with Paula and Alice that a running commentary would be unhelpful and it has always been the case that individual cases will remain confidential. The Scheme documentation makes that point.

Mark, do you have a view, and was there anything we can refer back to from the meeting of MPs – I wasn't there.

Sophie/Mel, are you drafting the response?

We need to comment today and I have WG all day.

Best wishes
Belinda

Belinda Crowe
148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

GRO

Postline

GRO

GRO

GRO

From: Avene O'Farrell
Sent: 09 July 2014 09:52
To: Belinda Crowe; David Oliver; Chris Aujard; Sophie Bialaszewski; Mark R Davies
Cc: Martin Edwards
Subject: Urgent; Letter from james Arbuthnot
Importance: High

Hi all

Please find attached a letter from James Arbuthnot that was received this morning. James has asked for any amendments/comments by tomorrow – please could we have a draft reply as soon as possible?

Many Thanks

Sarah, covering for Avene

Avene O'Farrell | Executive Assistant to Paula Vennells, Chief Executive

148 Old Street, London, EC1V 9HQ

GRO

Postline

GRO

GRO

GRO

postoffice.co.uk

[@postofficenews](https://twitter.com/postofficenews)

POST
OFFICE