
From: Alwen Lyons [GRO]
Sent: Mon 16/07/2012 1:34:10 PM (UTC)
To: Hugh Flemington [GRO]
Cc: Susan Crichton [GRO]
Subject: RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

do you think we should invite Simon Baker to the call

Alwen Lyons

Company Secretary

Post Office Ltd

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

Tel: [GRO] / Mobile: [GRO] / Mobext: [GRO]

alwen.lyons [GRO]

From: Jarnail A Singh
Sent: 16 July 2012 14:26
To: Hugh Flemington
Cc: Susan Crichton; Alwen Lyons
Subject: RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

You read my mind I have these written down and very briefly spoke with Susan. I need briefing on these points. Jarnail.

From: Hugh Flemington
Sent: 16 July 2012 14:20
To: Jarnail A Singh
Cc: Susan Crichton; Alwen Lyons
Subject: RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

One for our 3.30 meeting I think.

J – assume your recommendation hasn't changed and is still to keep fighting any such application? Issues appear to be:

1. Comms brief needed to rebut the myths / untrue reporting about 2nd Sight review.
2. Clarity re 2nd Sight terms of reference, timetable etc.
3. A plan / bible of what information we are going to provide (a) our legal teams and (b) the courts if we have to fight applications to stay.
4. Plan to deal with disclosure requests. E.g. how we balance obtaining Transaction Logs and other Horizon data alongside the costs of doing so.

From: Jarnail A Singh
Sent: 16 July 2012 13:00
To: Hugh Flemington

Cc: Susan Crichton
Subject: RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

Hugh /Susan are you happy with our stance or do you want to make additions or amends .Also can I confirm this to Andy Cash.

Thanks. Jarnail

From: Jarnail A Singh
Sent: 16 July 2012 11:21
To: Hugh Flemington
Cc: Susan Crichton
Subject: RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

This was H. M.M.Bowyers advice which was forwarded to you on the 11th July. I will sent again.
Jarnail

From: Hugh Flemington
Sent: 16 July 2012 11:12
To: Jarnail A Singh
Cc: Susan Crichton
Subject: RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

What's the reference to the view taken by Counsel?
Do we have a counsel acting for POL saying we should agree to stay requests?

From: Andy Cash [mailto:[GRO](#)]
Sent: 16 July 2012 11:02
To: Jarnail A Singh
Cc: Susan Crichton; Hugh Flemington; Harry Bowyer
Subject: RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

Jarnail,

Thanks for this. Do we take it that we "resist" defence requests in the terms of your email? I would like formal confirmation bearing in mind the view taken by counsel. If further consultation with Harry or otherwise would assist please let me know. I will need to reply to Kim Wylie's solicitors this week.

Regards

Andy

From: Jarnail A Singh [mailto:[GRO](#)]
Sent: 16 July 2012 09:55
To: Andy Cash
Cc: Susan Crichton; Hugh Flemington
Subject: RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

Andy.

Thank you.

I agree Defence will approach to stay the prosecution until the review by second sight is completed will become increasingly common. Post office view is that such an approach be resisted. Review to be conducted is limited in scope in few and isolated cases . Second sight would work with a number of MP's(two at present) to review cases that have been raised by their constituents. Second sights appointment is presently being agreed with the MPs and justice for sub postmasters .There is no legal or forensic grounds to argue defendants will not get fair

trial or abuse of process. There is no reason to justify the case being stayed, The fact that the review is being carried out is not an acknowledgment that there is an issue with Horizon ,the system working properly and is being used up and down the country. when the system has been challenged in criminal courts has been successfully defended. There is no mileage in this position but is in fact superficial.

Regards

Jarnail singh

From: Andy Cash [mailto:andy.cash@gro.gov.uk] **GRO**
Sent: 11 July 2012 12:22
To: Jarnail A Singh
Subject: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

Dear Jarnail,

I enclose advice from Harry Bowyer. I know it will be unpalatable, but for what it may be worth I share his view. In the instant case, our response must be dependent on time scales for completion of the inspection. We are only just beginning to see these issues raised by defence solicitors and the sooner we grasp the problem the better. If you want any more do call, otherwise I will await the outcome of your consultations before responding to the defence.

sincerely,

Andy Cash

andy.cash@[gro.gov.uk](mailto:andy.cash@gro.gov.uk) **GRO**

Direct: [01323737295](tel:01323737295) **GRO**

CartwrightKing
SOLICITORS

Nottingham | Birmingham | Derby | Leicester | Sheffield | Newcastle Gateshead

Majority House, 51 Lodge Lane, Derby, DE1 3HB

www.cartwrightking.co.uk

This message is confidential and may contain legally privileged information. If you have received this in error please delete this message and let us know by email or telephone. A list of directors is available at each office. Authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority No: 312459. VAT Registration No: 737837295.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

ROYAL MAIL GROUP LIMITED registered in England and Wales at 100 VICTORIA EMBANKMENT, LONDON EC4Y 0HQ with the registered company number 04138203

POST OFFICE LIMITED registered in England and Wales at 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ with the registered company number 02154540
