From: Munby, Sarah (BEIS)

Sent: Wed, 1 Jul 2020 17:54:37 +0000

To: Cooper, Tom - UKGI;Scott, Joshua - UKGI;Permanent Secretary

Cc: Brooks-White (Jobshare); Creswell, Carl (Professional Business Services, Retail

& Post Directorate); Patel, Vanisha (Finance & Portfolio); Corera, Jane (Legal); Vandini, Cecilia

(Advanced Manufacturing and Services); Wilson, William (BEIS); Mckay, Jessica (BEIS); McInnes, Tim-

UKGI; Watson, Richard - UKGI; Adegun, Oluwatosin - UKGI; Cole, Alex - UKGI

Subject: RE: FOR COMMENT CLOSE TUE 30 JUNE - POL Convicted Postmasters

Compensation Scheme

Very helpful thanks Tom – I understand. Seems a sensible approach to me.

S

From: Cooper, Tom - UK	GI ₫ GRO	<u> </u>					
Sent: 01 July 2020 09:21							
To: Munby, Sarah (BEIS)	GRO	b; Scott, Jo	oshua - UKGI				
< GRO	; Permanent Secre	etary {	GRO	}			
Cc: Brooks-White (Jobsha	are) GRO	>; Cres	well, Carl (Profes	sional			
Business Services, Retail	& Post Directorate) 4	GRO	>; Patel	, Vanisha			
(Finance & Portfolio) {							
< GRO	ːk>; Vandini, Cecilia (/	Advanced Manufa	cturing and Servi	ices)			
< GRO	>; Wilson, William	ı (BEIS) ₹	GRO	>; Mckay,			
Jessica (BEIS) <	GRO >;	McInnes, Tim - U	KGI				
< GRO	; Watson, Richard	- UKGI <	GRO	; Adegun,			
Oluwatosin - UKGI	GRO	; Cole, Alex	c - UKGI				
GRO</td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Subject: RE: FOR COMMENT CLOSE TUE 30 JUNE - POL Convicted Postmasters							
Compensation Scheme							

Sarah

The scheme won't prevent claimants from pursuing a malicious prosecution claim – we have no ability to do that. The scheme value will therefore need to be pitched at a realistic level – not least to recognise that most of the claimants will have a certain civil case (based on the previous case and settlement) in any event, even if malicious prosecution fails.

The point is to provide a quicker and cheaper route to redress/compensation than having to go through the courts again. This is in the interests of all parties of course – claimants, POL and HMG.

To your last point, we would always expect claimants to take some discount to the full potential value of a malicious prosecution claim because:

- The outcome will be inherently uncertain as in any litigation
- It will take a very long time (particularly if there are appeals)
- it will involve substantial costs which some/all claimants may have to fund externally and for which they will end up having to give up a large share of the proceeds if they win (this

is exactly what happened with the settlement last year and for which we have all been criticised)

Tom

From: Munby, Sarah (BEIS) < GRO
Sent : 30 June 2020 23:38 To: Scott, Joshua - UKGI GRO ; Permanent Secretary
GRO j, Permanent Secretary
Cc: Brooks-White (Jobshare) GRO Creswell, Carl (Professional
Business Services, Retail & Post Directorate) (GRO Patel, Vanisha
(Finance & Portfolio) GRO >; Corera, Jane (Legal)
⟨ GRO ; Vandini, Cecilia (Advanced Manufacturing and Services)
GRO }; Wilson, William (BEIS) < GRO ; Mckay
Jessica (BEIS) GRO
< GRO; Watson,
Richard - UKGI < GRO ; Adegun, Oluwatosin - UKGI
< GRO }; Cole, Alex - UKGI < GRO
Subject: Re: FOR COMMENT CLOSE TUE 30 JUNE - POL Convicted Postmasters
Compensation Scheme
One point of clarification from me. Does the establishment of the compensation scheme prever
the malicious prosecution claims? (le can it actually close off the risk of the larger sums
materialising in any case).
Is it that we expect claimants to prefer a cheaper/less risky route even if for lower benefit? If so
how sure are we that will be true?
Thanks!
S
Sarah Munby
Director General, Business Sectors
Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy
T: GRO
PA: Catherine Massicotte
GRO
GRO
From: Scott, Joshua - UKGI < GRO
Sent: Tuesday, June 30, 2020 2:18:27 PM
To: Munby, Sarah (BEIS) < GRO ; Permanent Secretary
< GRO >
Cc: Brooks-White (Jobshare) GRO →; Creswell, Carl (Professional
Business Services, Retail & Post Directorate) GRO Patel, Vanisha
(Finance & Portfolio) < GRO ; Corera, Jane (Legal)

GRO ; Vandini, Cecilia (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO ; Wilson William (BEIS) GRO > Mckay							
(52.0)							
Jessica (BEIS) <↓ GRO							
Richard - UKGI < GRO ; Adegun, Oluwatosin - UKGI							
derial d							
Subject: RE: FOR COMMENT CLOSE TUE 30 JUNE - POL Convicted Postmasters							
Compensation Scheme							
Hi Sarah, Oliver,							
Just following up on the below.							
Would it be possible to get feedback on the attached by close today? We would like to get the advice into Ministers/SpAds this week re the emerging thinking especially as prep for the SR picks up.							
Any questions please do let me know.							
Cheers,							
Josh							
Joshua Scott Analyst							
UK Government Investments							
1 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0ET T: GRO M: GRO							
www.ukgi.org.uk Follow on in_							
From: Scott, Joshua - UKGI Sent: 26 June 2020 10:00 To: Munby, Sarah (BEIS) { GRO }; Permanent Secretary { GRO }; Creswell, Carl (Professional Business Services, Retail & Post Directorate) { GRO }; Corera, Jane (Legal) (Finance & Portfolio) { GRO }; Vandini, Cecilia (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) (GRO }; Wilson, William (BEIS) { GRO }; Mckay, Jessica (BEIS) { GRO }; Cooper, Tom - UKGI GRO }; Watson, Richard - UKGI { GRO }; Adegun, Oluwatosin - UKGI							
Richard - UKGI GRO; Adegun, Oluwatosin - UKGI GRO; Cole, Alex - UKGI GRO Subject: FOR COMMENT CLOSE TUE 30 JUNE - POL Convicted Postmasters Compensation Scheme							
Sarah, Oliver,							
Hope you are well.							

With thanks to Carl and other for their comments and clearance, please see attached a "for info" submission that we would like yours and the Perm Sec's views on regarding Post Office Ltd. (POL) and a potential compensation scheme for convicted postmasters who have their convictions overturned.

This advice flowed out of the meeting Ministers had with BEIS/UKGI officials at the end of May at the request of SoS to considers options for how POL could fund and be on the front foot with regard to further claims from postmasters who were previously prosecuted by POL and have their convictions overturned. As you know, the Criminal Cases Review Commission (CCRC) has decided to refer, as at 3 June, 47 cases to the Court of Appeal and the department was informed on 29 April that POL is reviewing in total 959 cases.

The outlines some of our emerging thinking which we have discussed initially with BEIS, UKGI and HMT officials. In principle, this would be like the Historical Shortfalls Scheme, but just for postmasters who have their convictions overturned, and would not only be a way for postmasters for avoid another lengthy legal process but also for POL to demonstrate it is being proactive in helping postmasters get recompense.

We would like to use this as a marker for Ministers following the request from SoS so we can come back in due course when the idea is more developed.

I would be very grateful if this could be considered over the weekend/early next week by **close Tuesday 30 June** so I can include your feedback and submit to Ministers and SpAds.

Any questions please do let me know.

Cheers,

Josh

Joshua Scott | Analyst

UK Government Investments

1 Vic	toria Stree	et, Londo	n, SW1H	0ET
T:[GRO	M:	GRO]
www	.ukgi.org.i	uk		
Follo	w on in	accommon.		

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete the email. This footnote also confirms that our email communications may be monitored to ensure the secure and effective operation of our systems and for other lawful purposes, and that this email has been swept for malware and viruses.

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete the email. This footnote also confirms that our email communications may be monitored to ensure the secure and effective operation of our systems and for other lawful purposes, and that this email has been swept for malware and viruses.