

Subject: RE: West Byfleet - 126023

Jon/Mark

We are clearly not going to be able to provide the response requested by POL Legal at this late stage and within the requested timeframe.

To CC

In POL Legal's urgent note of 8 February (attached) relevant timeframes were identified - we will commence retrieving those transaction records which fall within the current formal request. If there is a preferred order for the remainder of the formal request please revert and we will

Please note that all other prosecution support activity will cease until this request has been filled.

Also, you should note that I am warned for Court tomorrow, Tuesday 2 March, in Bolton for the case relating to Fishpool, one of Helen Dickison's cases.

Kind regards Penny

Penny Thomas Security Analyst, Customer Services Fujitsu Services Retail & Royal Mail Group Account Bracknell

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22, Baker Street, London W1U 3BW

This E-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this E-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

----Original Message----

From: john.longman@

Sent: 01 March 2010 08:53

To: Thomas Penny

Cc:

Subject: RE: West Byfleet - 126023

Hi Penny

The legal advice is that logs should be analysed for the whole of the false

accounting period. I have been informed that this is from December 06 to

December 07.

(Embedded image moved to file: pic27027.jpg)



Mark/Jon

Of course, I'll help all I can.

Are you sure you need 12 months, Jon? It's not just the retrieving, it's the analysis that will take the time (by Gareth) and frankly, I don't think we will be able to achieve this in the time left.

Penny

Penny Thomas Security Analyst, Customer Services



rom: mark.dinsdaled mailto:mark.dinsdal
ent: 26 February 2010 16:51
Thomas Penny
Subject: Fw: West Byfleet - 126023 Importance: High
Penny, we need a massive favour that I'm sure will cost us quite a few cream cakes.
Is there anything you can do to pull out the stops to provide what Jon
is looking for within the timescales. Maureen will provide the necessary paper work on Monday.
Thank you for considering this.
>
> >
>
Mark Dinsdale
Security Programme Manager
Security Team, Post Office Ltd
(Embedded image moved to file: pic13361.gif)
>
> >>
(Embedded image moved to file: pic00952.gif)Post Office Ltd, Security
Team, Royal
>
>>
>
(Embedded image moved to file: pic08496.gif)   GRO   Mobex: GRO

GRO
(preferred contact method)
(Page 1997)
(Embedded image moved to file: pic05646.gif GRO Postline:
(Embedded image moved to <u>file:</u> pic15183.gif)mark.dinsdale GRO
(Embedded image moved to file: pic15597.gif)
(Imbedded Image moved to IIIe. protos). 311)
(Embedded image moved to file: pic11554.gif)Post Office HR Help
<u>'</u> >
>
1
>
(Embedded image moved to file: pic25032.gif)
>
>
>
Confidential Information:
This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may
contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised
review, use,
disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended
recipient
please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original
message.
message.
>
Forwarded by Mark Dinsdale/e/POSTOFFICE on 26/02/2010 16:48
John Longman
To: Mark
rarr

Dinsdale/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE

26/02/2010 16:38

cc:

Jarnail A

Subject:

West Byfleet - 126023

## Mark

Jarnail has just telephoned me and asked me to get transaction log data for the above PO from 1st December 2006 to 31st December 2007. The logs need to be ordered through our current process and then given to Gareth

Jenkins

at Fujitsu to analyse and confirm by witness statement whether there are any errors within the Horizon system for the transaction log period.

any errors within the Horizon system for the transaction log period. Jarnail would like the statement by Friday 5th March 2010.

(Embedded image moved to file: pic18102.gif)

4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y
0HQ

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication.

If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

---- Message from "Jones David M" -0000 ----

To: <jarnail.a.singh
"Thomas Penny"

n>, "Jenkins Gareth GI"

Subject FW: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH 201

FYI

David

David M Jones, Head of Legal UK Private Sector Division ----Original Message----

From: Thomas Penny

Sent: 08 February 2010 11:20

To: Jones David M; Jenkins Gareth GI

Subject: FW: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH

MARCH 2010

David

We could have transaction data available by the end of this week/early next week for the suggested timeframes; we need an ARQ request from Jane Owens to proceed.

Penny

----Original Message----

From: marilyn.benjamin

Sent: 08 February 2010 10:53

To: Jones David M

Cc: Jenkins Gareth GI; Thomas Penny

Subject: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH

2010

URGENT

David,

Thank you. I would be grateful if Mr Jenkins is asked the following:-

1 In relation to the Eleanor Nixon statement, the information we received that although Maureen Lyme states she cannot remember specific Offices she has stated that pre August 2005 Offices were using a

system for debit / credit card transactions called Streamline. Offices would put debit / credit cards through this system and produce a receipt.

which could be keyed into the Horizon terminals.

Some Postmaster / Clerks would key in the information into Horizon as cash.

instead of debit / credit cards resulting in a shortage in Offices. If Post Offices contacted Chesterfield at the time any Branch discrepancies were dealt with, and sorted out with the individual Post Offices.

Therefore the problems were results of incorrect account produce and not a problem with the Horizon system. No information is available for any Correction Notices pre August 2005. So the Nixon statement is unlikely to have any relevance for our present case of Misra.

2 The areas where Mr Jenkins says for POL to respond should be deleted

from his statement.

Mr Jenkins needs to comment on the third interim report received from

the Defence Expert.

The quality of training of SPMs is obviously outside Mr Jenkins

expertise.

However I wonder if he might be prepared to comment on how it might be possible to examine the Horizon data to investigate mistakes.

We are keen that the Defence are given suggestions as to how they can efficiently test their theories against the Horizon data. We do not

want
them to say that they will not have time before the Trial. We
anticipate

that it would not be very difficult to test their theories against a short

but represented span of data example from the months when Mrs Misra has admitted to false accounting. (15th November 2006 to 14th December

2000, 16th May 2007 to 16th June 2007, 14th November 2007 to 15th December 2007

and 9th January 2008. It would be helpful if Mr Jenkins could consider practical and efficient ways in which the Defence might be able to test their theories.

I also refer you to my E-Mail to you of Friday 5th February 2010 at 15.39 paragraphs 2, 3 and 4.

Thank you in anticipation of your help.

Kind regards.

Jarnail A Singh Senior Lawyer Criminal Law Team

Royal Mail Group Limited registered in England and Wales registered number 4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y OHO

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication.

If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.