

Jarnail A Singh

From: John Longman
Sent: 08 October 2010 12:20
To: Jenkins Gareth GI
Cc: Jarnail A Singh
Subject: RE: Draft Witness Statement
Gareth

I have just spoken to Dave King, Security Architect in Post Office® Ltd and he is happy for these documents to be used in your statement but cannot produce a written confirmation at this time as he is away from the office. However, he is going to contact Bill Membery/Tom Lillywhite of Fujitsu immediately to confirm that this document can be used.

As time is of the essence please can you proceed with this statement.

Regards

Jon Longman
GRO

From: Jenkins Gareth GI GRO
Sent: 08 October 2010 11:37
To: Jarnail A Singh
Cc: John Longman; Thomas Penny; Prenovost Jean-Philippe; Warwick Tatford; Wilkerson Guy
Subject: RE: Draft Witness Statement

Jarnail,

In order to use these documents I have been asked to "ask the POL solicitors to seek instructions from their client to ensure that they are happy for Fujitsu to produce this document in court."

Please can you formally request that and I should then be able to use the documents with my statement.

Regards

Gareth

Gareth Jenkins
Distinguished Engineer
Applications Architect
Royal Mail Group Account

FUJITSU
Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

GRO

Web: <http://uk.fujitsu.com>



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged.

08/10/2010

Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virusfree.

From: Jenkins Gareth GI
Sent: 07 October 2010 16:47
To: 'Warwick Tatford'; Thomas Penny
Cc: jarnail.a.singh; GRO; john.longman; GRO
Subject: RE: Draft Witness Statement

Dear Warwick,

Thanks for your constructive feedback.

Here is the updated document.

What I have done today is as follows:

1. I've completed my outstanding analysis of Charles' Transaction Corrections and also the Cash movements
2. I've gone through the report and done the following:
 - a. Moved most of your comments into "boxes" highlighted in cyan and prefixed them with "WT" (for the simpler ones I've just made the change and deleted the comment)
 - b. Attempted to address them all. In most cases I have a response below your comment prefixed "GIJ"
 - c. All my changes are in red (including your comments) and I've used "strikeout" to indicate where I have deleted text
3. I still need to do the following (probably tomorrow):
 - a. I need to compare Charles' latest report with the draft and go through my statement to update it where necessary
 - b. In particular I've not attempted to address the Travellers' Cheques issue yet since I understand that it has changed significantly in the latest report.
 - c. Remove all the strikeout and boxed comments and make everything black again. (I've deliberately left the quotes from Charles's report in a smaller font, and plan to leave them like that, but could indicate them in some other way if you prefer.)

I also have 4 attachments to my statement:

- o 3 extracts from Horizon's style guide. Note that I have not sought permission to use these yet
- o My response to Charles' Transaction Correction analysis

I'd appreciate any further feedback you may have on my changes so far. I'm also available to discuss things on the phone if that is easier.

Penny: Please can you find out if there is a problem in using these extracts from SD/STD/001 – The Horizon Style Guide. I'm not sure who to ask.

Regards

Gareth

Gareth Jenkins
Distinguished Engineer
Applications Architect
Royal Mail Group Account

FUJITSU
Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

GRO

email: Gareth.Jenkins GRO
Web: <http://uk.fujitsu.com>



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Fujitsu Services Limited. Registered in England no 96056. Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virusfree.

From: Warwick Tatford GRO
Sent: 07 October 2010 07:30
To: Jenkins Gareth GI; jarnail.a.singh GRO john.longman GRO
Subject: RE: Draft Witness Statement

Dear Gareth,

Please find attached your draft with my comments (in red). I abbreviate the Professor as M.

Many thanks for your hard work.

Warwick

Subject: Draft Witness Statement
Date: Wed, 6 Oct 2010 12:47:22 +0100
From: Gareth.Jenkins GRO
To: warwicktatford GRO jarnail.a.singh GRO
john.longman GRO
CC: Penny.Thomas GRO

All,

It was good to meet you last night and put some faces to names and voices. Following that I've now attempted to draft a Witness Statement as discussed.
<<0a06.Witness statement Gareth Jenkinsv0.1.doc>>

Here is a first draft, and I'd appreciate feedback as to whether I have captured your requirements as to style and approach. I appreciate that you probably cannot comment on the factual content.

As agreed, I need to do two further pieces of analysis and I've indicated with highlighted comments in boxes where I should include these. However I thought it was worth getting a first draft of the witness statement to you before doing that so you have a chance to review the Witness Statement in parallel.

I've heard nothing further from Charles McLachlan regarding an updated version of his Report. Clearly, should such a report appear, I may well need to update the Witness Statement to reflect any changes.

Regards

Gareth
Gareth Jenkins
Distinguished Engineer
Applications Architect
Royal Mail Group Account
FUJITSU

Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

GRO

Web: <http://uk.fujitsu.com>



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Fujitsu Services Limited. Registered in England no 96056. Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virusfree.