```
Page 1 of4
Stephen Dilley
From:
Stephen Dilley
Sent:
18 December 2006 11:33
To:
Sewell Peter (FEL01)
Subject: RE: EXTREMELY URGENT Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton
1 December 2003 to 30 April 2004
                                           GRO
From: Sewell Peter (FEL01)
Sent: 18 December 2006 11:31
To: Pinder Brian; Stephen Dilley
Subject: RE: EXTREMELY URGENT Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton
Stephen
need the date range regarding the request for NT Logs.
Regards Pete
Security Project Manager
Post Office Account
FUJITSU SERVICES
Sackville House, Brook Close, Lewes, East Sussex, BN7 2FZ
Tel:
              Internal:
                              GRO
Mobile
        GRO
Fax
       GRO
E-Mail
peter.sewell
                     GRO
Web
http://uk.fujitsu.com
```

GRO Internal: Fujitsu Services Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are confidential and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended of that it is virus free. From: Pinder Brian Sent: 18 December 2006 11:29 18/12/2006 Page 1 of 3 Stephen Dilley From: **GRO** Pinder Brian Sent: 18 December 2006 11:29 To: Stephen Dilley Cc: Sewell Peter (FEL01) Subject: RE: EXTREMELY URGENT Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton Importance: High Stephen Just reading the emails, I believe Peter is dealing with this in my absence, and to avoid anyone going off at a tangent he will drive any requests for information you may have through to the relevant FJ staff concerned. Regds Brian GRO From: Stephen Dilley Sent: 18 December 2006 09:23 To: Pinder Brian Cc: Chambers Anne 0; Sewell Peter (FELO1); Peach Mik; mandy

GRO

Tom Beezer

graham.c.ward

Subject: EXTREMELY URGENT Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton Importance: High Dear Brian. I refer to Anne's email below. M r Castleton has this morning made an application to resume the trial arising from the late disclosure of the document Anne referred to in her evidence. The application must be heard by 21 December at the latest. In the circumstances, please can I ask you to supply the document i mmediately so that I can send it to Mr Castleton. I look forward to hearing from you. Kind regards. Stephen Dilley Solicitor for and on behalf of Bond Pearce LLP DDI: GRO GRO Main office phone: + GRO www.bond~earce.com **GRO** From: Anne.Chambers Sent: 16 December 2006 15:30 To: Stephen Dilley Cc: Chambers Anne O; brian.pinder **GRO** peter.sewell **GRO** GRO mandy.talbot mik.peach@ **GRO GRO** graham.c.ward Tom Beezer Subject: Re: URGENT Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton Dear Stephen, 18/12/2006 Page 2 of 3 do not myself have direct access to the archived system (Tivoli) event logs; they are held by our Security team and I have not looked at the logs for Marine Drive since 2004 (before they were archived), apart from checking 1st April 2004 following one of your queries the week before last. Until that point i was not aware that they were available. Obviously when questioned about them in court, I had to acknowledge that they were still available, even if not rechecked by me. will make sure your request has been received by the Security team on Monday.

Regards,

Anne.

From: Stephen Dilley[SMTP:]

Sent: Friday, December 15, 2006 6:11:17 PM

To: Chambers Anne O

Cc: Pinder Brian; Sewell Peter (FEL01); mandy.talbot GRO

grahamc.wa.r d (GRO Tom Beezer

Subject: URGENT Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton

Importance: High

Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear Anne,

Firstly, many thanks to you and Andy Dunks for attending Court to give evidence this week

which was helpful. The judge has reserved his judgment which will probably be given in the

 new year. Thanks also to Brian and his team for helpfully providing information about the

Falkirk branch on short notice.

In any litigation, the parties involved have a continuing obligation pursuant to the Court rules

to disclose all documents that may help or hinder their case or the other side's case. In this

context, a "document" means anything in which information of any description is recorded, so

it includes, just for example, a computer database. Previously, I had asked Fujitsu to let me

have all the info it had and had been helpfully given HSH call logs, transaction logs and events

logs. I was also recently told that there was a message store which had everything else on it

and we invited Mr Castleton to look at it this, but he didn't take up the opportunity.

However, whilst giving evidence you told the Court that there was also a different sort

of events \log , not included on the message store, that we had not seen or disclosed for the

Marine Drive branch. Mr Castleton has telephoned me today and asked for a copy of this to

be supplied to him immediately.

The Court has ordered Mr Castleton to make any application for a resumed hearing resulting

from the P.O's late disclosure of this document by 19 December. Going back to Court now the

trial appears to be concluded is the last thing we want, but we will do this if we need to. I am

not sure what application Mr Castleton would make. Possibly he may say his case was prejudiced by not having this information made available earlier.

I anticipate that nothing will probably turn on the document you referred to because you said

it didn't contain any errors that would cause the losses, but the P.O are nevertheless under an

obligation to supply it and if we don't, could face court sanction. Please can I therefore ask

for it to be emailed to me as soon as possible on Monday morning so that ${\tt I}$ can in turn send it

to Mr Castleton.

I look forward to hearing from you.

18/12/2006

Page 3 of 3

Kind regards,

Stephen Dilley

Solicitor

for and on behalf of Bond Pearce LLP

DDI:		GR	0	
Main	office	phone:	4	GRO
Fax:		GRO)	

www_bondpearce_com_

The information in this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and maybe legally privileged

and protected by law. The intended recipient only is authorised to access this e-mail and any

attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender as soon as possible and

delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this

communication is prohibited.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before

transmission. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment. Bond

Pearce LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which maybe caused by software viruses.

Bond Pearce LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership registered in England and Wales number

oc311430.

Registered Office: 3 Temple Quay, Temple Back East, Bristol, BS 1 6DZ.

A list of Members is available from our registered office. Any reference to a Partner in relation to

Bond Pearce LLP means a Member of Bond Pearce LLP. Bond Pearce LLP is regulated by the Law

Society.

The information in this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and maybe legally privileged

and protected by law. The intended recipient only is authorised to access this e-mail and any

attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender as soon as possible and

3elete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this

communication is prohibited.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before

transmission. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment. Rond

Pearce LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which maybe caused by software viruses.

Bond Pearce LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership registered in England and Wales number

OC311430.

E~egistered Office: 3 Temple Quay, Temple Back East, Bristol, BS1 6DZ.

A list of Members is available from our registered office. Any reference to a Partner in relation to

Bond Pearce LLP means a Member of Bond Pearce LLP. Bond Pearce LLP is regulated by the Law

Society.

18/12/2006

Page 1 of2

~

Nicola McSherry

From:

Anne.Chambers [GRO

Sent:

16 December 2006 15:30

To:

Stephen Dilley

Cc:



Tom Beezer

Subject: Re: URGENT Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton

Dear Stephen,

do not myself have direct access to the archived system (Tivoli) event logs; they are held by our Security

team and I have not looked at the logs for Marine Drive since 2004 (before they were archived), apart from

checking 1st April 2004 following one of your queries the week before last. Until that point i was not aware

that they were available. Obviously when questioned about them in court, I had to acknowledge that they

were still available, even if not rechecked by me.

will make sure your request has been received by the Security team on Monday.

Regards,

Anne.

From: Stephen Dilley[SMTP:]

Sent: Friday, December 15, 2006 6:11:17 PM

To: Chambers Anne O

Cc: Pinder Brian; Sewell Peter (FEL01); mandy.talb

graham c wa..rd **GRO** Tom Beezer

Subject: URGENT Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton

Importance: High

Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear Anne,

Firstly, many thanks to you and Andy Dunks for attending Court to give evidence this week

w hich was helpful. The judge has reserved his judgment which will probably be given in the

GRO

new year. Thanks also to Brian and his team for helpfully providing information about the

Falkirk branch on short notice.

In any litigation, the parties involved have a continuing obligation pursuant to the Court rules

to disclose all documents that may help or hinder their case or the other side's case. In this

context, a "document" means anything in which information of any description is recorded, so

it includes, just for example, a computer database. Previously, I had asked Fujitsu to let me

have all the info it had and had been helpfully given HSH call logs, transaction logs and events

 $\log s$. I was also recently told that there was a message store which had everything else on it

and we invited Mr Castleton to look at it this, but he didn't take up the opportunity.

However, whilst giving evidence you told the Court that there was also a different sort

of events \log , not included on the message store, that we had not seen or disclosed for the

Marine Drive branch. Mr Castleton has telephoned me today and asked for a copy of this to

be supplied to him immediately.

29/12/2006

Page 2 of2

The Court has ordered Mr Castieton to make any application for a resumed hearing resulting

from the P.O's late disclosure of this document by 19 December. Going back to Court now the

trial appears to be concluded is the last thing we want, but we will do this if we need to. I am

not sure what application Mr Castleton would make. Possibly he may say his case was prejudiced by not having this information made available earlier.

I anticipate that nothing will probably turn on the document you referred to because you said

it didn't contain any errors that would cause the losses, but the P.O are nevertheless under an

obligation to supply it and if we don't, could face court sanction. Please can $\mbox{\tt I}$ therefore ask for

it to be emailed to me as soon as possible on Monday morning so that I can in turn send it to

M r Castleton.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Stephen Dilley

Solicitor

for and on behalf of Bond Pearce LLP

DDI:		(GRO			
Main	offic	e ph	none:		 GRO	
Fax:			GRO)		
www.b	o nd	pea	rce,	com		

The information in this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and maybe legally privileged

and protected by law. The intended recipient only is authorised to access this e-mail and any

attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender as soon as possible and

delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this

communication is prohibited.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection

software before

transmission. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment. Bond

Pearce LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses.

Bond Pearce LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership registered in England and Wales number

oc311430.

Registered Office: 3 Temple Quay, Temple Back East, Bristol, BS1 6DZ.

A list of Members is available from our registered office. Any reference to a Partner in relation to

Bond Pearce LLP means a Member of Bond Pearce LLP. Bond Pearce LLP is regulated by the Law

Society.

29/12/2006