POST OFFICE TRAINING EVENT

Thursday 23rd January 2014.



Topics:

Excluding Illegally/Unfairly Obtained Evidence.

Witness Statements.

Commencing Proceedings.

The Pocket Notebook.

Code G - Code of Practice for the Statutory Power of Arrest by Police Officers.



Cartwright King Solicitors

The McLaren Building

46 The Priory

Queensway,

Birmingham

B4 7LR.

	21/0
Excluding illegally/unfairly	
obtained evidence	
more i	
Confessions	
S82 PACE defines – statement adverse	
to person making it	
*1 Crounds for ovaluding	
Grounds for excluding S76 and s78 PACE (1984)	
S76 – confession was due to oppression OR as a consequence of a something	
said or done which renders the confession unreliable	
■ S78 – adverse effect on the fairness of	
the proceedings	

0

•

•

•

0

•

•

•

•

_

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

_

	POL00 POL00094
2:	1/01/2014
·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



S76(2)(a) Oppression

- Includes torture, inhuman or degrading treatment and use or threat of violence
- <u>Fulling (1987)</u> Oppression has normal dictionary meaning
- Prager (1972) Questioning which excites hopes, fears or so affects the mind of the subject that his will crumbles and he speaks when otherwise he would have stayed silent



S76(2)(b)Unreliability

- Wahab (2003) "Cannot be relied upon as being the truth."
- R v Kenny "Truth of confession Immaterial"





Unfairness s78

Court may refuse to allow evidence on which the prosecution proposes to rely if it appears to the court that the admisson of the evidence would have such an adverse effect on the fairness of the proceedings that the court ought not admit it



21/01/2014



Unfairness s78

 Mason (1988) Discetion to deal with confession under s78 as well as s76
 Breaches of Codes of practice usually dealt with under s78
 Each case to be decided on its own facts





Bad Faith

- No Requirement for bad faith
- Bad faith on the part of Police will usually lead to exclusion of evidence
- Good faith will not excuse serious breaches of the codes.





Examples

- Failure to Caution
- Juveniles appropriate adult
- Drug addicts withdrawing from Drugs
- Evidence obtained through unlawful act or trickery



3
J

1 0200001141

Witness Statements	•
When to Take the Statement	
The passage of time often results in witnesses forgetting crucial details.	
Statements should therefore be obtained from key witnesses at the earliest opportunity. This provides the earliest opportunity.	
This ensures the evidential integrity and content of the statement.	
	•
Considerations	
 A written statement is the "shop window" of 	NAME OF THE OWNER O
an officers work and so their professionalism is on display.	
Witnesses, magistrates, judges, solicitors and supervisors form their opinion of an officer's	***************************************
credibility and that of Post Office Ltd on the quality of such statements.	

	21
	1
The Rules	
A separate statement will be required for each witness.	
Statements from one witness should not be taken in the presence of another.	
If a witness asks to write his/her own statement, this should be allowed but should	
not generally be encouraged.	
	1
 Avoid using leading questions. Record statements in an active style, for	
example 'i posted the letter' rather than 'The letter was posted.'	
Consider using how, what, when, why and	
who questions.	
If further statements are taken from a witness,	
If further statements are taken from a witness, these should be separate documents and not additions to existing statements.	
 If further statements are taken from a witness, these should be separate documents and not additions to existing statements. Such additional statements should begin 'Further to my previous statement(s)' 	
 If further statements are taken from a witness, these should be separate documents and not additions to existing statements. Such additional statements should begin 	

	21/01/2
Investigating Officers need to consider the offence or the incident and the elements that will be required to establish or prove the	
offence.	

Find a suitable place to talk to the witness.Put them at ease and fully discuss the incident	-
before embarking on the statement. Officers should be satisfied the witness has	
told them all they know, before a statement is commenced. • Utilise cognitive interviewing skills.	
o thise cognitive interviewing skills.	
If a witness has any special needs in relation to the giving of evidence in court, such as	
requiring an interpreter or they have learning difficulties, make a separate record.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Do not detail the requirement in the statement.	
• Do not detail the requirement in the	

	21/0
 A statement should be in chronological order, it should make sense and should be accurate. In general terms the statement should provide a word picture of the events as they occurred. 	
 Any notes made in the process of compiling a statement must be retained. They may be used to negate or confirm subsequent statements by the same witness. You must seek the whole truth and not just those parts that support your case. 	
 Never encourage a witness to make a statement on the pretext that they will not be called to give evidence in criminal proceedings Do not allow the witness to exaggerate. If it is suspected a witness is exaggerating, remind them of their obligation and that what they say in their statement is what they will be expected to say at court whilst under oath. 	

	21
Content.	
A statement should identify the witness and	
provide details of status, occupation, position held, relationships etc.	
 The statement should be in the first person and the speech should be direct. 	
 Do not reveal the home address of the witness in the body of the statement unless it 	
is relevant to the offence.	
If possible, each separate event in the	
statement should be enclosed in a separate paragraph.	
 It is advisable that the content of each paragraph is checked with the witness as it is written. 	
It is important that the witness views the statement as his, and not the officers version	
of the events.	
 Jargon or slang should be avoided. Abusive language should not be used unless it 	
 Abusive language should not be used unless it is in direct speech. 	
 Abusive language should not be used unless it is in direct speech. It is important that the witnesses words or phrases are used. 	
 Abusive language should not be used unless it is in direct speech. It is important that the witnesses words or 	

	21/0
	1
Exhibits produced in a statement should be given a unique reference number and an arbitish label stand at the time.	
 exhibit label signed at the time. The unique reference number should be recorded in the statement. 	
Whenever practicable each item should be given a separate reference number.	
	Ī
Concluding the Statement	
When the full text has been written, the witness should be asked to read it and initial	
any alterations. • Any words to be ignored should be struck	
through with single line.	
Any insertions should not be written between	
the lines or in the margins. • Place a letter at the point of the insertion e.g.	
 the lines or in the margins. Place a letter at the point of the insertion e.g. 'a' at the point of the first insertion and so on. Add the text of the insertion to the end of the 	
the lines or in the margins. • Place a letter at the point of the insertion e.g. 'a' at the point of the first insertion and so on.	

21/01/2014 • When the content and accuracy of the statement have been agreed, the witness should sign each page and under the last line of writing on the final page. • The CJA certificate on the first page should be read and if necessary explained to the witness. • The witness should then be invited to read and sign the CJA declaration. · Any blank space at the end of the statement should be struck through and initialled by the Officer taking the statement. • If the statement is made by a witness who cannot read it, the officer taking it must read it over to the witness before it is signed and then add at the end of the statement: • 'The witness being unable to read the above statement, I (name and position) of (POL, department) read it to him/her before he/she signed it. Dated theday of....... 20.... Signed......'

_		FC	JL00094141
	_		
	_		
	_		
	_		
	•		
	•		
	_		
	•		
	•		
i			
1			
1			
1			
i	•		
	•		
	۵		
	_		
	•		
	_		
	•		
:			
1			
ì			
į			
i			
	_		
İ			
	-		
Ì			

	21,
	-
Commencing Proceedings Summonses and Service	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
Commencing Proceedings. Criminal Proceedings can be commenced in one	
of three ways; • 1. Charge	
• 2. Requisition • 3. Summons	
The vast majority of cases brought by POLtd involve Defendants who are co-operating with	
the process and where there is no fear of flight.	
Invariably suspects are reported for summons to enable legal advice.	
The Requisition [under s29 Criminal Justice Act 2003] is available to the police only and in effect enables them to issue a summons themselves	
AUTORS FORM TO ISSUE & SUMMANS THAMSAIVAS	

	23
 In our case if the advice is to proceed we will draft proposed charges which are then laid before the appropriate Magistrates Court with 	
a request that a summons issues. The request or "information" for the issue of a summons has to be considered by an	
authorised officer of the court. A summons when issued will set out the date time and place of the first hearing which the Defendant	
is required to attend.	
Service	
The Summons must then be served. The Criminal Procedure Rules 2013 control the methods of service.	
There are many ways in which documents can be served, but in the case of a summons alleging an offence the method of service is	
limited in the case of an individual to either;	
Handing it to him or her	
 By addressing it to him or her and leaving it at the appropriate address for service, or by 	
 By addressing it to him or her and leaving it at 	

	21/
The "appropriate address for service" for an	•••••
individual is an address where it is reasonably believed that he or she will receive it.	
Note: The same provisions apply to the service	
of a witness summons.	
Date of Service	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 The effective date of service is; Personal service – the day of service 	
Leaving at the address – the next business day	
after leaving • First Class Posting – the second business day	
after the day on which the summons was	
posted.	
Service Overseas	
Service Overseas • This is unlikely to be an issue, but statutory provision means that summonses can be served from England into Scotland and	
Service Overseas • This is unlikely to be an issue, but statutory provision means that summonses can be served from England into Scotland and Northern Ireland. In respect of other countries service is possible but means involving the	
Service Overseas • This is unlikely to be an issue, but statutory provision means that summonses can be served from England into Scotland and Northern Ireland. In respect of other countries	
Service Overseas • This is unlikely to be an issue, but statutory provision means that summonses can be served from England into Scotland and Northern Ireland. In respect of other countries service is possible but means involving the Home Office and would require separate	

	21,
Proof of Service	
Usually by a brief certificate endorsed on a sony of the summers confirming how and	
copy of the summons confirming how and when the summons was served and signed and dated by the person who dealt with it.	
Certified proof will enable the advocate to	•
request a warrant of arrest if the Defendant fails to appear.	
 Magistrates cannot require service by one of the available methods when issuing a summons, but if service by post fails the Magistrates can direct service by another means, usually personally. 	
•	
It is vital that the legal team are aware of the return date of the summons as soon as	
possible after issue to enable us to make arrangements to cover the first hearing and	
provide disclosure documents.	

•

•

•

•

•

0

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

_	 	 	1 020000 1111
i			
w			
-			
_			
T			
_			
-			
4			
•			
V			
_			
~			
A			
_			
_			
•			
W			
_			
440-			
T			
w			
_			
-			
W			
400			
-			
V			
-			
_			
•			
_			
-			
T			
i _			
·			
-			

	21/0
	-
THE POCKET NOTEBOOK	
THE COME THE PERSON	
Only have one pocket notebook in use at any time.	
 Make entries every working day in indelible ink. Make entries in chronological order at the 	
time of the occurrence or as soon as possible thereafter.	
• The reason for any dolay in making an auto-	
 The reason for any delay in making an entry should be recorded. Do not erase mistakes; They should be crossed 	
 should be recorded. Do not erase mistakes; They should be crossed through with a single line and initialled. Entries should be made on the next available 	
 should be recorded. Do not erase mistakes; They should be crossed through with a single line and initialled. 	

	21/
When attending court as a witness ensure that you are in possession of your PNBs which	
cover the relevant evidential time period(s).	
rsa niin de	
Pocket Notebook Usage.	
The amount of information recorded in a PNB will vary according to the circumstances.	
As a minimum the record should contain sufficient information to recall the	
circumstances of the event at a later date, in particular when giving evidence in court.	
	<u> </u>
You should include:	-
All relevant times e.g. of the incident, arrival and departure.	
 The exact location. Type of occurrence or offence	
Name, date of birth, occupation, address, telephone numbers/contact details of all	
persons involved or suspected.	

	21/01,
Details of other investigating officers/other agencies present at the scene.	
Direct speech used, unsolicited comments, admissions, denials etc.	
Vehicle details (if relevant)	
 Property recovered. This list is not exhaustive.	
The PNB should be the primary record in the following circumstances:-	
Details of tape-recorded interviews;Significant statements which must be put to	
 the suspect at the start of the interview Unsolicited comments made by a suspect 	
outside the context of a formal interview which may be relevant to an offence.	
Recording of Evidence or Significant Occurrence.	
The primary purpose of the PNB is to record evidence and also as an aid to memory.	
The PNB should always be the first record of evidence.	
Investigating Officers should not use their own statement of evidence as the first record of	
evidence unless their PNB was not available at the time and the record was made on the day	

Investigating officers must record the full details of any incident and any other details they feel may assist them to recall the circumstances of the event at a later date, in particular when they are giving evidence in court. Investigating Officers should ensure that verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. If this process is not undertaken the evidence may be deemed inadmissible in court.		21
 details of any incident and any other details they feel may assist them to recall the circumstances of the event at a later date, in particular when they are giving evidence in court. Investigating Officers should ensure that verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. If this process is not undertaken the evidence 		
 details of any incident and any other details they feel may assist them to recall the circumstances of the event at a later date, in particular when they are giving evidence in court. Investigating Officers should ensure that verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. If this process is not undertaken the evidence 		
 details of any incident and any other details they feel may assist them to recall the circumstances of the event at a later date, in particular when they are giving evidence in court. Investigating Officers should ensure that verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. If this process is not undertaken the evidence 		
 Investigating Officers should ensure that verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. If this process is not undertaken the evidence 	details of any incident and any other details	
• Investigating Officers should ensure that verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence	circumstances of the event at a later date, in	
verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence		
verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence		
verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence		
verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence		
verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence		
verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence		
verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence		
verbal evidence recorded from suspects in their PNB is shown to the suspect and the suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence	• Investigating Officers should ensure that	
suspect given the opportunity to read and sign the PNB. • A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence	verbal evidence recorded from suspects in	
the officer in his/her PNB. • If this process is not undertaken the evidence	suspect given the opportunity to read and sign	
If this process is not undertaken the evidence may be deemed inadmissible in court.	 A refusal to read or sign should be noted by the officer in his/her PNB. 	
	If this process is not undertaken the evidence may be deemed inadmissible in court.	
	• The longer the delay in completing the entry	
• The longer the delay in completing the entry	the greater is the chance of the investigating officer's memory becoming unreliable and	
The longer the delay in completing the entry the greater is the chance of the investigating officer's memory becoming unreliable and	evidence being compromised and/or challenged in court.	
the greater is the chance of the investigating officer's memory becoming unreliable and evidence being compromised and/or	If operational considerations mean that an	
the greater is the chance of the investigating officer's memory becoming unreliable and evidence being compromised and/or challenged in court. • If operational considerations mean that an	it should where possible be made before	
the greater is the chance of the investigating officer's memory becoming unreliable and evidence being compromised and/or challenged in court. • If operational considerations mean that an entry cannot be made at the time of the event it should where possible be made before	= 1-7	
the greater is the chance of the investigating officer's memory becoming unreliable and evidence being compromised and/or challenged in court. • If operational considerations mean that an entry cannot be made at the time of the event		

•

0

•

•

•

•

•

_

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

	2:
Subsequent Contact • The CPIA 1997 places a statutory responsibility on all Investigators involved in the conduct of	
 a criminal investigation to record and retain relevant material. Any contact, either in person or by other media e.g. text, voicemail, e-mail etc must be regarded as potentially relevant and therefore should be recorded and retained in a durable and readable form. 	
ELBOWS!	
No Erasures in PNBNo Leaves torn out from PNBNo Blank spaces in PNB	
 No Overwriting in PNB No Writing between the lines in PNBs & Statements in PNBs to be in direct speech. 	
	:

1 0200001111

21/01/2014



POLICE AND CRIMINAL EVIDENCE ACT 1984 (PACE)

Code G
CODE OF PRACTICE FOR THE
STATUTORY POWER OF ARREST BY
POLICE OFFICERS.

- The Code deals with the statutory power of a police officer to arrest a person who is involved, or suspected of being involved, in a criminal offence.
- The power of arrest must be used fairly, responsibly, with respect for people suspected of committing offences and without discrimination.

"The exercise of the power of arrest represents an obvious and significant interference with the Right to Liberty and Security under Article 5 of the European Convention on Human Rights set out in Part 1 of Schedule 1 to the Human Rights Act 1998"

	21/
The use of the power must be fully justified.	
Officers exercising the power should consider if the necessary objectives could be met by	
other, less intrusive, means. • The absence of justification could lead to	
challenges should the case proceed to court, and civil claims against the police for unlawful arrest and false imprisonment	V
The power to arrest should be exercised in a non-discriminatory and proportionate	
manner.	
Section 24 of PACE (as substituted by Section 110 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police	
Act 2005) provides the statutory power for a	
constable to arrest without warrant for all offences	
If the provisions of the Act and Code G are not observed, both the arrest and the conduct of	
any subsequent investigation may be open to	
question.	
Elements of Arrest under Section 24.	
A lawful request requires two elements:-	
A person's involvement or suspected involvement or attempted involvement in the	
commission of a criminal offence;	
AND	
 Reasonable grounds for believing that the 	
 Reasonable grounds for believing that the person's arrest is necessary. 	

	21/0
Both elements must be satisfied.	
It can never be necessary to arrest a person unless there are reasonable grounds to	
suspect them of committing an offence.	
ula.	
	-
'Involvement in the commission	
of an offence'	
₹	
A constable may arrest without a warrant	
anyone:-	
anyone:-Who is about to or is committing an offence;Whom the officer has reasonable grounds to	
 anyone:- Who is about to or is committing an offence; Whom the officer has reasonable grounds to suspect is about to or is committing an offence. whom the officer has reasonable grounds to 	
 anyone:- Who is about to or is committing an offence; Whom the officer has reasonable grounds to suspect is about to or is committing an offence. whom the officer has reasonable grounds to suspect of being guilty of an offence the officer has reasonable grounds to suspects has been 	
 anyone:- Who is about to or is committing an offence; Whom the officer has reasonable grounds to suspect is about to or is committing an offence. whom the officer has reasonable grounds to suspect of being guilty of an offence the officer 	

	21/
Necessity Criteria	
("	
 The power of arrest is only exercisable if the constable has reasonable grounds for believing that it is necessary to arrest the person. The statutory criteria for what may constitute necessity are set out in paragraph 2.9 of the Code. 	
It remains an operational decision at the discretion of the constable to decide: • Which one or more of the necessity criteria (if any) applies to the individual; And • If any of the criteria do apply, whether to arrest, grant street bail after arrest, report for summons or for charging by post, issue a penalty notice or take any other action open to the officer.	

	21/0
The necessity criteria requires the constable to	
examine and justify the reason(s) for an arrest.	
In applying the criteria, the arresting officer has to be satisfied that at least one of the reasons	
porting the need for arrest is satisfied.	
•	
The criteria in para 2.9 (which are also set out	
in S24 PACE as substituted by S110 Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005) <u>are</u>	
exhaustive. • However the circumstances that may satisfy	
those criteria remain a matter for the operational discretion of individual officers.	
The constable must take into account the situation of the victim, the nature of the	
offence, the circumstances of the suspect and the needs of the investigative process.	
When it is practicable to tell a person why their	
arrest is necessary, the constable should outline	
arrest is necessary, the constable should outline the facts, information and other circumstances which provide the grounds for believing that	•
arrest is necessary, the constable should outline the facts, information and other circumstances which provide the grounds for believing that their arrest is necessary and which the officer considers satisfy one or more of the statutory	
arrest is necessary, the constable should outline the facts, information and other circumstances which provide the grounds for believing that their arrest is necessary and which the officer	
arrest is necessary, the constable should outline the facts, information and other circumstances which provide the grounds for believing that their arrest is necessary and which the officer considers satisfy one or more of the statutory	

•

•

•

•

•

•

	21/
a) To enable the name of the person in question to be ascertained (in the case where the constable does not know, and cannot readily ascertain, the person's name, or has reasonable grounds for doubting whether a name given by a person is his real name).	
b) To enable the address of the person to be ascertained. An officer might decide that an address cannot be readily ascertained if the person refuses to provide it, particularly following a warning that a failure to provide it may lead to arrest, or if the person appears reluctant or hesitant or is unable to provide verifiable details of the locality in which he/she claims to reside.	
c) to prevent the person in question: (i) Causing physical injury to himself or others; (ii) Suffering physical injury; (iii) Causing loss or damage to property; (iv) Committing an offence against public decency; (v) Causing an unlawful obstruction of the highway.	

	21/
d) To protect a child or other vulnerable person from the person in question.	
M.	
e) To allow the prompt and effective investigation of the offence or of the conduct of	
the person in question. See Note 2E. • This may arise when it is thought likely that	
unless the person is arrested and then either taken into custody or street bailed to attend	
the station later, further action considered necessary to properly investigate their	
involvement in the offence would be frustrated, unreasonably delayed or otherwise hindered and therefore be impracticable.	
mindered and therefore be impracticable.	
•	
Note 2E: The meaning of 'prompt' should be	
considered on a case by case basis taking account of all the circumstances. It indicates	
that the progress of the investigation should not be delayed to the extent that it would adversely	
affect the effectiveness of the investigation. The arresting officer also has discretion to release	
the arrested person on street bail as an alternative to taking the person directly to the	
station. See Note 2J.	

	21/
Note 21. Usering determined that the acception	
Note 2J: Having determined that the necessity criteria have been met and having made the arrest, the officer can then consider the use of	
street bail on the basis of the effective and efficient progress of the investigation of the	
offence in question. It gives the officer discretion to compel the person to attend a police station	
at a date/time that best suits the overall needs of the particular investigation	<u></u>
~^	
Examples:	
i) Interviewing the suspect on occasions when the person's voluntary attendance is not	\$-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
considered to be a practicable alternative to arrest, because for example;	
 It is thought unlikely that the person will attend the station voluntarily to be interviewed. 	
It is necessary to interview the suspect about the outcome of other investigative action (see	
(ii) to (v))	
Arrest would enable the special warning to be	
givenwhen the suspect is found:	
- In possession of incriminating objects, or at a	
place where such objects are found;	
- At or near the scene of the crime at or about the time it was committed.	
- At or near the scene of the crime at or about	
 At or near the scene of the crime at or about the time it was committed. The person has made false statements and/or 	

It is thought likely that the person: May steal or destroy evidence; May collude or make contact with, cosuspects or conspirators; May intimidate or threaten or make contact with witnesses. See Notes 2F and 2G Note 2F: An officer who believes that it is necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the officer with the necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the officer with the consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer with the consider whether they will attend the police station voluntarity to be Interviewed but they may attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessary. Without such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest for the street would not be held to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest for the street would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: It is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be a practicable alternative. See para 2.9 (e)(i) to (v).		21,
- May collude or make contact with, co- suspects or conspirators; - May intimidate or threaten or make contact with witnesses. See Notes 2F and 2G Note 2F: An officer who believes that it is necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to intervioque the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable olternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
- May collude or make contact with, co- suspects or conspirators; - May intimidate or threaten or make contact with witnesses. See Notes 2F and 2G Note 2F: An officer who believes that it is necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to intervioque the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable olternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
- May collude or make contact with, co- suspects or conspirators; - May intimidate or threaten or make contact with witnesses. See Notes 2F and 2G Note 2F: An officer who believes that it is necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to intervioque the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable olternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
- May collude or make contact with, cosuspects or conspirators; - May intimidate or threaten or make contact with witnesses. See Notes 2F and 2G Note 2F: An officer who believes that it is necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether their value of the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest was necessary in order to interview. It is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
- May collude or make contact with, co- suspects or conspirators; - May intimidate or threaten or make contact with witnesses. See Notes 2F and 2G Note 2F: An officer who believes that it is necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessary. Without such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest on the street' would not be necessary to interview. Expected that of the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
- May intimidate or threaten or make contact with witnesses. See Notes 2F and 2G Note 2F: An officer who believes that it is necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	- May collude or make contact with, co-	
Note 2F: An officer who believes that it is necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessary Without such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
Note 2F: An officer who believes that it is necessary to interview the person suspected of committing the officer must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The inficer is not required to interrogate the suspect to netermine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying but the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessary. Without such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest on the street' would not be necessary to interview hem might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which Indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Control of the Contro
interview the person suspected of committing the fefence must then consider whether their arrest is seessary in order to carry out the interview. The ficer is not required to interrogate the suspect to etermine whether they will attend the police attoin voluntarily to be interviewed but they must busider whether the suspect's voluntary tendance is a practicable alternative for carrying at the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be accessaryWithout such considerations, the officer ould not be able to establish that arrest was excessary in order to interview. In the street' would not be necessary to interview term might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	ee Notes 2F and 2G	-
to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessary. Without such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'or the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
to interview the person suspected of committing the offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessary. Without such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'or the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
offence must then consider whether their arrest is necessary in order to carry out the interview. The officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to determine whether they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must consider whether the suspect's voluntary attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying but the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be accessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest for the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	offence must then consider whether their arrest is	
circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest on the street' would not be necessary in order to interview them might be where the officer: I satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and I snot aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be interview attendance would not be interview and that voluntary attendance would not be indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	officer is not required to interrogate the suspect to	
attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying out the interview. If it is, then arrest would not be necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	station voluntarily to be interviewed but they must	
necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer would not be able to establish that arrest was necessary in order to interview. Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	attendance is a practicable alternative for carrying	
Circumstances which suggest that a person's arrest 'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	necessaryWithout such considerations, the officer	•
'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		•
'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
'on the street' would not be necessary to interview them might be where the officer: • Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and • Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
Is satisfied as to their identity and address and that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
that they will attend the police station voluntarily to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	them might be where the officer:	
to be interviewed, either immediately or by arrangement at a future date and time; and Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be		
Is not aware of any other circumstances which indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	to be interviewed, either immediately or by	
indicate that voluntary attendance would not be	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
a practiculie diternative. See para 2.5 [e][i] to [v].		

	21/
Note 2G:When the person attends the police station	
voluntarily for interview by arrangement as in Note 2F, their arrest on arrival at the station prior to the interview would only be justified if:	
New information coming to light after the arrangements were made indicates that from that	
time, voluntary attendance ceased to be a practicable alternative and the persons arrest became necessary; and	
 It was not reasonably practicable for the person to be arrested before they attended 	
If a person who attendsvoluntarilydecides to leave before the interview is complete, the police would at that point be entitled to consider whether their arrest was	-
necessary to carry out the interview	
ii) When considering arrest in connection with	***************************************
an indictable offence there is a need to:	
Enter and search without a search warrant any premises occupied or controlled by the	
arrested person or where the person was when arrested immediately before arrest;	
To prevent the arrested person from having	
contact with others; • To detain the arrested person for more than	
24 hours before charge.	
(iii) When considering arrest in connection with	
any recordable offence and it is necessary to	
secure or preserve evidence of that offence by taking fingerprints, footwear impressions or	
samples from the suspect for evidential comparison or matching with other material	
relating to that offence, for example, from the crime scene.	
LIMP SUPIP.	i a constant
cime scene.	

	2:
(iv) When considering arrest in connection with any offence and it is necessary to search, examine or	
photograph the person to obtain evidence. (v) When considering arrest in connection with an	
offence to which the statutory Class A drug testing requirementsapplywhen it is thought that drug	
misuse might have caused or contributed to the offence.	
f) To prevent any prosecution for the offence from being hindered by the disappearance of	
the person in question.	
This may arise if it is thought that if the person is not arrested they are unlikely to attend or the	
address given is not a satisfactory address for the service of a summons.	
<u></u>	
THE END	