M	ess	sa	g	e
---	-----	----	---	---

From:	Jarnail Singh	GRO			
FIUIII.	Jarnail Singh 🔔				
Sent:	09/05/2014 10:31:51				
То:	Jessica Madron	GRO	; Chris Aujard	GRO	
Subject:	RE: M029 [BD-4A.FID25		<u></u>		

Jessica/ Chris

Having looked at the papers . I have no doubt this is the correct decision not to disclosure "Officer Reports". If in the case of Hamilton officers report had been disclosured, this would have been extremely dangerous approach. In the Hamilton case, in the officers report the investigation officer said "Having analysed the Horizon print out and accounting documentation, I was unable to find any evidence of theft, or cash in hand figures been deliberately inflated".

In the absence of a file to demonstrate how the case developed ,as it was further investigated ,this would give the applicant and second sight every opportunity to ask why in fact Hamilton was prosecuted. In the absence of paper work to deal with this ,this would in turn cause POL difficulties.

Jarnail Singh I Criminal Lawyer



From: Rodric Williams Sent: 08 May 2014 21:59

To: Jarnail Singh; 'andrew.parsons' GRO

Cc: Chris Aujard; Jessica Madron

Subject: Re: M029 [BD-4A.FID25887033]

All,

Having discussed this with Chris and Jessica, the protocol for the use of "Officer Reports" (or as otherwise described) by Project Sparrow investigators when responding to individual complaints is:

- 1. The report is NOT to be exhibited OR expressly referenced in Post Office's formal response to a complaint.
- 2. It can be used by the investigator to help them understand what happened in a particular case, and to identify other documents relevant to the case (e.g. transcripts of interviews, branch account records etc).
- 3. If the report is the ONLY source document still available, the investigator can repeat material from the report (provided it is not legally privileged), but CANNOT cite the report as a reference.
- 4. Any challenge received about the source of a Post Office statement made from the report must be referred to Chris.

I hope that is clear and reflects the decision made. Please let me know if not.

Kind regards, Rod

From: Jarnail Singh

Sent: Thursday, May 08, 2014 01:08 PM

To: Parsons, Andrew 4 GRO

Cc: Rodric Williams; Chris Aujard; Jessica Madron

Subject: RE: M029 [BD-4A.FID25887033]

Andy

I anticipate Chris is the best person to make a decision on this point on behalf of POL.

Are allegations POL has NOT properly investigated being made generally or in specific cases?. One of the dangers of investigation officers report being disclosed is that it will always be easy for the applicant to think of something not specifically referred to in the report and allege that POL investigation has not been sufficiently through or adequate. Of course it is highly unlikely that the investigation will have been deficient, but in the absence of full set of papers it may become impossible for POL to rebut such new allegations.

Regards Jarnail

Jarnail Singh I Criminal Lawyer



From: Parsons, Andrew [GRO

To: Jarnail Singh; Chris Aujard; Jessica Madron

Cc: Rodric Williams

Sent: 08 May 2014 12:23

Subject: RE: M029 [BD-4A.FID25887033]

Thanks Jarnail - There are two cases that are currently affected by this issue. They both urgently need to be cleared and sent to Second Sight so how would you like to take this forward to a decision?

Kind regards Andy

Andrew Parsons

Senior Associate

for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP







www.bonddickinson.com

From: Jarnail Singh GRO

Sent: 08 May 2014 11:09

To: Chris Aujard; Jessica Madron

Cc: Rodric Williams; Parsons, Andrew

Subject: RE: M029 [BD-4A.FID25887033]

Chris/ Jessica

As I understand it, POL has been advised by senior counsel that investigation and offender report should not be disclosed. It is of course matter for POL to make a decision whether

to accept this advice or not and of course it would be open for POL to decide to discourse such documents.

I personally would be unhappy for such documents to be disclosure for reasons set out in counsel Harry Bowyers advice note.

Given the email correspondence between Bond Dickinson and cartwright King, I would be grateful if I could be informed whether POL has made a decision or Bond Dickinson are proceeding along the disclosure route without POL having made a decision.

P.S I will forward Andrew Parsons email to CK sent on 6/5/14 at 15.38.

Thanks Jarnail

Jarnail Singh I Criminal Lawyer



From: Parsons, Andrew GRO

Sent: 07 May 2014 16:24

To: Martin Smith

Cc: Rodric Williams; Jarnail Singh

Subject: RE: M029 [BD-4A.FID25887033]

Martin

Thanks – if you could mark on the docs any bits that you would prefer to be redacted that would be great.

Kind regards Andy

Andrew Parsons

Senior Associate
for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP







www.bonddickinson.com

From: Martin Smith		GRO	
Sent: 07 May 2014	l6:17		
To: Parsons, Andrew			
Cc: Rodric Williams;	Jarnail A Singh	GRO	
Subject: M029	_	L	

ij

Hi Andy,

Thank you for your e-mail; It would appear that Harry Bowyer looked at an earlier version of the proposed response sometime ago and before we were asked to suggest amendments on draft responses using Track Changes. In those circumstances I have added comment to the latest version of the proposed response which I have attached hereto.

Whilst we have advised that as a matter of principle investigation and offender type reports should not be disclosed, I understand that there will be cases in which it is felt that there is no alternative other than to disclose these. In such circumstances they should be appropriately redacted. If you would like me to deal with that, please let me know.

The disclosure of redacted reports may lead to requests for the disclosure of such reports in other cases.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you wish to discuss.

Kind regards,

Martin.

Mar	tin Smith	
	G	RO
Tel:	GRO	



 $\label{lem:birmingham} \ | \ Derby \ | \ Leeds \ | \ Leicester \ | \ London \ | \ Newcastle \ | \ Nottingham \ | \ Sheffield \ | \ Tyneside \\ \ www.cartwrightking.co.uk$

This message is confidential and may contain legally privileged information. If you have received this in error please delete this message and let us know by email or telephone. A list of directors is available at each office. Authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority No:312459. VAT Registration No: 737837295.

Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. jarnail.a.singh! GRO only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not jarnail.a.singh! GRO please notify andrew.parsons! GRO as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Bond Dickinson LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Bond Dickinson LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is St Ann's Wharf, 112 Quayside, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 3DX, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
