

---

**From:** Belinda Crowe[imceaex-  
\_o=mms\_ou=exchange+20administrative+20group+20+28fydibohf23spdl+29\_cn=recipients\_cn  
=belinda+20crowe79b93f11-569f-4526-a078-f5b4958a8917220@c72a47.ingest.local]

**Sent:** Fri 02/01/2015 6:13:07 PM (UTC)

**To:** Tom Wechsler[ ]; Patrick  
Bourke[ ]; Rodric Williams[ ]

**Cc:** Angela Van-Den-Bogerd[ ]; Chris  
Aujard[ ];  
andrew.parsons[ ]; Mark  
Underwood[ ]; Georgia  
Barker[ ]; Jarnail Singh[ ]; Belinda  
Crowe[ ]

**Subject:** RE: Draft Letter to Sir Anthony Hooper - SUBJECT TO PRIVILEGE

Thanks Tom, this is a good letter. I wonder whether we should, however, add in something to acknowledge what Tony has suggested by way of mediation – that is we mediate on the narrow point of whether POL would support an appeal. We have set out our position on this previously in the email from Rod to Tony.

Best wishes  
Belinda

**Belinda Crowe**  
148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

[ ] Postline: [ ]

[ ]

[ ]

---

**From:** Tom Wechsler  
**Sent:** 02 January 2015 15:20  
**To:** Patrick Bourke; Belinda Crowe; Rodric Williams  
**Cc:** Angela Van-Den-Bogerd; Chris Aujard; andrew.parsons[ ]; Mark Underwood[ ]; Georgia Barker;  
Jarnail Singh  
**Subject:** Draft Letter to Sir Anthony Hooper - SUBJECT TO PRIVILEGE

All

Earlier in the week Chris asked me to draft him a letter to Tony Hooper fulfilling the request to look again at Post Office's approach to mediating criminal cases. We had a draft from CK that contained some helpful material but tone was not ideal (attached for ease).

Also attached is my lay person's interpretation of the CK draft based on my conversation with Chris. It needs a proper legal check plus any other comments you wish to make. My aim is to demonstrate that have genuinely looked at the issue again and are being reasonable. We must also assume that this will find its way into the public domain as I would expect Tony to want to share with the entire Working Group. We won't persuade Tony our position is correct but the "structured discussion" element shows some flexibility on our part and offers an incentive to endorsing the wider proposal.

Andy – I'd be particularly grateful if you could consider potential examples to be inserted in here (please see my

comments)

Thanks

Tom

Tom Wechsler

GRO

---

**From:** Belinda Crowe

**Sent:** 30 December 2014 13:47

**To:** Tom Wechsler

**Cc:** Patrick Bourke; Belinda Crowe

**Subject:** FW: Draft Letter to Sir Anthony Hooper - SUBJECT TO PRIVILEGE

Tom

This is the soft copy of the document you discussed with Chris this morning.

Best wishes

Belinda

**Belinda Crowe**

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

GRO

Postline

GRO

GRO

GRO

---

**From:** Martin Smith [mailto: ]

GRO

**Sent:** 19 December 2014 15:59

**To:** Chris Aujard

**Cc:** Rodric Williams; Belinda Crowe

**Subject:** Draft Letter to Sir Anthony Hooper - SUBJECT TO PRIVILEGE

Chris,

Further to our recent telephone call, please find attached the Advice of Simon Clarke incorporating a first draft of the proposed letter.

Kind regards,

Martin.

Martin Smith

GRO

GRO

Tel: **GRO**  
**CartwrightKing**  
SOLICITORS

Birmingham | Derby | Leeds | Leicester | London | Milton Keynes | Nottingham | Sheffield | Tyneside

[www.cartwrightking.co.uk](http://www.cartwrightking.co.uk)



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This e-mail is confidential and may contain legally privileged information. If you have received it in error please notify us immediately by return email, do not copy it or its contents to anyone else and delete it. Thank you for your cooperation.

A list of directors is available at each office. Cartwright King is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority No: 312459. VAT Registration No: 737837295

We cannot guarantee that this e-mail and any attachments are virus-free, but you should please check.