
From: Belinda Crowe[/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BELINDA CROWE79B93F11-569F-4526-A078-F5B4958A8917220]
Sent: Thur 05/02/2015 12:07:48 PM (UTC)
To: Melanie Corfield[GRO]; Parsons, Andrew[GRO]; Mark Underwood[GRO]; Jane Hill[GRO] Patrick Bourke[GRO]; Tom Wechsler[GRO] Rodric Williams[GRO]
Cc: Mark R Davies[GRO]; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd[GRO] [GRO]; Chris Aujard[GRO]
Subject: Doc for select committee
Attachment: Document1.docx
Attachment: BISC 03 02 15.docx

Hi all

I have done an initial mark-up of the transcript (attached) of those areas where we need to rebut/send a note and I have an idea of a format which I also attach. I hope it is self-explanatory and I think Andy Parsons has all the information at his fingertips (some of which was relevant to the BBC responses).

Can I suggest as a way forward that we ask Andy to complete the doc – I know we are terming it rebuttals but I think it should just be cold hard facts which we can evidence/source. That should be much more powerful in terms of landing our points and I think we should make the points even where Angela made them clearly in her evidence. We can also use it as a way of clarifying our position on any areas which may be unclear having read the transcript.

Please shout if that does not make sense or is inconvenient. I think that a lot of this should be a lift from previous docs (part two response etc.)

I think we should have a first draft by tomorrow? Is this doable? Andy?

Jane, I assume this is OK with you and you will hold the pen finally in terms of finessing this from a PA perspective.

Best wishes
Belinda

Belinda Crowe
148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

[GRO] Postline: [GRO]

[GRO]

[GRO]