From:			OLT)/CN=RECIPIEN	ANGE ADMINISTRATIVE ON NOTE OF THE CONTROL OF THE C		3F-4595-B082
Sent:		Mon 01/07/2013 1:	-			
То:		Lesley J Sewell Hugh Flemington	GRO GRO	; Alwen Lyons	GRO];
Cc:		Simon Baker Edwards Mark R Davies	GRO GRO GRO]; Gina Gould]; Jarnail A Singh	GRO GRO]; Martin
Subject:		RE: Summary of R SUBJECT TO LEG		oroblems - STRICTLY PRIV	/ATE & CONFIDE	ENTIAL -
Attachment:		Briefing Note re Se	econd Sight Interim	Report - 30.06.13.docx		
All,						
Please find been able.	attached la	atest draft Briefing N	Note, which has sou	ght to incorporate the feed	lback to date so fa	ar as I have
				is already quite long and v letail we need to go into in		iummary,
Please let n	ne have an	y comments. I will o	continue to refine t	he document in the meanti	me.	
Kind regard	ls, Rodric					
Rodric Wil	liams I Liti	igation Lawyer				
Ø	148 Old	Street, LONDON, EC	C1V 9HQ			
0	GF	RO Postline: C	GRO			
0	GR	GRO				
(a)		GRO				
(2)	Post Of	fice stories				
•	@posto	fficenews				
			PO: OFFI	CE)		

From: Lesley J Sewell **Sent:** 30 June 2013 18:06

To: Alwen Lyons; Rodric Williams; Hugh Flemington Cc: Simon Baker; Gina Gould; Martin Edwards
Subject: RE: Summary of Receipts Payments problems

Rod

The following needs to be included in the brief for Paula and Alice.

At what point do you think both Alwen and I will have a revised draft fro review.

I know it may change after the SS meeting tomorrow but we can prepare all the factual context.

Thx

Lesley J Sewell
Chief Information Officer

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ
Direct: GRO Postline GRO
Mobile: GRO Mobex GRO
GRO

Confidential Information:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Alwen Lyons Sent: 30 June 2013 18:06 To: Lesley J Sewell

Cc: Simon Baker; Gina Gould; Martin Edwards

Subject: Re: Summary of Receipts Payments problems

I think it will be easier for Paula if she gets one brief so this should be in it

Thanks Alwen

Alwen Lyons
Company Secretary

GRO

On 30 Jun 2013, at 17:13, "Lesley J Sewell" < **GRO** > wrote:

Alwen

Does this need to go to Paula or should it be included in Rods briefing, or even both?

-

Lesley J Sewell Chief Information Officer <image001.png>

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

Direct: GRO Postline GRO

Mobile: GRO Mobex GRO

GRO

<image002.png>

Confidential Information:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Simon Baker Sent: 30 June 2013 13:58 To: Lesley J Sewell

Cc: Gina Gould; Alwen Lyons

Subject: RE: Summary of Receipts Payments problems

No problem to make this changes. Are you expecting me to forward this on to anyone NOW?

From: Lesley J Sewell **Sent:** 29 June 2013 19:56 **To:** Simon Baker; Alwen Lyons

Cc: Gina Gould

Subject: RE: Summary of Receipts Payments problems

Simon

A couple of comments:

Can we change the reference from Bug to fault.

DONE

Given what Andy has sent to us late Friday – were these branches disadvantaged – ie did they have to put their own money in and if so for how long? From his summary it wasn't clear to me. YES THEY WERE DISADVANCED FOR THE AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THE TABLE. FOR APPROX 6 MONTHS (WE HAVE TO BE APPROX BECAUSE DIFFERENCE SUBPOSTMASTERS EXPERIENCED THE BUG IN DIFFERENT MONTHS.

And if they did have to put their own money in, at what point did we reimburse them and did we give them so sort of additional payment due to inconvenience.

WE REIMBURSED THEM IN MARCH 2011. I DON'T BELIEVE ANY PAYMENT WAS MADE FOR INCONVENIENCE (WE ARE TALKING ABOUT £115 AS THE WORST CASE)

Thx

Gina: Can you print a copy for my SS file. Thx

Lesley J Sewell Chief Information Officer <image001.png>

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

Direct: GRO Postline GRO

Mobile: GRO Mobex GRO

GRO

<image002.png>

Confidential Information:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not

the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Simon Baker **Sent:** 28 June 2013 17:36 To: Lesley J Sewell; Alwen Lyons

Cc: Simon Baker

Subject: Summary of Receipts Payments problems

Timeline

March 2010 First incidence occurred Aug-Oct 2010 Bulk of incidents occurred

October 2010 Issue Fixed

March 2011 Letters sent to branches and corrections made

Problem Description

- The problem occurs as part of the process of moving Discrepancies into Local Suspense.
- There was a defect, introduced as part of HNG, that in certain circumstances meant that discrepancies were not properly cleared to Local Suspense.
- This means that the gain or loss remained, unresolved, within the discrepancy account.
- If the sub postmaster did not look at their Final Balance Report carefully, they would have been unaware of this issue.
- The impact would have been that in order to balance, sub postmasters would have to either put their own money in (a disadvantage to the sub postmaster) or taken money out (an advantage to the sub postmaster)
- This problem was automatically picked up by the system, which had been designed to flag up these type of discrepancies

Impact to sub postmasters

- In total 62 branches were impacted
- Out of these 17 were sub postmaster branches (not crowns or multiples) who were disadvantaged
- The amount they were disadvantaged by is shown below
- The losses were made good by Post Office in March 2011. These means that most of the Sub Postmasters below would have held the loss on average for 6 months.

<image003.png>

Why did it take so long to resolve?

- Priority and distinction from other service issues that were happening at the time of the HNG rollout
- Complexity of understanding the root cause
- Getting agreement and clarity on how best to communicate this to branches

Simon Baker Head of Business Change and Assurance <image001.png>

2nd Floor, 148 Old Street, London, EC1V 9HQ

GRO