Message	
From:	Parsons, Andrew [Andrew.Parsons GRO
Sent:	03/06/2014 09:08:42
To:	Belinda Crowe (belinda.crowe GRO [belinda.crowe GRO Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
	[angela.van-den-boger GRO ;]; David Oliver1 (david.oliver1 GRO
	[david.oliver1] GRO Rodric Williams (rodric.william) GRO
	[rodric.william GRO] Jessica Barker (jessica.barker GRO
	[jessica.barke GRO
CC:	Thorp, Ben (ben.thorp GRO [ben.thorp GRO
Subject:	M127 - CRR response and settlement analysis [BD-4A.FID25887823]
Attachments:	Comparison of M127 - POL response (full) v3 with M127 - POL response (fudoc; _DOC_28834396(1)_M127 - POL response (full) v4 - 3 6 14.DOC; _DOC_28834153(2)_M127 - Settlement Analysis.DOCX

ΑII

Please find attached for your review:

- Updated CRR response (+ comparison showing the changes)
- A first draft of the settlement analysis for this case.

The Settlement Analysis on this case has been very difficult. There is clearly a material disagreement between POL, SS and the Applicant however POL's position is that it perceives little or no risk. As such, it is a finely balanced call on whether this case should or should not be mediated and/or whether or not a small settlement should be offered.

The attached Analysis is therefore very much a "starter for 10" for discussion. I'd be grateful if we could have a call to discuss this so to sound out the Post Office position. As the Settlement Policy has been withdrawn, we are at present providing our advice on purely legal / litigation risk grounds (in line with the Linklaters advice). However, we'd be happy to incorporate on any non-legal factors if required – we just need a bit of guidance on what they may be.

With regard to the draft CRR response – we'd be grateful for any final comments **by close today** (submission date is tomorrow).

Kind regards Andy

Andrew Parsons

Senior Associate

for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP



Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com