

Message

From: Parsons, Andrew [GRO]
Sent: 13/07/2016 11:02:21
To: Rodric Williams [GRO]; Thomas P Moran [GRO]; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd [GRO]; Patrick Bourke [GRO]; Mark R Davies [GRO]; Rob Houghton [GRO]; Tom Wechsler [GRO]; Nick Sambridge [GRO]
CC: Jane MacLeod [GRO]; Mark Underwood [GRO]
Subject: RE: Postmaster Litigation Steering Group - Confidential and Subject to Legal Privilege - Do Not Forward [BD-4A.FID26859284]
Attachments: Decision Papers.pdf

All

Please find attached the "one-page" papers for each of the tactical decisions below. Happy to talk these through in more detail tomorrow.

Kind regards
Andy

Andrew Parsons
Partner

Bond Dickinson

Direct: [GRO]
Mobile: [GRO]

Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com

From: Rodric Williams [GRO]
Sent: 08 July 2016 16:27
To: Thomas P Moran; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd; Patrick Bourke; Mark R Davies; Rob Houghton; Tom Wechsler; Nick Sambridge
Cc: Jane MacLeod; Parsons, Andrew; Mark Underwood [GRO]
Subject: Postmaster Litigation Steering Group - Confidential and Subject to Legal Privilege - Do Not Forward

All,

Please find attached a draft agenda for the next Steering Group meeting on 14 July 2016 at 1600, along with the Action List and updated Bond Dickinson litigation work plan.

Bond Dickinson are near to having a draft Letter of Response (**LOR**) ready for review by the Steering Group. The current plan is to circulate this on 15 July 2016 with a table directing each of you to the parts most relevant to your sections of the business.

As noted in the agenda, to complete the LOR for circulation we need to make the tactical decisions set out below and in the attached "one-page" paper covering each issue:

1. Do we address in detail the "bugs" in Horizon identified by Second Sight?
2. Do we attack Second Sight's credibility / expertise in order to undermine their reports?
3. Do we let Second Sight speak to Freeths?

4. Do we lodge counterclaims against Claimants who have outstanding debts?
5. Do we agree not to assert any Official Secrets Act obligations against claimants?
6. Do we engage in further mediation?
7. Do we explain whether part of Post Office's motivation for bringing prosecutions is a desire to recover money?

Unfortunately, I am out of the office next week and cannot attend the meeting, for which I apologise. I have however been through the questions with Andy Parsons from Bond Dickinson, who will be at the meeting to provide my legal input on these issues.

If you have any other items to add to the agenda, or any questions generally, please let me know (copying Mark Underwood, cc'ed, who will help coordinate as necessary).

Kind regards, Rodric



Rodric Williams
Solicitor, Corporate Services
Post Office Ltd
20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ
GRO

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.
