1		Friday, 3 February 2023
2	(10.	00 am)
3	MR	BEER: Good morning, sir. Can you see and hear me?
4	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, thank you.
5	MR	BEER: May I call Andrew Winn, please.
6		ANDREW FRANK WINN (affirmed)
7		Questioned by MR BEER
8	MR	BEER: Good morning, Mr Winn. My name is Jason Beer and,
9		as you know, I ask questions on behalf of the Inquiry.
10		Can you give us your full name, please?
11	Α.	Andrew Frank Winn.
12	Q.	Thank you. Many thanks for coming to give evidence to
13		the Inquiry today and for the provision of two witness
14		statements. Can I deal with your first witness
15		statement first, please. It should be in the hard copy
16		bundle in front of you at tab A1. It's 24 pages in
17		length and is dated 26 December 2022. Do you have that?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	For the transcript the URN is WITN01090100. Can you go
20		to the 24th page of it, please.
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	Is that your signature?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	Are the contents of that witness statement true to the
25		best of your knowledge and belief? 1

1		individual subpostmasters, as that will be a matter
2		addressed in Phase 4 of the Inquiry, or in relation to
3		investigations that took place after the scandal broke.
4		That may be addressed in Phase 5 of the Inquiry and you
5		may be recalled within it. There may be some questions
6		that do touch on those matters but only where it's
7		necessary to understand and explore your evidence on
8		Phase 3 issues. Do you understand?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Thank you. Can I start with your background and
11		experience. I think you worked for the Royal Mail Group
12		and then the Post Office for 20 years between 1996 and
13		2016; is that right?
14	Α.	That's correct.
15	Q.	Have you any professional qualifications that are
16		relevant to the issues that we're considering today?
17	Α.	No.
18	Q.	You say in your witness statement that you started
19		working for the Post Office or Post Office Limited in
20		around 2001. Before that time, what was your role
21		within Royal Mail Group?
22	Α.	I started off as a postman, part-time postman. Then
23		I worked in a management reporting role. Then I worked
24		in an audit role and, from there, I moved into Post
25		Office Limited.
		3

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Thank you. A copy of that witness statement will be
3		uploaded to the Inquiry's website and therefore I'm only
4		going to ask you questions about selected parts of it.
5		Can we turn to the second witness statement, please.
6		That's four pages in length and was signed by you today.
7		Can you look at the fourth page, please, and tell me
8		whether that's your signature?
9	Α.	Just give me a moment. I'm struggling with my folder
10		here. Sorry, where is it?
11	Q.	I think it's immediately after the first witness
12		statement.
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	Is that your signature on the fourth page?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	The URN for that is WITN01090200. Are the contents of
17		that witness statement true to the best of your
18		knowledge and belief?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Thank you very much.
21		I'm going to ask you, Mr Winn, questions about the
22		matters that arise in what we in the Inquiry are calling
23		Phase 3 of the Inquiry.
24		I'm not going to ask you any detailed questions
25		today about cases involving the prosecution of
		2
1	Q.	What was the audit role?
2	Α.	Initially it was with Parcelforce, which largely
3		involved checking out individual branches, depos,
4		checking the records, et cetera, and then we moved into

	checking the records, et cetera, and then we moved into
	a group audit. It consolidated into a group audit and
	that would involve going into all the different areas of
	the business, usually in groups and carrying out audits
	of what was going on there.
Q.	Before 2001, before you took up your role in the Post
	Office in 2001, did your role require you to have any
	involvement with the Horizon System?
А.	No, I believe not.
Q.	Before you took up your role in the Post Office in 2001,
	what did you know, if anything, about the Horizon
	System?
Α.	Nothing.

17	Q.	If you can just maybe move forward slightly so that the
18		microphones pick up your voice. You will see that the
19		Chairman is listening remotely and the proceedings are
20		broadcast and so it's really important that the
21		microphones pick up what you say.

A. Okay, sorry.

- Q. So the answer was "Nothing" I think.
- A. Correct.
- Q. Thank you. So does it follow that before you took up

1		your role in 2001, you didn't know anything about	1
2		whether there existed any bugs, errors or defects in the	2
3		Horizon System?	3
4	Α.		4
5	Q.		5
6		statement, in 2001 in the Network Improvement Team.	6
7	A.	Yes.	7
8	Q.		8
9 10	A.	I can't remember.	9
10 11		What was your function in the Network Improvement Team?	10 11
12	Α.	A little bit, as the name suggests, trying to find ways of improving the performance of the team. I was	12
12		particularly involved in an activity based costing	12
14		exercise, trying to pull together activity based costing	13
15		to help make decisions and, in truth, it ended up being	15
16		too high a level to have much effect.	16
17		I also got involved with the I can't remember	17
18		what it were called but, basically, where you get	18
19		mystery shoppers going into branches. So I was involved	19
20		in creating the questions and writing and that	20
21		changed every month, so that would be part of my job.	21
22	Q.	What level within the Network Improvement Team were you?	22
23	Α.	In terms of tiers, you mean?	23
24	Q.	Well, were you at the lowest rung in the ladder? Would	24
25		you a supervisor, if there were such things? Were	25
		5	
1		seen an email which suggests that it was earlier than	1
2		that because it refers to you being in that team in at	2
3		least 2003?	3
4	Α.		4
5	Q.		5
6	Α.	Problem management team leader.	6
7	Q.	What was the function of the problem management team?	7
8	Α.	As I understand, it was a response to basically the Post	8
9 10		Office moving onto an IT-type platform, rather than	9 10
10 11		a manual-type platform, and it was part of the kind of	10 11
12		plan that was laid out that, effectively, the concept was that anybody within the Post Office who got	12
13		a problem reported it to the problem management team.	12
14		In reality, it should have been just an IT	13
15		function but the way it was set up was that everyone who	14
16		had a problem reported it in there. The IT kind of	16
17		structure at the time was that the problem management	17
18		team managed the problem in terms of making sure the	18
19		relevant people were involved in correcting the problem,	19
20		rather than actually resolving the problem themselves,	20
21		which I found a difficult concept to deal with.	21
22	Q.	So it was like a signposting service, was it?	22
23	Α.	Yes, that's correct.	23
24	Q.	Did you manage a team?	24
25	Α.	l did, yes.	25
		7	

	Α.	a manager? Were you the head of the unit? I was manager without anybody to manage. So I was what would be a CM2 grade, I believe. So there was an admin officer, who would be the admin grade, but then I think there was probably one person same grade as me and then three or one senior manager grades with a team leader on top of that
	~	top of that.
	Q.	To whom did you report?
	<b>A</b> .	One of the senior managers.
)	Q.	You didn't manage a team?
	<b>A</b> .	No.
<u> </u>	Q.	How many people were in the Network Improvement Team?
5	Α.	Seven or eight.
•		Where was it based?
)	Α.	In Chesterfield.
j -	Q.	Did your role in the Network Improvement Team require
		you to have knowledge of and understanding of the
8		operation of the Horizon System?
)	Α.	No.
)	Q.	In the course of that role, did you acquire any knowledge about whether there existed any bugs, errors
2		or defects in the Horizon System?
}	Α.	No.
Ļ	Q.	You say in your witness statement that you moved to the
5		problem management team in 2005. I think you've since 6
	Q.	How many people were in that team?
	Α.	There was probably, I think, about 12 other team
		members.
	Q.	To whom did you report?
	Α.	A senior manager.
	Q.	Who was that?
	Α.	Initially, it was Marie Cochate but she left. I can't
		remember who took over from there.
	Q.	Where were you based?
)	Α.	In Dearne House.
	Q.	Which is?
2	Α.	Near Barnsley.
3	Q.	Did that role and the role of the team that you managed
Ļ		require knowledge of and understanding of the operation
5		of the Horizon System?
; ,	Α.	Yes, but I didn't have knowledge of the Horizon System. So I would have said I was a bad placement into that

Q. How did you acquire, if you did, any knowledge and understanding of the operation of the Horizon System?
A. There were couple of members of the team who had some knowledge, basically from working in branches, but it was really a case of trying to figure it out as I went

Q. So you weren't given any training at that stage?

8

role.

along.

(2) Pages 5 - 8

1	Α.		1
2		within the Post Office who'd got much IT knowledge, to	2
3	-	be frank.	3
4	Q.	Horizon had by this time, 2003, been up and running for	4
5		three years. In that time, had you acquired any	5
6		knowledge or understanding of the operation of the	6
7		system?	7
8	A.	<b>,</b> , ,	8 9
9 10	Q.	You said that you were a bad fit or words to that effect.	9 1(
10	А.	l would have said so, yes.	11
12		Why were you a bad fit?	12
12	Q. A.		13
14		difficult even now. I always felt as though I was	14
15		playing catch up with technology, kind of still do now.	15
16		So ideally somebody in that role would have had a good	16
17		understanding and been able to understand problems	17
18		easily, whereas I was forever seemed to be trying to	18
19		understand what it was that was meant.	19
20	Q.	You said that an important part of the intended function	20
21		of the problem management team was IT, information	21
22		technology, although the boundaries were stretched on	22
23		that.	23
24	Α.	Yes.	24
25	Q.	An important part of that would have been Horizon	25
		9	
1	Α.	To be honest, I kind of my memory of the time is	1
2		largely more about dealing with outages or breaks	2
3		between the different data houses that information flew	3
4		through. So it kind of feels more around checking that	4
5		the different data centres were talking to each other	5
6		and who was owning the problem and resolving it. There	6
7		obviously must have been issues on Horizon that came	7
8		through but that's my principal memory of the role.	8
9	Q.	Looking at it globally I appreciate it may be	9
10		difficult to isolate a period of time given what you	10
11		then went on to do what would your view have been of	11
12		Horizon at that time, in this 18-month period before you	12
13		went on to the data management team?	13
14	Α.	I don't think I got a great view of the actual Horizon	14
15		System in branches. I think I was more looking at kind	15
16		of Fujitsu into other data warehouses. So I'd not got	16
17		a strong view in any direct direction.	17
18	Q.	What were you looking at in relation to the flow of data	18
19	_	into data warehouses involving Fujitsu?	19
20	A.	Whether it's flowing as it was required.	20
21	Q.	And was it?	21
22	Α.	Most of the time, yes, and occasionally, when a problem	22
23	~	arose, it wasn't.	23
24 25	Q.	Did this experience tell you anything that you can now	24
25		remember about the way that Horizon was operating? 11	25

1	_	itself?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	, ,, ,
4		fit, bad with technology, and had no training to be
5		a team leader in the problem management team?
6 7	Α.	You'd have to ask the people who interviewed me but
7		I would guess that my competition were in a similar
8	0	situation.
9 10		I'm sorry
10 11	Α.	The competition for the role. There was I don't know
12		how many people were interviewed for the role but I got the role on
12	0	
13 14	Q. A.	You were all in the same boat?
14 15	А.	I would say I don't particularly know the people who were competing with me but that would be my
16		
10	0	understanding, yes.
17	Q.	You say in your witness statement that after about
10 19		18 months in the problem management team you moved to
20		a data management team, the name of which you can't remember
20 21	^	Correct.
21	<b>д</b> .	In that 18-month period in the problem management team,
22	Q.	did you acquire any knowledge about the number and
23 24		nature of any bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon
24 25		System?
20		10
1	А.	With no experience of other systems of anything like
1 2	A.	
	Α.	With no experience of other systems of anything like similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It
2	A.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare
2 3	<b>A</b> . Q.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes.
2 3 4		similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes.
2 3 4 5		similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. <b>A.</b>	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A.</b>	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. <b>A.</b>	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team? I can't remember. It was the database, whatever it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team? I can't remember. It was the database, whatever it was called, manager.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team? I can't remember. It was the database, whatever it was called, manager. Where was that based?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team? I can't remember. It was the database, whatever it was called, manager. Where was that based? Again that was in Dearne House.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team? I can't remember. It was the database, whatever it was called, manager. Where was that based? Again that was in Dearne House. What was the function of that data management team?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team? I can't remember. It was the database, whatever it was called, manager. Where was that based? Again that was in Dearne House. What was the function of that data management team? There wasn't really a great function. It basically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team? I can't remember. It was the database, whatever it was called, manager. Where was that based? Again that was in Dearne House. What was the function of that data management team? There wasn't really a great function. It basically compiled data and I can't remember what type of data it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team? I can't remember. It was the database, whatever it was called, manager. Where was that based? Again that was in Dearne House. What was the function of that data management team? There wasn't really a great function. It basically compiled data and I can't remember what type of data it was. It was only used by one team within POL, as far as I was aware. When I got into the role, there was a reorganisation and the problem management team and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	similar or really any other systems, I couldn't compare it to say whether it was good, bad or indifferent. It seemed to work most of the time fine, yes. Would that be your abiding memory, that Horizon at this time seemed to work fine most of the time? Yes. So when you went into the role in the data management team you wouldn't have gone into the team thinking this is a problematic system, Horizon? No. So you moved into the data management team. What was your job title in the data management team? I can't remember. It was the database, whatever it was called, manager. Where was that based? Again that was in Dearne House. What was the function of that data management team? There wasn't really a great function. It basically compiled data and I can't remember what type of data it was. It was only used by one team within POL, as far as I was aware. When I got into the role, there was

1		left in a situation where was I going to go, there was
2		a space there so I was kind of fitted in there.
3	Q.	What data did it manage and for what purpose did it
4		manage it?
5	Α.	It seems I really it is a blur, that role. It
6		kind of felt like different product descriptions
7		perhaps, promotional information.
8	Q.	Did your undertaking of that role require knowledge of
9		and understanding of the operation of the Horizon
10		System?
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	In the course of that role, therefore, did you acquire
13		any more knowledge of any errors, bugs and defects in
14		the operation of the Horizon System?
15	Α.	No.
16	Q.	So Horizon wasn't really on your horizon in that role.
17	Α.	Not at that point, no.
18	Q.	In 2007, you moved to the Finance Reporting Team?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	What was your title in the Finance Reporting Team?
21	Α.	I can't remember that.
22	Q.	What was the function of the Finance Reporting Team?
23	Α.	It was basically producing monthly reports for different
24		teams within the Post Office, financial management
25		reports.
		13
		15
		15
1		
1 2		when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.
	Q.	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those
2	Q.	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.
2 3	Q.	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong. So the date that you have given us in your statement of
2 3 4	Q. <b>A.</b>	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong. So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product & Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?
2 3 4 5		when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong. So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product & Branch Accounting team in
2 3 4 5 6	А.	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong. So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product & Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong? Yes, I think so.
2 3 4 5 6 7	А.	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong. So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product & Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong? Yes, I think so. We've certainly got documents from you in 2009,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	А.	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong. So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product & Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong? Yes, I think so. We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the
2 4 5 6 7 8 9	А.	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong. So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product & Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong? Yes, I think so. We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product & Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<b>A.</b> Q.	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong. So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product & Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong? Yes, I think so. We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product & Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<b>A.</b> Q.	when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong. So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product & Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong? Yes, I think so. We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product & Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then. Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in Product & Branch Accounting. So all I can say was I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in Product &amp; Branch Accounting. So all I can say was I was aware that a major Horizon-related project had gone live</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in Product &amp; Branch Accounting. So all I can say was I was aware that a major Horizon-related project had gone live and there was lots of issues flying around there.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in Product &amp; Branch Accounting. So all I can say was I was aware that a major Horizon-related project had gone live and there was lots of issues flying around there.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in Product &amp; Branch Accounting. So all I can say was I was aware that a major Horizon-related project had gone live and there was lots of issues flying around there.</li> <li>But I'd been in the same building as a lot of people and knowing people who were involved. So, in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in Product &amp; Branch Accounting. So all I can say was I was aware that a major Horizon-related project had gone live and there was lots of issues flying around there.</li> <li>But I'd been in the same building as a lot of people and knowing people who were involved. So, in terms of my actual role, I wasn't involved at that point</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in Product &amp; Branch Accounting. So all I can say was I was aware that a major Horizon-related project had gone live and there was lots of issues flying around there.</li> <li>But I'd been in the same building as a lot of people and knowing people who were involved. So, in terms of my actual role, I wasn't involved at that point but I was aware within the business of things happening.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in Product &amp; Branch Accounting. So all I can say was I was aware that a major Horizon-related project had gone live and there was lots of issues flying around there.</li> <li>But I'd been in the same building as a lot of people and knowing people who were involved. So, in terms of my actual role, I wasn't involved at that point but I was aware within the business of things happening.</li> <li>On that awareness, were you aware of anything</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>when Horizon Online went live, so I obviously got those dates wrong.</li> <li>So the date that you have given us in your statement of moving to the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team in 2009 sorry, 2008, might that be wrong?</li> <li>Yes, I think so.</li> <li>We've certainly got documents from you in 2009, ie before Horizon Online went live, with you in the Product &amp; Branch Accounting team. So you had certainly moved by then.</li> <li>Okay. There was certainly something my memory is that something had happened in terms of a major project around Horizon during the period that I was not in Product &amp; Branch Accounting. So all I can say was I was aware that a major Horizon-related project had gone live and there was lots of issues flying around there.</li> <li>But I'd been in the same building as a lot of people and knowing people who were involved. So, in terms of my actual role, I wasn't involved at that point but I was aware within the business of things happening. On that awareness, were you aware of anything particularly problematic or difficult?</li> </ul>

areas -- not so much -- I wasn't particularly aware of

15

25

1	Q.	Where were you based?
2	Α.	In Chesterfield.
3	Q.	To whom did you report?
4	Α.	I can't the lady's name.
5	Q.	Did you manage a team?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	How many people were in the function of finance
8		reporting or in the Finance Reporting Team?
9	Α.	I would estimate about twelve.
10	Q.	In that role, did you require knowledge and
11		understanding of the operation of the Horizon System?
12	Α.	No.
13	Q.	Does it follow that you didn't acquire any more
14		knowledge in that role of any errors, bugs and defects
15		in the Horizon System?
16	Α.	Yes. No, I would say not because I would have been
17		working there when Horizon Online went live. So I would
18		be in the same building.
19	Q.	Just think back. In your statement, you say that you
20		moved to the Finance Reporting Team in 2007 and I think
21		that you're going to tell us in a moment that in 2008
22		you moved to the Product & Branch Accounting team.
23	Α.	To my best memory.
24	Q.	Horizon Online didn't go live, really, until 2010.
25	Α.	Okay. So I was certainly in the Finance Reporting Team
		14
1		issues impacting branches then because Product & Branch
2		Accounting was based in the same building as I was in.
3		I was aware that that there was a lot of stress coming
4		there that needed resolving.
5	Q.	What was the stress that was coming there?
6	Α.	I think feeds from branch, right, whenever things were
7		falling into the wrong accounts and the accounts weren't
8		functioning as planned.
9	Q.	How widely known was this? Even though it wasn't your
10		team, it was obviously being talked about?
11		
10	Α.	Yes. So I would guess, if I was relatively new to
12	Α.	Yes. So I would guess, if I was relatively new to the well, no I'd worked in the building before but
12 13	Α.	
	Α.	the well, no I'd worked in the building before but
13	Α.	the well, no I'd worked in the building before but I wasn't particularly well-known person within Post
13 14	<b>A</b> . Q.	the well, no I'd worked in the building before but I wasn't particularly well-known person within Post Office Limited, so I would guess other people would know
13 14 15		the well, no I'd worked in the building before but I wasn't particularly well-known person within Post Office Limited, so I would guess other people would know more than me but that's a guess.
13 14 15 16		the well, no I'd worked in the building before but I wasn't particularly well-known person within Post Office Limited, so I would guess other people would know more than me but that's a guess. Before you moved to the Product & Branch Accounting
13 14 15 16 17		the well, no I'd worked in the building before but I wasn't particularly well-known person within Post Office Limited, so I would guess other people would know more than me but that's a guess. Before you moved to the Product & Branch Accounting team we'll try and establish the date with greater
13 14 15 16 17 18		the well, no I'd worked in the building before but I wasn't particularly well-known person within Post Office Limited, so I would guess other people would know more than me but that's a guess. Before you moved to the Product & Branch Accounting team we'll try and establish the date with greater specificity in a moment were you aware of any bugs,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		the well, no I'd worked in the building before but I wasn't particularly well-known person within Post Office Limited, so I would guess other people would know more than me but that's a guess. Before you moved to the Product & Branch Accounting team we'll try and establish the date with greater specificity in a moment were you aware of any bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon System that affected
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	the well, no I'd worked in the building before but I wasn't particularly well-known person within Post Office Limited, so I would guess other people would know more than me but that's a guess. Before you moved to the Product & Branch Accounting team we'll try and establish the date with greater specificity in a moment were you aware of any bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon System that affected the integrity of the data that it produced?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	the well, no I'd worked in the building before but I wasn't particularly well-known person within Post Office Limited, so I would guess other people would know more than me but that's a guess. Before you moved to the Product & Branch Accounting team we'll try and establish the date with greater specificity in a moment were you aware of any bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon System that affected the integrity of the data that it produced? No. I think I was more aware that the mapping in

what was happening in branches.

24

25

Q. What do you mean the mapping of how it went into P&BA 16

1		accounts?
2	Α.	Part of the project planning would be to prepare data
3		flows. So you sell a stamp in a branch and cash is paid
4		for it and how that flows into the accounts within
5		Product & Branch Accounting, and things were running up
6		in unexpected areas, et cetera.
7	Q.	So it was a mismatch between what was, in fact, going on
8		in the branches and what the data showed at
9		Chesterfield; is that a fair way of describing it?
10	Α.	Yes, I think so.
11	Q.	Were you just picking this up before you moved to P&BA,
12		in the noise, the conversations that you were hearing?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	At what level of seriousness was this being expressed?
15	Α.	Oh, it was serious.
16	Q.	Can you remember before you moved into P&BA whether this
17		was being attributed to the way that the Horizon System
18		was operating?
19	Α.	No, I can't answer that one.
20	Q.	So on a date you moved into Product & Branch Accounting,
21		which as we've discussed already was called P&BA what
22		was your job title in P&BA?
23	Α.	Initially it was an analyst.
24	Q.	You say in your statement that you were initially
25		an analyst in the debt recovery team.
		17

1	Q.	What was the function more broadly of the P&BA team?
2	A.	To account for mainly branch activity, to consolidate it
3	7.0	and report it.
4	Q.	What do you mean to account for branch activity?
5	Α.	I'm struggling to explain that. Effectively, data would
6		flow in from branches, it would flow in from clients, it
7		would flow in from other parts of the business from, for
8		example, cash centres, stock centres, all these data
9		flows would come in and they should get matched off one
10		against the other and accounts cleared down to zero.
11	Q.	Thank you. If we look at your witness statement,
12		please, WITN01090100 at page 2 it will come up on the
13		screen for you on the right-hand side. Look at
14		paragraph 3.
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	You are talking about the role that we're now
17		discussing. You say:
18		"The role initially focused on process
19		improvements and looking at accounting queries from
20		branches but over time concentrated almost entirely on
21		accounting problems in branches and as a single P&BA
22		point for both branches and other relevant teams"
23		Yes?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	Then you go on to list the other relevant teams. 19

1	Α.	Debt recovery, yes.
2	Q.	Yes, I think that's what I said.
3	Α.	I heard "guess", sorry.
4	Q.	Debt recovery team. Your post, you say, later became
5		described as relationship manager?
6	Α.	That's correct.
7	Q.	Can you remember when that was?
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	What was the debt recovery team?
10	Α.	It was the team so branches had one of the options
11		with their debt was to put it into an account called
12		"Settle centrally". So if you had a £100, let's say,
13		debt at your balance period, you had a number of
14		choices: make good cash, put the cash in to make up the
15		deficit; make good cheque, equally put the cheque in; or
16		settle centrally, in which case the debt would flow
17		through to an account in Chesterfield and, hopefully, it
18		would be a case where the debt would get balanced off
19		against something else but, if the debt sat there, the
20		debt recovery team would then look to recover from the
21		subpostmaster.
22	Q.	So the debt recovery team, would this be fair, its
23		function was to seek to recover debts that may be owed
24		by subpostmasters?
25	Α.	Yes.
		18

1		Starting with branches first, how would branches contact
2		the P&BA team that were performing this role?
3	Α.	My role or P&BA?
4	Q.	Your role.
5	Α.	Ideally in writing, explaining what the problem was.
6	Q.	More broadly, the P&BA team, how would branches contact
7		the P&BA team?
8	Α.	The P&BA team, broadly if a lot of the teams were
9		based in correcting managing accounts of products and
10		they would be looking to effectively get an input from
11		the branch, and input from the client, match the two
12		off, clears down to nothing.
13		Branches might feel as though they need
14		a transaction correction, for example. They would
15		contact the NBSC, the helpline, who would then direct
16		them into the relevant team within P&BA.
17	Q.	How would that contact be made once they had spoken to
18		NBSC?
19	Α.	Normally by phone.
20	Q.	Looking at the other relevant teams that would use P&BA
21		as this single point of input you say "primarily
22		Network", just inside the brackets there.
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	Who or what do you mean by the word "Network"?
25	Α.	There was a Network team within POL at the time and that $$20\end{tabular}$

1		would involve so it was primarily field support
2		advisers, people who were in contact with branches. So
3		it may well be that they had been in contact with the
4		branch who then said "I've got this problem, what do
5		I do?" They would direct them in towards P&BA.
6	Q.	If Network were contacting P&BA, how would they do that:
7		by phone or in writing?
8	Α.	Oh, by phone normally or email.
9	Q.	The next relevant team that you mention is "Helpline",
10		the NBSC.
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Was that the only helpline that would get in contact
13		with P&BA?
14	Α.	As far as I'm aware, yes.
15	Q.	How would people in the NBSC contact P&BA?
16	Α.	By phone again, possibly by email but more normally
17		by phone.
18	Q.	Then, lastly, you mention within the brackets there
19		"Product & Security". Who or what is/was Product &
20		Security"?
21	Α.	They would be separate teams. There would be a Product
22		team who kind of, what it says on the can, would manage
23		the products in terms of the relationships with the
24		clients but also how the products are working with it at
25		branch level.
		21
1	Α.	They would normally ring well, the contact would
2		generally be to me, usually, by phone.

3

24

1	Q.	So product could be, what, Lottery or
2	Α.	Yes. So there would be a Lottery product manager who
3		would talk to Camelot and also be in contact during the
4		network into products. So when there were products
5		that was a product where there was quite a lot of issues
6		that arose they would be in communication with Camelot
7		and different parts of POL to try and improve, smooth
8		out the process.
9	Q.	How would Product get in contact with P&BA?
10	Α.	Same again: email or phone.
11	Q.	And Security?
12	Α.	Security
13	Q.	Who or what are you describing by the word "Security"
14		there?
15	Α.	There was a Security team who were the ones who were
16		probably, I believe ultimately they bring
17		prosecutions against branches but also looking after the
18		integrity of the POL cash and products, the security of
19		it, and they would occasionally we'd occasionally
20		link up where need be, again email or phone.
21	Q.	Then, lastly, outside the brackets you say:
22		" along with the National Federation of
23		SubPostmasters (NFSP)"
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	How would the NFSP contact P&BA?
		22

1		now, thank you.
2		To whom did you report?
3	Α.	A senior manager named Alison Bolsover.
4	Q.	Say that more slowly?
5	Α.	A senior manager called Alison Bolsover.
6	Q.	Was she one of four senior managers?
7	Α.	Correct.
8	Q.	Who were the other three senior managers?
9	Α.	They changed during my time there.
10	Q.	Can you give us names that you can remember?
11	Α.	Yes. (Pause)
12		You know, I can't remember a single name, sorry.
13	Q.	You say in your witness statement that the four senior
14		managers themselves reported in to the head of P&BA.
15		Who was the head of P&BA?
16	Α.	Rod Ismay for most of the time that I was there.
17	Q.	What was his title?
18	Α.	I believe it was head of P&BA.
19	Q.	He reported to the Finance Director; is that right?
20	Α.	Correct.
21	Q.	Who was that?
22	Α.	Again, that changed during the time I was there and
23		I can't remember either of the names, I'm afraid.
24	Q.	Did you manage a team?
25	Α.	Yes, one admin officer.
		24

4 A. Because I'd built -- that was part of the defined role 5 when the job set up, to get a link into the network, actually, rather than the POL network, the subpostmaster 6 7 network, to give them a direct line into P&BA. 8 Q. Was there one person that you particularly engaged with 9 or was it a range of people? 10 A. There was one person who I dealt with, a paid officer, within the NFSP, who was --11 12 Q. Who was that? 13 A. Sorry, I can't remember. Oh, Stoddart ... someone --14 something like Marie Stoddart. 15 Q. Marie Stoddart? 16 A. Yes, I think I've probably got the first name wrong --17 Q. Okay. A. -- which apologies to her because I knew her very well, 18 19 which is sad. 20 But also I used to go to a monthly meeting where 21 they get together and I'd go and join in with them, make 22 a presentation and also sit and listen to some of the 23 other issues going round. So we do have quite a close

Q. Why would the NFSP usually come to you?

25 Q. Thank you. That statement can come down from the screen 23

relationship, I think.

1	Q.	What was the role of the admin officer?	1
2	Q. A.	It was largely to document the cases that came in and,	2
3	7.1	yes, that was the main part of the role.	3
4	Q.		4
5	Α.	They would generally come by letter. They'd be opening	5
6		a letter, they'd be trying to work out what the case	6
7		related to and	7
8	Q.	Just stopping you there, you said earlier they would	8
9		generally come in by phone or email?	9
10	Α.	Not to me, from the branches.	10
11	Q.	Okay. I was talking about the whole range of reporting	11
12		from Product and Security, from the NFSP, from the NBSC,	12
13		from Network. We went through those and you said that	13
14	_	they generally came in through phone or email contact.	14
15	A.		15
16	Q.		16
17 18	Α.	They wouldn't, unless I asked them to. It would be	17 18
19		usually a case of they'd raise an issue, perhaps which might relate to a branch which may raise a case which	19
20		would then get documented.	20
20		But if the NFSP rang me and said, "What's going	21
22		off with this product" or whatever, that wouldn't be	22
23		documented by the admin assistant.	23
24	Q.	You describe in your statement that this role	24
25		concentrated almost entirely on problems in branches and	25
		25	
1		in terms of advance knowledge of the Horizon System but,	1
2		again, that's probably one for the people that were	2
3		interviewing, rather than in fact, I don't think	3
4		there was an interview for that. I think it was placed	4
5		in there. So that was more probably a case of Alison	5
6		Bolsover, who whoever within P&BA, talking to my manager	6
7		in the reporting team at the time and seeing how the fit	7
8		went, after I said I'd expressed an interest in the	8
9		role when I saw the reorganisation of P&BA.	9
10	Q.		10
11		that you were in role in P&BA and that you undertook	11
12		a review. Can we look at that, please. It's	12
13		POL00039029.	13
14		Do you see this appears to be a PowerPoint	14
15		presentation	15
16 17	<b>A.</b> Q.	Yes.	16 17
18	Q.	and I think you have seen it before. There's some notes, when we get to the successive pages, underneath	18
19		each slide. Maybe if we just look at an example of	19
20		those, if we go to page 5 and scroll down, please.	20
20		Thank you.	21
22		So the slide that's displayed is at the top and	22
23		then some notes at the bottom; is that right?	23
24	Α.	Yes.	24
25	Q.	So the people who are getting the presentation don't see	25
		27	

1		was a single point of contact.
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Did your role therefore require knowledge of and
4		understanding of the operation of the Horizon System?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Had you got any knowledge of the operation of the
7		Horizon System by the time you joined?
8	Α.	It's possible that I did because managers were typically
9		given training to cover strike action and Christmas
10		support and I think that would have happened before, so
11		I would have thought I'd have a basic understanding of
12		how the Horizon System worked, yes.
13	Q.	In that sentence you used the word "basic
14		understanding". I take it you use that deliberately
15		because that would be your level of understanding as
16		an occasional end user?
17	Α.	That's correct, yes.
18	Q.	Wasn't the role that you were performing a role that
19		required much more detailed knowledge of the operation
20		of Horizon than that?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	Again, do you know why you were selected for the job if
23		you didn't have a detailed understanding of the way in
24		which Horizon worked?
25	Α.	I would probably again point to the lack of competition
		26
1		the notes. They are like a speaking note for yourself?
2	Α.	That's right.
3	Q.	Yes?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	So if we just go back to page 1, please, we'll see that
6		the title of the presentation is "Transaction
7		Corrections, Debt Reporting and Debt Recovery Review",
8		with your name and "January 2009" underneath it. Did
9		you write this document?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	So this relates, is this right, to the period when you
12		were in P&BA?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	So it's a review conducted before the introduction of
15		Horizon Online?
16	Α.	lf
17	Q.	If my date of 2010 is correct.
18	Α.	Yes, yes.
19	Q.	Can we look at page 2 of the document, please. We'll
20		see the "Objectives":
21		"Review current ways of working and supporting
22		operating processes in the transaction correction, debt
23		reporting and debt recovery areas.
24		"Review the impact of the current ways of working
25		and current operating processes in the transaction
		28

1		correction, debt reporting and debt recovery area on the
2		POL Network."
3		Then thirdly:
4		"Analyse and then recommend cost effective
5		improvements to the way the correct end-to-end
6		transaction correction, debt reporting and debt recovery
7		operating processes work."
8		So it's focused, is this right, on looking at the
9		way that the systems were working at that time?
10	Α.	Yes, it sounds very much as though that's I've been
11		put in a role, I've had conversations with my boss and
12		probably other people within P&BA, and pulled together
13		a what do I think this role involves.
14	Q.	Not just what does it involve, but look at ways in which
15		it could be changed, in order to make cost effective
16		improvements
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	to save money?
19	Α.	I think everything you do, you're looking at working as
20		efficiently as possible. I don't think it was
21		particularly a brief to come in and find ways of cutting
22		down our costs.
23	Q.	Now, the Inquiry's heard some evidence from a previous
24		witness, Ms Susan Harding; do you remember her?
25	Α.	Yes.
		29
1		going to be trying to focus on and probably some things
2		are going to become more important than others as we go
3		along. But that's probably the list of to-dos that were
4		being agreed.
5	Q.	What did you mean by the second bullet point "Branch
6		Trading forces [transaction correction] acceptance"?
7	Α.	So every month a branch is required to carry out
8		a branch trading process where effectively they pulled
9		everything together, ideally everything balances, they
10		have a nice zero at the bottom and we move on to the
11		next trading period.
12		The transaction corrections can be issued at any
13		time and there was a significant issue with the branches
14		expressed particularly through the NFSP about well,

15 sorry, just re-track a little bit. Branches used to, 16 pre-Horizon days, used to balance weekly and it was 17 still recommended to do a balance, not a complete 18 balance but a kind of summary balance, to try and get 19 a view of where they were, but the primary balance was 20 held monthly.

21 There was a kind of half-hearted -- what seemed to be a half-hearted claim that you only issue transaction 22 23 corrections on a Tuesday so we get it on a Wednesday 24 morning when we've got to do the balancing, and 25 I actually did a bit of exercise to disprove that and it

1	Q.	What do you remember her as, a role that she performed?
2	Α.	She was actually my for a while in the network
3		intervention team, we talked about earlier, the previous
4		team that I was in.
5	Q.	She told the Chairman that subpostmasters were never
6		forced to settle centrally. Can we just look at page 3
7		of this document, please. You in the first bullet point
8		ask "What is 'Settle Centrally'?" and then say:
9		"Branch Trading forces [transaction correction]
10		acceptance."
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	"Inadequate [transaction correction]
13		evidence/instructions.
14		"Unclear process.
15		"Non-conformance not addressed.
16		"New subpostmasters.
17		"Aged/High Value/High Volume [transaction
18		corrections]."
19		Overall, without coming to the detail of each
20		bullet point first, what are you speaking about? Can
21		you explain what is being said in this slide of yours?
22	Α.	I think I'm saying that I got into this role, I've had
23		a look at what areas we can make improvements on and
24		what is going to be priority ones, where it is a lack of
25		understanding, and these are the kind of things I'm
		30
1		turned out we did issue the most transaction corrections

1		turned out we did issue the most transaction corrections
2		on a Tuesday. There may it wasn't significantly
3		relevant compared to Wednesday or Thursdays.
4		But on branch trading, on the monthly branch
5		trading, everything kind of has to be cleaned up, so
6		that would mean any transaction corrections that are
7		outstanding needed to be accepted before you could roll
8		into the next trading period.
9	Q.	By "accepted", you mean accepted by the subpostmaster?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	So what is the bullet point is saying is that the action
12		of branch trading, the monthly reconciliation process,
13		is forcing subpostmasters to accept transaction
14		corrections that the centre is putting to them?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Were you saying that's a good thing or a bad thing?
17	Α.	I was saying it was I don't think it was a good
18		thing.
19	Q.	Why wasn't it a good thing?
20	Α.	Because branches would potentially walk in on
21		a Wednesday morning of balance day, turn the Horizon on,
22		first thing they see is a transaction correction come
23		through, they know they have got a balance on the night,
24		they've got to understand what the transaction
25		correction is and, if it's particularly one that's 32

1		a debt transaction correction that's going to	1	Α.	That's correct. The system wasn't forcing you to do
2		potentially cost them money, they need more time	2		that. It was the operational instructions that was
3		potentially need more time to review it and potentially	3		saying you need to do that, which for a conscientious
4		appeal against it.	4		subpostmaster they would take it as I've got to do it on
5	Q.	But the system is forcing them to accept it	5		a Wednesday night.
6	Α.	Yes.	6	Q.	Thank you. Can we go over to page 4 of your document,
7	Q.	in order to continue trading?	7		please.
8	Α.	Yes.	8		This seems to relate to issues seemingly raised by
9	Q.	This was an inbuilt feature of the system, is this	9		the National Federation of SubPostmasters.
10		right, on a monthly basis, in order for a subpostmaster	10	Α.	Yes.
11		to continue trading?	11	Q.	Including under the first bullet point "Dispute Button"
12	Α.	No. No, that was an operational requirement. But in	12		and other matters including, two bullet points from the
13		practice if you didn't carry out a branch trading	13		bottom, "Horizon [transaction correction] Receipt" and
14		rollover Horizon didn't mind. It was kind of quite	14		"Core & Outreach Consolidated Statements".
15		happy to go along with that to a point I can't	15		Were the National Federation raising with you
16		remember how far in the future when the whole thing	16		concerns about the operation of the dispute process on
17		would start falling over but, effectively, if you didn't	17		balancing?
18		do your branch trading, nobody cared. A lot of branches	18	Α.	That would be one of their issues, yes.
19		actually did their branch trading on a Thursday for	19		What did they say to you about it?
20		operational reasons, particularly the multiple partners,	20		They were reflecting the views of the branches, which
21		I think, if I remember correctly.	21		I think I've probably already covered, that they felt
22	Q.	But the point that you are making here is that this	22		under pressure, that it was unfair to receive
23		monthly exercise is forcing subpostmasters to accept	23		a transaction correction which they weren't aware of,
24		transaction corrections without necessarily a proper	24		they weren't expecting and potentially to have to be
25		exploration of the merits of the correction?	25		forced to accept it with the risk that POL may then
		33			34
1		ultimately any "Ne you've accepted it as you ney up"	1		It save in your apacking note "Dispute button
1	0	ultimately say "No, you've accepted it, so you pay up".	1		It says in your speaking note "Dispute button
2	Q.	What the Federation were saying, would this be right,	2		facility would be abused".
3		was that there ought to be the facility to have	3	А.	Yes. Sorry, these aren't speaking notes. These are
4		a dispute button, to say "I dispute that transaction	4		notes to make me to lead me and don't forget to
5		correction, I shouldn't be forced to accept it"?	5		mention this, this and this. That's not what I would
6	А.	They raised it as a possibility, as an idea. Certainly,	6	0	say.
/		there would have been branches who were saying "We need	7	Q.	Why wouldn't you put a note "Let's explore the merits,
8		a dispute button" but they were throwing it in, let's	8		the advantages and disadvantages of it? Why would you
9	~	look at whether that makes sense to do that.	9		make a note to, remind yourself to say "facility would
10	Q.	Can we see your notes underneath, please, on "Dispute	10		be abused"?
11		Button". Can we see your notes, the first one if that	11		I can't answer that. That's the way I
12		can be highlighted under "Dispute Button". You wrote:	12	Q.	Is the truth of the matter that that note is there as
13		" facility would be abused. POL believe they	13		a prompt to remind you to say, "No, there won't be
14		have provided evidence to support validity on issue.	14		a dispute button because the facility would be abused"?
15		Dispute simply asks us to do it again. Robust dispute	15	Α.	I was going into that discussion having thought through
16		process is answer."	16		the pros and cons and my view is that a dispute button
17		Is that your reply, essentially, to the suggestion	17		would not improve the process. So I guess, yes, but it
18		that there should be a dispute facility; namely, no, it	18		was a case of discussing the pros and cons and
19		would be abused?	19		explaining why I felt, on balance, it wasn't a good
20	Α.	I don't think I would put it quite as bluntly as that.	20		idea.
21		I think it was kind of looking at what the benefits and	21	Q.	On what evidence did you conclude that the existence of
22		risks might be.	22		a dispute facility would be abused?
23	Q.		23	Α.	I think to say evidence would be pushing it; so I think
24	Α.	No, no.	24		an assumption.
25	Q.	"Let's look at what the benefits and risks might be".	25	Q.	Why would you assume
		35			36

## The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1	Α.	Sorry, there would be examples in the past. For	1
2		example, lots of transaction corrections are effectively	2
3		equal and opposite. So you might have made an error in	3
4		this product set, which should have so the	4
5		transaction's been made here, it should have been made	5
6		over there, so two transactions equal and opposite value	6
7		need to be issued. In an ideal world, particularly if	7
8		they are in the same team, they could be issued at the	8
9		same time, so they are accepted at the same time, but it	9
10		might be kind of potentially a month apart from one	10
11		another.	11
12		Essentially, the two transaction corrections have	12
13		no impact on a branch. If you accept the credit	13
14		transaction correction and make good, then you can take	14
15		the cash out of the till. If you dispute the debit	15
16		transaction correction, then there's no requirement to	16
17		return that cash back in there until the dispute has	17
18		been resolved and, effectively, there is no dispute that	18
19 20		we can see, it's perfectly clear they should accept them	19
20		both, but how do we get to the point where that second	20
21 22	0	transaction correction is accepted?	21 22
22	Q.	In the answer before last you said you wouldn't say that	22
23 24		this view was based on evidence, you used the word "assumption".	23 24
24 25			24 25
20		Why would you reject the idea of a dispute button 37	20
1	^	Vos	1
1		Yes.	1
2		Your note continues:	2
2 3		Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to	2 3
2 3 4		Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue."	2 3 4
2 3 4 5		Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6		Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7		Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction? Yes, although that evidence may and would normally just be the narrative attached to the transaction correction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction? Yes, although that evidence may and would normally just be the narrative attached to the transaction correction. The note continues:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction? Yes, although that evidence may and would normally just be the narrative attached to the transaction correction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction? Yes, although that evidence may and would normally just be the narrative attached to the transaction correction. The note continues: "Dispute simply asks us to do it again."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction? Yes, although that evidence may and would normally just be the narrative attached to the transaction correction. The note continues: "Dispute simply asks us to do it again." Is that another reason for rejecting the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction? Yes, although that evidence may and would normally just be the narrative attached to the transaction correction. The note continues: "Dispute simply asks us to do it again." Is that another reason for rejecting the suggestion of a dispute facility?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction? Yes, although that evidence may and would normally just be the narrative attached to the transaction correction. The note continues: "Dispute simply asks us to do it again." Is that another reason for rejecting the suggestion of a dispute facility? Yes. As our understanding was, you press a button and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Your note continues: "POL believe they have provided evidence to support validity on issue." Is that a note saying, "We've already explored the merits of the transaction correction. We have provided evidence already. Therefore, there's no need for a dispute button"? Yes, that's the theory behind the issue of transaction correction is that you have evidence to support that. So if you don't have the evidence, you shouldn't be issuing the transaction correction. The note says POL believe they've provided evidence. Does that mean provided evidence to the subpostmaster already of the correction? Yes, although that evidence may and would normally just be the narrative attached to the transaction correction. The note continues: "Dispute simply asks us to do it again." Is that another reason for rejecting the suggestion of a dispute facility? Yes. As our understanding was, you press a button and the onus moves back to POL to prove the transaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

2		assumption?
5	Α.	The question I guess I'd throw back: where's the
ļ		evidence that there would be any benefit in a dispute
5		button.
;	Q.	Haven't you explained to us what the benefit was
,		already, that subpostmasters were being forced into
}		accepting a transaction correction without a full and
)		proper exploration of the merits of the correction?
0	Α.	And that's why we introduced a procedure for branches
1		who were left in that situation, where they were able to
2		effectively raise a dispute within POL or to raise the
3		fact that they were accepting a transaction correction
4		which they hadn't fully explored which, if it kind of
5		ended up further down the line in a debt recovery place,
6		they could use that to support their challenge.
7	Q.	So you make the subpostmaster accept the validity of
8		something before a proper exploration of the dispute
9		they wish to raise; is that the long and the short of
0		it?
1	Α.	Sorry can you repeat that?
2	Q.	Yes. You force the subpostmaster to accept the validity
3		of the transaction correction before a proper
4		exploration of the dispute that they wish to raise about
5		it.

not on the basis of evidence but on the basis of

1		subpostmaster that it is acceptable? That would be
2		really that would be the point where I'm saying a robust
3		dispute process is the answer, in that if the
4		subpostmaster doesn't accept the evidence, there will
5		always be a transaction correction will always have
6		a contact number, they could they would then contact
7		the team member who's issued the transaction correction
8		and they can talk it through. If they don't accept it,
9		then we've got a dispute process to follow through.
0	Q.	On that last note you say:
1		"Robust dispute process is the answer."
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Who was being robust or what was robust?
4	Α.	The aspiration for me to carry out well, to set up
5		the process to start with, so that it's available to
6		branches and the whole of POL to be able aware of it
7		and for how to kick off the process and then for me to
8		ensure that the process is done as robustly as I'm able.
9	Q.	What does "robustly" mean in this context?
20	Α.	Fair, accurate, timely.
21	Q.	The notes continue, if we skip down to "TC receipt", so
22		three bullet points on, so "TC receipt", so transaction
3		correction receipt, remembering that there was a request
24		for, I think, a Horizon transaction correction receipt,
25		and it looks like your note-to-self is:
		40

1		" no clear benefit bar subpostmasters claiming	1	
2		for losses."	2	
3		Can you firstly explain, please, what the request	3	
4		was in relation to a transaction correction receipt.?	4	
5	Α.	I've a very, very vague memory of this one. I think it	5	
6		was subpostmasters wanted a separate piece of paper to	6	
7		put in their accounts, to give to their accountant at	7	
8		the end of the year, which I think would be to claim	8	
9		losses on their accounts. I can't remember exactly what	9	
10		they wanted, to be honest.	10	
11	Q.	This was, it seems, rejected too; is that right?	11	
12	Α.	Yes.	12	
13	Q.	You said:	13	
14		" no clear benefit bar subpostmasters claiming	14	
15		for losses."	15	
16		Wouldn't a benefit be subpostmasters exist in that	16	
17		there would be a receipt in respect losses they didn't	17	
18		accept were genuine or a genuine debt? Wouldn't that be	18	
19		an important benefit to them?	19	
20		It never occurred to me that.	20	
21	Q.	Okay. Can we go on to page 5, please. "Key Issues	21	
22		P&BA". I think this is addressing the key issues for	22	
23		the P&BA team; is that right?	23	
24	A.		24	
25	Q.	If we just expand a little, so we can see the notes 41	25	
1		a batch, they might issue a consolidated transaction	1	
2		correction which showed the net effect of the bulk	2	
3		error.	3	
4		Both kind had kind of quite eloquently described	4	
5		their rationale behind what they were doing in terms of	5	
6		how the subpostmaster saw it, and I could totally	6	
7 8		understand it wasn't clear to me whether it was better for a subpostmaster to see everything on the one	7 8	
9		transaction correction or lots of different individual	9	
9 10		transaction corrections. So that was the issue with	9 10	
11		that one.	10	
12		A better example might be the Lottery team where	12	
13		there was a big issue accurately recording the Lottery	12	
14		and a lot of that was around the fact that Lottery was	13	
15		typically sold a lot on the retail side, as opposed to	15	
16		the Post Office side, and there was the problem of	16	
17		getting the data across to the Post Office side in time	17	
18		to be reported before cut-off time, which I think was	18	
19		7.00.	19	
20		So it was fine for the branch offices that	20	
21		everyone shut up at 5.30, everything was accounted for.	20	
22		Branches that their retail side particularly would be up	22	
23		until 10.00 at night were missing the cut-off.	23	
24		So what we found was that lots of branches were	24	
25		making lots of errors, which were really just timing	25	
		43		

1		below, please. Thank you.
2		Looking at the notes below, these suggest that the
3		Post Office, I think, was concerned about its own
4		practices and the inconsistency of them towards
5		transaction corrections, including the operation of back
6		office systems including IMPACT; is that right?
7	Α.	Yes, it looks like it.
8	Q.	We can see in the first note:
9		"Varying [transaction correction] routines one
10		of the clear ideals I had on setting out and from others
11		who I spoke to was consistency."
12		But then you noted:
13		"But then most teams have completely different
14		approaches to identifying errors and resolving them
15		and for good reasons."
16		So was there an inconsistency of approach within
17		P&BA to identifying errors and resolving them at
18		Chesterfield?
19	Α.	I don't think it was so much identifying and resolving
20		them well, identifying them, I don't think was so
21		much of an issue. I think it was more about if I can
22		give one example, within I think it was the cheques team
23		at the time where one duty would issue a transaction
24		correction for every cheque error and another duty might
25		issue a consolidated so if there was a problem with
_0		42
1		errors and we could have been in a situation of issuing
1		errors and we could have been in a situation of issuing
2		every day a transaction correction and then the next day
2 3		every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which
2 3 4	0	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors.
2 3 4 5	Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying
2 3 4 5 6 7		every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A</b> .	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<b>A</b> . Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<b>A</b> . Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<b>A</b> . Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<b>A</b> . Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<b>A</b> . Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life, I guess, was to get that account down to zero.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A</b> . Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life, I guess, was to get that account down to zero. Moving a bullet point on underneath:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life, I guess, was to get that account down to zero.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life, I guess, was to get that account down to zero. Moving a bullet point on underneath:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life, I guess, was to get that account down to zero. Moving a bullet point on underneath: "POLFS space/access to reference data/different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life, I guess, was to get that account down to zero. Moving a bullet point on underneath: "POLFS space/access to reference data/different transactions used by different teams."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life, I guess, was to get that account down to zero. Moving a bullet point on underneath: "POLFS space/access to reference data/different transactions used by different teams." Can you shortly explain what POLFS was?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life, I guess, was to get that account down to zero. Moving a bullet point on underneath: "POLFS space/access to reference data/different transactions used by different teams." Can you shortly explain what POLFS was? POLFS, I think, was a name for Product & Branch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A</b> . Q. <b>A</b> . Q.	every day a transaction correction and then the next day a transaction correction would be coming back which would compensate for the errors. So cutting through it, what were the good reasons for the completely different approaches to identifying errors and resolving them? The different requirements and the different attributes or problems of the products and how the team saw best to deal with them. This suggests that there was a difference between teams; is that right? In their approach but, essentially, the difference the teams were doing the same thing. They were looking at an account, where there was where it wasn't netting off to zero and their kind of goal in life, I guess, was to get that account down to zero. Moving a bullet point on underneath: "POLFS space/access to reference data/different transactions used by different teams." Can you shortly explain what POLFS was? POLFS, I think, was a name for Product & Branch Accounting.

1		investigate errors and resolving them?	1
2	Α.	I think I can't remember exactly when this was but	2
3	Q.	January 2009?	3
4	Α.	Okay. So at that point, there would be, in many of the	4
5		teams, quite large backlogs and, clearly, if you have	5
6		got a large backlog of work, you'd like more resource to	6
7		help clear it but there were limitations.	7
8	Q.	I think that might be a different issue. Isn't this	8
9		talking about the extent to which this back office team	9
10		had access to all of the data generated by both the POL	10
11		back office systems and by Horizon, for the purposes of	11
12		an investigation into the validity of a transaction	12
13		correction or a discrepancy?	13
14	Α.	5	14
15		what there is in POLFS. Sorry, POLFS is the Finance	15
16		System, isn't it? Sorry, I do beg your pardon. It	16
17		would be about what's in the Finance System not	17
18		particularly what was on the Horizon System because the	18
19		Horizon System should if this has happened on	19
20		Horizon, then this data should then flow into this point	20
21	_	within POLFS.	21
22	Q.	You are speaking to the limitations of POLFS here. What	22
23	_	were the limitations of POLFS?	23
24	Α.	I'm sorry, I can't recall what I was thinking of at that	24
25		point. 45	25
		N/	
1	A.	Yes.	1
2	Q.	by doing them; you have actually got to follow them	2
3		up by debt recovery?	3
4	Α.	I think the first point is to make sure that they're	4
5 6		right and if we know that they're right then we can	5 6
7	0	focus on debt recovery where necessary.	7
8	Q.	Was a focus on helping the bottom line, ie by generating money for the Post Office through debt recovery from	8
8 9		subpostmasters?	9
9 10	Α.	I personally wasn't ever given that pressure, whether	9 10
11	<b>~</b> .	i personally wash't ever given that pressure, whether	
		somebody like Rod Ismay was from his Finance Director	11
		somebody like Rod Ismay was, from his Finance Director,	11 12
12	0	l couldn't say.	12
12 13	Q.	I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the	12 13
12 13 14	Q.	I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are	12 13 14
12 13 14 15	Q.	I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning	12 13 14 15
12 13 14 15 16		I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning that?	12 13 14 15 16
12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. <b>A.</b>	I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning that? I was trying to make sure that teams weren't just	12 13 14 15 16 17
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning that? I was trying to make sure that teams weren't just ramming out as many transaction corrections as possible.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning that? I was trying to make sure that teams weren't just ramming out as many transaction corrections as possible. The integrity of them was important well, more than	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning that? I was trying to make sure that teams weren't just ramming out as many transaction corrections as possible. The integrity of them was important well, more than important, it was critical that things went out that	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning that? I was trying to make sure that teams weren't just ramming out as many transaction corrections as possible. The integrity of them was important well, more than important, it was critical that things went out that were correct, and that would ultimately save because it	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning that? I was trying to make sure that teams weren't just ramming out as many transaction corrections as possible. The integrity of them was important well, more than important, it was critical that things went out that were correct, and that would ultimately save because it would potentially just create a circle if we were	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning that? I was trying to make sure that teams weren't just ramming out as many transaction corrections as possible. The integrity of them was important well, more than important, it was critical that things went out that were correct, and that would ultimately save because it would potentially just create a circle if we were disputing, reissuing, et cetera. We needed to be able	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		I couldn't say. What was the purpose of mentioning whether clearing the backlog helps actually depends on whether the debts are paid by the subpostmasters? Why were you mentioning that? I was trying to make sure that teams weren't just ramming out as many transaction corrections as possible. The integrity of them was important well, more than important, it was critical that things went out that were correct, and that would ultimately save because it would potentially just create a circle if we were	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

	Q.	Next, if we skip over debt levels, we go to "Ownership":
2		"Ownership main focus has been on clearing
5		backlog. Whether that helps the bottom line depends on
ŀ		whether debts generated are paid. Equally there is no
5		incentive to seek out compensating [transaction
;		corrections]."
,		Does this reflect the fact that the Post Office.
1		through P&BA, was most concerned about getting money
,		back into the business, debt which it considered owing
, D		-
		and outstanding?
1	Α.	I think that was my we talked before about me making
2		assumptions about evidence and this is perhaps another
3		example of that. But, certainly, the biggest focus was
4		around getting these accounts down to a manageable
5		format. So the teams were dealing with stuff in
6		a timely manner rather than trying to pull something
7		work on something that was months old.
8		But the point I was trying to make was that, just
9		to send out lots and lots of transaction corrections to
0		move these values, if they all end up being disputed,
1		and correctly so in many cases, then the stuff just
2		still flows around within POLFS and isn't being cleared
3		down.
4	Q.	Aren't you emphasising by this that it's no good doing
5		transaction corrections, just to help the bottom line
		46
	Q.	The last sentence on this page:
2		"Equally there is no incentive to seek out
5		compensating [transaction corrections]."
ļ		Were you suggesting there that there was no
5		incentive within Chesterfield to seek out transaction
;		corrections that would have the effect of the Post
,		Office paying money to the subpostmasters?
}	Α.	Yes, I think one of the issues is, as I already
)		mentioned, is different so we had different teams
0		that dealt with different products. There was two ways
1		that we could have looked at this and the alternative
2		way had been in operation before, where team
3		individuals looked after branches. So they would look
4		after a branch across the board, which was great because
+ 5		they got a view of the branch but perhaps not so great
6		in terms of knowledge of dealing with products, whereas
7		the way we operated was, in terms of products, so teams
8		became very knowledgeable about their products and
9		hopefully dealt with them well. But they didn't get
0		a view of the branch they were dealing with.
1		So whereas if you are looking at a branch as

So whereas if you are looking at a branch as a whole it might be more obvious to pick up "Well, we've got an error here, we've got an error there. Oh, look, these actually match, we can sort this branch out fine". Whereas if you're just looking in a single line under 48

1		a limited number of products, then you won't be aware of	1		I think there was a limit of £150, below which you
2		what's going on there and if you're being told "Clear	2		couldn't settle centrally but, if, say, you had a debt,
3		this account down", then you're not going to trot off to	3		a loss of £200, at the end of branch trading, then you
4		the other side of the room and have a conversation "Have	4		had the choice of putting the cash in, which got rid of
5		you got a matching item to this, because I think"	5		the debt, making out a cheque, make good by cheque
6		some people will do that anyway; other people wouldn't.	6		which sends a cheque off for £200 to clear off the deb
7	Q.	In this sentence, were you reflecting the fact that in	7		or not to clear the debt at that point but to settle
8		the team as you saw it there was no incentive to seek	8		centrally, moves it onto a separate account within PO
9		out corrections that had the effect of benefiting	9		and, if nothing subsequently would happen from that,
10		subpostmasters?	10		team would then the debt recovery team would then
11	Α.	I would say that's correct, yes.	11		start to recover that £200.
12	Q.	Can we turn to page 6, please:	12		But it may well be that the subpostmaster is ful
13		"Key Recommendations Existing Processes."	13		aware that they are due a credit transaction correction
14		Under the third of them:	14		which hopefully will arrive in the next trading period,
15		"Define 'settle centrally'."	15		they get that credit transaction correction, settle it
16		Then scroll down to the notes, please, and the	16		centrally, the two net off and everyone's forgotten
17		third point under your notes you say:	17		about it.
18		"Define Settle Centrally legally they have	18		If there's no compensating transaction correction
19		accepted the debt. But would a court wear it? Need	19		or compensating credit at the end of the next branch
20		some assurances around it."	20		trading, at some point, defined point, the debt recover
21		Can you just explain what "settle centrally" was,	21		team would start looking at recovering that debt.
22		please?	22	Q.	So you have identified three options where there's
23	Α.	Settle centrally was an option where a discrepancy arose	23		a discrepancy: firstly, pay up in cash by the
24		either through accepting a transaction correction or as	24		subpostmaster
25		a cash balance at the end of the branch trading.	25	Α.	Yes.
		49			50
1	0	secondly, pay up by cheque; or, thirdly, settle	1		subpostmasters or their representatives when they sp
2	Q.	centrally?	2		to you, "But hold on, by asking to settle centrally you
2	۸	Yes.	3		have legally accepted the debt"?
4		They were the only three options?	4	۸	No, I would never say that.
5		I think multiple branches might have had a different way	5	<b>Q</b> .	·
6	<b>~</b> .	of doing it and, certainly, Crown Offices had	6	Q. A.	
7		a different approach. But your bog standard	7		that the subpostmasters and POL were treated correct
8		subpostmaster	8		that things were done correctly.
9	0	They were the three options?	9	Q.	•
9 10		they were their options, yes.	9 10	Q.	it"?
11		So the first two involved the payment or the promise of	10	Α.	Because of some of the problems that we've already
12	Q.	payment of money through a cheque, yes?	12	А.	discussed. Branches are obliged to settle to accep
13	•	Yes.			transaction corrections, which they may not think is
			13		
14 15	Q.	The third option, settle centrally, you note:	14		proper to them at the time, to get okay, they might
15 16		" legally they [that's the subpostmasters] have	15		not want to pay the £200 straight away but they will
16		accepted the debt."	16		settle centrally, which defers and gives them a chance
17	А.	That was I've got no legal training whatsoever so	17		to challenge. But my non-legal opinion is that, in
18		that was my understanding of and also like the	18		a court of law, if a subpostmaster went into a court
19		NFSP I think everybody's understanding was, if you	19		saying, "Look, I was forced to settle centrally, I had
20	~	accepted that TC, you have accepted it.	20		to settle centrally, the Post Office is now trying to
21	Q.	Where did you get that understanding; where did everyone	21		recover this money, this is the evidence that I have
22		get that understanding from?	22		that says it's not correct", in my view, the court would
23		I couldn't specifically answer that.	23		say, "Well, yeah, you're right, the Post Office is
24	Q.	If you had that understanding and everyone in P&BA had	24	-	wrong".
25		that understanding, did you communicate that to 51	25	Q.	You knew, I presume, that the IMPACT Programme h 52

	had the choice of putting the cash in, which got rid of the debt, making out a cheque, make good by cheque, which sends a cheque off for £200 to clear off the debt,
	or not to clear the debt at that point but to settle centrally, moves it onto a separate account within POL and, if nothing subsequently would happen from that, the
	team would then the debt recovery team would then start to recover that £200.
	But it may well be that the subpostmaster is fully
	aware that they are due a credit transaction correction,
	which hopefully will arrive in the next trading period,
	they get that credit transaction correction, settle it
	centrally, the two net off and everyone's forgotten
	about it.
	If there's no compensating transaction correction
	or compensating credit at the end of the next branch
	trading, at some point, defined point, the debt recovery
	team would start looking at recovering that debt.
Q.	So you have identified three options where there's
	a discrepancy: firstly, pay up in cash by the
	subpostmaster
А.	Yes. 50
	subpostmasters or their representatives when they spoke
	to you, "But hold on, by asking to settle centrally you
	have legally accepted the debt"?
Α.	No, I would never say that.
Q.	Why not, if that was your belief?
Α.	Because that wasn't my my approach was to make sure
	that the subpostmasters and POL were treated correctly,
0	that things were done correctly.
Q.	Why were you asking the question "would a court wear it"?
А.	Because of some of the problems that we've already
	discussed. Branches are obliged to settle to accept
	transaction corrections, which they may not think is
	proper to them at the time, to get okay, they might
	not want to pay the £200 straight away but they will
	settle centrally, which defers and gives them a chance to challenge. But my non-legal opinion is that, in
	a court of law, if a subpostmaster went into a court
	saying, "Look, I was forced to settle centrally, I had
	to settle centrally, the Post Office is now trying to
	recover this money, this is the evidence that I have
	that says it's not correct", in my view, the court would
	say, "Well, yeah, you're right, the Post Office is
	wrong".
Q.	You knew, I presume, that the IMPACT Programme had 52
	(13) Pages 49 - 52

1		removed the facility to put any disputed funds into	1
2		a suspense account where the disputed funds would be	2
3		identified before the subpostmaster accepted the cash	3
4		account. You knew that that was the previous position?	4
5	Α.	<i>J</i> , <i>J</i>	5
6	Q.	Yes. That before the IMPACT Programme did you know	6
7		about the IMPACT Programme?	7
8	Α.	I recognise the name but I'm not quite sure what that	8
9	0	did.	9
10	Q.		10
11		funds into a suspense account before the subpostmaster	11
12 12		accepted the cash account?	12
13 14	Α.	5 ,	13 14
15	0	remember being aware of it. But the position from when you came into post was that	14
16	α.	that wasn't possible on rollover on branch trading?	16
17	Δ	That's correct, yes.	10
18	Q.		18
19	ά.	which you understood to mean that the subpostmaster had	19
20		accepted their liability to pay the debt?	20
21	Α.	Yes.	21
22	Q.	The fourth bullet point that top of the page, "Disputes	22
23		resolved prior to DFR", and then your notes, which are	23
24		the next paragraph on, at the bottom:	24
25		"Disputes pre-DFR should not happen but need to	25
		53	
4	0	Late take a different evenue. What about	4
1 2	Q.	Let's take a different example. What about	1 2
2		a subpostmaster that suggested that a large transaction that was shown by Horizon had, in fact, never taken	2
4		place.	4
5	A.	Right. I don't think that that ever came up but, if	5
6	7.0	that did, then that would be a massive red flag.	6
7	Q.	Put another way, what evidence did you have in mind when	7
8	-	they said "they need to present evidence"; what evidence	8
9		was sufficient?	9
10	Α.	Pretty much every case is different but they would lay	10
11		out what their understanding of what happened, where	11
12		they thought the problem was. I would investigate that,	12
13		I would look into Horizon records and try and understand	13
14		what's happened and be able to explain what's happened	14
15		and hopefully find a resolution or illustrate why this	15
16		has happened.	16
17	Q.	Was there any formality brought to bear, any	17
18		description, on what evidence was sufficient to make	18
19		a dispute formal and, therefore, stop deductions from	19
20		remuneration?	20
21	Α.	No. The process was to write in to me. Once that	21
22		letter arrived, and it could be a very basic some	22
23		subpostmasters would write reams of pages, others	23
24		would half an A4 but that would create a formal	24
25		dispute. Any debt recovery would be paused at that	25
		55	

1		lay out their responsibilities as well as ours. How
2		does a dispute become formal? They need to present
3		evidence."
4		What does "dispute pre-DFR" mean, please?
5	Α.	Branches having the opportunity DFR means "deduction
6		from remuneration". So we would start the debt
7		recovery team would start taking money from
8	Q.	From their pay?
9	Α.	From their pay, yes. So it was about no DFR should be
0		happening should start while a dispute is still live.
1	Q.	So would a dispute only be treated as formal by the Post
2		Office after the presentation of evidence by
3		a subpostmaster?
14	Α.	Yes. Well, not necessarily evidence but, for example,
15		if a subpostmaster had written in to me, then we would
16		put a block on the debt recovery process until I'd
17		responded, backing up or accepting backing up POL's
8		position or accepting the subpostmaster's position.
9	Q.	Would a subpostmaster's statement that the figures that
20		were being produced by Horizon didn't tally with the
21		records that they had kept in store be sufficient?
22	Α.	If you are talking about paper records or I'd
23		certainly look at Horizon records and well, I'd be
24		looking as part of my job, I'd be looking at Horizon
25		records to see what was in it.
		54
1		point and not reinstated or started until I'd responded
2		to the subpostmaster's concern. It may well be, if
3		there's just a short amount, I might we'll have to go
4		back to the subpostmaster and say, "Look, I need a bit
5		more what can you provide us", but then the dispute will
6		be myself and the subpostmaster trying to find the
7		evidence that supported the issue one way or the other.
8	Q.	What if the subpostmaster said that, "The figures in
9		Horizon are just wrong, I can't tell you why they're
0		wrong"?
1	Α.	Then I would look at it to try and work out what if
2		there was what I'd typically do, if we're saying that
3		a branch, for example, has got a cash shortage and we
14		knew we could see that on the previous evening that
15		they'd balanced, or whatever the discrepancy was, and
16		then at the end of the next day they'd got this
17		particular cash shortage, then what I would typically do
8		is look at the transactions for that branch for that
19		day. There may be something that just jumps out at me
20		straight away, I can say "Oh, yes, I can seek what the
21		issue is". Most usually there wouldn't be.
22		But I would send potentially send an Excel
23		spreadsheet to branches where they could look at the
24		transactions in a better format than what Horizon

transactions in a better format than what Horizon reporting would come up and they could have a look and

1	say if there's any transaction and I'd suggest "Look,	1
2	you know, there's this transaction, is that going to be	2
3	right; is this transaction going to be right? Have	3
4	a look at this one or but have a look at them all,	4
5	see if there's any transactions that you don't recognise	5
6	that you think is suspicious, where there might be	6
7	miskeying, have I missed anything?"	7
8	Q. You were working only from the data that Horizon itself	8
9	produced?	9
10	A. Yes.	10
11	Q. What if that data was wrong?	11
12	A. Then I'd be looking for a branch to say something like,	12
13	"The butcher always comes in at 3.30 and makes a cash	13
14	deposit and there isn't one there". So we need to	14
15	understand did the butcher actually come in that day or	15
16	he did and there's no record of it on Horizon, or	16
17	there's a transaction here which I don't recognise, that	17
18	hasn't happened.	18
19	I can't recall that actually happening.	19
20	Q. Can we, just before the morning break, look at the last	20
21	page of this document, please, page 7. The first bullet	21 22
22	point:	22
23 24	"Remove second reminder letter" and then look at the notes underneath:	23
24 25		
20	"Recovery remove one letter in process not 57	25
4		4
1 2	right?	1
2	MR BEER: Yes, please. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine	2 3
3 4	(11.32 am)	4
4 5	(A short break)	4 5
6	(11.48 am)	6
7	MR BEER: Good morning, sir. Can you see and hear me okay.	7
8	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can thank you.	8
9	MR BEER: Thank you very much.	9
10	Mr Winn, I want to look at something called the	10
11	receipts/payments mismatch book. Can we start by	11
12	looking at POL00028838. Thank you very much.	12
13	These appear to be notes about a meeting to	13
14	discuss the receipts/payments mismatch bug. Can you see	14
15	at the top "Receipts/Payments Mismatch issue notes"?	15
16	A. Yes.	16
17	Q. We can see that the attendees at a meeting to discuss	17
18	the receipts and payments mismatch issue include you?	18
19	A. Yes.	19
20	Q. "Andrew Winn (AW) POL Finance". We can also see that	20
21	Mr Jenkins from Fujitsu was there, yes?	20
22	A. Yes.	22
23	Q. Can I just deal with the date of this meeting first.	23
24	This document is not dated and does not itself identify	24
25	the date of the meeting but if we look at page 3 of the	25
	59	

	contractual and adds no value. People either pay up or hang out to DFR may as well get there." Were you by this saying that a step in the process
	should be removed so that you could get to debt recovery from the subpostmaster sooner rather than later?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Is that a reflection of pressure to recover, from subpostmasters, debts?
Α.	No. No, I would say it was more a case of we'd done
	some analysis and found out that people paid the debts straight away, or once we sent a letter, they paid the
	debt. The second letter didn't make any difference.
	They obviously didn't get the second letter if
	they'd already paid. If they hadn't paid, they didn't
	start paying. So there was no benefit in sending that
	out. It saved resource within the team and, yes, it
	would have had an impact on the bottom line but it would
~	have been pretty marginal.
Q.	And the "may as well get there", you're saying you may
	as well get to taking money from subpostmasters' wages sooner rather than later?
Α.	Yes.
	<b>BEER:</b> Thank you very much. Can we take a break there
SIR	for 15 minutes, please? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58
SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that
SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58
SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are
SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy
SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are
	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes.
<b>SIR</b> <b>A.</b> Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next
А.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be
<b>A.</b> Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting?
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible.
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting?
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates ranging between 6 and 8 October.
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates ranging between 6 and 8 October. Yes.
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates ranging between 6 and 8 October. Yes. Would it follow from this that the meeting is likely to
<b>A.</b> Q. Q. Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates ranging between 6 and 8 October. Yes. Would it follow from this that the meeting is likely to have taken place in September 2010 or early October 2010? I'm not sure where the years come from. I'd say
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates ranging between 6 and 8 October. Yes. Would it follow from this that the meeting is likely to have taken place in September 2010 or early October 2010? I'm not sure where the years come from. I'd say September certainly but have we seen the year?
<b>A.</b> Q. Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates ranging between 6 and 8 October. Yes. Would it follow from this that the meeting is likely to have taken place in September 2010 or early October 2010? I'm not sure where the years come from. I'd say September certainly but have we seen the year? We haven't seen a year but we know that this bug was
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates ranging between 6 and 8 October. Yes. Would it follow from this that the meeting is likely to have taken place in September 2010 or early October 2010? I'm not sure where the years come from. I'd say September certainly but have we seen the year? We haven't seen a year but we know that this bug was only discovered in that year and therefore I'm taking
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates ranging between 6 and 8 October. Yes. Would it follow from this that the meeting is likely to have taken place in September 2010 or early October 2010? I'm not sure where the years come from. I'd say September certainly but have we seen the year? We haven't seen a year but we know that this bug was only discovered in that year and therefore I'm taking that as the year.
<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. So that takes us to 11.45; is that 58 document, we can see in the second paragraph Fujitsu are writing a code fix which will stop the discrepancy disappearing, et cetera, et cetera, and then there are some dates mentioned of 4 October, that's 2010, and then a date of 11 October, 21 October, yes? Yes. Then if we go to page 4, on this page and on the next page there are a series of actions. Would these be actions arising from the meeting? That would seem sensible. You can see that there are target completion dates ranging between 6 and 8 October. Yes. Would it follow from this that the meeting is likely to have taken place in September 2010 or early October 2010? I'm not sure where the years come from. I'd say September certainly but have we seen the year? We haven't seen a year but we know that this bug was only discovered in that year and therefore I'm taking

(15) Pages 57 - 60

look at page 1, underneath the table, under the

## The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1		cross-heading "What is the issue?" it reads:	1		then understood about the receipts and payments mismatch
2		"Discrepancies showing at the Horizon counter	2		issue?
3		disappear when the branch follows certain process steps,	3	Α.	Yes, I think so, although my memory of the receipts and
4		but will still show within the back end branch account.	4		payments mismatch would be that the branch trading
5		This is currently impacting circa 40 Branches since	5		statements would show a compensating figure. I can't
6		migration onto Horizon Online, with an overall cash	6		remember where it would be. It's not something I would
7		value of circa [£20,000] loss. This issue will only	7		expect branches to see and they would believe that
8		occur if a branch cancels the completion of the trading	8		they'd balanced correctly but, if my memory serves
9		period, but within the same session continues to roll	9		correct, on the branch trading statement there would be
10		into a new balance period."	10		a value showing.
11		Then if we go on to page 2, please, in the middle	11	Q.	If that memory is correct, why does this say the
12		in bold, I think it's in bold, anyway:	12		opposite?
13		"Note the Branch will not get a prompt from the	13	Α.	That's a very good question, although it doesn't say
14		system to say there is a Receipts and Payments mismatch,	14		anything about the branch trading statement.
15		therefore the Branch will believe they have balanced	15	Q.	That would be the obvious place where a loss or a gain
16		correctly."	16		would be shown and this is saying that the branch won't
17		Then under lastly "Impact" at the foot of the	17		get a prompt and the branch will believe they have
18		page, the first two bullet points:	18		balanced correctly and under "Impact:
19		"The branch has appeared to have balanced whereas	19		"The branch appears to have balanced, whereas in
20		in fact they could have a loss or a gain."	20		fact they could have a gain or a loss."
21		And:	21	Α.	I think my memory as it is would be that when you get,
22		"Our accounting systems will be out of sync with	22		towards the end of your branch trading statements, your
23		what is recorded at the branch."	23		final kind of thing before rolling is to say "This is
24		Does all of the information in those three places	24		the balance" or "There is no balance". So I think that
25		accurately and fairly describe the nature of what was	25		is the point that subpostmasters would look at and say
		61			62
1		"I'm fine. I've got pothing to put in take out	1		doesn't. So the branch will then believe they have
1		"I'm fine, I've got nothing to put in, take out,	1		doesn't. So the branch will then believe they have
2		whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great,	2		balanced."
2 3		whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but	2 3		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further
2 3 4		whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say	2 3 4		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will
2 3 4 5		whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.	2 3 4 5		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have
2 3 4 5 6		whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not. I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but	2 3 4 5 6	۵	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not?
2 3 4 5 6 7		whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not. I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments	2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	0	whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not. I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would believe, from the branch trading process, they had</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this meeting for how long the payments and mismatch bug had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would believe, from the branch trading process, they had balanced and I believe a lot of branches did not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this meeting for how long the payments and mismatch bug had been discovered or was this the first that you became
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would believe, from the branch trading process, they had balanced and I believe a lot of branches did not routinely check over their branch trading statements</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this meeting for how long the payments and mismatch bug had been discovered or was this the first that you became aware of it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would believe, from the branch trading process, they had balanced and I believe a lot of branches did not routinely check over their branch trading statements when it balanced. But that's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this meeting for how long the payments and mismatch bug had been discovered or was this the first that you became aware of it? I must have been. I wouldn't have just gone to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would believe, from the branch trading process, they had balanced and I believe a lot of branches did not routinely check over their branch trading statements when it balanced. But that's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this meeting for how long the payments and mismatch bug had been discovered or was this the first that you became aware of it? I must have been. I wouldn't have just gone to a meeting without knowing anything about it. So I must
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would believe, from the branch trading process, they had balanced and I believe a lot of branches did not routinely check over their branch trading statements when it balanced. But that's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. <b>A</b> .	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this meeting for how long the payments and mismatch bug had been discovered or was this the first that you became aware of it? I must have been. I wouldn't have just gone to a meeting without knowing anything about it. So I must have known something beforehand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would believe, from the branch trading process, they had balanced and I believe a lot of branches did not routinely check over their branch trading statements when it balanced. But that's</li> <li>Just one final point at this. We could look at another place at the top of this page:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this meeting for how long the payments and mismatch bug had been discovered or was this the first that you became aware of it? I must have been. I wouldn't have just gone to a meeting without knowing anything about it. So I must have known something beforehand. Can you remember from whom you learnt that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Α.	<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would believe, from the branch trading process, they had balanced and I believe a lot of branches did not routinely check over their branch trading statements when it balanced. But that's</li> <li>Just one final point at this. We could look at another place at the top of this page:</li> <li>"Note at this point nothing feeds into POLSAP and Credence, so in effect the POLSAP and Credence shows</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this meeting for how long the payments and mismatch bug had been discovered or was this the first that you became aware of it? I must have been. I wouldn't have just gone to a meeting without knowing anything about it. So I must have known something beforehand. Can you remember from whom you learnt that? No, sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	<ul> <li>whatever. I've balanced to the penny, that's great, press rollover", and then a statement will roll out but whether it's actually reviewed in detail, I would say not.</li> <li>I've got to apologise, I may be wrong on that, but that's my memory from the receipts and payments mismatch.</li> <li>Would you accept that the contemporaneous evidence suggests that it was believed that there wouldn't be anything in branch to show the branch that they had not balanced?</li> <li>I think I'm surprised about that. I think the branch trading statements would show whether the branch would be able to interpret it as not balancing, I'm not sure. I couldn't say that. But I think branches would believe, from the branch trading process, they had balanced and I believe a lot of branches did not routinely check over their branch trading statements when it balanced. But that's</li> <li>Just one final point at this. We could look at another place at the top of this page:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	balanced." Does that help you with some further a further contemporaneous record to suggest that the branch will believe they have balanced, whereas, in fact, they have not? Yes, I would that does suggest it's not that I'm mistaken, it wasn't shown on the branch trading statement. My main recollection is that the balancing amount showed in a discrepancy account within POLSAP. So I would accept that it may not have shown, I'm mistaken on my memory of that. I understand, thank you. Can we look at the date of discovery of the receipts and payments mismatch bug and can we look, please well, can you remember when you attended this meeting for how long the payments and mismatch bug had been discovered or was this the first that you became aware of it? I must have been. I wouldn't have just gone to a meeting without knowing anything about it. So I must have known something beforehand. Can you remember from whom you learnt that?

1	Α.	Not sure.	1
2	Q.	10 /1	2
3		The top paragraph:	3
4		"The Receipts and Payments mismatch will result in	4
5		an error code being generated which will allow Fujitsu	5
6 7		to isolate branches affected by this problem, although	6 7
7 8		this is not seen by the branches. We have asked Fujitsu why it has taken so long to react to and escalate an	8
8 9		issue which began in May. They will provide feedback in	8 9
9 10		due course "	9 10
11		Can we firstly look at whether that's accurate,	10
12		that the problem first began in May and then what	12
13		response the Post Office got when it challenged Fujitsu	13
14		on why did taken so long to react and escalate the	14
15		issues.	15
16		So firstly the date on which the problem was	16
17		discovered. If the problem was discovered in May, that	17
18		would be just before Horizon Online was accepted in June	18
19		2010; would that be right or don't you remember when	19
20		Horizon Online was accepted?	20
21	Α.	l don't remember exactly.	21
22	Q.	We know the acceptance of Horizon Online was June 2010.	22
23		This record here suggests that the problem was	23
24		discovered or it began in May. If it's correct that the	24
25		problem began in May, that would be about four months or	25
		65	
1		If that's right it's probably been around since	1
2		day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that	2
2 3		day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't	2 3
2 3 4		day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it?	2 3 4
2 3 4 5	<b>A</b> .	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes.	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	<b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu?	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A.</b>	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. <b>A.</b>	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us to what branches were affected by a receipts and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us to what branches were affected by a receipts and payments mismatch. So if we weren't if my memory is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us to what branches were affected by a receipts and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us to what branches were affected by a receipts and payments mismatch. So if we weren't if my memory is correct, the discrepancy would fall into the discrepancy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us to what branches were affected by a receipts and payments mismatch. So if we weren't if my memory is correct, the discrepancy would fall into the discrepancy accounts within our team. My worry from that earlier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us to what branches were affected by a receipts and payments mismatch. So if we weren't if my memory is correct, the discrepancy would fall into the discrepancy accounts within our team. My worry from that earlier period is when I'm saying there's lots of things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us to what branches were affected by a receipts and payments mismatch. So if we weren't if my memory is correct, the discrepancy would fall into the discrepancy accounts within our team. My worry from that earlier period is when I'm saying there's lots of things flying around into the wrong accounts, and what have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us to what branches were affected by a receipts and payments mismatch. So if we weren't if my memory is correct, the discrepancy would fall into the discrepancy accounts within our team. My worry from that earlier period is when I'm saying there's lots of things flying around into the wrong accounts, and what have you whether any values ended up getting written off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	day one and data is being dropped after 6 months, that would put the bug's existence before May 2010, wouldn't it? Correct, yes. From an email being sent in September 2010. Were you ever given that information by Fujitsu? No. How would it have affected your conduct and thinking if you had been told that information, that the bug had probably been around since day one? It would have been a little bit scary, I think. Turning to Sorry, can I just expand on that a little bit. Myself, P&BA, were pretty much dependent on Fujitsu alerting us to what branches were affected by a receipts and payments mismatch. So if we weren't if my memory is correct, the discrepancy would fall into the discrepancy accounts within our team. My worry from that earlier period is when I'm saying there's lots of things flying around into the wrong accounts, and what have you whether any values ended up getting written off because we were my later memory of the receipts and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

1		so before this meeting, if we're right that this was
2		September/October time, yes?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Can we just look, please, at POL00029084. This is
5		an email exchange that you weren't copied into but
6		I want to ask you something about the content of it.
7	Α.	Sure.
8	Q.	If we look at the foot of the page, if we scroll down,
9		please, we can see this is an email from Gareth Jenkins,
10		on a date in September 2010, to Mark Wright. It's cut
11		off on the page but if we scroll up we can see the reply
12		from mark is Mark Wright. Now, we know that Mr Jenkins
13		attended the meeting that you attended, yes?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Just if we look at the penultimate paragraph on this
16		page, at the foot of the page:
17		"Jon is easily able to reproduce the problem in
18		a development environment and we are planning to
19		recreate the scenario and attempt a fix in that
20		environment in the next couple of days. However it is
21		probably worth starting on the data extraction to
22		ascertain the full scope of the issue since it has
23		probably been around since day one and data more than 6
24		months old is being dropped from BRSS, so the sooner we
25		run the queries the better."
		66
1		account, we just basically give that back to the branch
2		and away we go. If we haven't got the money in the
3		discrepancy account, we wouldn't know there was any
4		issue. So yes, that would worry me.
5	Q.	Can I go back to the second guestion then, what was done
6	α.	within POL to question why Fujitsu hadn't reported the
7		problem sooner. If we just go back to the document we
•		

What was done within POL to question why Fujitsu had not reported the problem whenever it began?A. I can't answer that. That wouldn't be me who was

will provide feedback in due course."

were previously looking at, which was POL00028838, and go to page 3, please. It's that paragraph at the top,

react and escalate an issue which began in May. They

"We have asked Fujitsu why it has taken so long to

- raising that question. I would guess that was someone from the IT team within POL.
- Q. Just looking at the first page of the document, the list of the people there, which of those people would be doing the questioning of Fujitsu, why it had taken so long to report the issue?
- A. I would guess lan Trundell.
- Q. Because he has "IT" written next to him?

again, in the second line:

**A.** Yes. But also I think he's probably the most senior 68

1		manager on that list.	1
2	Q.	The first six of you are from POL is that right	2
3		from Post Office	3
4	Α.	Yes.	4
5	Q.	and then the last four or from Fujitsu?	5
6	Α.	Yes.	6
7	Q.	He was the most senior person present?	7
8	Α.	I believe so.	8
9	Q.	None of the people there appear to be from Legal; is	9
10		that right?	10
11	Α.	No, but Security is probably quite close that would kind	11
12		of deal closely with Legal but, yes, you're correct,	12
13		nobody from Legal.	13
14	Q.	Can you recall what the response was from Fujitsu, if it	14
15		was passed on to you: why has it taken so long to react	15
16		to and escalate this issue which, according to them,	16
17		began in May?	17
18	Α.	No, I've got no recollection, I'm afraid.	18
19	Q.	Why would it be important to pursue that with Fujitsu or	19
20		would it be important to pursue that?	20
21	Α.	Yes.	21
22	Q.	Why would it be important?	22
23	Α.	Because we would need to know things in a timely manner	23
24		to make sure that branches are properly supported if	24
25		there are issues impacting them.	25
		69	
1	Q.	It's a note by Mr Jenkins. Can you remember whether	1
2		this was a document that was sent to you at the time or	2
3		tabled at the meeting that we're discussing?	3
4	Α.	No, I've got no memory of it, I'm afraid.	4
5	Q.	Let's look at page 8, please. At the foot of the page,	5
6		in the last paragraph:	6
7		"It should be noted that as Discrepancies are	7
8		normally Losses, then a Lost Discrepancy would normally	8
9		work in the Branch's favour and so there is no incentive	9
10		for the Branch to report the problem. Also if we do	10
11		amend the data to reintroduce the Discrepancy, this will	11
12		need to be carefully communicated to the Branches to	12
13		avoid questions about the system integrity."	13
14		Why would anyone wish carefully to communicate	14
15		information to branches to avoid questions about the	15
16		integrity of the Horizon System?	16
17	Α.	I would assume to illustrate to suggest that it's	17
18		a controlled issue rather than a kind of all-embracing,	18
19		the system's bust, issue.	19
20	Q.	Can I put it another way: this bug did raise questions	20
21		about the integrity of the Horizon System, didn't it?	21
22	Α.	Yes.	22
23	Q.	What proper or appropriate reason would there be for not	23
24		telling people the existence of the bug and, therefore,	24
25		its impact on the integrity of the Horizon System?	25
		71	

	~	
1	Q.	We're on page 1 of the document, can we look at the
2		second paragraph:
3		"At this time we have not communicated with
4		branches affected and we do not believe they are
5		exploiting this bug intentionally."
6		Putting aside for one moment the cases in which
7		data produced by Horizon was being relied on in the
В		prosecution of subpostmasters, why wouldn't POL tell
9		branches affected and, indeed, other branches that there
0		may be a bug in the Horizon System that was affecting
1		the balancing process?
2	Α.	I don't know. I think there would be a concern about
3		putting out a branch-wide notice but I think if we
4		identified a branch had been impacted by the problem
5		then they need to know about it as soon as possible and
6		told that we're sorting it out.
7	Q.	Can we look at page 6, please. This is a document dated
8		29 September 2010, produced by Mr Gareth Jenkins. If we
9		just expand it, please, to look at the whole document
0		and just take a moment. You've seen this before. This
1		has been, I think, sent to you.
2	Α.	No, it doesn't ring a bell. It might do.
3	Q.	It's in the pack of documents that was sent to you but
4		it's about the same issue.
5	Α.	Okay.
		70

A. I can't answer that.

<u>^</u>		
2	Q.	Wouldn't the reason be that care would need to be taken
3		because, if the full facts were revealed, it would raise
4		questions about the integrity of the Horizon System and
5		that might damage the business of Post Office and
6		Fujitsu?
7	Α.	Yes, I think it would need to be presented in a way of
8		saying "We've identified this, this is the impact on
9		branches, this is what we're doing about it". I just
10		think it would need to be explained carefully.
11	Q.	Why would you want to avoid why would anyone want to
12		avoid questions about the system's integrity?
13	Α.	It's difficult to put that into the correct words. I'm
14		sorry, I'm struggling to find the right words.
15	Q.	I can understand.
16		Can we go back to page 2, please. This is back to
17		the note prepared, we think, following the meeting and
18		so of the meeting. At the foot of the page, we looked
19		at the first two bullet points under "Impact". Can
20		I examine the remaining three, please, at the foot of
21		the page. "Impact":
22		"If widely known could cause a loss of confidence
23		in the Horizon System by branches."
24		Would you agree that a fairer and more balanced
25		way of writing the sentiments behind that sentence would 72
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. <b>A.</b>	Yes, I think it would need to be presented in a way of saying "We've identified this, this is the impact on branches, this is what we're doing about it". I just think it would need to be explained carefully. Why would you want to avoid why would anyone want avoid questions about the system's integrity? It's difficult to put that into the correct words. I'm sorry, I'm struggling to find the right words. I can understand. Can we go back to page 2, please. This is back the note prepared, we think, following the meeting and so of the meeting. At the foot of the page, we looked at the first two bullet points under "Impact". Can I examine the remaining three, please, at the foot of the page. "Impact": "If widely known could cause a loss of confidence in the Horizon System by branches." Would you agree that a fairer and more balance way of writing the sentiments behind that sentence would

1		be: if the bug was widely known, it could ensure that	1		I can't remember anybody supporting that suggestion.
2		branches are provided with accurate information about	2	Q.	Why would that be? Why would anyone not support the
3		a known fault in the system that they are required to	3		suggestion that I've made: let's reveal a known fault in
4		use?	4		the system so on that people don't proceed on a false
5		Yes.	5		basis?
6	Q.	Why wasn't the discussion along those lines let's	6	Α.	Yes, I think in hindsight you're probably right.
7		provide accurate if to subpostmasters about the facts as	7		I think what you need to be able to do is "This fault
8		they are known rather than: if this bug is widely	8		has been identified, this is the impact of it, this is
9		known, it will cause or could cause a loss of confidence	9		how you can check to ensure that your branch has not
10		in the system by branches?	10		been affected. The vast majority of branches have not
11	Α.	Yes, I think it's a fair question. I think I was	11		been affected", but giving the branch the opportunity to
12		certainly of the view that impacted branches should be	12		check that they haven't got that problem something
13		fully informed of what's happening. I don't think	13		along that kind of line.
14	_	I ever really considered a branch-wide communication.	14	Q.	Was there a definitive list of those branches that were
15	Q.	Would a fairer approach to have been to discuss and	15		affected?
16		conclude that the dissemination of such information	16	Α.	I believe so but we depended on Fujitsu to identify
17		would ensure that subpostmasters and those who were	17		these branches.
18		investigating them do not proceed on the false basis	18	Q.	Given that they had seemingly delayed in the provision
19		that the Horizon System is robust and that discrepancies	19		of information to you promptly, was there confidence in
20		are always the responsibility of the subpostmaster?	20		the definitive list that they produced?
21		Yes, yes.	21	Α.	I think there was personally, I think there was
22	Q.	Does the fact that this note was not written in either	22		a concern "Have they identified every branch", but kind
23		of those ways reflect the fact that that wasn't a view	23		of would have felt "Well, what can I do about it?"
24		expressed by anyone at the meeting?	24		Fujitsu have got the information. We don't have the
25	А.	I've not got a clear enough memory of the meeting. 73	25		information to check that. I don't know I wouldn't 74
1		know where to go from there. It wasn't something where	1		back to the list would it be?
1 2		know where to go from there. It wasn't something where I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say. "I don't	1 2	А.	back to the list would it be? Can we go back to the list?
2		I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't	2		Can we go back to the list?
	Q.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch".		Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1.
2 3	Q.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you	2 3	Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson.
2 3 4	Q.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken;	2 3 4	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson.
2 3 4 5	Q.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you	2 3 4 5	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6		I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security?
2 3 4 5 6 7		I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this;	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b>	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie Ian Trundell, that would be his area to investigate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie Ian Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie Ian Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. Q. A.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie Ian Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. Q. A.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. Q. A.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point: "Potential impact upon ongoing legal cases where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. Q. A.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point: "Potential impact upon ongoing legal cases where branches are disputing the integrity of Horizon Data."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create caution over the accuracy of some of the data that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie Ian Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point: "Potential impact upon ongoing legal cases where branches are disputing the integrity of Horizon Data." Did you or anyone else in the meeting, to your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create caution over the accuracy of some of the data that Horizon was producing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point: "Potential impact upon ongoing legal cases where branches are disputing the integrity of Horizon Data." Did you or anyone else in the meeting, to your knowledge, consider whether information about the bug	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create caution over the accuracy of some of the data that Horizon was producing? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point: "Potential impact upon ongoing legal cases where branches are disputing the integrity of Horizon Data." Did you or anyone else in the meeting, to your knowledge, consider whether information about the bug needed to go to POL Legal in order to make disclosures	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create caution over the accuracy of some of the data that Horizon was producing? Yes. And that that could undermine confidence in Horizon? That would be a concern, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<b>A.</b> Q.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point: "Potential impact upon ongoing legal cases where branches are disputing the integrity of Horizon Data." Did you or anyone else in the meeting, to your knowledge, consider whether information about the bug needed to go to POL Legal in order to make disclosures in ongoing court cases?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create caution over the accuracy of some of the data that Horizon was producing? Yes. And that that could undermine confidence in Horizon? That would be a concern, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<b>A.</b> Q.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point: "Potential impact upon ongoing legal cases where branches are disputing the integrity of Horizon Data." Did you or anyone else in the meeting, to your knowledge, consider whether information about the bug needed to go to POL Legal in order to make disclosures in ongoing court cases? That would seem sensible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create caution over the accuracy of some of the data that Horizon was producing? Yes. And that that could undermine confidence in Horizon? That would be a concern, yes. You would know also, wouldn't you, that that information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point: "Potential impact upon ongoing legal cases where branches are disputing the integrity of Horizon Data." Did you or anyone else in the meeting, to your knowledge, consider whether information about the bug needed to go to POL Legal in order to make disclosures in ongoing court cases? That would seem sensible. Did anyone do that which seemed sensible? I can't recall, I'm afraid. I wouldn't have. Whose responsibility, on the POL side of the house, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create caution over the accuracy of some of the data that Horizon was producing? Yes. And that that could undermine confidence in Horizon? That would be a concern, yes. You would know also, wouldn't you, that that information would be relevant to subpostmasters who had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<b>A.</b> Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	I was able to sit there with Fujitsu and say, "I don't believe you've told us about every branch". You can test their methodology, can't you: how have you reached this; what investigations have you undertaken; who's involved; how seriously are you considering this; what effort have you put into it? Yes, and I think that would be something that the IT ie lan Trundell, that would be his area to investigate that. I could ask a question similar to that and basically not understand a word that comes back, I'm afraid. I've already said before, my IT knowledge is very limited. So the next bullet point: "Potential impact upon ongoing legal cases where branches are disputing the integrity of Horizon Data." Did you or anyone else in the meeting, to your knowledge, consider whether information about the bug needed to go to POL Legal in order to make disclosures in ongoing court cases? That would seem sensible. Did anyone do that which seemed sensible? I can't recall, I'm afraid. I wouldn't have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Can we go back to the list? Yes. It's page 1. I would probably say Alan Simpson. Because he's from Security? Yes. So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in ongoing legal proceedings? That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the process that should or actually did take place but that sounds a sensible summation or assumption. The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create caution over the accuracy of some of the data that Horizon was producing? Yes. And that that could undermine confidence in Horizon? That would be a concern, yes. You would know also, wouldn't you, that that information would be relevant to subpostmasters who had been prosecuted?

	basis?
Α.	Yes, I think in hindsight you're probably right.
	I think what you need to be able to do is "This fault
	has been identified, this is the impact of it, this is
	how you can check to ensure that your branch has not
	been affected. The vast majority of branches have not
	been affected", but giving the branch the opportunity to
	check that they haven't got that problem something
	along that kind of line.
Q.	Was there a definitive list of those branches that were
	affected?
Α.	I believe so but we depended on Fujitsu to identify
	these branches.
Q.	Given that they had seemingly delayed in the provision
	of information to you promptly, was there confidence in
	the definitive list that they produced?
Α.	I think there was personally, I think there was
	a concern "Have they identified every branch", but kind
	of would have felt "Well, what can I do about it?"
	Fujitsu have got the information. We don't have the
	information to check that. I don't know I wouldn't 74
	τ·1

		back to the list would it be?
2	Α.	Can we go back to the list?
5	Q.	Yes. It's page 1.
Ļ	Α.	I would probably say Alan Simpson.
5	Q.	Because he's from Security?
;	Α.	Yes.
,	Q.	So we should highlight Mr Simpson as being the person
5		responsible for making disclosures of this bug to POL
)		Legal, in order to potentially make a disclosure in
0		ongoing legal proceedings?
1	Α.	That seems sensible. I don't know if that was the
2		process that should or actually did take place but that
3		sounds a sensible summation or assumption.
4	Q.	The notes for this meeting generally suggest that the
5		Post Office knew that knowledge of the bug should create
6		caution over the accuracy of some of the data that
7		Horizon was producing?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	And that that could undermine confidence in Horizon?
0	Α.	That would be a concern, yes.
1	Q.	You would know also, wouldn't you, that that information
2		would be relevant to subpostmasters who had been
3		prosecuted?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	And those who might be prosecuted in the future? 76

1	Α.	Yes.	1
2	Q.	And the information would be relevant to anyone who had	2
3		had proceedings brought against them by POL for the	3
4		recovery of debts, civil recovery?	4
5	Α.	Yes.	5
6	Q.	Or who had challenged POL	6
7	Α.	Yes.	7
8	Q.	in the courts.	8
9		Did anyone in the meeting discuss handing that	9
10		information over to the defence teams?	10
11	Α.	No, I cannot recall that being mentioned.	11
12	Q.	When you attended this meeting in September/October	12
13		2010, I think you were already aware of the prosecution	13
14		of Seema Misra, weren't you?	14
15		It's a name that rings a bell.	15
16	Q.	Can we look, please, at POL00055100. Can we look,	16
17		please, at the middle of the page. We can see this is	17
18		an email sent to you by Jon Longman on 27 July 2010,	18
19		concerning the prosecution of Seema Misra at Guildford	19
20		Crown Court, yes?	20
21	A.		21
22	Q.	We're going to come to that in a moment but let's get	22
23		some context first. Can we look at page 2 of the	23
24		document, please, and then just scroll down. This is	24 25
25		an email from Issy Hogg, she is the defence solicitor, 77	25
1		below following our telephone conversation of today."	1
2		Then go back to page 1, please, the foot of the	2
3		page, an email sent on behalf of Mr Singh to Jon	3
4		Longman. Can you remember who Jon Longman was?	4
5	Α.	No, I can't, no.	5
6	Q.	And Warwick Tatford, he was prosecution counsel in the	6
7		Seema Misra trial:	7
8		"I enclose a copy of an email received from Issy	8
9		Hogg, the defence solicitors of 22 July 2010, the	9
10		content of which is self-explanatory. Could you please	10
11		be kind enough to let me have your urgent instructions	11
12		as to the access and information she is requesting in	12
13		respect of the system in the Midlands and the operation	13
14		at Chesterfield and the errors logs. I will contact	14
15		Gareth Jenkins to find out what transpired at the	15
16		meeting with Charles McLachlan."	16
17		Then scroll up, please. We can see that on the	17
18		same day, about an hour later, Mr Longman forwarded the	18
19 20		email to you, yes?	19
20 21	<b>A</b> .	Yes.	20
21 22	Q.	Then we can see about 33 minutes later you reply, so at 12 13 that day, and you say:	21 22
22 23		12.13 that day, and you say: "John	22
23 24		"Rod Ismay the head of P&BA is not happy at the	23 24
24		The isling the near of t abr is not happy at the	24
25		prospect of an open ended invite. He has asked the	25

Α.	Yes, yes.
Q.	If we scroll back down, please, Ms Hogg says:
	"Jarnail,
	"As a result of the meeting that took place
	between Charles McLachlan and Gareth Jenkins as directed
	by the judge, we now need to have:
	"access to the system in the Midlands where it
	appears there are live, reproducible errors.
	"access to the operations at Chesterfield to
	understand how reconciliation and transaction
	corrections are dealt with.
	"access to the system change requests, Known Error
	Log and new release documentation to understand what
	problems have had to be fixed.
	"Please you contact me with regard to these
	issues. Please you respond [to an email address]."
	Yes?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Then if we go further up the page, we can see
	a forwarding:
	" please advise on the three points raised
	78
	question of what are the legal parameters we are working
	within. Simplistically if we refuse or impose
	conditions do we lose the case? I think we need more
	guidance on how something like this might reasonably
	operate.
	"I think Mark Burley would be the route into IT to
	identify who might be best placed to deal with that
	aspect."
	This was a reply that was essentially your boss,
	Rod Ismay, in P&BA, seeking to close down the disclosure
	request as much as possible, wasn't it?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	What reasons did he give to close down the disclosure
	request as much as possible?
<b>A</b> .	I can't recall any more detail than what's shown there.
Q.	What was the nature of his unhappiness about the
	disclosure request?
Α.	I think he didn't feel as though it would produce
~	anything and create more questions than it would answer.
Q.	What kind of questions would it create?
Α.	I can't recall. I don't think he specified. I think
~	that was probably a generic term or
Q.	Was it a generic reply "Don't let them have access to
	systems or data that would reveal issues or problems
	with our system"? 80

to Jarnail Singh. If we just scroll to the top of the page, we can see on his signature block Jarnail Singh was a senior officer in the Post Office's Criminal Law

Division, yes?

1	Α.	No, I don't think so. I don't think knowing Rod,	1		"Hi John
2		I don't think he would view it that way. I think he'd	2		"The last update I had on the above was in July,
3		just struggle to understand what it was that they would	3		the defence solicitors had requested they had access to
4		want to see and where it might lead, and there's also	4		the operations in Chesterfield."
5		an issue of confidentiality in terms of the data we're	5		I think that's the email discussion we've just
6		holding, financial information regarding other branches,	6		seen.
7		for example.	7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Why wasn't the reply along those lines then: there are	8	Q.	"This was discussed by Andy Winn/Rod Ismay. I have
9		some practical hurdles to this, rather than just closing	9		today spoken with Andy Winn and he has informed me that
10		it down?	10		Rod had made a decision to not allow this. Therefore
11	Α.	Yes, I think it's the parameters that we're working	11		could you please update me with the latest progress on
12		with, so, for example, other subpostmaster information.	12		the case."
13	Q.	Why did you suggest Mark Burley would be a person who	13		Do you now recall any conversation with Mr Ismay?
14		ought to be involved?	14	Α.	Yes, I recall a conversation but the detail of it,
15	Δ	I can't recall. I knew Mark, I worked in the product	15		beyond "I don't think it's a good idea", and what
16	7.0	process improvement team with him. Presumably the role	16		I stated in the previous email, I can't remember any
17		he was in at that point would seem the most appropriate	10		more detail than that, I'm afraid.
18		one. I think I possibly had spoken to him about it	18	0	The record here is that Mr Ismay had made a decision not
		beforehand.	10	Q.	-
19	0				to allow it, so was bringing the shutters down on it?
20	Q.	Can we turn, please, to POL00055225. This is an email	20	А.	I think that would be I can't remember what the
21		dated 13 September 2010 and you can see the subject	21		difference between in the timings was but, yes, that
22		"West Byfleet", that was her post office	22		would be fair summation. I don't know if anything more
23		"Mrs Seema Misra". You can see who it's from and to,	23		had happened in between the first document that we
24		not you, but we're going to see in a moment that it	24	-	looked at and this one.
25		references a conversation with you. It reads:	25	Q.	What reasons were discussed between you and Ismay for
		81			82
1		refusing access that was sought by the defence?	1		she knows what we know" wouldn't you?
					-
2		Sorry, I thought we covered that in the previous	2		Yes, I totally understand from their point of view, yes.
3	Q.	Yes, the previous email was "We need to know what the	3		You would agree that that would be the open thing to do.
4		implications are. If we refuse access will we lose the	4	A.	
5		case?"	5		You would agree that would be the honest thing to do?
6	А.	l didn't hear any more I don't believe I heard	6	А.	I think, within the concerns about data protection for
7		anything more from that up to this point, apart from the	7	_	other branches and issues like that, yes.
8		fact that Rod had no, I can't remember if there was	8	Q.	You would agree that that would be the thing a person
9		anything more that developed.	9		with integrity would do?
10	Q.	Did the reasons include that because if such access is	10	Α.	I'm not I think Rod laid out his reasons, his
11		given then the lack of integrity of the data that	11		concerns about it. I don't know if there was any
12		Horizon produced may be discovered?	12		comeback from that.
13	Α.	That was never stated, no.	13	Q.	Well, the comeback would have come from you, wouldn't
14	Q.	Even though, by this time, you may have been aware that	14		it, because this was a conversation between the pair of
15		there was a bug that was producing data that lacked	15		you?
16		integrity?	16	Α.	We had the conversation I would have expected probably
17	Α.	Yes, but well, yes.	17		to have said, "Yes, they can come in" but I've got to
18	Q.	But even if you wouldn't allow a defence solicitor or	18		say that I didn't put up any impassioned disagreement
19		a defence expert into the building to examine P&BA	19		with Rod. I accepted that he took a considered view of
20		operations or P&BA equipment, you'd presumably have	20		things and he was my boss and, yes, I didn't put up
21		discussed, wouldn't you, "Look, there's someone on trial	21		a fight.
22		for a very serious crime here based on data produced by	22	Q.	If it was the open and honest thing to do, if it was the
23		Horizon. She's alleging that the data's not accurate.	23		thing that a person with integrity would do, why did you
24		We know that the data produced by Horizon may not be	24		and Mr Ismay not do it?
25		accurate. We need to find out a way of ensuring that	25	Α.	Yes, I mean I've got to say refer to the previous
		83			84

1		question. That was Rod's view. I didn't have a strong	1
2		enough view to argue against it.	2
3	Q.		3
4		systems and data on your mind when considering whether	4
5		and how to communicate with affected subpostmasters and	5
6		others the discovery of the receipts and payments	6
7		mismatch bug in the September/October meeting?	7
8	Α.	No.	8
9	Q.		9
10		errant reference. I'm going to stick with the version	10
11		of the document I've been using, POL00028838, the second	11
12		page, please. At the foot of the page under "impact",	12
13		the third bullet point:	13
14		"If widely known, could cause a loss of confidence	14
15		in the Horizon System by branches. Potential impact	15
16		upon ongoing legal cases where branches are disputing	16
17		the integrity of Horizon data."	17
18		This appears to be a record that those two things	18
19		were brought into account at the meeting. Can I ask	19
20		again: were the discussions over the defence requests	20
21		for access to Horizon systems and data on your mind when	21
22		considering whether to communicate with subpostmasters	22
23		and others about the discovery of the receipts and	23
24 25		payments mismatch bug? No.	24
25	Α.	85	25
1	А.	Not that I'm aware of.	1
2	<b>A.</b> Q.		2
2	Q.	Gareth Jenkins document that we mentioned earlier. We	2
4		know that this was sent on to the solicitor with the	4
5		conduct of the Seema Misra prosecution, Jarnail Singh,	5
6		on Friday, 8 October before her trial started on Monday,	6
7		11 October.	7
8		We can see that if we just go to the foot of the	8
9		page, please. Do you see the file string at the foot of	9
10		the page showing the presence of the document in	10
11		a Jarnail Singh folder and that it was printed on	11
12		8 October?	12
13	Α.	Yes.	13
14	Q.	l won't turn it up now but there's also an email. I'll	14
15		give the reference for the transcript, POL00055410,	15
16		which shows this document being sent to Mr Singh.	16
17		Was there discussion at the meeting of the need to	17
18		disclose information that Mr Jenkins had to the	18
19		prosecution solicitor in the Seema Misra case?	19
20	Α.	' I don't recall. I don't recall that case being raised	20
21		in this meeting.	21
22	Q.	0	22
23		information about the receipts and payments mismatch bug	23
24		to Ms Misra's defence team or indeed to the court. Was	24
25		that discussed in the course of the meeting, whether	25
		87	

1	Q.	What's this referring to then?
2	Q. A.	Sorry, I don't really understand which we're going.
- 3	Q.	We've seen that at two points, once in July and once in
1	α.	September, you were involved in conversations over
5		defence access to systems and data on behalf of
5		a subpostmistress who said the data provided by Horizon
,		is not accurate. You're in a meeting about data
3		provided by Horizon not being accurate and there's
)		a record saying it has a potential impact upon ongoing
0		legal cases where branches are disputing the integrity
1		of the Horizon data.
2		I'm asking you were the requests that you received
3		for access on your mind when you were considering the
4		potential impact on legal cases that's recorded at this
5		meeting?
6	Α.	l would probably say no.
7	Q.	Was the discussion at this meeting over whether
8		Mr Jenkins was going to give evidence in Seema Misra's
9		trial in October 2010?
0	Α.	l've no idea.
1	Q.	Was anything said about the Seema Misra case in this
2		meeting?
3	Α.	I wouldn't have thought so.
4	Q.	Were any decisions made about the disclosure of the
5		existence of the bug to the defence team?
		86
l		that information should be disclosed or not?
2	Α.	Again, I don't remember this ex-subpostmaster being
3	-	mentioned in the meeting.
ł -	Q.	Can we go to page 2 of the document, please, and look at
) \		the foot of the page. We've dealt with the first five
) 7		bullet points. Can I deal with the last sorry, we've
,		dead with the first four bullet points. Can we deal
3		with the last, the fifth:
) 0		"It could provide branches ammunition to blame Horizon for future discrepancies."
1		Was that a consideration?
2	Α.	Yes.
2 3	<b>A.</b> Q.	So disclosure could provide branches with ammunition to
3 4	પ્ર.	blame Horizon?
r		

A. Yes.

Q. It would be accurate ammunition, though, wouldn't it?

- A. It would be accurate in terms of there is a Horizon
  integrity impact which needs to be checked out before
  going forward. I would say that's reasonable, yes.
  - Q. So if the ammunition was an arrow, it would fly true and straight, wouldn't it?
- A. If it was relevant to the branch, yes. I think if
  a branch had a discrepancy, they would be entitled to
  assume that before any type of prosecution, or anything
  like that, that it was checked that there were no error

1		impacts on their accounts, yes.	1		Can we look at solutions 1 and 3 first and then go
2	Q.	And it could be ammunition that hit the correct target;	2		to solution 2. So solution 1:
3		namely, a discrepancy is being caused by the Horizon	3		"Alter the Horizon branch figure at the counter to
4		System, not by the subpostmaster?	4		show the discrepancy. Fujitsu would have to manually
5	Α.	Yes.	5		write an entry value to the local branch account.
6	Q.	It wouldn't be information that damaged, hurt or injured	6		"Impact when the branch comes to complete next
7		any subpostmaster? It's not that kind of ammunition, is	7		trading period, they would have a discrepancy, which
8		it?	8		they would have to bring to account.
9	Α.	It could end up working out the wrong way round because	9		"Risk this has significant data integrity
10		the receipts and payments mismatch could produce gains	10		concerns and could lead to questions of 'tampering' with
11		or losses but	11		the branch system and could generate questions around
12	Q.	That would be a good thing, though, wouldn't it, because	12		how the discrepancy was caused. The solution could have
13		it would mean recovery to the Post Office of debt that	13		moral implications of Post Office changing branch data
14		was truly owing?	14		without informing the branch."
15	Δ	Yes.	15		So summing up solution 1 is: the branch never
16		But it wasn't seen in any of those ways, was it? It was	16		knows about this but there's a fix applied; is that
17	ч.	seen in the light of "we can't disclose material that	10		right?
18		might undermine our system, even if the system is in	18	Δ	That's fair, yes.
19		fact faulty".	19	Q.	
20	Δ	Yes, I think that's probably a fair summation.	20		I don't understand where that came from.
21		Can we look over the page, please. Under "Proposal for	20		Can you try and help us?
22	Q.	affected branches", the document reads:	22		It's just not a term I would use. I would certainly say
23		"There are three potential solutions to apply to	23	<b>A</b> .	it was important that Fujitsu or well, presumably
24		the impacted branches. The group's recommendation is	23		Fujitsu, weren't changing figures willy-nilly without
25		that solution 2 should be progressed."	25		the branch being aware of it. That shouldn't happen.
20		89	20		90
	_				
1	Q.	So it reflects a belief is this right that	1		"Impact Post Office must absorb around £20,000
2		whenever Fujitsu changed branch data, the branch should	2		loss.
3	_	be informed?	3		"Risk huge moral implications to the integrity
4	Α.	I think there's yes, in that kind of scenario	4		of the business, as there are agents that were
5		changing branch data is a very kind of wide thing. So	5		potentially due a cash gain on their system."
6		you change the price of a first class stamp every year.	6		So this is a write off. But what about the
7		You don't particularly ring the branch up and say we're	7		subpostmasters that were owed money? That's what that's
8		doing that. So in the scenario you're talking about	8		raising, isn't it?
9		here, then yes, very much so.	9		That's right, yes.
10	Q.	And that wouldn't be moral in the language of the	10	Q.	The answer to that isn't really given in solution 3, is
11		document here?	11		it?
12	Α.	It just seems a strange terminology to me.	12	Α.	I think that was probably one that we could do this but
13	Q.	Can you remember who made the suggestion?	13		we're never seriously going to do it.
14	Α.	No.	14		Who made the suggestion?
15	Q.	Can you remember who rejected it?	15	Α.	l don't know.
16	Α.	I would have rejected it. Whether other and people	16	Q.	Who rejected it?
17		would have as well from my not particularly a memory	17	Α.	Well, I would have, along with other colleagues, I would
18		of that meeting but the type of thinking that NBSC	18		imagine.
19		tended to think in the same way as me, the Networks	19	Q.	Solutions 1 and 3, is this I'm sorry.
20		tended to think in the same way as me.	20	Α.	I would suggest that the options were probably driven by
21	Q.	Can we look at solution 3 then, please, at the foot of	21		Fujitsu's suggestion for us to consider but that's
22		the page. It's decided:	22		a suggestion.
23		" not to correct the data in the branches	23	Q.	Solutions 1 and 3 keep subpostmasters in the dark, don't
24		(ie Post Office would prefer to write off the 'lost'"	24		they?
25		and then it's missing. 91	25	Α.	Yes. 92

1	Q.	Both those subpostmasters who are known to be affected	1
2		by the bug and the wider subpostmaster community?	2
3	A.	Yes.	3
4	Q.	Solution 2 was the recommended solution, if we read	4
5		that, please:	5
6 7		"P&BA will journal values from the discrepancy	6
7		account into the customer account and recover/re fund via	7
8 9		normal processes. This will need to be supported by an approved POL communication. Unlike the branch POLSAP	8 9
9 10		remains in, balance albeit with an account discrepancies	9 10
10		that should be cleared.	10
12		"Impact POL will be required to explain the	12
13		reason for a debt recovery/refund even though there is	12
14		no discrepancy at the branch.	13
15		"Risk could potentially highlight to branches	15
16		that Horizon can lose data."	16
17		The end of the first line/beginning of the second:	17
18		"This will need to be supported by an approved POL	18
19		communication."	19
20		Would the approved communication leave out some of	20
21		the facts?	21
22	A.	I'd probably need to see the communication to comment on	22
23	7.	that. I don't think that would be the intention of that	23
24		solution. It doesn't suggest let's do it. But I think	24
25		it would be what that would be saying is that it	25
		93	
1		position might have been. I wasn't at that kind of	1
2		level so.	2
3	Q.	You're assuming it on the basis of some facts or	3
4		information, though, aren't you? You're not just	4
5		guessing?	5
6	Α.	No, I think there was a feeling (and I use that term	6
7		carefully, a "feeling") that when we got on to these	7
8		issues calls, it was more the kind of ground roots,	8
9		people in the NBSC, Network and myself in finance, who	9
10		were, "This is what's happened, let's tell them, let's	10
11		tell them exactly what's gone off and this is what we've	11
12		done to resolve it". Whereas there seemed to be more	12
13		possibly more strategic, dare I say, view from more	13
14		senior managers of "should we really be telling	14
15		everybody that this has happened?"	15
16		Now, that's tarring every senior manager with	16
17		a brush that's unfair, but there was a feeling that	17
18		there was a bit more resistance to communication kind of	18
19		the higher things went, which I don't know if there was	19
20		the higher things went, which I don't know if there was a pressure that they were being given under or whether	19 20
20 21		the higher things went, which I don't know if there was a pressure that they were being given under or whether that was a more general way of just thinking rather than	19 20 21
20 21 22		the higher things went, which I don't know if there was a pressure that they were being given under or whether that was a more general way of just thinking rather than somebody else providing pressure. I don't know.	19 20 21 22
20 21 22 23	Q.	the higher things went, which I don't know if there was a pressure that they were being given under or whether that was a more general way of just thinking rather than somebody else providing pressure. I don't know. But looking at senior management collectively, and	19 20 21 22 23
20 21 22	Q.	the higher things went, which I don't know if there was a pressure that they were being given under or whether that was a more general way of just thinking rather than somebody else providing pressure. I don't know.	19 20 21 22

1	would probably need to be checked off at legal level
2	before we send it. That's my assumption.
3	Q. Was there a feeling at this meeting that the principle
4	of the less said, the soonest mended should be applied?
5	A. No, I don't think so. I think the less said would be
6	the clean it up without telling the branches.
7	Q. The less that subpostmasters know, the better?
8	A. No. I think again it comes back to let's let the
9	subpostmasters that are impacted know what's happened
10	and what we've done to resolve an issue even if they
11	potentially didn't know they had an issue, but I don't
12	think it was ever suggested to do a branch-wide
13	communication.
14	Q. What would POL senior management have said if the
15	outcome of the receipts and payments mismatch meeting
16	was a recommendation that the whole of the network
17	should be warned of this potential problem?
18 19	<ul><li>A. I think they would have said no.</li><li>Q. Why is that?</li></ul>
20	A. Because they were keen to maintain the integrity of
20	Horizon as far as possible, but
22	Q. When you say, "They were keen to maintain the integrity"
23	do you mean keen to maintain the appearance of
24	integrity?
25	<b>A.</b> Yes. But again I'm assuming what the manager's his
	94
1	required you to stick to the message that Horizon had
2	integrity?
3	A. Yes.
4	<b>MR BEER:</b> Sir, I wonder whether we might take the lunch
5	break early?
6	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly.
7	<b>MR BEER:</b> And come back at 1.45.
8	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, that's fine. See you then.
9	MR BEER: Thank you very much, sir.
10	(12.49 pm)
11	(Luncheon Adjournment)
12 13	(1.45 pm)
13	<b>MR BEER:</b> Good afternoon, sir. Can you see and hear me? Sir, you are still on mute. I think that was a yes.
15	Can you see and hear us, sir? (Pause)
16	Sir, can you see and hear us? Can we just pause
17	while that's sorted out. ( <i>Pause</i> )
18	We'll break for five minutes, if that's possible,
19	please, whilst we restore the link. So five minutes.
20	Thank you.
21	(1.47 pm)
22	(A short break)
23	(1.50 pm)
24	MR BEER: Thank you very much, Mr Winn.
25	A. Sorry to have delayed everyone.
	96

1	MR	BEER: Can I just check, sir, that you can see and hear	1
2		me?	2
3	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Well, I can hear you. I now can't now	3
4		I can see you as well. So we're fine.	4
5	MR	BEER: Fantastic, thank you.	5
6		Mr Winn, when I was asking questions before lunch	6
7		about the date of the receipts and payments mismatch	7
8		bug, the meeting about that, and one of the actions	8
9		arising from it (namely, whose job it was, if anyone's,	9
10		to inform lawyers in ongoing or past prosecutions and	10
11		civil proceedings about the bug), I mentioned a document	11
12		that I gave the reference to but did not display. Can	12
13		I display the document for you, please. It's	13
14		POL00055410.	14
15		If we look at the lower email first, please,	15
16		you'll see that it's from Alan Simpson and it's dated	16
17		8 October 2010 and Alan Simpson, we can see from his	17
18		signature block, was the POL information security	18
19		incident senior. You can see that it's to Rob Wilson.	19
20		Mr Wilson was the head of criminal law in the Criminal	20
21		Law Division within Post Office at the time.	21
22		Did you know that	22
23	Α.	No, I don't think I came across him at all.	23
24	Q.	It helps us on two things if we can just read it. It	24
25		says:	25
		97	
1	Α.	Yes.	1
2	Q.	It would appear that the meetings, plural, were held in	2
3		the week ending Friday, 8 October. Do you see that?	3
4	Α.	Yes, I can see that.	4
5	Q.	"My concern is around the proposed solution/s, one or	5
6		more of which may have repercussions in any future	6

Q.	it would appear that the meetings, plural, were held in	2	
	the week ending Friday, 8 October. Do you see that?	3	(
Α.	Yes, I can see that.	4	
Q.	"My concern is around the proposed solution/s, one or	5	
	more of which may have repercussions in any future	6	
	prosecution cases and on the integrity of the Horizon	7	
	Online system."	8	
	So Mr Simpson is referring the issue to the head	9	
	of criminal law about future prosecutions based on data	10	
	produced by Horizon Online. Do you remember whether	11	(
	there was any discussion about disclosure of the bug to	12	
	those conducting current prosecutions, based on data	13	
	produced by Legacy Horizon?	14	
Α.	No, I wouldn't be aware of that, no.	15	
Q.	Can you recall that being discussed in the meeting?	16	
Α.	In this meeting?	17	
Q.	Yes, in the meeting that we saw the notes of this	18	
	morning.	19	
Α.	Not in detail but I would imagine it would be mentioned,	20	(
	yes.	21	
Q.	Was this seen as a bug that just afflicted Horizon	22	
	Online?	23	
Α.	As far as I can recall, yes.	24	
~			

25 Q. What exploration was there of whether this was a bug 99

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

1	"I am forwarding you the attachments above in
2	relation to a series of incidents"
3	If we just scroll up, please, we can see that
4	under the attachments there are two that are mentioned,
5	"ReceiptsPayments notes[version]5". That appears to be
6	a version of the notes that we looked at earlier
7	concerning a meeting or meetings about the receipts and
8	payments mismatch bug.
9	Then "Lost Discrepancies [29 September 2010]",
0	that appears to be Mr Jenkins' document of 29 September
1	2010 that we looked at earlier.
2	Just going back down to the email:
3	"I am forwarding you the attachments above in
4	relation to a series of incidents, identified by Fujitsu
5	this week, whereby it appears that when posting
6	discrepancies to the local suspense, these amounts
7	simply disappear at branch level, and a balance is
8	shown.
9	"The above includes Fujitsu's initial analysis
20	[that appears to be a reference to Mr Jenkins'
21	documents] and proposed solution/s, whilst the other
22	documents the outputs from various meetings held this
23	week."
24	That appears to be a reference, would you agree,
25	to the note of the meeting that we saw? 98

## that afflicted Legacy Horizon? A. I wasn't aware of any. Q. Thank you. That can come down. Can we move forward, please, to December 2010 and look at POL00029718. Can we start, please, on page 8 of this collection and scroll down, please. This is an email from Emma Langfield to a number of people, including you and Mr Jenkins, dated 24 December 2010. Can you see that? A. Yes. Q. She's asking for help there as there are some branches for whom values appear marooned in the P&BA discrepancy account. If you just scroll down. It says: "Morning "Please see below from [P&BA]. They have identified some branches where values appear marooned in the P&BA discrepancy account ..." Yes? A. Yes. Q. And that they: "... either appear not to align to the values and last Fujitsu spreadsheet or have not been identified as part of this issue." Then there are a number of emails exchanged as

part of this collection of emails, with ultimately 100

1		a response from Mr Jenkins on page 1 of this collection.	1		Langfield), who can then get the Fujitsu Problem
2		We see this is an email from him to you moving forward	2		Management team to dig further."
3		a number of years to May 2012. He says:	3		So this is correspondence under two years after
4		"Sorry it has taken me so long to get back to you.	4		the problem was discovered and there appears, would you
5		"I've had a trawl back through my old emails and	5		agree, to have been some doubt as between Fujitsu and
6		what I've found is the following"	6		POL over which branches had been investigated as
7		He sets out in his paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 what he's	7		possibly impacted and which had not?
8		discovered in relation to two branches that were in the	8		Yes.
9		original list, associated with the lost discrepancy	9	Q.	At the very least, no shared understanding of what had
10		issue that had occurred in 2010. Then in paragraph 4 he	10		happened?
11		says:	11		Yes.
12		"I can also see that although [a branch number]	12	Q.	Who was responsible for investigating and understanding
13		was in the original list, it seems to know dropped off	13		the extent of the problem and updating the branches
14		very early. This is because it wasn't in the original	14	_	affected?
15		list from POL and also the precise symptoms in terms of	15	Α.	In terms of updating the branches, I would probably
16		[something] left behind were different. I can't find	16		suggest that that was P&BA. In terms of investigating,
17		any trace of any further investigation of this in my	17	-	I would imagine that would be the IT team.
18		emails.	18	Q.	But you have got in 2012 here Mr Jenkins saying that,
19		"Given that the discrepancy amounts in both cases	19		according to the documents that he can access, there's
20		was very large, I'd be surprised if they weren't	20		a branch with a very large discrepancy two branches
21		properly investigated at the time, but they don't seem	21		with a very large discrepancy and they don't seem to be
22		to be included in the lists relating to the '[something]	22		included in the list. Would you regard that as
23		Discrepancy' investigation.	23	_	problematic?
24		"If you want this followed up further I suggest	24		Yes.
25		you raise it with the POL Problem Management team (Emma 101	25	Q.	Given the seriousness with which the mismatch bug was 102
1		taken back in September/October 2010, we've seen the	1	А.	I would think so, yes.
2		documents around that, may this suggest that the	2	Q.	"Andrew Winn (Relationship Manager, Financial Service
3					
		follow-up on the impact on branches was taken less	3		Centre) has requested Service Management assistance in
4		follow-up on the impact on branches was taken less seriously?	3 4		Centre) has requested Service Management assistance in reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding
4 5	А.				
		seriously?	4		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding
5		seriously? I can't really say.	4 5		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches.
5 6		seriously? I can't really say. Did the Post Office take seriously the need to	4 5 6		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with
5 6 7		seriously? I can't really say. Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue	4 5 6 7		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the
5 6 7 8		seriously? I can't really say. Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches	4 5 6 7 8		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been
5 6 7 8 9		seriously? I can't really say. Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?	4 5 7 8 9		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past.
5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. <b>A</b> .	seriously? I can't really say. Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?	4 5 7 8 9 10		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. <b>A</b> .	seriously? I can't really say. Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation? Yes, as far as I was aware.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. <b>A</b> .	seriously? I can't really say. Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation? Yes, as far as I was aware. Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. <b>A</b> .	seriously? I can't really say. Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation? Yes, as far as I was aware. Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct."
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Q. <b>A</b> .	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. <b>A</b> .	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now ahead to April 2013. Can we go, please, to page 4 of</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details. Then if we go up the chain, please, to page 3
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. <b>A</b> .	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now ahead to April 2013. Can we go, please, to page 4 of the thread to begin it.</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details. Then if we go up the chain, please, to page 3 we'll see that a chaser is sent on 22 February. Just
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. <b>A</b> .	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now ahead to April 2013. Can we go, please, to page 4 of the thread to begin it.</li> <li>At the foot of the page, please, you will see</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details. Then if we go up the chain, please, to page 3 we'll see that a chaser is sent on 22 February. Just scroll down, please. Keep going, please. Yes, that's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. <b>A</b> .	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now ahead to April 2013. Can we go, please, to page 4 of the thread to begin it.</li> <li>At the foot of the page, please, you will see an email from David Wright, who was I think an IT</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details. Then if we go up the chain, please, to page 3 we'll see that a chaser is sent on 22 February. Just scroll down, please. Keep going, please. Yes, that's it, 22 February:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. <b>A</b> .	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now ahead to April 2013. Can we go, please, to page 4 of the thread to begin it.</li> <li>At the foot of the page, please, you will see an email from David Wright, who was I think an IT service adviser in service management, and it's an email</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details. Then if we go up the chain, please, to page 3 we'll see that a chaser is sent on 22 February. Just scroll down, please. Keep going, please. Yes, that's it, 22 February: "Hi Leighton,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now ahead to April 2013. Can we go, please, to page 4 of the thread to begin it.</li> <li>At the foot of the page, please, you will see an email from David Wright, who was I think an IT service adviser in service management, and it's an email to Andy Dunks and Penny Thomas, Steve Bansal, Scott</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details. Then if we go up the chain, please, to page 3 we'll see that a chaser is sent on 22 February. Just scroll down, please. Keep going, please. Yes, that's it, 22 February: "Hi Leighton, "I've just picked up a reply from an enquiry
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now ahead to April 2013. Can we go, please, to page 4 of the thread to begin it.</li> <li>At the foot of the page, please, you will see an email from David Wright, who was I think an IT service adviser in service management, and it's an email to Andy Dunks and Penny Thomas, Steve Bansal, Scott Somerside and to you?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details. Then if we go up the chain, please, to page 3 we'll see that a chaser is sent on 22 February. Just scroll down, please. Keep going, please. Yes, that's it, 22 February: "Hi Leighton, "I've just picked up a reply from an enquiry I made to Andy Winn in our Finance Service Centre (he
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now ahead to April 2013. Can we go, please, to page 4 of the thread to begin it.</li> <li>At the foot of the page, please, you will see an email from David Wright, who was I think an IT service adviser in service management, and it's an email to Andy Dunks and Penny Thomas, Steve Bansal, Scott Somerside and to you?</li> <li>Yes.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details. Then if we go up the chain, please, to page 3 we'll see that a chaser is sent on 22 February. Just scroll down, please. Keep going, please. Yes, that's it, 22 February: "Hi Leighton, "I've just picked up a reply from an enquiry I made to Andy Winn in our Finance Service Centre (he has been on leave) and disappointingly he has not heard
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. <b>A.</b> Q.	<ul> <li>seriously?</li> <li>I can't really say.</li> <li>Did the Post Office take seriously the need to understand the full impact of this significant issue and, in particular, the impact on individual branches and subpostmasters and ensure that all affected branches were identified and there was a proper investigation?</li> <li>Yes, as far as I was aware.</li> <li>Can we turn, please, to POL00098016. This continues the thread of discussion on the two outstanding queries on the receipts and payments mismatch bug and we're now ahead to April 2013. Can we go, please, to page 4 of the thread to begin it.</li> <li>At the foot of the page, please, you will see an email from David Wright, who was I think an IT service adviser in service management, and it's an email to Andy Dunks and Penny Thomas, Steve Bansal, Scott Somerside and to you?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>It reads "Andy", and I don't think that's you, that's</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		reopening Fujitsu investigations for two outstanding enquiries he has been dealing with for our branches. "At our recent service review meeting held with Leighton Machin he suggested your names as the appropriate contacts. Gareth Jenkins has also been approached in the past. "I have attached some information previously sharing via email but if you need more detail to help you resolve these incidents, please approach Andy Winn direct." Then they give your details. Then if we go up the chain, please, to page 3 we'll see that a chaser is sent on 22 February. Just scroll down, please. Keep going, please. Yes, that's it, 22 February: "Hi Leighton, "I've just picked up a reply from an enquiry I made to Andy Winn in our Finance Service Centre (he has been on leave) and disappointingly he has not heard anything from the contracts you suggested last month.

		sinks form a marked whether the data serve Devid Weight 2	4		
1		right, from somebody that worked to you, David Wright?	1		not received it did it just go to Andy?"
2	А.	I think I remember David vaguely, I think he might have	2		Then up to the bottom of page 1,
3		been working in NBSC at that time but I'm not sure he	3		you. Steve Bansal to a collection of peo
4		wasn't in P&BA Or Finance Service Centre, as it was	4		you:
5	-	then.	5		" accept my apologies thought
6	Q.	You can see his signature block there and he appears to	6		sent this mail some weeks ago."
7		be based in Dearne House in Barnsley?	7		He answers a query about Paysta
8	Α.	Right, okay.	8		Do you see that in his third paragraph?
9	Q.	Does that mean he was working for you or not?	9		Yes.
10	Α.	No.	10	Q.	"So to answer the question very Paysta
11	Q.	Anyway it's a chaser, and then if we go back to page 3,	11		transactions", which is something else,
12		please, at the foot of the page, another chaser a month	12	Α.	Yes.
13		later on 5 March. It's not month later, it's the	13	Q.	Then you reply that top of the page, if w
14		following month:	14		of the page. On 16 April 2013, you say:
15		"Hi Leighton	15		"Hi Steve
16		"Were you able to escalate this on our behalf?"	16		Yes it does
17		Then a reply from Fujitsu:	17		"However I'm far more concerned
18		"Apologies It seems it was not cascaded at the	18		outstanding enquiry relating to the recei
19		point of your last request (I will cascade it now!)	19		problem back in 2010 where we have two
20		"A response in one form or another will be	20		identify them] who appeared [to be] on t
21		provided by [close of play] Thursday."	21		of branches but not on the list of those r
22		Then up to the bottom of page 2, please,	22		still have a large unexplained credit on d
22		Mr Wright:	22		whilst we have recovered money from p
		-			
24		"Hi Leighton	24		despite them recording a significant sur
25		"Did a response get issued on Thursday? I have 105	25		time. 106
1		"I need to be clear that there is no unresolved	1		Why would you need to be clear
2		problem in case either branch appears in the ongoing	2		unresolved problem for that purpose , rat
3		Horizon integrity review.	3		in one case the subpostmaster might owe
4		"I know you have previously stated that a work	4		other case the subpostmaster is owed m
5		plan was set up to do some further analysis on [one of	5	Δ	There would be no difference between the
6		the branches] but [the Post Office] requested this not	6	-Λ.	needs to be resolved.
7		to proceed but I need to understand why they disappeared	7	0	But why was it important in case either t
8		from the resolved spreadsheet."	8	Q.	in the ongoing integrity review? Wouldr
9 10		So what you were doing, you were saying that	9		enough reason that we've taken some r
10		there's an issue that's now two to three years old	10		a subpostmaster's wages, perhaps wron
11		Yes.	11		an answer?
12	Q.	and there are two branches that are maybe affected in	12	А.	I would say any branch that we know has
13		different ways but I want a resolution?	13	_	need to resolve it as quickly as possible
14	Α.	Yes, I think from what I remember is that they were on	14		But we're two or three years on now?
15		an initial list, a kind of quite big list at the time,	15	Α.	Yes.
16		and Fujitsu said these have got an issue but they're not	16	Q.	Was it because the decks needed to be
17		the same as the receipts and payments, so we need to	17		you didn't want cases like this showing u
18		separate them, if I remember correctly.	18		integrity review, as you call it?

- 19 Q. For one of them, you had made a deduction -- the Post 20 Office had made a deduction from the SPM's remuneration? 21 A. Yes. Well, that's what it reads there.
- Q. You say: 22
- 23 "I need to be clear that there is no unresolved 24 problem in case either branch appears in the ongoing 25 Horizon integrity review."

		Then up to the bottom of page 1, please, thank
		you. Steve Bansal to a collection of people including
		you:
i		" accept my apologies thought as I thought I'd
;		sent this mail some weeks ago."
		He answers a query about Paystation transactions.
		Do you see that in his third paragraph?
)	Α.	Yes.
D	Q.	"So to answer the question very Paystation
1		transactions", which is something else, yes?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Then you reply that top of the page, if we go to the top
4		of the page. On 16 April 2013, you say:
5		"Hi Steve
6		Yes it does
7		"However I'm far more concerned about the
В		outstanding enquiry relating to the receipts & payments
9		problem back in 2010 where we have two branches[and you
D		identify them] who appeared [to be] on the initial list
1		of branches but not on the list of those resolved. We
2		still have a large unexplained credit on one branch
3		whilst we have recovered money from pay on the other
4		despite them recording a significant surplus at the
5		time.
		106

1		Why would you need to be clear that there's no
2		unresolved problem for that purpose , rather than because
3		in one case the subpostmaster might owe money and in the
4		other case the subpostmaster is owed money.
5	Α.	There would be no difference between the two. The issue
6		needs to be resolved.
7	Q.	But why was it important in case either branch appears
8		in the ongoing integrity review? Wouldn't it be a good
9		enough reason that we've taken some money out of
10		a subpostmaster's wages, perhaps wrongfully, that I need
11		an answer?
12	Α.	I would say any branch that we know has got a problem we
13		need to resolve it as quickly as possible.
14	Q.	But we're two or three years on now?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Was it because the decks needed to be cleared because
17		you didn't want cases like this showing up in the
18		integrity review, as you call it?
19	Α.	I don't think it was anything to do with debts, I think
20		it was to do with branches like that shouldn't have
21		issues that need resolving three weeks old, never mind
22		three years.
23	Q.	So the bug was a significant issue for the Post Office?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	It was covered in 2010 at some point. Was it causing 108

1		you some considerable concern, even aside from the	1
2		integrity review, that by 2013 you still didn't have	2
3		a clear picture as to who was affected and how?	3
4	Α.	No, because my belief was that if there was	4
5		a discrepancy if the receipts and payments impacted	5
6		a branch, then the balancing amount would land in our	6
7		discrepancy account and we'd got the discrepancy account	7
8		cleared down so that we could see any figure that was	8
9		because normally in a discrepancy account you would do	9
10		your branch trading, money would go into the discrepancy	10
11		account, the subpostmaster would pay it or we'd repay	11
12		the postmaster, and that amount would clear down to	12
13		zero. So basically the account, at that kind of time,	13
14		in 2013, was very clean.	14
15		So if any branch had a receipts and payments	15
16		mismatch, we would see it eventually, not in	16
17		a particularly timely manner, but we start seeing	17
18		figures rolling forward.	18
19	Q.	Can I turn to a different issue. That document can come	19
20		down, please.	20
21		Can we look and this is to do with remote	21
22		access at POL00023432. Can we look at the second	22
23		page first, please. Just to explain, the reason I'm	23
24		asking you these questions is because they concern your	24
25		engagement with subpostmasters and your knowledge about 109	25

"I have attached notes of the interview should you 1 2 want to refer to them although they are rather long. 3 There are two issues which the suspended subpostmaster, 4 Graham Ward, raised ..." 5 So just some context here, stopping at that point, 6 Graham Ward was a subpostmaster at that branch, the 7 Rivenhall branch, whose contract was terminated, we know

whose appeal against contract termination was dismissed, who became one of the 555 Claimants in the Group Litigation and who is a Core Participant in this Inquiry. His evidence was read to the Chairman in the course of the Human Impact hearings last year.

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

In short, he's a subpostmaster who lost his job, whose marriage broke down and who was left in debt with four young children.

Now, Mr Lusher encloses the interview transcript and gives a summary. Let's read the summary together:

18 "1. He claims that on a number of occasions figures have appeared in the cheques line of his 19 20 account. He suspects these have been input into his 21 account electronically without his knowledge or consent. 22 He is certain that he has cleared and remmed out cheques 23 in the correct way and tells me that cheques must be 24 properly cleared on the system to progress to a new 25 account."

1		remote access. So the chain starts, and it's only a two
2		email chain, from Mr Lusher can you see that at the
3		foot of the page who is a contracts adviser in the
4		network support team in Norwich.
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Just explain shortly what that role involved.
7	Α.	They are responsible for making sure that the contracts
8		were applied to subpostmasters and for by the
9		subpostmasters. They would be, if I remember right,
10		responsible for signing off any debt recoveries, major
11		debt recoveries. I never really saw a job description.
12		But contracts managers, we were regularly they would
13		be involved in becoming aware of debt and so there would
14		be quite a lot of conversation, communication between
15		contract managers and myself, some more than others.
16	Q.	If we go to the top of the page, we can see it's
17		an email from Mr Lusher to you of 15 October 2008, and
18		he says:
19		"Hi Andrew
20		"I spoke to you a few days ago about a suspension
21		at Rivenhall. From our conversation, I believe that you
22		had a good understanding of the problem and I would be
23		grateful for further guidance. Rivenhall is a one
24		position rural branch the only abnormal product being

position rural branch -- the only abnormal product being an ATM.

110

1		Just stopping there, can you explain what you
2		understand from what is being described there from
3		Mr Ward's account?
4	Α.	What he's saying is that he's seeing cheques appear on
5		his cheque line that he doesn't believe he has taken and
6		input to the Horizon System.
7	Q.	What does he seeing checks appearing on his cheque line
8		mean?
9	Α.	You can pull up a cheque holdings at any point which
10		will show the values that have been input there and that
11		will be what he's seeing.
12	Q.	He is saying that he has cleared and remmed the cheques.
13		What does he mean by that?
14	Α.	So at end of day you would well, not necessarily at
15		the end of the day, when the postman arrives for, say,
16		4.00, just before then you will look to see what cheques
17		you've got, check them against your cheque line, make
18		any adjustments if need be because people will make
19		mistakes of pressing cash, like they do every time. So
20		you need to introduce a cheque there. Rem out the
21		cheques. I can't remember the order of it but basically
22		you rem out the cheques which means you're dispatching
23		them
24	Q.	You handed the cheque over?
25	Α.	That's what you're telling the system. That wouldn't

1		you'd do that at the same time but not precisely the	1
2		same time and you would need to clear the cheque line,	2
3		cheque account.	3
4	Q.	le I've received a cheque from somebody I've now passed	4
5		the cheque on?	5
6	Α.	That's right.	6
7	Q.	In physically pass the cheque on?	7
8	Α.	Yes, that's what he's telling Horizon. There is a bit	8
9		and I'm afraid I can't describe it properly but there is	9
10		two elements to the process. One is the remming out of	10
11		the cheques and the other one is to clear the cheque	11
12		line. It sounds now it sounds there was some	12
13		logic to it but, at the moment, I can't explain why rem	13
14		out isn't there. But it did cause problems in terms of	14
15		if you get distracted and you forget you are doing it	15
16		and that can result in cheques appearing when you don't	16
17	-	expect them to be.	17
18	Q.	What he is saying is that he's certain he has cleared	18
19		and remmed out the cheques and yet there are some	19
20		cheques appearing on his cheque line which suggests that	20
21		he is still sitting on cheques?	21
22	A.	Yes.	22
23	Q.	He paragraph 2:	23
24 25		"He has made good about £10,000 and not made good about £11,000 of the shortages which arise from these	24 25
25		113	25
1		£200 example.	1
2	Α.	Yes.	2
3	Q.	You would agree, I think, that this is a very serious	3
4		issue being raised?	4
5	Α.	Yes, if it's backed up, yes.	5
6	Q.	It's serious for the subpostmaster, would you agree,	6
7		because on his account he's lost £10,000 of his own	7
8		money, yes?	8
9	<b>A</b> .	Yes. Oh, yes.	9
10	Q.	The system is showing that he owes a further £11,000.	10
11 12		It's serious for that reason, would you agree? Yes.	11
12 13	<b>A</b> . Q.	It's serious for him because he's been suspended?	12 13
13	Q. A.	Yes.	13
15	Q.	And it's serious for him because his contract might be	14
16	Q.	terminated and he would lose his job and his livelihood?	16
17	Α.	Yes.	10
18	<b>Q</b> .		18
19	۵.	because if a subpostmaster's saying that the system	10
20		that's used across the country has introduced a phantom	20
		sum into his cheque line of account, that's very	20
21			
21 22		serious?	22
	Α.		
22	<b>A.</b> Q.	serious?	22

1		figures. He claims that because of the abnormal nature
2		of these entries, the shortages have not just rolled
3		over from one branch trading statement to the next, but
4		have accumulated each being added to the last (eg if
5		the account in period one showed a shortage of £100
6		which was not made good, then the shortage shown in
7		period 2 would be £200)."
8		Then just scroll down a little bit:
9		"The subpostmaster's contract remain suspended.
0		I would be very grateful for your expert comment and
1		advice."
2		You would agree that the account summarised by
3		Mr Lusher of what Mr Ward was saying was a clear and
4		coherent one?
5	Α.	It appears it, yes.
6	Q.	He's saying he has put £10,000 of his own money in
7		already but he's not made good another £11,000 of
8		loss
9	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	and he's saying that the system's magnifying the
21		loss, even without him doing anything by simply moving
22		from one trading period to the next.
23	Α.	Well, more than that. It's doubling up every trading
24		period.
25	Q.	Yes it's magnifying, doubling up. He uses the £100 to 114
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Can we turn to your response, please, page 1. You
3		respond on 23 October, so his email was the 15th, this
4		is 23 October 2008, and you say:
5		"1. The only way POL can impact branch accounts
6		remotely is via the transaction correction process."
7		Reading a couple of sentences on sorry I will
8		read the next sentence:
9		"These have to be seared by the branch in the same
0		way that in/out remittances are I guess. If we were
1		able to do this, the integrity of the system would be
2		flawed. Fujitsu have the ability to impact branch
3		records via the message store but have extremely
4		rigorous procedures in place to prevent adjustments
5		being made without prior authorisation within POL and
6		Fujitsu."
7		Now, in your witness statement, you say that you
8		were aware that Fujitsu had what you describe as remote
9		access and this is an email suggesting you knew at least
20		by 2008, yes?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	And
23	Α.	I would say that my response there was a repetition of
24		a I would imagine from this point I went to someone
25		in Fujitsu or our IT side, asked them that question that

1		Alan had posed and repeated it back there. I don't	
2		think that kind of would have come just from me.	
3	Q.	Well, I was going to suggest this first part of	
4		paragraph 1 suggests some familiarity by you with	
5		Fujitsu's access controls, doesn't it?	
6	Α.	As a result of me Alan posed the question "Can we	
7		get can there be remote access" and I will have asked	
8		the question based on that question.	
9	Q.	How had you satisfied yourself as to the security of the	
10		Fujitsu access controls?	
11	Α.	I don't think I did.	
12	Q.	How could you be satisfied that they were being	
13		monitored effectively by Fujitsu?	
14	Α.	I couldn't.	
15	Q.	We know from some evidence that a man called Richard	
16		Roll gave in the Group Litigation and indeed from	
17		documents disclosed by Fujitsu to us in this Inquiry,	
18		that Fujitsu's third line of support were routinely	
19		using their ability to go into the system in a way that	
20		was described by them as "off piste". Did you know	
21		about that?	
22	Α.	No.	
23	Q.	That wasn't in accordance with the regularisation	
24		controls and protocols that were in place?	
25	Α.	Yes, I wasn't aware of that.	
		117	
1	Α.		
2		give me the authority to say that.	
3	Q.	You say that Mr Ward made a "casual accusation". In	
4		what respect was it casual?	
5	Α.	He didn't present any evidence to support his claim.	
6	Q.		
7	Α.	Bad choice of words, sorry.	
8	Q.	5	
9	Α.	5	
10	Q.	-	
11		thought, free and easy, something just falls from the	
12		lips without any real thought being given to it. How	
13		did you know it was a casual observation, a casual	
14		accusation?	
15	Α.	As I say, I cannot recall what investigation I carried	
16 17	~	out at the time.	
17	Q.	,	
18		tell us why you suggested to Mr Lusher that this	
19 20		subpostmaster was making a casual accusation, does it?	
20	Α.	I've already acknowledged that the term "casual" wasn't	
21	_	well used.	

- 22 Q. You didn't know whether it was a casual accusation or 23 not, did you?
- 24 A. I'm sorry, I feel as though we're going round in 25 circles. I would have carried out an investigation. 119

	-	
1	Q.	So what evidence did you have to believe that Fujitsu
2		were following protocol?
3	Α.	Just what I'd been told.
4	Q.	Who had told you that?
5	Α.	l don't know.
6	Q.	But you had been told something by the subpostmaster?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Why did you accept what Fujitsu were telling you rather
9		than what the subpostmaster was telling you?
10	Α.	I'm not sure if I did. I'm not sure what research
11		I did, investigation into the branch.
12	Q.	Anyway, you continue:
13		"These controls form the core of our court defence
14		if we get to that stage. He makes a casual accusation
15		that is extremely serious to the business. As usual he
16		should either produce the evidence for this or withdraw
17		the accusation."
18		By saying these controls form the core of our
19		court defence, you were indicating to Mr Lusher, weren't
20		you, that it was okay to say to Mr Graham Ward that the
21		Post Office was prepared to go all the way here "We're
22		willing to stand up in court and defend Horizon and its
23		operation by Fujitsu", weren't you?
24	Α.	Yes, I think so, yes.
25	Q.	You say that
		118

1		I assume I would have carried out an investigation.
2	Q.	There's no reference to an investigation in this email
3		by you.
4	Α.	Okay.
5	Q.	Is there?
6	Α.	I don't know. I can't see the full email.
7	Q.	Have a look at the full email. (Pause)
8	Α.	Can we move it down a little bit?
9	Q.	Yes, yes, please do.
10		There's no reference in that to you carrying out
11		an investigation at all, is there?
12	Α.	That's correct.
13	Q.	So I'll ask again: how did you know that this was
14		a casual accusation by Mr Ward?
15	Α.	Reading that, I should have done an investigation.
16	Q.	You say that that accusation, if we just go back up to
17		paragraph 1, and in the second part of paragraph 1,
18		starting "These controls", in the second sentence:
19		"He makes a casual accusation that is extremely
20		serious to the business."
21		Can you see that?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Why was it extremely serious to the business?
24	Α.	Because if the accusation was correct, then that would
25		support the lack of integrity into the system. 120

## The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1	0	Why was the seriousness of the business seemingly your
2	Q.	principal concern, rather than the merits of the issue
2		that had been raised by this subpostmaster?
4	Α.	I think the nature of the business at the state of
4 5	А.	play within the business at that time, with the concern
6		about Horizon integrity.
_	0	6 9
7	Q.	This is 2008. This is before the <i>Computer Weekly</i>
8		article had broken.
9	A.	- ,
10	Q.	Was the integrity of the business your principal concern
11		rather than the actual merits of an issue that had been
12		raised by a subpostmaster?
13	Α.	No, I would say exactly the opposite and I'm not happy
14		about this.
15	Q.	You're not happy about?
16	Α.	About my actions on this one
17	Q.	You continue
18	Α.	or lack of action.
19	Q.	You continue:
20		"As usual he should either produce the evidence
21		for this or withdraw the accusation."
22		This was a subpostmaster saying that the system
23		was introducing phantom figures information his cheque
24		line.
25	Α.	Yes.
		121

1	Q.	Who had determined that it was for subpostmasters to
2		prove that what they were saying was true, rather than
3		raising an issue and it being investigated by P&BA?
4	Α.	The
5	Q.	le before we'll start an investigation, you've got to
6		prove what you say is true, otherwise we won't start
7		an investigation.
8	Α.	No. No, I think I think this probably suggests that
9		this was done in the very early days of me taking on the
10		role. Would that tie up with dates? I would say that
11		I got significantly better it my job as I gained
12		experience on doing it and I'm not happy looking at
13		this.
14	Q.	You commenced that sentence:
15		"As usual he should"
16		That suggests a stock line, doesn't it?
17	Α.	Well, so the bulk of what you are looking at is
18		disputing transaction corrections, that was at that time
19		probably the bulk of my work. So if a subpostmaster
20		said "This transaction correction isn't correct", we'd
21		ask them why they're saying that. So "we provided the
22		evidence for the transaction correction, what are you
23		producing to suggest it's not correct?" I think I would
24		have if this would have been three or four years
25		later, I think I would have dealt with it in 123

1	Q.	How could he possibly produce evidence of that?
2	Α.	You can print the cheque listing reports. It can be
3		printed out. So he could have done before and afters
4		with the remming out of cheques. So if he remmed out
5		$\pounds$ 100 of cheques and then it reappears again, then those
6		receipts
7	Q.	So why didn't you say that? "Just show us these". You
8		are being very combative here, aren't you? "He makes
9		a casual accusation"
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	"that is extremely serious to the business he
12		should either produce evidence or withdraw it."
13	Α.	Yes, I would agree that this is not the kind of letter
14		I would typically write.
15	Q.	You seem concerned that he was slandering the business,
16		don't you?
17	Α.	Not really.
18	Q.	Produce evidence or withdraw that accusation, is what
19		you're saying here, aren't you?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	Would you put the reputation of the business ahead of
22		investigating the merits of what was being said by
23		a subpostmaster?
24	Α.	No, that would not be the way I would like to think
25		l approached a job.
		122

1		a completely different way.
2	Q.	In paragraph 2, you say:
3		"What 'the abnormal nature of these entries'
4		means, I assume no-one knows."
5		He was saying that figures appeared in his cheque
6		line of account without his knowledge or consent.
7		That's pretty abnormal, isn't it?
8	Α.	Mm-hm.
9	Q.	Why were you saying "What" quoting back to him, to
10		Mr Lusher "'the abnormal nature of these entries'
11		means, I assume no-one knows", when it was perfectly
12		obvious that they were abnormal, they were phantom
13		figures appearing in the cheque line? Why were you
14		taking that point when it was perfectly clear what this
15		subpostmaster was saying?
16	Α.	I can't respond to that, sorry.
17	Q.	You continue:
18		"The implication is that he acknowledges that when
19		he 'made good' at branch trading he did not and
20		falsified his branch trading statement and rolled the
21		loss forward."
22		You were being told by Mr Lusher that the
23		subpostmaster had put £10,000 of his own money in,
24		weren't you, in the previous email?
25	Α.	Yes.
		124

1	Q.	And you here appear to be criticising the subpostmaster	1
2		for doing so, saying that he's guilty of falsification,	2
3		aren't you? When he made good, ie that's putting the	3
4		£10,000 in	4
5	Α.	I think what I was saying is he's told Horizon that he's	5
6		put £10,000 in but then immediately the so he does	6
7		his cash declaration at branch trading, £10,000 short,	7
8		he makes good cash 10,000, so it balances. The next day	8
9		the $\pounds10,000$ shortage reappears again, which would	9
10		suggest he's not physically put the £10,000 into the	10
11		till.	11
12	Q.	That's not what you are saying there at all.	12
13	Α.	Isn't it?	13
14	Q.	You are saying that the system is showing a $\pounds 20,000$ debt	14
15		on the cheque line, he acknowledges some of that debt	15
16		when he's made good at branch, by putting £10,000 in,	16
17		and he's, therefore, falsified his branch trading	17
18		statements, aren't you?	18
19	Α.	No, I don't think so. I mean, we're not talking about	19
20		the cheque line anyway here. We're talking about the	20
21		cash position. So what I'm saying is that the derived	21
22		cash position was $\pounds20,000$ that should be cash. He only	22
23		physically had $\pounds$ 10,000 in the till. He's then told	23
24		he's then increased his cash declaration to 20,000. The	24
25		system is rolled forward in a balanced state but because 125	25
1	А.	l don't "guilty" doesn't sound a	1
2	Q.	It's not a nice word, is it?	2
3	Α.	No, no.	3
4	Q.	But it's accurate.	4
5	Α.	No, I don't think it is.	5
6	Q.	You assume that	6
7	Α.	I believed that the loss was proper to the branch.	7

- 7 A. I believed that the loss was proper to the branch.
  8 I think guiltiness is not something that I was -- would
  9 be thinking.
- 10 Q. You assume that he's culpable for wrongdoing --
- 11 A. I believed he was --
- 12 Q. -- unless he prove otherwise?
- A. -- culpable for the loss, yes, unless he can give me any
  indication he was not.
- Q. Where he was saying that the problem is due to an error
  in Horizon, its programming, a bug or some such in the
  code or in the data, how was he to prove that?
  - A. I guess I can't answer that.
- Q. We've heard evidence from many subpostmasters that once
  they were suspended they were locked out of their
  offices. You knew that, didn't you?
  - A. Probably. It wasn't --
- Q. This subpostmaster was suspended. If he was locked outof his office, how would he prove it?

A. Yes, fair point.

18

22

25

- the £10,000 didn't physically go into the till, when he did his next cash declaration the £10,000 shortage was still there again. Q. Moving on at the foot of the page, two paragraphs up, you say: "If that does not satisfy him he would need to establish that his trial balance actually balances. If it does (and it will) he would need to demonstrate where balancing [£10,000] element of the loss is. "These are all things for him to prove. If he can support any of his allegations we will investigate -and be extremely worried whilst doing so." In the course of these paragraphs you say, I think four times, that it's down to the subpostmaster to prove; for the subpostmaster to establish; for the subpostmaster to demonstrate; for the subpostmaster to support what he's saying. Was the assumption by you that, if there was no obvious answer or cause for a discrepancy, it was assumed to be the fault of the subpostmaster unless they proved otherwise? A. I think that's probably a fair comment. Q. And, therefore, you are applying an approach of assuming that the subpostmaster's guilty until he proves he's
  - 126

innocent?

1	Q.	What is the answer to it?
2	Α.	l don't know.
3	Q.	Is this email a reflection of the fact that it was
4		important for you to maintain the position that Horizon
5		was infallible, was foolproof, and that if he could
6		support any of his allegations that would unfortunately
7		undermine that position?
8	Α.	I think it's a reflection of me being new to a role and
9		not doing it very well at that point and, as I said, if
10		I was doing it a couple of years later I would have
11		approached it a lot better. So I think I was probably
12		following the company line to some extent at that point.
13	Q.	You say, at the foot of the page, in the last line:
14		"If he can support any of his allegations we will
15		investigating and be extremely worried whilst doing
16		so."
17		Is that because it would undermine the
18		infallibility of Horizon, the line that the Post Office
19		took?
20	Α.	Yes, I think if cheques were phantom cheques were
21		appearing on a branch's account, then I would be
22		extremely worried and I've kind of agonised over that
23		concept for quite a while and I still cannot understand
24		how that would happen. But if it does, it does.
25	Q.	Wouldn't it cause an extreme worry that a man suspended 128

1		from his job, about to lose his livelihood, career and	1		"We've had a media inquiry from Retail Newsagent
2		marriage was, in fact, innocent of any wrongdoing?	2		magazine; they have been talking to a subpostmaster who
3		Yes.	3		has said that his branch was closed in [September] 2008
4	Q.	Was the attitude of mind that we see displayed in this	4		because of financial irregularities which he claims are
5		email reflective of a culture in which you worked and at	5		the fault or Horizon.
6		this time?	6		"I am providing our stock line which states the
7		Possibly.	7		system is robust but in case we get more questions on
8	Q.	Put it another way: if others in your department had	8		this please can you advise if you have any record of
9 10		been asked to respond to Mr Lusher's email, would they	9 10		an investigation for this individual and any relevant details Graham Ward, Rivenhall Oak Stores & Post
10		have responded broadly as you did on the key issues or are you the outlier that took a particularly hard line	10		Office in Witham."
12		on subpostmasters?	12		Can we go back over the page to page 1, please
12	Α.		12		the bottom half of the page, please. Michele Graves,
13	<b>Q</b> .		13		the Executive Correspondence Manager for the Executive
15	Q. A.	Yes.	14		Correspondence Team, replies:
16		Can I turn to a related email exchange concerning	16		"I have been corresponding with Mr Ward for
17	α.	Mr Ward, POL00002268. Just forgive me whilst I catch up	10		a while. You may recall he is on the spreadsheet
18		with my hard copy papers.	18		I pulled together. I'll send over what I have. The
19		Can we turn to page 2, please. Just scroll down	10		issue is basically the same Horizon is at fault
20		just so we can see the signature block, please. This is	20		and he has focused on some cheques despatched from his
21		an email dated 1 February 2010 from Hayley Fowell,	21		branch that [I think that's] then showed up on his
22		external relations manager at the Royal Mail Group, and	22		cheque line. The termination went to appeal and the
23		it concerns Mr Ward, the man we have been looking at.	23		decision to terminate was upheld. Mr Ward's MP is
24		It's to David Smith, Michele Graves and Dave Hulbert:	24		Brooks Newmark who I believe has raised Parliamentary
25		"Hi all,	25		questions re Horizon integrity.
		129			130
1		"Andy [I think that's you now copied into this	1		" check recent communications don't suggest
2		chain], you also asked me for an update on Mr Ward	2		that we shouldn't restart the debt recovery process."
3		recently if you have any new info, can you please let	3		Why would the recent communications affect the
4		Hayley know."	4		restarting of the debt recovery process?
5		Then at the top of the page, you reply:	5	Α.	It depends what the communications have been and whether
6		"Hi Hayley, we're due to restart our former agent	6	_	the business approach had been changed.
7		debt recovery process. I just wanted to check the	7	Q.	This was now some time on from your response in 2008
8		recent communications to ensure there was nothing there	8		that there would be no further investigation by the Post
9		to suggest we should not do these. Let me know if we	9		Office, unless Mr Ward could prove what he was saying,
10		should not pursue at this stage."	10		and asserting your confidence in Fujitsu's security
11		In this email chain, there's a reference to the	11		procedures.
12		"stock line", the stock line which states that Horizon	12		Were you concerned at all that Mr Ward was
13		is robust. Is that something that you were aware of?	13		continuing to protest his innocence and to seek answers
14 15		Yes.	14		about why there had been unexplained entries in his
15 16	Q.	Would you agree that a stock line is a standard response, a hackneyed response	15 16		accounts? I was conscious that he was obviously still protesting
10	•	Yes.	10	А.	his innocence, but I wasn't doing anything about it
17	A.		17		
10	Q. A.	to which no real thought or attention has been given? No.	10	0	because there was nothing I could do about it. Why was there nothing you could do about it?
	_				Because there would be no access to Horizon information
20 21	Q.	Does that not reflect then your understanding of the use of the phrase "stock line"?	20 21	А.	
21	۸	I would say my understanding is that it's used by	21	$\cap$	at that point. Why?
22	А.	everybody, but I don't think it undermines the integrity	22		You'd have to ask Fujitsu and our IT department that.
23 24		behind that belief.	23 24	А.	You can only you could only go back so far.
24	0	You say you wanted to:	24	0	How at that stage could Mr Ward establish his innocence?
20	. સ	131	20	. બ	132

1	A. I can't say.	1	Now, let's just remind ourselves of what Solution
2	<b>MR BEER:</b> Yes, thank you very much, Mr Winn. Those are the	2	One referred to, Mr Winn. Solution one was:
3	only questions I ask at the moment.	3	"Alter the Horizon Branch figure at the counter to
4	I wonder whether we might take a 15-minute break	4	show the discrepancy. Fujitsu would have to manually
5	and then the questions for the Core Participants can	5	write an entry value to the local branch account."
6	commence at 3 pm?	6	Then it says:
7	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, that's fine.	7	"IMPACT when the branch comes to complete next
8	MR BEER: Thank you very much, sir.	8	Trading Period they would have a discrepancy, which they
9	(2.47 pm)	9	would have to bring to account."
10	(A short break)	10	Now under "RISK":
11	(3.00 pm)	11	"This has significant data integrity concerns and
12	MR BEER: Sir, thank you. I think Mr Stein is going to ask	12	could lead to questions of 'tampering' with the branch
13	questions first.	13	system and could generate questions around how the
14	Questioned by MR STEIN	14	discrepancy was caused. This solution could have moral
15	MR STEIN: Good afternoon, Mr Winn, my name is Sam Stein.	15	implications of Post Office changing branch data without
16	I represent a large number of subpostmasters and	16	informing the branch."
17	mistresses.	17	Now, you've just been asked before the short
18	I am going to take you back, first of all, to the	18	break, a few minutes ago, a number of questions about
19	mismatch document, which I hope I've got the correct	19	Rivenhall Post Office and about questions that related
20	reference number to, which is POL00028838.	20	to interference with the data that was being seen by the
21	Can we go to page 3, please. Mr Winn, you were	21	postmaster there, Mr Ward, yes? At this particular
22	taken to this document earlier on by Mr Beer who	22	meeting you are being told it is possible to tamper with
23	highlighted with you the various solutions that were	23	branch office accounts and, indeed, the conclusion is,
24	discussed on this page. Frankie, can you highlight	24	as regards that, that that could have moral implications
25	Solution One, please, in yellow thank you. 133	25	of Post Office changing branch data without informing 134
1	the branch.	4	ofter the luncheen edicumment today, the one that is
1 2		1 2	after the luncheon adjournment today, the one that is
2	Was this the first time that you'd learnt that	3	dated 8 October 2010 and Mr Beer took you to this and dealt with particular points.
4	Fujitsu could alter branch accounts? A. I don't know.	4	Can I take you to the second paragraph. So this
4 5	Q. Well, it's a fairly significant issue to have come up in	5	is about the mismatch meeting notes:
6	this particular meeting, bearing in mind you are dealing	6	"The above includes Fujitsu's initial analysis and
7	with Rivenhall; do you agree?	7	proposed solution/s."
8	A. Yes.	8	Can we scroll up to the above bit please, Frankie.
9	Q. As an example did you say to yourself that we'd better	9	So the attachments in relation to this email are
10	do something about Rivenhall because this appears to be	10	the "ReceiptsPayments notes[version]5", which we believe
10	show that Mr Ward there may be right, that data there	10	are the mismatch notes that you have been asked a number
12	could be interfered with without his knowledge?	12	of questions about and then, after the colon,
13	<b>A.</b> I think there's a difference between entering data to	13	highlighted there, "Lost Discrepancies", that's
14	resolve a problem rather than what implication was for	14	a document drafted by Mr Jenkins, okay.
15	Mr Ward of somebody introducing cheques for no apparent	15	Let's go back to what we're seeing in the middle
16	reason.	16	of this email. You said in your evidence today to the
17	Q. I see. Did you, in any way, investigate the issue that	10	Chair of this Inquiry that you thought that Fujitsu had
18	comes from this when you thought about Mr Ward's	18	proposed the three solutions in the mismatch meeting
19	situation?	19	notes?
20	A. No.	20	A. Yes.
20	Q. You understand the link, don't you?	20	<ul><li>Q. Now, this particular email from Alan Simpson who</li></ul>
21	<ul> <li>A. I do now, yes. I probably wouldn't have at that point.</li> </ul>	21	attended that meeting is saying the above the above
22	Q. I will take you to another document, please, which is	22	attachments includes Fujitsu's initial analysis and
23	POL00055410. Thank you. Further down the page please,	23	proposed solutions. Does that help you in your
24	Frankie, and this is a document you were shown just 135	24 25	recollection that it was Fujitsu that had put forward 136

1		the solutions that you have been taken to today?	1
2	A.	It supports what we were saying, yes.	2
3	Q.	Now, as regards those solutions, did anybody consider at	3
4		the meetings in relation to the mismatch bug and	4
5		software error, did anybody consider the legal	5
6		implications of keeping information back from	6
7		subpostmasters being prosecuted?	7
8	A.	I can't remember that being discussed, no.	8
9	Q.		9
10		a criminal offence of keeping that information back?	10
11 12	<b>A</b> .	No.	11 12
12	Q. <b>A</b> .	No? No.	12
13 14			13
14	Q.	"The above includes Fujitsu's initial analysis and	14
16		proposed solution/s, whilst the other documents the	15
17		outputs from various meetings held this week."	10 17
18		Let's take that apart into two bits. So it looks	17
19		as though, regarding the mismatch discussions, that	18
20		there were various meetings. Is that your recollection?	20
20	A.	I don't remember attending various meetings it may	20
22	А.	have been phone conferences rather than meetings.	21
23	0	Would it be normal for these meetings to have notes	23
24	α.	taken?	24
25	Α.	Well, somebody would have been responsible, yes,	25
20	7.0	137	20
4			4
1		there has been no re-occurrence to the phantom	1
2		transactions but there still may be problems."	2
3		Let's unpick what this all means. Romec the Royal	3 4
4 5	Α.	Mail or Post Office engineers; is that correct? Yes.	4 5
6	<b>A.</b> Q.	This is referring to phantom transactions coming up on	6
7	Q.	the screen; do you agree?	0 7
8	Α.	Yes.	8
9	<b>Q</b> .	Phantom transactions in relation to the date of this	9
10	Q.	particular entry seems to have gone back to quite early	10
11		days of Legacy Horizon; do you agree?	10
12	A.	Yes.	12
13	Q.		12
14	α.	a problem?	10
15	Α.	No.	15
16	Q.		16
17	α.	about 2004 about phantom or ghost transactions?	13
18	Α.	No, sorry, no.	18
19	Q.	-	19
20	۹.	Bearing in mind that we're talking about phantom or	20
21		ghost transactions appearing on a subpostmaster's	20
22		screen, was this some information that would have been	22
23		useful for your consideration in handling postmaster	23
24		issues?	24
25	Α.	Yes, I think it would be fair to say that when I left	25
-		139	

		l believe so, yes.
	Q.	Presumably, the end result of such meetings would be
		notes and minutes?
	Α.	Yes.
	Q.	I am going to take you, please, to then a different
		document, which is POL00029224, page 5.
		If you can scroll down the page. This document,
		I am afraid, is difficult. We might be able to improve
		it by expanding it slightly, Frankie. Go further down
)		the page, please, and again a little bit further down
1		again. You will see at the bottom of that page, if we
2		can highlight from "Information: Ki Barnes has called
3		in", can we zero on that and expand and highlight.
4		Now, this particular document, as you can see,
5		it's 2001, it looks like, and the reference is to
6		phantom transactions, okay?
7	Α.	Mm-hm.
3	Q.	So I'll read what we have here. This is a record of
9		this matter being dealt with as a PEAK, which is part of
)		the system being used by Fujitsu:
1		"I am unsure as to what to do with this call now.
2		Romec have been to site and state that they have
3		actually seen the phantom transactions, so it is not
4		just the PM's word now. They have fitted suppressors to
5		the kit but the PM is still having problems. As yet
		138
		the business I didn't accept the concept of phantom
	_	transactions and that was in 2016.
	Q.	Right. Now, in your evidence earlier on, when you were
		discussing matters with Mr Beer, you appeared to be
		familiar with the concept of phantom transactions being
		raised. You weren't saying to him "I don't know what
		you're talking about, Mr Beer". So when did you start
		to become aware of the topic of phantom transactions?
_	Α.	I would have said it would be when Second Sight started
)		their investigation but thakind of feels a bit too
1		late, to be honest. So I probably heard the term before
2		but I struggled with the concept of it.
3	Q.	Ms Ingham, who ran the Cockfield Post Office in County
4		Durham recalls you speaking to her about ghost
5		transactions in around 2004?
3	Α.	2004, okay.
7	Q.	Thinking back, do you think that's possible?
3	Α.	I'm trying to think what role I'd be in in 2004.
9		I guess that was in problem management, was it?
)	Q.	Very early days of that, we think.
1	Α.	No, I don't know if I was in problem management then or
2		in the Transaction Improvement Team, Network Improvement
3		Team.
4	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: If it helps, I think early on in your
5		evidence you were referred to paragraph 1 of your

(35) Pages 137 - 140

1	witness statement where you suggested that you'd gone to	1	"Thanks, Rod."
2	the problem management team in 2005 but, in fact, there	2	So you are being referred then to the further
3	was a document which showed that you were there in 2003.	3	information.
4	A. Okay	4	If we just go down, we'll see therefore the
5	MR STEIN: So it seems likely this had been once you moved	5	connected email, which says from Pete Newsome to Rod
6	into the problem management role. Do you think then,	6	Ismay:
7	looking back and looking at the collection of	7	"Rod
8	information you now have, that there was an awareness	8	"Looks like this branch had a different problem so
9	within the Post Office of phantom transactions?	9	was removed from the original list. The email below
10	A client of mine recalls speaking to you about	10	explains what happened and how we advised Post Office on
11	ghost transactions, that at that time in 2004 you	11	the situation. Have an answer on second branch as well,
12	probably did know something about the topic of phantom	12	will send that through shortly."
13	transactions.	13	Again, if we can go further down the page then to
14	A. Obviously, it had been raised. I wouldn't argue with	14	the second email, headed from Mark Wright. Then that
15	your client's recollections. I don't recall it myself.	15	one from Mark Wright to Pete Newsome, Gareth Jenkins,
16	Q. Now I will ask you about a different document, please,	16	involving also Steve Parker, Steve Bansal and John
17	POL00029719.	17	Simpkins:
18	Now, this is an email, Mr Winn, from Rod Ismay.	18	"We've unearthed the following email"
19	You can see the date of this. This is 3 July 2013, sent	19	Then there's some figures given "122946", and then
20	to you and the subject matter is "Branches affected by	20	go to the second page, please, Frankie. It's probably
21	Receipts Payments and Discrepancies issue", okay. So	21	easiest to look at the second paragraph:
22	it's a follow-on from such matters. If you go further	22	"Branch 122946 rolled from TP4 to TP5 on
23	down, you can see that it says:	23	11 August. They accepted a gain of £34,330.88 which was
24	"1 of 2 emails re the 2 branches on the other	24	settled centrally. The BTS shows a trading position of
25	list.	25	£22,021.65.
	141		142

"The branch was included in investigations into

1 2 receipts payments problems at the beginning of October, 3 and was found to be a different problem from the others 4 also under investigation at the time, so was not 5 included in the later spreadsheets sent to POL." 6 Mr Winn, you have been asked various questions 7 about what happened in the mismatch payments issues and 8 about the different solutions that were proposed to the

mismatch difficulties and problems. You've been asked 9 10 questions about whether the postmasters should have been informed that "Here's a problem, here's a bug", that can 11 12 affect their accounts. 13 What happened regarding this? Did you tell 14 postmaster branches that "There's another problem,

another bug, that can affect your figures?"

A. I cannot remember what the outcome from these was.

- 17 Q. Do you recall whether there were similar discussions to 18 perhaps keep this buried and not tip off the 19 subpostmasters?
- 20 A. No, I'm sorry. Do we know what the name of the branches are, see if that rings any bells with me. 21
  - Q. I'm not sure I do. If I have the information I will come back to you.
  - Α. Okay.

15

16

22

23

24

25

Q. Next document, please, POL00004694, pages 1 and 2. I'm 143

1		grateful.
2		So this email dated 10 May 2010 from Nigel Allen
3		to you, and you will see the reference to "Barkham" and
4		then the number appropriate for Barkham "Outstanding
5		losses". This is from Nigel Allen, who was he?
6	Α.	l believe he was a contract manager.
7	Q.	Right. To you:
8		"Andy
9		"What is this [£25,000] of returned cash on 5 Jan?
10		"Has this been properly recorded on the Horizon
11		System?
12		"Was it received back at the Cash Centre?"
13		If we go down to the second page, please, I think
14		a little bit further down, please, thank you. Now, this
15		particular branch concerns Pam Stubbs. Does that name
16		ring a bell?
17	Α.	It doesn't, I'm afraid.
18	Q.	Ms Stubbs was blamed for a shortfall of $\pounds 28,000$ . She
19		lost her business and was pursued by the Post Office for
20		settlement of eventually £36,000. She had been told by
21		Fujitsu engineers that the movement of terminals, the
22		putting in and taking out of terminals, without proper
23		safeguards could cause faults. Were you aware of that?
24	Α.	That doesn't ring any bells. No.
25	Q.	If we can go further down to the bottom of this
		144

	Go a little bit further down the page so we can see the letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I am going to be able to help you." You go on to say this: "Some transactions will never be recoverable, eg stamp sales whilst others, eg card account withdrawals will be recoverable dependent upon the point at which the communications broke down. I appreciate it is difficult to know where you are if communications are lost." So Mr Winn, can we establish that in at least 11 October 2011 your knowledge about connectivity	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<b>A.</b> Q.	"However there is a general principle that if a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full." So this is clearly a discussion about connectivity issues that may have caused a branch transaction difficulty; do you agree? Yes. Why here are you saying that you are not able to help: "I'm sorry to learn your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I'm going to be able to help you." Why is that the attitude of the Post Office? I think there was a recovery process to follow. Quite
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I am going to be able to help you." You go on to say this: "Some transactions will never be recoverable, eg stamp sales whilst others, eg card account withdrawals will be recoverable dependent upon the point at which the communications broke down. I appreciate it is difficult to know where you are if communications are lost."	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A.	a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full." So this is clearly a discussion about connectivity issues that may have caused a branch transaction difficulty; do you agree? Yes. Why here are you saying that you are not able to help: "I'm sorry to learn your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I'm going to be able to help you."
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I am going to be able to help you." You go on to say this: "Some transactions will never be recoverable, eg stamp sales whilst others, eg card account withdrawals will be recoverable dependent upon the point at which the communications broke down. I appreciate it is difficult to know where you are if communications are	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full." So this is clearly a discussion about connectivity issues that may have caused a branch transaction difficulty; do you agree? Yes. Why here are you saying that you are not able to help: "I'm sorry to learn your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I am going to be able to help you." You go on to say this: "Some transactions will never be recoverable, eg stamp sales whilst others, eg card account withdrawals will be recoverable dependent upon the point at which the communications broke down. I appreciate it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full." So this is clearly a discussion about connectivity issues that may have caused a branch transaction difficulty; do you agree? Yes. Why here are you saying that you are not able to help: "I'm sorry to learn your branch has experienced
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I am going to be able to help you." You go on to say this: "Some transactions will never be recoverable, eg stamp sales whilst others, eg card account withdrawals will be recoverable dependent upon the point	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full." So this is clearly a discussion about connectivity issues that may have caused a branch transaction difficulty; do you agree? Yes. Why here are you saying that you are not able to help:
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I am going to be able to help you." You go on to say this: "Some transactions will never be recoverable, eg stamp sales whilst others, eg card account	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full." So this is clearly a discussion about connectivity issues that may have caused a branch transaction difficulty; do you agree? Yes.
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I am going to be able to help you." You go on to say this: "Some transactions will never be recoverable,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full." So this is clearly a discussion about connectivity issues that may have caused a branch transaction difficulty; do you agree?
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I am going to be able to help you." You go on to say this:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full." So this is clearly a discussion about connectivity issues that may have caused a branch transaction
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm afraid I don't think I am going to be able to help you."	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full." So this is clearly a discussion about connectivity
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has experienced connectivity problems in September. I'm	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery procedures in full."
9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy "I'm sorry to learn that your branch has	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief to branches who may not have followed recovery
9 Q. 10 11 12 13	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal "Re Branch Discrepancy	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this: "Unfortunately I am not able to offer any relief
9 Q. 10 11 12	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011. "Dear Mr Afzal	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen." Then you go on to say this:
9 Q. 10 11	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October 2011.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery should be chosen."
9 Q. 10	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the date of it, please, if we just show that: 11 October	5 6 7 8 9 10		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to be recoverable, the option not to proceed with recovery
9 Q.	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes. So we're going back to the start of this letter and the	5 6 7 8 9		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the customer may have left. If the transaction is seen to
	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal? Yes.	5 6 7 8		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction status. Clearly if recovery takes a period of time, the
	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you to Mr Afzal?	5 6 7		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not change hands until you are certain of the transaction
	letter at the bottom, please. So we can see, Mr Winn, there's a letter from you	5 6		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon, the transaction has not completed and cash should not
7	letter at the bottom, please.	5		a transaction receipt has not been produced by Horizon,
6				
5	Go a little bit further down the page so we can see the	4		"However there is a general principle that if
4	_			······
3	document POL00090726. Page 15 of this document first.	3		Keep reading:
	Then, lastly for my part, and I take you to another	2	Α.	Yes.
1	investigation.	1		problems was that it could lose data?
	145	20		146
25	suggesting that it's careless, is that in the same line	24		but apart from that I couldn't see any other route for
23	an investigation as regards this branch, which is	23	<b>~</b> .	back to Fujitsu to get a report on the polling issues
22	Now, that level of judgement in relation to	22	۸	I thought it was obviously thought it was worth going
21	smacks of carelessness at least."	21		fact, properly investigate this?
20	is possible they did realise once the rem had gone but	20 21		this stage that the best thing to do would be to, in
20	like this would not have been made that realising. It	19 20		you had been made aware of, after the mismatch bug, such discussions in relation to that, you didn't think at
18	thought that with the issues involved that a mistake	10	હ.	<b>0</b>
18	shortage in a rem to the cash centre. One would have	17		Given at this stage, this is after the other issues that
18	transaction correction] issued recently for a cash	10	Q. A.	Well, that would be Fujitsu who would do that.
15	"What may or may not be interesting is a [£1,000	15	<b>А.</b> Q.	What about a deeper investigation as to polling issues?
14	into their accounts.	14	Q. A.	-
13	suddenly going to start alerting us to strange deposits	13 14		Does it?
12	can see nothing so it is unlikely that customers are	12	۸	It feels sensible.
12	She has already checked her transactional records and	11		Is that the right approach, Mr Winn?
	for the losses or that should have compensated for them.	10		Signed "Andy".
9 10	"There are no transaction corrections that account	9 10		back to bite us."
8 9	I can add as there is nothing recorded that would account for the different losses.	8 9		from Fujitsu to confirm there is nothing that could have failed to have polled/software anomalies that will come
8		8		
7	NBSC) would have suggested. There is not a lot of value	7		happy to. It may be worth getting something in writing
6	letter suggests she has done all the checks we (and	6	પ.	"If there is anything specific to investigate I am
5	"We are aware of the problems at the branch . The	5		Then it goes on to say this:
4	So the email is saying:	4	۸	subpostmaster fault where there are issues? I don't think it particularly states that.
2 3	reference that I'm about to make is "If there is anything specific to investigate". Thank you.	2 3		the Post Office, that it's likely to be down to
1	particular email and then the starting point for the	1		as your views earlier, that this is a general view of

1		why the if it wasn't followed properly, it could	1	
2		cause issues. That must have been a business decision	2	
3		that we're unable to resolve. I can't really remember	3	
4		it well enough, I'm afraid.	4	
5	Q.	Let's be as generous as we can to the Post Office at	5	
6		this juncture. We've got connectivity issues being	6	
7		caused by the system that the Post Office branches have	7	
8		to use, okay. That's at least a large part of this	8	
9		problem. Why isn't the Post Office saying "Sorry to	9	
10		hear about that problem, obviously this at the very	10	
11		least is partly our fault, we will help come sort it out	11	
12		and repay your losses". Why isn't that happening?	12	
13	Α.	That sounds reasonable.	13	
14	Q.	It does. Why wasn't it happening, Mr Winn?	14	
15	Α.	I can't say.	15	
16	Q.	Can we go then to the page 14, please. Society	16	
17		14 October 2011 to Mr Afzal, Ferry Road Post Office.	17	
18		"Dear Mr Afzal.	18	
19		"Re Branch Discrepancy	19	1
20		"I'm sorry doubt I don't think I can assist you	20	(
21		any further. The process for disputing losses is via	21	
22		the helpline. As far as I can see there is no evidence	22	
23		of Horizon failure been presented which would generate	23	1
24		an investigation."	24	(
25		Then:	25	
		149		
1		manage any lagges of connectivity. This does not	1	
1 2		manage any losses of connectivity. This does not	1	
2		represent Horizon failure and the business has been very clear that it will not compensate losses due to	2	
3 4		connectivity break down."	4	Ċ
<del>-</del> 5		Let's take that apart. What are the processes	5	
6		that were in place for branches to manage any losses of	6	
7		connectivity this way?	7	
, 8	A.	I can't remember but they would be on the Horizon	8	
9	<b>.</b>	Help you would be able to put but once you got	9	,
10		connectivity back, you could refer to them if you hadn't	10	
11		already got the knowledge of what to do.	10	,
12	Q.		12	
13	ч.	they?	13	
14	A.	No.	14	
15	Q.	Because you clear that one up:	15	
16	ч.	"This does not represent Horizon failure and the	16	
17		business has been very clear that it will not compensate	17	
18		losses due to connectivity breakdown."	18	
19		Let's just understand what you mean by this. Why	10	(
20		would the Post Office not compensate subpostmasters for	20	
21		issues caused by connection problems of the Horizon	20	
22		equipment?	22	,
23	Α.	I cannot recall the process and how it was managed. I'm	23	(
24	,	sorry.	24	
		,	<u> </u>	

25 Q. As an example, was this directive from the Post Office? 151

1		"There are processes in place for branches to
2		manage any losses of connectivity. This does not
3		represent Horizon failure and the business has been very
4		clear that it will not compensate losses [due] to
5		connectivity breakdown.
6		"As such I cannot suggest who in Post Office
7		Limited might take a different view and be able to help
8		you."
9		Then if we scroll down to the bottom, we will see
10		it's signing my you:
11		"Yours sincerely
12		"Andrew Winn."
13		So let's have a look at what you said then to
14		Mr Afzal:
15		"I'm sorry but I don't think I can assist you any
16		further. The process for disputing losses is via the
17		helpline."
18		Which helpline did you mean?
19	Α.	NBSC.
20	Q.	NBSC. So via the Post Office helpline, that's the only
21		way that Mr Afzal should be able to dispute these
22		matters?
23	Α.	That was the designated route for IT problems, yes.
24	Q.	Then it goes on to say or you go on to say:
25		"There are processes in place for branches to
		150
1	Α.	From the wording in my letter I've obviously been up and
2		checked the business position at the time and reiterated
3		what I've been told.
4	Q.	Is this you you were talking earlier about you
5		settling into your job and getting better at it after
6		a few years. Is this you having settled into the job
7		and having got better at it after a few years, Mr Winn?
8	Α.	That was the business rules which I'm following.
9	Q.	Which you are enforcing.
10	Α.	Yes, I'm sorry the business rules weren't correct but
11	Q.	Why do connection issues not represent Horizon failure?
12	Α.	I would regard loss of connectivity as being like losing
13		Wifi connection, the equivalent, as I understand
14		nowadays. So I wouldn't understand it as Horizon being
15		at fault. I'd understand it as the first links which
16		you know, a lot of rural branches did suffer from
17		connectivity issues. I mean, my interpretation was that
18	-	that was not Horizon at fault but it might
19	Q.	So it is just hard luck on subpostmasters; is that
20	-	right, Mr Winn?
21	Α.	Well, there's a process there was a process to follow
22	~	to recover the transactions.
23	Q.	But to make sure that you are finished with the problem,
24		you finish off by saying:
25		"As such I cannot suggest who in Post Office might

1	take a different view and be able to help you."	1		me know who can help.
2	So you're saying "That's it"?	2		"The office had a major software problem back in
3	A. That would imply that I checked with the people who	3		week 41 (January 2003). After numerous phone calls to
4	I think might have a different view and already got	4		NBSC and engineer visits to change cables, monitor
5	their opinion.	5		et cetera, a software problem was identified and the
6	Q. Excuse me one moment.	6		processor changed. Apparently the screen would take on
7	Thank you, Mr Winn.	7		a mind of its own, jump screens, add items to the sales
8	Thank you, sir.	8		stack, et cetera. The office balanced £422.74 short
9	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr Stein.	9		that week, which is very unusual for the office they
10	Anyone else?	10		are normally within £20 each week.
11	Questioned by MS PAGE	11		"The subpostmistress has waited for an error
12	MS PAGE: Yes, please, sir.	12		notice to come back, nothing has been received yet and
13	If I can take us to a document which helps	13		I've checked with Chesterfield several times nothing
14	a little bit on the subject of phantom transactions.	14		so far. I have also checked the paperwork in the office
15	It's POL00093133. This is an email chain, which you're	15		for week 41, along with week 40 and week 42 and I can't
16	not actually copied in on but which is makes a reference	16		find anything. Is there anything you can do at Dearne
17	to you, which may assist. Could we start at the bottom,	17		Valley"
18	please, the last page in this so that we read up	18		Is that the place where your premises were when
19	chronologically.	19		you were in problem management?
20	Do you know either of these names Bethany or Sally	20	Δ	Yes, that's correct.
21	Buchanan? They seem to be from Customer Service, if	21		" to have that week's work checked on the system to
22	that means anything?	22	α.	see if this has been caused by a systems fault. The
23	A. I can't remember	23		only other thing I can think of is that if the system
24	Q. What we've got here is Bethany saying:	20		was going daft and putting things onto the sales stack,
25	"Are you able to help me with this office or let 153	25		this wasn't picked up every time by the person serving 154
1	and one or more customers have been given money they	1		So what we have there is an indication that
2	were not entitled to and have just kept it."	2		phantom transactions were something sufficiently well
3	So that is the start and if we go up then to	3		known in your team that this was being specifically
4	response, there's a blank page so we need to go a little	4		referred to you and your team?
5	buyer thank you, and we can see that this is 27 June	5	Α.	Yes.
6	2003 from Terry Rudd in customer relations:	6	Q.	Yes?
7	"Thanks for raising this matter with us. An	7	Α.	Yes.
8	investigation has taken place with Julie Welsh, our	8	Q.	Which ties, doesn't it, with what Mr Stein told us, that
9	contact at Fujitsu, and she accepts that the PM did call	9		his Core Participant had spoken to somebody about
10	the Helpdesk to state that transactions were appearing	10		phantom transactions. So clearly this was a known
11	on the sales stack, but kit was swapped out and the	11		problem right the way back to this early date.
12	problem did not reoccur. As no further problems were	12		What would you have done about a query like this?
13	reported, she thought that was the end of the matter.	13	Α.	Would have allocated it to a team member to raise it
14	"As the losses occurred back in January,	14		with Fujitsu.
15	information relating to this branch has now been	15	Q.	What sort of response might you have got from Fujitsu?
16	archived but your concerns have today been raise with	16		A review of their I don't really know what they would
17	the Problem Management Team who have more experience in	17		have done. I would have hoped they'd have looked at
18	dealing with phantom transactions. I am unsure which	18		the identified the times and looked to see if there's
19	member of the team will be assigned to the case, but if	19		any problems arising from there and reported them back
20	you have any further questions, the Line Manager for the	20		to us.
21	team is Andy Winn."	21	Q	So following that report, let's say they come back to
22	Then it sets out a reference code for queries	22	~·	you and say "Yes, we've identified there are phantom
23	regarding this issue and signs off:	23		transactions in this particular branch or in this
24	" Customer Relations [can't help] but I am sure	24		particular group of branches, potentially", what would
25	Andy and his team will do their best to resolve this."	25		your team have done about that?
-	155	_•		156

1	Α.	Well, we'd have escalated it probably to our IT team and	1
2		said what we're going to do about it, how we're going to	2
3	~	resolve it.	3
4 5		What would you have done in terms of the branches?	4
5	<b>A</b> .	5 1	5
6 7	Q. A.	How did you go about that? That would have been done through a P&BA or whatever it	6 7
, 8	А.	was called at that time.	8
9	Q.	So there would have been quite a lot of communication,	9
10	ά.	wouldn't there, between your team and people at Fujitsu,	10
11		people in P&BA	11
12	Α.	l would have thought so, yes.	12
13	Q.	people in the groups, the POL or perhaps the group,	13
14		at that stage, IT directorate was it one or the	14
15		other, do you know?	15
16	Α.	l wouldn't know.	16
17	Q.	Did your team escalate the issue of phantom	17
18		transactions?	18
19	Α.	I would have thought so from I presume that that was	19
20		raised to our team. I can't remember it.	20
21	Q.	Do you have no memory of escalating this issue of	21
22		phantom transactions when you were the team leader at	22
23		this time?	23
24 25	A.		24
25	Q.	Wouldn't it have been a matter of concern to you? 157	25
1		problem management? You don't know who it was above	1
2		your team leader or your boss or whoever that may have	2
3		been?	3
4	Α.	No, I can't remember.	4
5	Q.	You gave us the name of one of your bosses earlier,	5
6		didn't you. Do you remember what she was the boss of?	6
7	Α.	Marie Cochate. Yes, that was when I was in Chesterfield	7
8		at the process improvement team.	8
9	Q.	I think you gave us a name for somebody at	9
10	Α.	Actually, I think she was my boss in problem management	10
11		as well, initially.	11
12	Q.	So that was something in accounting, was it?	12
13	Α.	I think she moved as well. I think she moved from the	13
14		accounting from the process improvement team into the	14
15		same kind of team areas as I was in within problem	15
16	~	management, if I remember correctly.	16
17	Q.	Have you listened to the evidence from witnesses over	17
18		the last week or so?	18
19 20	<b>A</b> .	No.	19
20	Q.	Because, collectively, they've given evidence that	20
21 22		problem management really was the way that Post Office monitored the performance of Horizon and indeed the	21 22
22		performance of Fujitsu in running and maintaining the	22
23 24		performance of Horizon. Is that how you understood the	23 24
25		role?	25
-•		159	20

	Α.	Yes.
	Q.	Wouldn't it have been a matter of great concern to the
		business generally?
	Α.	I don't know how easily it was what the Fujitsu
		findings were. I can't comment.
	Q.	If Fujitsu had come back and said "No such thing,
		there's no phantom transactions, it doesn't exist",
		would you have left it there?
	Α.	I don't know where else I could have gone; so, yes,
D		I think I would have.
1	Q.	So never mind that your team evidently has, according to
2		this email, more experience in dealing with phantom
3		transactions, never mind that this isn't just a one-off,
4		this is clearly something that's happened on a few
5		occasions, you would have just taken Fujitsu's word?
6	Α.	I wouldn't have known where else to go.
7	Q.	You wouldn't have taken it to anyone in IT at POL?
3	Α.	If it was yes, quite possibly. I would have imagined
9		that the enquiry would have gone to Fujitsu and our IT
)		teams.
1	Q.	
2		directorate that your team sat in?
3	Α.	I would imagine the IT directorate. I can't actually
4	_	remember.
5	Q.	So you don't know who the director was in charge of 158
		100
	Α.	No, not really.
	Q.	Well, what did you understand it to be then?
	Α.	To be resolving problems, making sure the appropriate
		people were resolving problems. I don't think there was
		a particularly a reporting schedule. I don't
		remember that coming out of problem management when
	~	I was there.
	Q.	Was this the business as usual way to resolve bugs,
		errors and defects that arose in Horizon?
)	<b>A</b> .	It was the way it should be recorded, yes.
1	Q.	We know that by 2010 and I'm only going forward just
2		to kind of come back, if you like by 2010, it was
3		people in problem management that were the interface
4 =		with Fujitsu over the receipts and payments mismatch
5 6	Α.	bug, weren't they? Yes.
7	<b>д</b> .	So the role was to be the interface on bugs and defects,
3	Q.	
9	A.	and so forth, yes? Yes.
9 )	<b>А</b> . Q.	res. So it was a crucial IT role, wasn't it?
1	Q. <b>A</b> .	Yes.
1 2	<b>А</b> . Q.	What interested you in that role when you applied to
3	પ્ર.	manage that team?
4	Α.	To be brutally honest, a promotion.
5		What grade were you as a manager of that team of 12?

Q. What grade were you as a manager of that team of 12? 160

1	Α.	CM1.	1		doing on this one?" It was always "I'm waiting for",
2	Q.	TM1?	2		"I'm waiting for", "I'm waiting for", and it was kind of
3	Α.	CM1.	3		"Oh, can you chase them", et cetera, et cetera.
4	Q.	Where did that place you in the grades at the time?	4		It didn't seem to I was quite uncomfortable
5	Α.	That was the highest grade of middle manager before you	5		with that. I kind of expected it to be much more
6		became a senior manager and from there so there's	6		proactive. I'd say to the teams, you know, "We need
7		different grades of senior manager and then directorate	7		an article to resolve a product issue".
8		level.	8		"Well, they haven't got time to do it".
9	Q.	How busy was your team of 12?	9		"Well, have a go at doing it yourself, submit it
10	Α.	I would say the team were not desperately busy and I was	10		to them and they'll probably be that horrified at what
11		very busy.	11		you are doing, they'll do it themselves", and I was
12	Q.	Right. Perhaps you can pull that apart for us. Why	12		trying to work on that basis of being more proactive.
13		were you busy and they weren't?	13		On the IT side we wouldn't be able to do because we
14	Α.	There were plenty of problems coming in. The problem	14		wouldn't have had the knowledge obviously, but I found
15		with, in my opinion, the problem management setup was	15		it a difficult role.
16		that if, effectively, a member of the product team,	16	Q.	Yes, and one that you have already admitted you were
17		which is nothing to do with IT, but a guy from the	17		really qualified for.
18		product team who I knew very well said to me "You know,	18	Α.	Correct.
19		we're told to report any problems into your team", you	19	Q.	Given that it was a role that was crucial for the
20		make a note of it, say "Thanks very much, let us know	20		handling of the defects, bugs, errors and that that's
21		when you've resolved it" which so the team, bear in	21		central to the work of this Inquiry, why didn't you say
22		mind I've got 12 people, seemed really to be employed	22		anything about it in your witness statement?
23		just to record something and wait for it to be told it	23	Α.	I did make reference to it, didn't I?
24		was cleared.	24	Q.	Why did the team get taken over by Risk, as you
25		So when you went across the team "How are you 161	25		described to us earlier? 162
1	Δ	A routine business as usual review of the departments,	1	0	Can we perhaps turn then a little ahead to POL0004545
2	Α.	as a whole. It wasn't my decision but there was kind of	2	α.	Now, this is a document which seeks to define the
3		a lot of change and it was decided to merge the two	3		process of settling centrally and it says at the bottom
4		teams together. They were quite similar in the	4		that it's been a contribution from you. So it looks
5		understanding, et cetera, et cetera. So I could	5		perhaps as if it might be something that's to go into
6		understand why it was done.	6		a manual or something. Does that look familiar?
7	0	Was there any strategic thinking at all about the	7	Δ	Yes, yes.
8	α.	business as usual management of problems, defects, bugs	8		If we just go back up again, it looks to be it's
9		in Horizon?	9	α.	expressed explicitly as a clarification?
10	Α.	Not that I was made aware of, no.	10	Δ	Yes.
10	Q.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	Q.	
12	Q.	remaining, as far as we can tell document, from this	12	α.	"A recent audit has highlighted that many branch
13		period of time when you were team leader of this crucial	12		are unclear on how to deal with losses and gains,
14		team that had to interface on bugs, errors and defects	13		particularly around 'Settling Centrally'."
15		is one email from a different team, customer relations.	14		
16		We have no emails from problem management itself, no	15		Do you think that audit might have been what yo did before you did those slides in January 2009?
17			10	•	
18		records at all from your time at problem management and	18	А.	I wouldn't have done the audit, I don't think. I think that would have been feedback from network auditors.
		nothing at all about the problem of phantom or ghost			
19 20		transactions, which apparently your team knew about.	19	~	I was out in branches.
20		Can you give us any understanding or explanation	20	Q.	The reason I ask is because one of the slides that you
21		for why there's so little information about your team at	21		had created in January '09 sort of set the task of
22		that time?	22		defining the process of settling centrally and this
23	А.	No, I'm surprised there was as far as I'm aware	23		document appears to do that?
24 25		everything that we did was logged, so I'm quite	24	<b>A</b> .	Yes.
25		astonished at you saying that. 163	25	Q.	So do you think this document comes then from that 164

(41) Pages 161 - 164

1		doing on this one?" It was always "I'm waiting for",
2		"I'm waiting for", "I'm waiting for", and it was kind of
3		"Oh, can you chase them", et cetera, et cetera.
4		It didn't seem to I was quite uncomfortable
5		with that. I kind of expected it to be much more
6		proactive. I'd say to the teams, you know, "We need
7		an article to resolve a product issue".
8		"Well, they haven't got time to do it".
9		"Well, have a go at doing it yourself, submit it
10		to them and they'll probably be that horrified at what
11		you are doing, they'll do it themselves", and I was
12		trying to work on that basis of being more proactive.
13		On the IT side we wouldn't be able to do because we
14		wouldn't have had the knowledge obviously, but I found
15		it a difficult role.
16	Q.	Yes, and one that you have already admitted you weren't
17		really qualified for.
18	Α.	Correct.
19	Q.	Given that it was a role that was crucial for the
20		handling of the defects, bugs, errors and that that's
21		central to the work of this Inquiry, why didn't you say
22		anything about it in your witness statement?
23	Α.	I did make reference to it, didn't I?
24	Q.	Why did the team get taken over by Risk, as you
25		described to us earlier?
		162
1	Q.	Can we perhaps turn then a little ahead to POL00045457.
2		Now, this is a document which seeks to define the
3		process of settling centrally and it says at the bottom
4		that it's been a contribution from you. So it looks
5		perhaps as if it might be something that's to go into
6		a manual or something. Does that look familiar?
7	Α.	Yes, yes.
8	Q.	If we just go back up again, it looks to be it's
9		expressed explicitly as a clarification?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	It says:
12		"A recent audit has highlighted that many branches
13		are unclear on how to deal with losses and gains,
14		particularly around 'Settling Centrally'."
15		Do you think that audit might have been what you
16		did before you did those slides in January 2009?
17	Α.	I wouldn't have done the audit, I don't think. I think
10		that would have been feedback from naturally auditors

1		process in January	1
2	Α.	Quite possibly, without knowing the date of the	2
3 4	0	document.	3 4
4 5	Q. <b>A.</b>	The document doesn't appear to bear a date and It sounds sensible.	4 5
6		All right. Well let's assume then that it's part of	6
7	Q.	that January 2009 reappraisal, shall we say. When we	7
8		asked our client subpostmasters who had experience of	8
9		running post offices before January 2009, when we asked	9
10		them to have a look at this, none of them recognised	10
11		this process at all and, indeed, Janet Skinner said that	11
12		she was not aware that there was any dispute resolution	12
13		process whatsoever. Is it right that, prior to	13
14		January 2009, there really wasn't a recognised dispute	14
15		process at all?	15
16	Α.	Well, prior to me starting in the role, then that would	16
17		be correct, yes.	17
18	Q.	So do you know why that role came up?	18
19	Α.	I think when I I should say, we had a reorganisation	19
20		in P&BA and the different teams were created and I went	20
21		into the debt team and my boss Alison said, "Look, we've	21
22		got people trying to contact teams and they're not	22
23		responding to, and we need a central point, we think it	23
24		should be you, have a look at it", and so the role kind	24
25		of evolved from there. 165	25
		105	
1		another one, I'd just like to ask you a little bit about	1
2		Mr Lee Castleton. Is that a name that rings any bells	2
2		for you?	3
4	Α.	-	4
5	Q.		5
6	Α.	I think his case was I think his case was very	6
7		topical when I first joined the team.	7
8	Q.		8
9	Α.	So it would be very much in my early days. I don't	9
10		think I was involved in any of the decisions around	10
11		suspension or anything.	11
12	Q.	But it was known about, was it, in your team, quite well	12
13		known?	13
14	Α.	Yes.	14
15	Q.	All right. So you'll have been aware then, won't you,	15
16		that in you won't necessarily remember the date but	16
17		it was in early 2007 that the judge awarded Post Office	17
18		damages of approximately £26,000 but costs of £321,000	18
19		which you might imagine bankrupted Mr Castleton. He	19
20		lost everything he'd invested in his branch, he lost his	20
21		living, his family were treated like thieves and they	21
22		endured years of hardship.	22
23		What we now know from documents in this Inquiry,	23
24 25		which haven't yet been sort of picked over but which	24
25		l can quote to you briefly from I don't know if we 167	25

Q.	You told us earlier that you didn't have to apply or
	interview for this job. Was it a job that was, in
	effect, one that you sort of created yourself once in
	it?
Α.	Yes. Yes, I think when I first got there Alison and Rod
	Ismay's view, they had some ideas about the role but,
	effectively, it was me who kind of developed it to what
	it was.
Q.	At this stage, were you still a sort of top middle
	management or had you entered the realms of senior
	management by this stage?
Α.	No, still the same grade.
Q.	Why do you think you were qualified to adjudicate on
	disputes?
Α.	I don't think I was particularly qualified, but
	I probably felt that it was the role that I was best
	suited to working within the whole Royal Mail Group.
Q.	Why?
Α.	Because I think I investigated things and was prepared
	to look outside the box and I had a bit of an empathy
	with the subpostmasters, I think.
Q.	Do you still think that having looked back at some of
	your correspondence?
Α.	I'm disappointed with some of the things I wrote, yes.
Q.	We can take that document down and before I turn to 166
	100
	necessarily need to put it on the screen is that
	there was a clear intent on the part of the Post Office,
	with legal advice, to pursue the claim:
	" not to make a net financial recovery but to
	defend the Horizon System and hopefully send a clear
	message to other SPMs that the PO will take a firm line
	and to deter others from raising similar allegations."
	So that was the purpose. It was not ever
	envisaged that the Post Office would actually get that
	costs order back. That was loss leader, if you like.
	But the purpose was to send a firm line and a clear
	message to deter others.
	Now, is that how the case was understood at the
	time? Is that something that your team would have been
	aware of, that it was a sort of flagship case, if you
	like, to try to deter others?
Α.	No, I don't think so. I was conscious that it was
	probably the most high profile case at that time but
	I don't think I would have picked up that message.

Q. We can also see from documentation that the lawyers in charge of the case were also conscious of other cases, including one which --

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Hang on a minute.

Do you have any direct knowledge of Mr Castleton's case at all, Mr Winn?

168

1	Α.	No, I don't.	1		was part of a bit of a back and forth between the two of
2	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: I mean, I'm conscious that this is a very	2		you.
3		sensitive case, Ms Page, but don't think it's	3		There's one thing that I'd like to just ask you
4		appropriate to use the witness just for you to read	4		about before we go to the bottom. We see the reference
5		extracts of other documents.	5		to he says:
6	MS	<b>PAGE:</b> I was just to about to come to the documents which	6		"Your letter is a repeat of previous
7		he is involved with, sir. So I hope that's.	7		letter and is similar in style to those I have received
8	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: That's fine.	8		from Michele Graves and Philippa Wright (Flag Case
9	MS	SPAGE: That was a scene setting, if you like.	9		Managers for Adam Crozier/Alan Cook)."
10		The related case, if you like, which you were	10		Do you know what a "flag case manager" was?
11		involved with was a Mr Bilkhu. Does that ring any	11	Α.	No.
12		bells?	12	Q.	Do you know those names?
13	Α.	No.	13	Α.	Alan Crozier and Alan Cook, yes. The two ladies, no.
14	Q.	So Mr Bilkhu issued a claim against POL but then	14		I can't remember them.
15		withdrew it because he was threatened with costs of	15	Q.	What about the two gentlemen?
16		instructing an expert in the region of £1 million and he	16	Α.	They were heads of Post Office.
17		told you about that. Do you remember?	17	Q.	They were seniors?
18	Α.	No.	18	Α.	Well, they were executive directors.
19	Q.	Well we can perhaps then have a look at POL00001304 at	19	Q.	So you don't recall what the flag case managers did for
20		page 29, bottom of the page. This is from him to you.	20		them?
21		We can perhaps go to the top of the page, just so that	21	Α.	No.
22		you can see that. He was writing from Bowburn Post	22	Q.	If we go a bit further down, we see that Mr Bilkhu tells
23		Office and you can see there at the top, "Dear Mr Wynn",	23		you, "In summary", it says:
24		he has obviously misspelt your name but the	24		" POL may consider the matter closed [that's
25		correspondence shows that he had written to you and this 169	25		his complaint] but I do not. According to my legal team 170
1 2 3 4 5		the case can we resumed subject to legal niceties. "In summary, the case was withdrawn [he is talking about his case] because POL's legal team demanded that Horizon accounts at Bowburn [Post Office] for the last 4 years be examined by a forensic accountant. The cost	1 2 3 4 5		first page of it again, if I may. It's POL00028838. If we just look at those Post Office names, who would you say was the most senior person there? Ian Trundell, although Alan Simpson I don't know what grade he was at.
6		(estimated at £1 million) be borne by me."	6		lan Trundell and Alan sorry, did you say?
7		Reading that letter, does that not ring any bells?	7	Α.	Alan Simpson.
8		No.	8	Q.	All right.
9	Q.	The idea that the legal department would threaten	9	Α.	
10		somebody with costs estimated at £1 million?	10		grade anyone was at.
11	Α.	No.	11	Q.	But your first reaction was that those were the two
12	Q.	Looking back, do you think this is part of a culture of	12		senior people that meeting?
13		using legal process to threaten subpostmasters?	13	Α.	Yes.
14	Α.	It's quite possible, yes.	14	Q.	Who would you have reported back to about this?
15	Q.	Is that perhaps part of the sort of setting of what	15		Presumably Mr Ismay?
16		we've seen in your own correspondence of this sort of	16	Α.	Yes.
17		putting the burden of proof on the subpostmasters	17	Q.	And did you report back to Mr Ismay about this bug?
18	Α.	No, I don't think so.	18	Α.	I'm whether I've talked directly to Mr Ismay or
19	Q.	of using the law against them?	19		Mrs Bolsover, I'm not certain, but I would certainly
20	Α.	No, I don't think I've ever threatened anything like	20		have fed back as part of normal communications.
21		that. I've tried to where I can see a way of	21	Q.	Thank you. The document can be taken down.
22		investigating/helping, I've tried to do that.	22		So you are saying you would have reported back to
23	Q.	Some very brief questions, if I may, on the document	23		Mr Ismay and Ms Bolsover; is that right?
24		which we've looked at quite a lot, the receipts and	24	А.	Yes.
25		payments mismatch meeting. I just want to look at the	25		PAGE: There's just two more issues I would like to look
		171			172

1		at, sir, if I may?	1
2	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Is anyone else intending to ask	2
3		questions?	3
4		BEER: Sir, no, they're not.	4
5	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. Off you go then. Five minutes,	5
6		Ms Page.	6
7	MS	<b>PAGE:</b> Thank you. If I can look then, please, at	7
8		POL00105280. If we could look at page 3 and I won't	8
9 10		take you through the whole history up to page 3. Page 3	9
10 11		sort of dives in.	10 11
12		This is in the summer of 2013, so this is post	12
12		receipts and payments mismatch bug and around the time when Second Sight's work is pretty well known within	12
14		about Post Office, yes, and you're asking a contracts	13
14		manager this is a discussion with a contracts manager	14
16		having been in touch with a branch about a loss that	16
17		dates back ten years, and this is your putting three	10
18		possibilities for how to deal with it to the contracts	18
19		manager. You say:	10
20		"Hi Nigel, I don't actually disbelieve the branch	20
21		here but the claim that two sets of auditors have	21
22		recorded missing stock as being present is a bit scary.	22
23		Stamps are just pieces of paper at Swindon so there	23
24		would not have been a surplus at another branch.	24
25		"I can see three options. Pay up - we don't	25
		173	
1		what's quite interesting is that the response from the	1
2		network manager says in paragraph 3 here:	2
3		"As you say, none of the options are particularly	3
4		appealing. I think the first option, making the SPMR	4
5		pay up, could open up a can of worms. I'm not sure that	5
6		the SPMR is a member of the NFSP but, given that the	6
7		amount involved represents a significant percentage of	7
8		his salary, I feel sure he would take this further.	8
9		This could put us in a position of trying to defend	9
10		ourselves against a charge that the auditors didn't do	10
11		their job properly and could potentially give the NFSP	11
12		or an MP some useful ammunition."	12
13		So it's again this idea really, isn't it, that	13
14		it's about the ammunition, it's about MPs, it's not	14
15		really about doing the right thing?	15
16	Α.	No, I don't think so. I think we were aiming to do the	16
17	· ·		
	A.	right thing.	17
18	Q.	Well, you did do the right thing but, rather than just	18
19	_	Well, you did do the right thing but, rather than just doing the right thing, you're discounting other options	18 19
19 20	_	Well, you did do the right thing but, rather than just doing the right thing, you're discounting other options not on the grounds that they're wrong or because you	18 19 20
19 20 21	_	Well, you did do the right thing but, rather than just doing the right thing, you're discounting other options not on the grounds that they're wrong or because you actually believe the branch but because it could	18 19 20 21
19 20 21 22	_	Well, you did do the right thing but, rather than just doing the right thing, you're discounting other options not on the grounds that they're wrong or because you actually believe the branch but because it could potentially give the NFSP or an MP some useful	18 19 20 21 22
19 20 21 22 23	Q.	Well, you did do the right thing but, rather than just doing the right thing, you're discounting other options not on the grounds that they're wrong or because you actually believe the branch but because it could potentially give the NFSP or an MP some useful ammunition?	18 19 20 21 22 23
19 20 21 22	_	Well, you did do the right thing but, rather than just doing the right thing, you're discounting other options not on the grounds that they're wrong or because you actually believe the branch but because it could potentially give the NFSP or an MP some useful	18 19 20 21 22

175

1		believe you. Create a phantom rem out, branches can now
2		invent ten year old errors that we have to let them off
3		on because we do not have any information about. Plus
4		Swindon will not pick up a phantom rem, so I can rem
5		stamps out and just sell them on my retail side."
6		I think that seems to be a suggestion that you're
7		making for a way to sort of balance it off. Is that how
8		I should read that?
9	A.	Yes, that's how it could have done been done remotely,
10		yes.
11	Q.	And then network write off:
12	Q.	"We believe you and we're making a gesture in
12		
		recognition of long years of accurate accounting and his
14		TC rate is excellent. However, this does leave huge
15		question marks over the audit process. None are
16		particularly appearing. Thoughts?"
17		First of all, it's a bit striking, isn't it, that
18		one of the options is "we don't believe you" when you've
19		actually said at the start of the email, "I don't
20		actually disbelieve the branch here"?
21	Α.	I think what I'm trying to do there is make the first
22		two look at what they were, totally unappealing options,
23		and the third one is where we're going.
24	Q.	Well, the third one is indeed where you go.
25		If we go up to the next response, though, page 2,
		174
1		of thing you want to advertise particularly when you
2	_	don't know if that's the case.
3	Q.	
4		investigation. That's what's happened.
5	Α.	Well, no, we don't. That was the problem with this
6		case, that we could understand what the guy was saying
7		but he's saying that two sets of auditors have come in,
8		audited the branch. He said there's an issue with these
9		which is going to get needs resolving. So the
10		auditors have said, "Okay, we'll assume that they're
11		there". If they weren't there, I mean, that's what an
12		auditor's job is to identify discrepancies. So for
13		two of them to go in and not, would suggest that any
14		audit cannot be relied upon.
15	Q.	So although you believe the SPMR, although you
16	Α.	I don't disbelieve the postmaster but I don't believe
17		that two auditors have not done their job properly. So
18		I'm left a little bit I don't know where we are anyway.
18 19	Q.	I'm left a little bit I don't know where we are anyway. Just one last, if I may, because this brings us up
	Q.	
19	Q.	Just one last, if I may, because this brings us up

This seems to relate to what we've come to understand were weekly Horizon meetings. These were regular calls -- is that right -- with lawyers involved as well, people from the security team. Do you remember 176

first one is that they asked to do this when kit is

they're having to go into the system to correct and you're having to sign off on the process. Is that

A. I would imagine -- I could see myself being the voice that would give POL approval, yes, if that makes sense.

recovery scripts are failing.

something you recall doing?

Q. It says in the latter part of that paragraph:

removed from branches which can cause issues and then, secondly, there's the discontinued sessions issue which has gone on to explain further, and it talks about two new products which caused the system to disconnect and

So these are two different things which seemingly

1		a weekly Horizon meeting being instituted in around 2013	1
2		and carrying on for some time apparently?	2
3	Α.		3
4	•	though I accept that it could have been.	4
5		You do remember the Horizon meetings, do you?	5
6 7	Α.	5 5	6
7	0	the weekly ones or not I'm not certain.	7
8 9	Q.	Well, certainly in relation to this one, it's referring to one that's taken place in August of 2015 and it says:	8 9
9 10		"As you will see there were numerous issues raised	9 10
10		on the last call which are of concern."	10
12		First of all, it says:	12
13		"Andy Winn is still receiving requests to	12
14		authorise FJ to correct problems."	10
15		Presumably that's Fujitsu?	15
16	Α.		16
17	Q.	And what I understand that to mean and you correct me	17
18		if I'm wrong is that that's Fujitsu asking to go into	18
19		Horizon to correct problems and you're authorising them	19
20		to do so. Is that what's going on there? Is that the	20
21		process?	21
22	Α.	I'm not sure what Fujitsu wanted to do but, yes, it's	22
23		asking me to authorise them to do something. I don't	23
24		know what from here.	24
25	Q.	Well, we've actually got three issues that come up. The	25
		177	
1		of joined up with that, but certainly as far as I was	1
2		concerned that wouldn't be the case.	2
3	Q.	Then the paragraph below, just to finish off on this:	3
4		"Andy Winn also raised the issue of a computer	4
5		problem with Camelot for which a fix had been issued but	5
6		pointed out that branches would encounter unexplained	6
7		losses that Wednesday when they conducted their BTS	7
8		procedure. He went on to explain that he had received	8
9		an email from Fujitsu about an incident which had	9
10		occurred in June. It was termed a 'major incident	10
11		report' and related to a branch which had an incorrect	11
12		discrepancy at the time of conducting a branch trading	12
13		statement. The email suggested that information had	13
14		been sent to POL. Andy Winn had not previously known	14
15		about this issue and so asked to whom the information	15
16		had been sent. He had no response.	16
17		"Andy went on to say that he did not fully	17
18		understand the issue and that a maximum of 247 branches	18
19		would have been affected. 118 of those would have	19
20		generated reports based on corrupted data. There was	20
21		only one account in connection with which POL could have	21
~~			
22		held someone responsible for the shortfall."	22
23		held someone responsible for the shortfall." So in 2015, following the receipts and payments	23
		held someone responsible for the shortfall."	

179

"This is apparently standard business as usual and FJ seek authorisation to correct it. It is unclear at the present time whether or not there is process assurance and documentation. I do not know whether POL have full visibility of the actions of Fujitsu and the ways in which they correct the branch data." Does that ring a bell? A. It doesn't ring a bell but it makes sense to me. Q. That there wasn't really a process that was being followed? A. And that I was -- I think I, possibly naively, assumed that the IT department were the ones who would be kind 178 it became apparent that the receipts and payments mismatch bug still hadn't been followed up properly, you're still having problems, aren't you, at POL with actually getting on top of and dealing with bugs that affect corrupted data? A. Is this a receipts and payments mismatch issue? Q. I don't believe it is. I believe it seems to be a different issue. But this is evidence, is not, that POL and Fujitsu are still not working through proper procedures --A. Yes, I think so, yes. Q. All right. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Are you going to end on a high note, Ms Page? MS PAGE: Thank you, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Beer? MR BEER: Yes, sir. That concludes the guestioning of Mr Winn. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr Winn, for coming to give evidence. It's been a long day clearly. A. It certainly has. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I'm grateful to you that you came to give the answers to very many questions. A. I hope I could be some help. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. 180 (45) Pages 177 - 180

1	<b>MR BEER:</b> Sir, thank you. I think that's us done now until	1	INDEX	
2	Tuesday, 7 March when we will hear evidence from Liz	2	ANDREW FRANK WINN (affirmed)	1
3	Evans-Jones.	3	Questioned by MR BEER	1
4	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, all right. Thanks very much.	4	Questioned by MR STEIN	133
5	MR BEER: Thank you, sir.	5	Questioned by MS PAGE	153
6	(4.10 pm)	6		
7	(Adjourned until Tuesday, 7 March 2023 at 10.00 am)	7		
8		8		
9		9		
10		10		
11		11		
12		12		
13		13		
14		14		
15		15		
16		16		
17		17		
18		18		
19		19		
20		20		
21		21		
22		22		
23		23		
24		24		
25	101	25	100	
	181		182	

	<b>100 [4]</b> 18/12 114/5	66/10 67/3 67/6 70/18	4.10 pm [1] 181/6	97/8 97/11 98/7 99/10
MD DEED. [24] 1/2	114/25 122/5	77/13 77/18 79/9	<b>40 [2]</b> 61/5 154/15	99/12 106/7 106/17
MR BEER: [21] 1/3 1/5 1/8 58/23 59/2	11 August [1] 142/23	81/21 86/19 97/17	<b>41 [2]</b> 154/3 154/15	109/25 110/20 113/24
59/7 59/9 96/4 96/7	11 October [4] 60/5	98/9 98/11 100/4	<b>42 [1]</b> 154/15	113/25 117/21 121/6
96/9 96/13 96/24 97/1	87/7 147/10 147/25	100/8 101/10 103/1	<b>422.74 [1]</b> 154/8	121/14 121/15 121/16
97/5 133/2 133/8	<b>11,000 [3]</b> 113/25	106/19 108/25 129/21	5	125/19 125/20 129/1
133/12 173/4 180/17	114/17 115/10	136/2 144/2 160/11		132/14 132/17 132/18
181/1 181/5	<b>11.32 [1]</b> 59/4	160/12	5 Jan [1] 144/9	132/19 134/18 134/19
MR STEIN: [2]	<b>11.45 [1]</b> 58/25	<b>2011 [3]</b> 147/11	<b>5 March [1]</b> 105/13	135/10 135/18 136/5
133/15 141/5	<b>11.48 [1]</b> 59/6	147/25 149/17	5.30 [1] 43/21 555 [1] 111/9	136/12 139/17 139/17
MS PAGE: [6]	<b>118 [1]</b> 179/19	<b>2012 [2]</b> 101/3 102/18		139/20 140/7 140/14 141/10 141/12 141/16
153/12 169/6 169/9	<b>12 [4]</b> 8/2 160/25 161/9 161/22	<b>2013 [7]</b> 103/15	7	143/7 143/8 143/10
172/25 173/7 180/15	<b>12.13 [1]</b> 79/22	106/14 109/2 109/14	7 March [1] 181/2	145/2 146/16 147/25
SIR WYN WILLIAMS:	<b>12.49 pm [1]</b> 96/10	141/19 173/11 177/1	7 March 2023 [1]	148/16 149/10 152/4
<b>[21]</b> 1/4 58/25 59/3	<b>122946 [2]</b> 142/19	<b>2015 [3]</b> 176/21	181/7	156/9 156/12 156/25
59/8 96/6 96/8 97/3	142/22	177/9 179/23	<b>7.00 [1]</b> 43/19	157/2 157/6 162/22
133/7 140/24 153/9 168/23 169/2 169/8	13 September 2010	<b>2016 [2]</b> 3/13 140/2	8	163/7 163/18 163/19
173/2 173/5 180/13	[ <b>1</b> ] 81/21	<b>2022 [1]</b> 1/17		163/21 166/6 167/1
180/16 180/19 180/22	<b>14 [1]</b> 149/16	<b>2023 [2]</b> 1/1 181/7	8 October [4] 60/12	167/5 167/12 169/6
180/25 181/4	14 October [1]	21 October [1] 60/5	87/6 87/12 99/3	169/17 170/4 170/15
	149/17	22 February [2]	8 October 2010 [2] 97/17 136/2	171/3 172/14 172/17
·	<b>15 [2]</b> 58/24 147/3	104/16 104/18		
'09 [1] 164/21	15 October 2008 [1]	<b>22 July 2010 [1]</b> 79/9	Α	
'lost' [1] 91/24	110/17	<b>22,021.65 [1]</b> 142/25	A1 [1] 1/16	178/4 179/9 179/15
'made [1] 124/19	150 [1] 50/1 15th [1] 116/3	<b>23 October [1]</b> 116/3 <b>23 October 2008 [1]</b>	A4 [1] 55/24	above [10] 82/2 98/1 98/13 98/19 136/6
'major [1] 179/10	16 April 2013 [1]	116/4	abiding [1] 12/5	136/8 136/22 136/22
'Settle [2] 30/8 49/15	106/14	24 December 2010	ability [2] 116/12	137/15 159/1
'Settling [1] 164/14	<b>18 months [1]</b> 10/18	[ <b>1</b> ] 100/8	117/19	absorb [1] 92/1
<b>'tampering' [2]</b> 90/10 134/12	18-month [2] 10/22	24 pages [1] 1/16	able [24] 9/17 38/11	abused [6] 35/13
<b>'the [2]</b> 124/3 124/10	11/12	<b>247 [1]</b> 179/18	40/16 40/18 44/25	35/19 36/2 36/10
	<b>1996 [1]</b> 3/12	24th [1] 1/20	47/23 55/14 63/15	36/14 36/22
	2	<b>25,000 [1]</b> 144/9	66/17 74/7 75/2 105/16 116/11 138/8	accept [19] 32/13
[3] 23/13 66/22		26 December 2022	147/16 148/13 148/20	33/5 33/23 34/25 35/5
151/21	<b>2.47 pm [1]</b> 133/9	<b>[1]</b> 1/17	148/23 150/7 150/21	37/13 37/19 38/17
someone [1] 23/13	<b>20 [1]</b> 154/10	<b>26,000 [1]</b> 167/18	151/9 153/1 153/25	38/22 40/4 40/8 41/18
to [1] 154/21	<b>20 years [1]</b> 3/12 <b>20,000 [5]</b> 61/7 92/1	<b>27 [1]</b> 155/5	162/13	52/12 63/9 64/11
0	125/14 125/22 125/24	27 July 2010 [1] 77/18	abnormal [6] 110/24	106/5 118/8 140/1 177/4
<b>00081584 [1]</b> 85/9	<b>200 [6]</b> 50/3 50/6	<b>28,000 [1]</b> 144/18	114/1 124/3 124/7	acceptable [1] 40/1
00001504[1] 03/9	50/11 52/15 114/7	<b>29 [1]</b> 169/20	124/10 124/12	acceptance [3] 30/10
1	115/1	29 September [1]	about [148] 2/4 2/21	31/6 65/22
1 February 2010 [1]	2001 [7] 3/20 4/9	98/10	2/25 4/14 5/1 6/21 8/2	accepted [18] 32/7
129/21	4/10 4/13 5/1 5/6	29 September 2010	10/17 10/23 11/2	32/9 32/9 35/1 37/9
1 million [3] 169/16	138/15	<b>[2]</b> 70/18 98/9	11/25 14/9 16/10 19/16 25/11 30/3	37/21 49/19 51/16
171/6 171/10	<b>2003 [5]</b> 7/3 9/4	3	30/20 31/14 34/16	51/20 51/20 52/3 53/3
<b>1,000 [1]</b> 145/16	141/3 154/3 155/6		34/19 38/24 42/3	53/12 53/20 65/18
<b>1.45 [1]</b> 96/7	<b>2004 [5]</b> 139/17	3 February 2023 [1]	42/21 44/24 45/9	65/20 84/19 142/23
<b>1.45 pm [1]</b> 96/12	140/15 140/16 140/18   141/11		45/14 45/17 46/8	accepting [5] 38/8
<b>1.47 pm [1]</b> 96/21	<b>2005 [2]</b> 6/25 141/2	<b>3 July 2013 [1]</b> 141/19	46/11 46/12 48/18	38/13 49/24 54/17
<b>1.50 pm [1]</b> 96/23 <b>10 [2]</b> 67/25 67/25	<b>2005 [2]</b> 0/25 141/2 <b>2007 [3]</b> 13/18 14/20	<b>3 pm [1]</b> 133/6	50/17 53/7 54/9 54/22	54/18 accepts [1] 155/9
10 [2] 07/25 07/25 10 May 2010 [1]	167/17	<b>3.00 pm [1]</b> 133/11	55/1 59/13 62/1 62/14	access [23] 44/19
144/2	<b>2008 [8]</b> 14/21 15/5	<b>3.30 [1]</b> 57/13	63/13 64/21 65/25	45/10 78/11 78/13
<b>10,000 [15]</b> 113/24	110/17 116/4 116/20	<b>321,000 [1]</b> 167/18	66/6 70/12 70/15	78/16 79/12 80/23
114/16 115/7 124/23	121/7 130/3 132/7	33 minutes [1] 79/21	70/24 71/13 71/15	82/3 83/1 83/4 83/10
125/4 125/6 125/7	<b>2009 [9]</b> 15/5 15/7	<b>34,330.88 [1]</b> 142/23		85/3 85/21 86/5 86/13
125/8 125/9 125/10	27/10 28/8 45/3	<b>36,000 [1]</b> 144/20	73/273/774/2375/3	102/19 109/22 110/1
125/16 125/23 126/1	164/16 165/7 165/9	4	75/18 79/18 79/21 80/16 81/18 84/6	116/19 117/5 117/7
126/2 126/9	165/14		84/11 85/23 86/7	117/10 132/20
<b>10.00 [3]</b> 1/2 43/23	2010 [30] 14/24	4 October [1] 60/4	86/21 86/24 87/23	accordance [1]
181/7	28/17 60/4 60/15	4 years [1] 171/5 4.00 [1] 112/16	90/16 91/8 92/6 97/7	117/23
	60/16 65/19 65/22	עידן <b>וועטעיד</b> ן		according [4] 69/16
			(4	7) MR BEER: - according

Α	97/8 121/16 178/18	10/17 22/17 27/8	all-embracing [1]	110/19 150/12 182/2
	activity [4] 5/13 5/14	48/13 48/14 54/12	71/18	Andy [17] 82/8 82/9
according [3] 102/19 158/11 170/25	19/2 19/4	67/2 102/3 136/1	allegations [4]	103/20 103/23 103/24
account [46] 18/11	actual [3] 11/14	136/12 146/18 146/19	126/11 128/6 128/14	104/12 104/21 106/1
18/17 19/2 19/4 44/15	15/19 121/11	152/5 152/7 154/3	168/7	131/1 144/8 146/11
44/17 49/3 50/8 53/2	actually [25] 7/20	afternoon [2] 96/13	alleging [1] 83/23	155/21 155/25 177/13
53/4 53/11 53/12 61/4	23/6 30/2 31/25 33/19		Allen [2] 144/2 144/5	179/4 179/14 179/17
64/10 68/1 68/3 85/19	47/2 47/14 48/24	afters [1] 122/3	allocated [1] 156/13	anomalies [1] 146/9
90/5 90/8 93/7 93/7	57/15 57/19 63/4 76/12 126/7 138/23	Afzal [6] 147/7 147/12 149/17 149/18	allow [4] 65/5 82/10	another [17] 37/11 39/20 42/24 46/12
93/10 100/13 100/17	153/16 158/23 159/10		almost [2] 19/20	55/7 63/21 71/20
109/7 109/7 109/9	168/9 172/9 173/20	again [26] 12/17	25/25	105/12 105/20 114/17
109/11 109/13 111/20	174/19 174/20 175/21	21/16 22/10 22/20	along [9] 8/24 22/22	129/8 135/23 143/14
111/21 111/25 112/3	177/25 180/4	24/22 26/22 26/25	31/3 33/15 73/6 74/13	
113/3 114/5 114/12 115/7 115/21 124/6	Adam [1] 170/9	27/2 35/15 39/19 53/5	81/8 92/17 154/15	173/24
128/21 134/5 134/9	Adam Crozier/Alan	68/10 85/20 88/2 94/8		answer [18] 4/23
145/9 145/10 147/19	<b>[1]</b> 170/9	94/25 120/13 122/5	34/21 38/7 39/5 39/7	17/19 35/16 36/11
179/21	add [2] 145/8 154/7	125/9 126/3 138/10	39/15 39/24 48/8	37/22 40/3 40/11
accountant [2] 41/7	added [1] 114/4	138/11 142/13 164/8	52/11 58/14 75/12	51/23 68/16 72/1
171/5	address [1] 78/20 addressed [4] 3/2	172/1 175/13	77/13 114/17 119/20 145/12 151/11 153/4	80/19 92/10 106/10 108/11 126/19 127/18
accounted [1] 43/21	3/4 30/15 103/24	against [11] 18/19 19/10 22/17 33/4 77/3		128/1 142/11
accounting [19]	addressing [1] 41/22	85/2 111/8 112/17	also [23] 5/17 21/24	answers [3] 106/7
14/22 15/4 15/9 15/14	adds [1] 58/1	169/14 171/19 175/10		132/13 180/23
15/23 15/24 16/2 16/16 17/5 17/20	Adjourned [1] 181/7	Aged [1] 30/17	23/22 51/18 59/20	any [87] 2/24 3/15
19/19 19/21 44/23	adjournment [2]	Aged/High [1] 30/17	68/25 71/10 76/21	4/10 5/2 6/20 6/21
44/25 61/22 157/5	96/11 136/1	agent [1] 131/6	81/4 87/14 101/12	8/19 8/25 9/5 10/23
159/12 159/14 174/13	adjudicate [1] 166/13		101/15 104/8 131/2	10/24 11/17 12/2
accounts [20] 16/7	adjustments [2]	ago [3] 106/6 110/20	142/16 143/4 154/14	13/13 13/13 14/13
16/7 16/23 17/1 17/4	112/18 116/14 admin [6] 6/3 6/4	134/18 agonised [1] 128/22	168/20 168/21 179/4 alter [3] 90/3 134/3	14/14 16/18 26/6 31/12 32/6 38/4 53/1
19/10 20/9 41/7 41/9	24/25 25/1 25/16	agree [17] 72/24 84/3		55/17 55/17 55/25
46/14 67/19 67/21	25/23	84/5 84/8 98/24 102/5		57/1 57/5 58/12 67/22
89/1 116/5 132/15	admitted [1] 162/16	114/12 115/3 115/6	although [10] 9/22	68/3 80/15 82/13
134/23 135/3 143/12 145/15 171/4	advance [1] 27/1		39/16 62/3 62/13 65/6	82/16 83/6 84/11
accumulated [1]	advantages [1] 36/8	131/15 135/7 139/7	101/12 111/2 172/4	84/18 86/24 88/24
114/4	advertise [1] 176/1	139/11 148/18	176/15 176/15	89/7 89/16 99/6 99/12
accuracy [1] 76/16	advice [2] 114/11	agreed [1] 31/4	always [6] 9/14 40/5	
accurate [12] 40/20	168/3 advise [2] 78/25	ahead [3] 103/15 122/21 164/1	40/5 57/13 73/20 162/1	108/12 109/8 109/15 110/10 112/9 112/18
65/11 73/2 73/7 83/23	advise [2] 78/25 130/8	aiming [1] 175/16	am [16] 1/2 59/4 59/6	119/1 119/5 119/12
83/25 86/7 86/8 88/16	advised [1] 142/10	Alan [12] 76/4 97/16	98/1 98/13 130/6	126/11 127/13 128/6
88/17 127/4 174/13	adviser [2] 103/19	97/17 117/1 117/6	133/18 138/5 138/8	128/14 129/2 130/8
accurately [2] 43/13 61/25	110/3	136/21 170/9 170/13	138/21 146/6 147/16	130/9 131/3 135/17
accusation [13]	advisers [1] 21/2	170/13 172/4 172/6	148/13 155/18 155/24	
118/14 118/17 119/3	affect [4] 132/3	172/7	181/7	148/13 149/21 150/2
119/14 119/19 119/22	143/12 143/15 180/5	albeit [1] 93/10	amend [1] 71/11	
120/14 120/16 120/19	affected [18] 16/19	alerting [2] 67/15	ammunition [9] 88/9	155/20 156/19 157/5
120/24 121/21 122/9	65/6 67/9 67/16 70/4 70/9 74/10 74/11	145/14	88/13 88/16 88/20 89/2 89/7 175/12	161/19 163/7 163/20 165/12 167/2 167/10
122/18	74/15 85/5 89/22 93/1	align [1] 100/21 Alison [5] 24/3 24/5	175/14 175/23	168/24 169/11 171/7
acknowledged [1]	102/14 103/9 107/12	27/5 165/21 166/5	amount [5] 56/3	174/3 176/13
119/20	109/3 141/20 179/19	all [34] 4/6 10/13	64/10 109/6 109/12	anybody [6] 6/2 7/12
acknowledges [2] 124/18 125/15	affecting [1] 70/10	15/14 19/8 45/10	175/7	74/1 137/3 137/5
acquire [5] 6/20 8/19	affirmed [2] 1/6	46/20 53/13 57/4	amounts [2] 98/16	137/9
10/23 13/12 14/13	182/2	61/24 71/18 97/23	101/19	anyone [12] 71/14
acquired [1] 9/5	afflicted [2] 99/22 100/1	103/9 118/21 120/11   125/12 126/10 129/25	Analyse [1] 29/4	72/11 73/24 74/2 75/17 75/22 77/2 77/9
across [5] 43/17	afraid [12] 24/23	132/12 133/18 139/3	98/19 107/5 136/6	153/10 158/17 172/10
48/14 97/23 115/20	69/18 71/4 75/12	145/6 163/7 163/17	136/23 137/15	173/2
161/25	75/23 82/17 113/9	163/18 165/6 165/11	analyst [2] 17/23	anyone's [1] 97/9
action [3] 26/9 32/11 121/18	138/8 144/17 147/16	165/15 167/15 168/25	17/25	anything [27] 4/14
actions [5] 60/8 60/9	148/22 149/4	172/8 174/17 177/12	Andrew [8] 1/5 1/6	5/1 11/24 12/1 15/21
	after [17] 2/11 3/3	180/12 181/4	1/11 59/20 104/2	57/7 62/14 63/11
				19) according on thing

Α	93/18 93/20	167/10 173/12 177/1	asked [16] 25/17	August [2] 142/23
anything [19] 64/21	approximately [1]	arrive [1] 50/14	65/7 68/11 79/25	177/9
80/19 82/22 83/7 83/9	167/18	arrived [1] 55/22	116/25 117/7 129/9	authorisation [2]
86/21 88/24 104/23	<b>April [2]</b> 103/15	arrives [1] 112/15	131/2 134/17 136/11	116/15 178/15
108/19 114/21 132/17	106/14	arrow [1] 88/20	143/6 143/9 165/8	authorise [2] 177/14
145/3 146/6 153/22	April 2013 [1] 103/15		165/9 178/1 179/15	177/23
154/16 154/16 162/22	archived [1] 155/16	162/7	asking [9] 52/2 52/9	authorising [1]
167/11 171/20	are [116] 1/24 2/16	as [155] 1/9 3/1 3/22	86/12 97/6 100/11	177/19
anyway [6] 49/6	2/22 3/15 4/19 19/16	5/11 6/5 7/8 8/23 9/14	109/24 173/14 177/18	
61/12 105/11 118/12	21/24 22/13 27/25 28/1 30/20 30/25 31/2	11/20 12/21 12/21 15/17 16/2 16/8 17/21	177/23	available [1] 40/15 avoid [4] 71/13 71/15
125/20 176/18	32/6 33/22 36/3 37/2	18/5 19/21 20/13	asks [2] 35/15 39/19 aspect [1] 80/8	72/11 72/12
apart [6] 37/10 83/7	37/8 37/9 39/25 45/22	20/21 21/14 21/14	aspiration [1] 40/14	AW [1] 59/20
137/18 146/25 151/5	46/4 47/14 48/21	26/15 29/10 29/19	asserting [1] 132/10	awarded [1] 167/17
161/12	50/13 52/12 53/23	29/20 30/1 31/2 34/4	assigned [1] 155/19	aware [39] 12/22
apologies [3] 23/18	54/22 56/9 60/1 60/3	35/6 35/6 35/20 35/20		15/15 15/20 15/21
105/18 106/5	60/8 60/11 66/18 69/2	36/12 39/22 40/18	150/15 153/17	15/23 15/25 16/3
apologise [1] 63/6	69/24 69/25 70/4 71/7	40/18 43/15 47/18	assistance [1] 104/3	16/18 16/21 16/23
apparent [2] 135/15	73/2 73/3 73/8 73/20	47/18 48/8 48/21 49/8		21/14 34/23 40/16
180/1	75/6 75/16 78/12	49/24 54/1 54/1 54/11		49/1 50/13 53/13
apparently [4] 154/6	78/15 80/1 80/1 81/8	54/24 58/2 58/19	assume [10] 36/25	53/14 64/19 77/13
163/19 177/2 178/14	83/4 85/16 86/10	58/20 60/21 62/21	71/17 88/24 120/1	83/14 87/1 90/25
appeal [3] 33/4 111/8 130/22	89/23 92/4 93/1 94/9	63/15 70/15 70/15	124/4 124/11 127/6	99/15 100/2 103/11
appealing [1] 175/4	96/14 98/4 98/4	71/7 73/7 76/7 78/8	127/10 165/6 176/10	110/13 116/18 117/25
appear [9] 59/13 69/9	100/11 100/24 107/12	78/9 79/12 80/11	assumed [2] 126/20	131/13 139/13 140/8
99/2 100/12 100/16	107/12 110/7 111/2	80/11 80/14 80/14	178/24	144/23 145/5 146/19
100/21 112/4 125/1	111/3 113/15 113/19	80/18 91/17 91/19	assuming [3] 94/25	163/10 163/23 165/12
165/4	116/10 122/8 123/17	91/20 92/4 94/21	95/3 126/23	167/15 168/15
appearance [1]	123/22 125/12 125/14	94/21 97/4 99/22	assumption [6]	awareness [2] 15/21
94/23	126/10 126/23 129/11	99/24 99/24 100/11	36/24 37/24 38/2	141/8
appeared [5] 61/19	130/4 133/2 134/22	100/22 100/24 102/5	76/13 94/2 126/18	away [4] 52/15 56/20
106/20 111/19 124/5	135/6 136/9 136/11 142/2 143/21 145/5	102/6 102/22 103/11 103/11 104/7 105/4	assumptions [1] 46/12	58/11 68/2
4 4 0 / 4	142/2 143/21 143/3	103/11104/7103/4	40/1Z	
140/4				B
appearing [8] 112/7	145/10 145/13 146/3	106/5 107/17 108/13	assurance [1] 178/17	B BA [50] 16/22 16/25
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20	BA [50] 16/22 16/25
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1]	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25	BA [50] 16/22 16/25
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280]	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280]	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/8 163/12 163/12	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/8 163/12 163/12	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendess [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9	$\begin{array}{c} 106/5 \ 107/17 \ 108/13 \\ 108/13 \ 108/18 \ 109/3 \\ 116/18 \ 117/6 \ 117/9 \\ 117/20 \ 118/15 \ 119/15 \\ 119/24 \ 121/20 \ 123/11 \\ 123/15 \ 128/9 \ 129/10 \\ 134/24 \ 135/9 \ 137/3 \\ 137/9 \ 137/19 \ 138/14 \\ 138/19 \ 138/21 \ 138/25 \\ 139/13 \ 142/11 \ 145/8 \\ 145/24 \ 146/1 \ 146/16 \\ 149/5 \ 149/5 \ 149/22 \\ 149/22 \ 150/6 \ 151/25 \\ 152/12 \ 152/13 \ 152/14 \\ 152/15 \ 152/25 \ 155/12 \\ 155/14 \ 159/11 \ 159/13 \\ 159/15 \ 160/8 \ 160/25 \\ 162/24 \ 163/1 \ 163/2 \\ 163/8 \ 163/12 \ 163/12 \\ 163/23 \ 163/23 \ 164/5 \\ 164/9 \ 172/20 \ 173/22 \end{array}$	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3]	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19	$\begin{array}{c} 106/5 \ 107/17 \ 108/13 \\ 108/13 \ 108/18 \ 109/3 \\ 116/18 \ 117/6 \ 117/9 \\ 117/20 \ 118/15 \ 119/15 \\ 119/24 \ 121/20 \ 123/11 \\ 123/15 \ 128/9 \ 129/10 \\ 134/24 \ 135/9 \ 137/3 \\ 137/9 \ 137/19 \ 138/14 \\ 138/19 \ 138/21 \ 138/25 \\ 139/13 \ 142/11 \ 145/8 \\ 145/24 \ 146/1 \ 146/16 \\ 149/5 \ 149/5 \ 149/22 \\ 149/22 \ 150/6 \ 151/25 \\ 152/12 \ 152/13 \ 152/14 \\ 152/15 \ 152/25 \ 155/12 \\ 155/14 \ 159/11 \ 159/13 \\ 159/15 \ 160/8 \ 160/25 \\ 162/24 \ 163/1 \ 163/2 \\ 163/23 \ 163/23 \ 164/5 \\ 164/9 \ 172/20 \ 173/22 \\ 175/3 \ 176/25 \ 177/10 \\ \end{array}$	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendess [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4 68/1 68/5 68/7 72/16
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3] 104/9 122/25 128/11	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19 arose [4] 11/23 22/6	$\begin{array}{c} 106/5 \ 107/17 \ 108/13 \\ 108/13 \ 108/18 \ 109/3 \\ 116/18 \ 117/6 \ 117/9 \\ 117/20 \ 118/15 \ 119/15 \\ 119/24 \ 121/20 \ 123/11 \\ 123/15 \ 128/9 \ 129/10 \\ 134/24 \ 135/9 \ 137/3 \\ 137/9 \ 137/19 \ 138/14 \\ 138/19 \ 138/21 \ 138/25 \\ 139/13 \ 142/11 \ 145/8 \\ 145/24 \ 146/1 \ 146/16 \\ 149/5 \ 149/5 \ 149/22 \\ 149/22 \ 150/6 \ 151/25 \\ 152/12 \ 152/13 \ 152/14 \\ 152/15 \ 152/25 \ 155/12 \\ 155/14 \ 159/11 \ 159/13 \\ 159/15 \ 160/8 \ 160/25 \\ 162/24 \ 163/12 \ 163/2 \\ 163/23 \ 163/23 \ 164/5 \\ 164/9 \ 172/20 \ 173/22 \\ 175/3 \ 176/25 \ 177/10 \\ 178/14 \ 179/1 \ 179/1 \end{array}$	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8 audit [9] 3/24 4/1 4/5	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3] 104/9 122/25 128/11 approaches [2]	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19 arose [4] 11/23 22/6 49/23 160/9	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/8 163/12 163/12 163/23 163/23 164/5 164/9 172/20 173/22 175/3 176/25 177/10 178/14 179/1 179/1 ascertain [1] 66/22	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendess [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4 68/1 68/5 68/7 72/16 72/16 75/11 76/1 76/2
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3] 104/9 122/25 128/11 approaches [2] 42/14 44/6	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19 arose [4] 11/23 22/6	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/23 163/23 164/5 164/9 172/20 173/22 175/3 176/25 177/10 178/14 179/1 179/1 ascertain [1] 66/22 aside [2] 70/6 109/1	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8 audit [9] 3/24 4/1 4/5 4/5 164/12 164/15 164/17 174/15 176/14	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4 68/1 68/5 68/7 72/16 72/16 75/11 76/1 76/2 78/6 79/2 85/9 94/8 96/7 98/12 101/4 101/5 103/1 105/11
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3] 104/9 122/25 128/11 approaches [2] 42/14 44/6 appropriate [6] 71/23	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19 arose [4] 11/23 22/6 49/23 160/9 around [22] 3/20	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/8 163/12 163/12 163/23 163/23 164/5 164/9 172/20 173/22 175/3 176/25 177/10 178/14 179/1 179/1 ascertain [1] 66/22	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8 audit [9] 3/24 4/1 4/5 4/5 164/12 164/15	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4 68/1 68/5 68/7 72/16 72/16 75/11 76/1 76/2 78/6 79/2 85/9 94/8 96/7 98/12 101/4 101/5 103/1 105/11 106/19 117/1 120/16
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3] 104/9 122/25 128/11 approaches [2] 42/14 44/6 appropriate [6] 71/23 81/17 104/8 144/4	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19 around [22] 3/20 11/4 15/13 15/16	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/8 163/12 163/12 163/23 163/23 164/5 164/9 172/20 173/22 175/3 176/25 177/10 178/14 179/1 179/1 ascertain [1] 66/22 aside [2] 70/6 109/1 ask [19] 1/9 2/4 2/21	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8 audit [9] 3/24 4/1 4/5 4/5 164/12 164/15 164/17 174/15 176/14 audited [1] 176/8	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4 68/1 68/5 68/7 72/16 72/16 75/11 76/1 76/2 78/6 79/2 85/9 94/8 96/7 98/12 101/4 101/5 103/1 105/11 106/19 117/1 120/16 124/9 130/12 132/24
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3] 104/9 122/25 128/11 approaches [2] 42/14 44/6 appropriate [6] 71/23 81/17 104/8 144/4 160/3 169/4	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19 arose [4] 11/23 22/6 49/23 160/9 around [22] 3/20 11/4 15/13 15/16 43/14 46/14 46/22 49/20 66/23 67/1 67/11 67/21 90/11	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/8 163/12 163/12 163/23 163/23 164/5 164/9 172/20 173/22 175/3 176/25 177/10 178/14 179/1 179/1 <b>ascertain [1]</b> 66/22 <b>aside [2]</b> 70/6 109/1 <b>ask [19]</b> 1/9 2/4 2/21 2/24 10/6 30/8 66/6 75/10 85/19 120/13 123/21 132/23 133/3	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8 audit [9] 3/24 4/1 4/5 4/5 164/12 164/15 164/17 174/15 176/14 audited [1] 176/12 auditors [6] 164/18 173/21 175/10 176/7	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4 68/1 68/5 68/7 72/16 72/16 75/11 76/1 76/2 78/6 79/2 85/9 94/8 96/7 98/12 101/4 101/5 103/1 105/11 106/19 117/1 120/16 124/9 130/12 132/24 133/18 136/15 137/6
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3] 104/9 122/25 128/11 approaches [2] 42/14 44/6 appropriate [6] 71/23 81/17 104/8 144/4 160/3 169/4 approval [1] 178/12	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19 around [22] 3/20 11/4 15/13 15/16 43/14 46/14 46/22 49/20 66/23 67/1 67/11 67/21 90/11 92/1 99/5 103/2	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/8 163/12 163/12 163/23 163/23 164/5 164/9 172/20 173/22 175/3 176/25 177/10 178/14 179/1 179/1 ascertain [1] 66/22 aside [2] 70/6 109/1 ask [19] 1/9 2/4 2/21 2/24 10/6 30/8 66/6 75/10 85/19 120/13 123/21 132/23 133/3 133/12 141/16 164/20	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8 audit [9] 3/24 4/1 4/5 164/17 174/15 176/14 audited [1] 176/8 auditor's [1] 176/12 auditors [6] 164/18 173/21 175/10 176/7 176/10 176/17	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4 68/1 68/5 68/7 72/16 72/16 75/11 76/1 76/2 78/6 79/2 85/9 94/8 96/7 98/12 101/4 101/5 103/1 105/11 106/19 117/1 120/16 124/9 130/12 132/24 133/18 136/15 137/6 137/10 139/10 140/17
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3] 104/9 122/25 128/11 approaches [2] 42/14 44/6 appropriate [6] 71/23 81/17 104/8 144/4 160/3 169/4	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19 arose [4] 11/23 22/6 49/23 160/9 around [22] 3/20 11/4 15/13 15/16 43/14 46/14 46/22 49/20 66/23 67/1 67/11 67/21 90/11	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/8 163/12 163/12 163/23 163/23 164/5 164/9 172/20 173/22 175/3 176/25 177/10 178/14 179/1 179/1 ascertain [1] 66/22 aside [2] 70/6 109/1 ask [19] 1/9 2/4 2/21 2/24 10/6 30/8 66/6 75/10 85/19 120/13 123/21 132/23 133/3 133/12 141/16 164/20	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8 audit [9] 3/24 4/1 4/5 4/5 164/12 164/15 164/17 174/15 176/14 audited [1] 176/12 auditors [6] 164/18 173/21 175/10 176/7	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4 68/1 68/5 68/7 72/16 72/16 75/11 76/1 76/2 78/6 79/2 85/9 94/8 96/7 98/12 101/4 101/5 103/1 105/11 106/19 117/1 120/16 124/9 130/12 132/24 133/18 136/15 137/6
appearing [8] 112/7 113/16 113/20 124/13 128/21 139/21 155/10 174/16 appears [17] 27/14 62/19 78/12 85/18 98/5 98/10 98/15 98/20 98/24 102/4 105/6 107/2 107/24 105/6 107/2 107/24 108/7 114/15 135/10 164/23 applied [4] 90/16 94/4 110/8 160/22 apply [2] 89/23 166/1 applying [1] 126/23 appreciate [2] 11/9 147/21 approach [9] 42/16 44/13 51/7 52/6 73/15 104/12 126/23 132/6 146/12 approached [3] 104/9 122/25 128/11 approaches [2] 42/14 44/6 appropriate [6] 71/23 81/17 104/8 144/4 160/3 169/4 approval [1] 178/12	145/10 145/13 146/3 147/22 147/22 148/7 148/20 148/20 150/1 150/25 151/5 152/9 152/23 153/25 154/10 156/22 161/25 162/11 164/13 172/22 173/23 174/15 175/3 176/18 177/11 178/6 178/7 180/9 180/13 area [2] 29/1 75/9 areas [6] 4/6 15/25 17/6 28/23 30/23 159/15 aren't [8] 36/3 46/24 95/4 122/8 122/19 125/3 125/18 180/3 argue [3] 60/22 85/2 141/14 arise [2] 2/22 113/25 arising [3] 60/9 97/9 156/19 around [22] 3/20 11/4 15/13 15/16 43/14 46/14 46/22 49/20 66/23 67/1 67/11 67/21 90/11 92/1 99/5 103/2	106/5 107/17 108/13 108/13 108/18 109/3 116/18 117/6 117/9 117/20 118/15 119/15 119/24 121/20 123/11 123/15 128/9 129/10 134/24 135/9 137/3 137/9 137/19 138/14 138/19 138/21 138/25 139/13 142/11 145/8 145/24 146/1 146/16 149/5 149/5 149/22 149/22 150/6 151/25 152/12 152/13 152/14 152/15 152/25 155/12 155/14 159/11 159/13 159/15 160/8 160/25 162/24 163/1 163/2 163/8 163/12 163/12 163/23 163/23 164/5 164/9 172/20 173/22 175/3 176/25 177/10 178/14 179/1 179/1 ascertain [1] 66/22 aside [2] 70/6 109/1 ask [19] 1/9 2/4 2/21 2/24 10/6 30/8 66/6 75/10 85/19 120/13 123/21 132/23 133/3 133/12 141/16 164/20	assurance [1] 178/17 assurances [1] 49/20 astonished [1] 163/25 at [280] ATM [1] 110/25 attached [3] 39/17 104/10 111/1 attachments [5] 98/1 98/4 98/13 136/9 136/23 attempt [1] 66/19 attended [5] 64/16 66/13 66/13 77/12 136/22 attendees [1] 59/17 attending [1] 137/21 attention [1] 131/18 attitude [2] 129/4 148/24 attributed [1] 17/17 attributes [1] 44/8 audit [9] 3/24 4/1 4/5 164/17 174/15 176/14 audited [1] 176/8 auditor's [1] 176/12 auditors [6] 164/18 173/21 175/10 176/7 176/10 176/17	<b>BA [50]</b> 16/22 16/25 17/11 17/16 17/21 17/22 19/1 19/21 20/2 20/3 20/6 20/7 20/8 20/16 20/20 21/5 21/6 21/13 21/15 22/9 22/25 23/7 24/14 24/15 24/18 27/6 27/9 27/11 28/12 29/12 41/22 41/23 42/17 46/8 51/24 67/15 79/24 80/10 83/19 83/20 93/6 100/12 100/15 100/17 102/16 105/4 123/3 157/7 157/11 165/20 <b>back [69]</b> 14/19 28/5 37/17 38/3 39/23 42/5 44/3 45/9 45/11 46/9 47/25 56/4 60/23 61/4 68/1 68/5 68/7 72/16 72/16 75/11 76/1 76/2 78/6 79/2 85/9 94/8 96/7 98/12 101/4 101/5 103/1 105/11 106/19 117/1 120/16 124/9 130/12 132/24 133/18 136/15 137/6 137/10 139/10 140/17

(49) anything... - back

В	be [297]	174/9 177/4 179/5	130/24 136/10 138/1	172/23
	be as [1] 149/5	179/14 179/16 179/19		book [1] 59/11
back [22] 146/10	bear [3] 55/17 161/21		174/18 175/21 176/15	
146/24 147/9 151/10	165/4	BEER [8] 1/7 1/8	176/16 180/7 180/7	boss [7] 29/11 80/9
154/2 154/12 155/14	bearing [2] 135/6	133/22 136/2 140/4	believed [3] 63/10	84/20 159/2 159/6
156/11 156/19 156/21	139/20	140/7 180/16 182/3	127/7 127/11	159/10 165/21
158/6 160/12 164/8	became [6] 18/4	before [47] 3/20 4/9	bell [5] 70/22 77/15	bosses [1] 159/5
166/22 168/10 170/1	48/18 64/18 111/9	4/9 4/13 4/25 11/12	144/16 178/20 178/21	
171/12 172/14 172/17 172/20 172/22 173/17	161/6 180/1	15/8 16/12 16/16	bells [5] 143/21	43/4 45/10 93/1
	because [57] 7/2	17/11 17/16 26/10	144/24 167/2 169/12	101/19 175/25
backed [1] 115/5 background [2] 3/10	9/13 14/16 16/1 23/4	27/17 28/14 32/7	171/7	bottom [19] 27/23
119/1	23/18 26/8 26/15	37/22 38/18 38/23	below [7] 42/1 42/2	31/10 34/13 46/3
backing [2] 54/17	32/20 36/14 45/18	43/18 46/11 48/12	50/1 79/1 100/15	46/25 47/7 53/24
54/17	47/21 48/14 49/5 52/6	53/3 53/6 53/11 57/20	142/9 179/3	58/17 105/22 106/2
backlog [3] 45/6 46/3	52/11 67/23 68/24	62/23 65/18 66/1 67/3		130/13 138/11 144/25
47/14	69/23 72/3 76/5 83/10		41/1 41/14 41/16	147/5 150/9 153/17
backlogs [1] 45/5	84/14 89/9 89/12	88/18 88/24 94/2 97/6		164/3 169/20 170/4
bad [9] 8/17 9/9 9/12	94/20 101/14 108/2	112/16 121/7 122/3	benefiting [1] 49/9	boundaries [1] 9/22
10/3 10/4 12/3 32/16	108/16 108/16 109/4	123/5 134/17 140/11	benefits [2] 35/21	Bowburn [2] 169/22
119/7 119/9	109/9 109/24 112/18	161/5 164/16 165/9	35/25	171/4
balance [17] 18/13	114/1 115/7 115/13	166/25 170/4	best [8] 1/25 2/17	box [1] 166/20
31/16 31/17 31/18		beforehand [2] 64/22		brackets [3] 20/22
31/18 31/19 32/21	125/25 128/17 130/4	81/19	146/21 155/25 166/16	
32/23 36/19 49/25	132/18 132/20 135/10		Bethany [2] 153/20	branch [154] 14/22
61/10 62/24 62/24		began [7] 65/9 65/12	153/24	15/4 15/9 15/14 15/23
93/10 98/17 126/7	164/20 166/19 169/15		better [10] 43/8	
174/7	171/3 174/3 175/20	68/15 69/17	43/12 56/24 66/25	17/5 17/20 19/2 19/4
balanced [16] 18/18		begin [1] 103/16	94/7 123/11 128/11	20/11 21/4 21/25
56/15 61/15 61/19	become [4] 31/2 54/2		135/9 152/5 152/7	25/19 30/9 31/5 31/7
62/8 62/18 62/19 63/2	140/8 179/25	143/2	between [16] 3/12	31/8 32/4 32/4 32/12
63/12 63/18 63/20	becoming [1] 110/13		11/3 17/7 44/11 60/12	33/13 33/18 33/19
64/2 64/5 72/24	been [109] 7/14 9/4	86/5 104/24 105/16	78/9 82/21 82/23	37/13 43/20 44/22
125/25 154/8	9/17 9/25 11/7 11/11 14/16 15/17 21/3	<b>behind [5]</b> 39/9 43/5 72/25 101/16 131/24	82/25 84/14 102/5 108/5 110/14 135/13	44/25 48/14 48/15 48/20 48/21 48/24
balances [3] 31/9	29/10 35/7 37/5 37/5	being [62] 5/15 7/2	157/10 170/1	49/25 50/3 50/19
125/8 126/7	37/18 44/1 46/2 48/12		beyond [1] 82/15	53/16 56/13 56/18
balancing [7] 31/24	58/18 64/18 64/20	30/21 31/4 38/7 40/13		57/12 61/3 61/4 61/8
34/17 63/15 64/9	66/23 67/1 67/10	44/25 46/20 46/22	biggest [1] 46/13	61/13 61/15 61/19
70/11 109/6 126/9	67/11 67/12 70/14	49/2 53/14 54/20 65/5		61/23 62/4 62/9 62/14
bankrupted [1]	70/21 73/15 74/8	66/24 67/2 67/6 70/7	169/14 170/22	62/16 62/17 62/19
167/19	74/10 74/11 76/22	76/7 77/11 86/8 87/16		62/22 63/11 63/11
Bansal [3] 103/20	83/14 85/11 95/1	87/20 88/2 89/3 90/25		63/13 63/14 63/17
106/3 142/16	100/22 102/5 102/6	95/20 99/16 110/24	67/14 95/18 113/8	63/19 63/25 64/1 64/4
bar [2] 41/1 41/14 Barkham [2] 144/3	104/5 104/8 104/22	112/2 114/4 115/4	114/8 120/8 136/8	64/8 68/1 70/13 70/14
<b>Barknam [2]</b> 144/3	105/3 111/20 112/10	116/15 117/12 119/12	138/10 139/19 140/10	71/10 73/14 74/9
Barnes [1] 138/12	115/13 118/3 118/6	122/8 122/22 123/3	144/14 147/4 153/14	74/11 74/22 75/3
Barnsley [2] 8/12	121/3 121/11 123/24	124/22 128/8 134/20	166/20 167/1 170/1	88/22 88/23 90/3 90/5
105/7	129/9 129/23 130/2	134/22 137/7 137/8	170/22 173/22 174/17	90/6 90/11 90/13
based [15] 5/13 5/14	130/16 131/18 132/5	138/19 138/20 139/13		90/14 90/15 90/25
6/14 8/9 12/16 14/1	132/6 132/14 134/17	140/5 142/2 149/6	bite [1] 146/10	91/2 91/2 91/5 91/7
16/2 20/9 37/23 83/22	136/11 137/1 137/22	152/12 152/14 156/3	bits [1] 137/18	93/9 93/14 94/12
99/10 99/13 105/7	137/25 138/22 139/1	162/12 173/22 177/1	blame [2] 88/9 88/14	98/17 101/12 102/20
117/8 179/20	139/22 141/5 141/14	177/3 177/6 178/11	blamed [1] 144/18	106/22 107/2 107/24
basic [3] 26/11 26/13	143/6 143/9 143/10	178/22	blank [1] 155/4	108/7 108/12 109/6
55/22			block [5] 54/16 78/2	109/10 109/15 110/24
basically [10] 5/18	146/19 148/5 149/2	52/5 91/1 109/4	97/18 105/6 129/20	111/6 111/7 114/3
7/8 8/22 12/19 13/23	149/23 150/3 151/2	131/24	bluntly [1] 35/20	116/5 116/9 116/12
68/1 75/11 109/13	151/17 152/1 152/3	<b>believe [34]</b> 4/12 6/3	blur [1] 13/5	118/11 124/19 124/20
112/21 130/19	154/12 154/22 155/1	22/16 24/18 35/13	board [1] 48/14	125/7 125/16 125/17
basis [7] 33/10 38/1	155/15 155/16 157/7 157/9 157/25 158/2	39/3 39/13 61/15 62/7 62/17 63/17 63/18	boat [1] 10/13	127/7 130/3 130/21 134/3 134/5 134/7
38/1 73/18 74/5 95/3	159/3 164/4 164/15	64/1 64/5 69/8 70/4	bog [1] 51/7 bold [2] 61/12 61/12	134/12 134/15 134/16
162/12	164/18 167/15 167/24		Bolsover [5] 24/3	134/23 134/25 135/1
batch [1] 43/1	168/14 173/16 173/24		24/5 27/6 172/19	135/3 142/8 142/11
				(50) book bronch

В	broken [1] 121/8	37/25 38/5 39/8 39/22	25/19 27/5 36/18	certain [6] 61/3
branch [22] 142/22	Brooks [1] 130/24	buyer [1] 155/5	55/10 58/9 80/3 82/12	
143/1 144/15 145/5	brought [3] 55/17	Byfleet [1] 81/22	83/5 86/21 87/19	172/19 177/7
145/24 147/13 147/14	77/3 85/19	С	87/20 107/2 107/24	certainly [16] 14/25
148/17 148/21 149/19	BRSS [1] 66/24		108/3 108/4 108/7	15/7 15/9 15/11 35/6
155/15 156/23 167/20	brush [1] 95/17	cables [1] 154/4	130/7 155/19 167/5	46/13 51/6 54/23
173/16 173/20 173/24	brutally [1] 160/24	call [5] 1/5 108/18	167/6 167/6 168/13	60/18 73/12 90/22
174/20 175/21 176/8	BTS [2] 142/24 179/7	138/21 155/9 177/11	168/15 168/18 168/21	96/6 172/19 177/8
178/19 179/11 179/12		called [9] 5/18 12/15 17/21 18/11 24/5	168/25 169/3 169/10	179/1 180/21
branch's [2] 71/9	<b>bug [40]</b> 59/14 60/19	59/10 117/15 138/12	170/8 170/10 170/19 171/1 171/2 171/3	cetera [11] 4/4 17/6 47/23 60/3 60/3 154/5
128/21	60/24 64/15 64/17 67/10 70/5 70/10	157/8	176/2 176/3 176/6	154/8 162/3 162/3
branch-wide [1]	71/20 71/24 73/1 73/8		179/2	163/5 163/5
94/12	75/18 76/8 76/15	calls [3] 95/8 154/3	cases [14] 2/25 25/2	chain [6] 104/15
branches [103] 4/3	83/15 85/7 85/24	176/24	25/4 46/21 70/6 75/15	
5/19 8/22 11/15 16/1	86/25 87/23 93/2 97/8	came [10] 11/7 25/2	75/20 85/16 86/10	131/11 153/15
16/24 17/8 18/10 19/6	97/11 98/8 99/12	25/4 25/14 53/15 55/5		Chair [1] 136/17
19/20 19/21 19/22	99/22 99/25 102/25	90/20 97/23 165/18	108/17 168/21	Chairman [3] 4/19
20/1 20/1 20/6 20/13 21/2 22/17 25/10	103/14 108/23 127/16		cash [30] 17/3 18/14	30/5 111/11
25/25 31/13 31/15	137/4 143/11 143/15	Camelot [3] 22/3	18/14 19/8 22/18	challenge [2] 38/16
32/20 33/18 34/20	146/19 160/15 172/17		37/15 37/17 49/25	52/17
35/7 38/10 40/16	173/12 179/24 180/2	can [210]	50/4 50/23 53/3 53/12	
43/22 43/24 48/13	bug's [1] 67/3	can't [56] 5/9 5/17	56/13 56/17 57/13	77/6
51/5 52/12 54/5 56/23	bugs [12] 5/2 6/21	8/7 10/19 12/14 12/20	• ., • • =, • =, • •	chance [1] 52/16
61/5 62/7 63/16 63/18	10/24 13/13 14/14	13/21 14/4 17/19	125/7 125/8 125/21	change [5] 78/16
65/6 65/7 67/16 69/24	16/18 160/8 160/17	23/13 24/12 24/23 33/15 36/11 41/9 45/2	125/22 125/22 125/24	91/6 148/7 154/4
70/4 70/9 70/9 71/12	162/20 163/8 163/14	45/24 53/13 56/9		163/3
71/15 72/9 72/23 73/2	180/4		145/17 145/18 148/6	changed [7] 5/21 24/9 24/22 29/15 91/2
73/10 73/12 74/10	building [5] 14/18 15/17 16/2 16/12	74/1 75/4 75/23 79/5	Castleton [2] 167/2 167/19	132/6 154/6
74/14 74/17 75/16	83/19	80/15 80/21 81/15	Castleton's [1]	changing [5] 90/13
81/6 84/7 85/15 85/16	built [1] 23/4	82/16 82/20 83/8	168/24	90/24 91/5 134/15
86/10 88/9 88/13	bulk [3] 43/2 123/17	89/17 97/3 101/16	casual [13] 118/14	134/25
89/22 89/24 91/23	123/19	103/5 112/21 113/9	119/3 119/4 119/6	charge [3] 158/25
93/15 94/6 100/11	bullet [16] 30/7 30/20	113/13 120/6 124/16	119/10 119/13 119/13	
100/16 101/8 102/6 102/13 102/15 102/20	31/5 32/11 34/11	127/18 133/1 137/8	119/19 119/20 119/22	
102/13 102/13 102/20	34/12 40/22 44/18	149/3 149/15 151/8	120/14 120/19 122/9	79/16
103/3 103/8 103/8 103/9	53/22 57/21 61/18	153/23 154/15 155/24	catch [2] 9/15 129/17	chase [1] 162/3
107/6 107/12 108/20	72/19 75/14 85/13	157/20 158/5 158/23	cause [10] 72/22	chaser [4] 104/16
141/20 141/24 143/14	88/6 88/7	159/4 170/14	73/9 73/9 85/14	104/25 105/11 105/12
143/20 148/14 149/7	bundle [1] 1/16	cancels [1] 61/8		check [8] 63/19 74/9
150/1 150/25 151/6	burden [1] 171/17	cannot [8] 77/11	144/23 149/2 178/2	74/12 74/25 97/1
152/16 156/24 157/4	buried [1] 143/18	119/15 128/23 143/16 150/6 151/23 152/25		112/17 131/7 132/1
164/12 164/19 174/1	Burley [2] 80/6 81/13	176/14	90/12 134/14 148/17 149/7 151/21 154/22	checked [9] 88/18
178/2 179/6 179/18	business [31] 4/7 15/20 19/7 46/9 72/5	card [1] 147/19	178/5	88/25 94/1 145/12 152/2 153/3 154/13
break [10] 57/20	92/4 118/15 120/20	care [1] 72/2	causing [2] 15/24	154/14 154/21
58/23 59/5 96/5 96/18	120/23 121/1 121/4	cared [1] 33/18	108/25	checking [4] 4/3 4/4
96/22 133/4 133/10	121/5 121/10 122/11	career [1] 129/1	caution [1] 76/16	11/4 45/14
134/18 151/4		carefully [4] 71/12	central [2] 162/21	checks [2] 112/7
breakdown [2] 150/5 151/18	140/1 144/19 149/2	71/14 72/10 95/7	165/23	145/6
breaks [1] 11/2	150/3 151/2 151/17	careless [1] 145/25	centrally [18] 18/12	cheque [29] 18/15
brief [2] 29/21 171/23	152/2 152/8 152/10	carelessness [1]	18/16 30/6 49/18	18/15 42/24 50/5 50/5
briefly [1] 167/25	158/3 160/8 163/1	145/22	49/21 49/23 50/2 50/8	1
bring [3] 22/16 90/8	163/8 178/14	carried [4] 119/15	50/16 51/2 51/14 52/2	
134/9	bust [1] 71/19	119/17 119/25 120/1	52/16 52/19 52/20	112/20 112/24 113/2
bringing [1] 82/19	busy [4] 161/9	carry [3] 31/7 33/13	142/24 164/3 164/22	113/3 113/4 113/5
brings [1] 176/19	161/10 161/11 161/13		centrally' [3] 30/8	113/7 113/11 113/20
broadcast [1] 4/20	but [186] butchor [2] 57/13	carrying [3] 4/7 120/10 177/2	49/15 164/14	115/21 121/23 122/2 124/5 124/13 125/15
broadly [4] 19/1 20/6	butcher [2] 57/13 57/15	cascade [1] 105/19	centre [6] 32/14 104/3 104/21 105/4	124/5 124/13 125/15
20/8 129/10	button [12] 34/11	cascaded [1] 105/18	144/12 145/18	cheques [20] 42/22
broke [3] 3/3 111/14	35/4 35/8 35/11 35/12		centres [3] 11/5 19/8	111/19 111/22 111/23
147/21	36/1 36/14 36/16	18/18 25/6 25/18	19/8	112/4 112/12 112/16
				(51) branch - cheques

(51) branch... - cheques

С	170/24	151/20	151/21 152/11 152/13	controls [6] 117/5
cheques [13]	closely [1] 69/12	compensated [1]	179/21	117/10 117/24 118/13
112/21 112/22 113/11	closing [1] 81/9 CM1 [2] 161/1 161/3	145/11 compensating [5]	connectivity [14] 147/15 147/25 148/16	118/18 120/18
113/16 113/19 113/20	CM2 [1] 6/3	46/5 48/3 50/18 50/19		49/4 79/1 81/25 82/13
113/21 122/4 122/5 128/20 128/20 130/20	Cochate [2] 8/7	62/5	150/5 151/1 151/4	82/14 84/14 84/16
135/15	159/7	compensation [1]	151/7 151/10 151/18	110/14 110/21
Chesterfield [11]	Cockfield [1] 140/13	151/12	152/12 152/17 cons [2] 36/16 36/18	conversations [3] 17/12 29/11 86/4
6/15 14/2 17/9 18/17	code [4] 60/2 65/5 127/17 155/22	competing [1] 10/15 competition [3] 10/7	conscientious [1]	Cook [2] 170/9
42/18 48/5 78/13 79/14 82/4 154/13	coherent [1] 114/14	10/10 26/25	34/3	170/13
159/7	colleagues [1] 92/17	compiled [1] 12/20		copied [3] 66/5 131/1
children [1] 111/15	collection [5] 100/6 100/25 101/1 106/3	complaint [1] 170/25	168/17 168/21 169/2	153/16
choice [3] 50/4 119/7	141/7	complete [3] 31/17 90/6 134/7	consent [2] 111/21 124/6	<b>copy [4]</b> 1/15 2/2 79/8 129/18
119/9	collectively [2] 95/23		consider [5] 75/18	core [6] 34/14 111/10
choices [1] 18/14 chosen [1] 148/11	159/20	completely [3] 42/13	92/21 137/3 137/5	118/13 118/18 133/5
Christmas [1] 26/9	Colleen [1] 139/16	44/6 124/1	170/24	156/9
chronologically [1]	colon [1] 136/12 combative [1] 122/8	completion [2] 60/11 61/8	considerable [1] 109/1	correct [43] 3/14 4/24 5/4 7/23 10/21
153/19	combined [1] 12/24	computer [2] 121/7	consideration [2]	18/6 24/7 24/20 26/17
circa [2] 61/5 61/7 circle [1] 47/22	come [31] 19/9 19/12	179/4	88/11 139/23	28/17 29/5 34/1 47/21
circles [1] 119/25	23/3 23/25 25/5 25/9 29/21 32/22 47/25	concentrated [2] 19/20 25/25	considered [3] 46/9 73/14 84/19	49/11 52/22 53/17 62/9 62/11 65/24 67/5
civil [2] 77/4 97/11	56/25 57/15 60/17	concept [6] 7/11 7/21	considering [5] 3/16	67/18 69/12 72/13
claim [6] 31/22 41/8 119/5 168/3 169/14	77/22 84/13 84/17	128/23 140/1 140/5	75/6 85/4 85/22 86/13	89/2 91/23 111/23
173/21	96/7 100/3 109/19	140/12	consistency [1]	115/24 120/12 120/24
Claimants [1] 111/9	117/2 135/5 143/23 146/9 149/11 154/12	concern [13] 56/2 70/12 74/22 76/20	42/11 consolidate [1] 19/2	123/20 123/23 133/19 139/4 152/10 154/20
claiming [2] 41/1	156/21 158/6 160/12	99/5 109/1 109/24	consolidated [4] 4/5	162/18 165/17 177/14
41/14 claims [3] 111/18	169/6 176/7 176/22	121/2 121/5 121/10	34/14 42/25 43/1	177/17 177/19 178/8
114/1 130/4	177/25	157/25 158/2 177/11	contact [20] 20/1	178/15 178/19
clarification [1]	comeback [2] 84/12 84/13	concerned [7] 42/3 44/24 46/8 106/17	20/6 20/15 20/17 21/2 21/3 21/12 21/15 22/3	
164/9 class [1] 91/6	comes [7] 57/13	122/15 132/12 179/2		correction [48] 20/14
clean [2] 94/6 109/14		concerning [3] 77/19		28/22 29/1 29/6 30/9
cleaned [1] 32/5	135/18 164/25 coming [9] 1/12 16/3	98/7 129/16	79/14 155/9 165/22 contacting [1] 21/6	30/12 31/6 32/22 32/25 33/1 33/25
clear [27] 37/19 41/1	16/5 30/19 44/3 139/6		contacts [1] 104/8	34/13 34/23 35/5
41/14 42/10 43/7 45/7 49/2 50/6 50/7 73/25	160/6 161/14 180/19	129/23 134/11 144/15	contemporaneous	37/14 37/16 37/21
95/24 107/1 107/23	commence [1] 133/6	155/16	<b>[2]</b> 63/9 64/4	38/8 38/9 38/13 38/23
108/1 109/3 109/12	commenced [1] 123/14	conclude [2] 36/21 73/16	content [2] 66/6 79/10	39/6 39/10 39/12 39/15 39/17 39/24
113/2 113/11 114/13	comment [4] 93/22	concludes [1] 180/17		40/5 40/7 40/23 40/24
124/14 150/4 151/3 151/15 151/17 168/2	114/10 126/22 158/5	conclusion [1]	2/16	41/4 42/9 42/24 43/2
168/5 168/11	communicate [4]	134/23	context [3] 40/19 77/23 111/5	43/9 44/2 44/3 45/13
cleared [10] 19/10	51/25 71/14 85/5 85/22	conditions [1] 80/3 conduct [2] 67/9 87/5		45/14 49/24 50/13 50/15 50/18 116/6
46/22 93/11 108/16 109/8 111/22 111/24	communicated [2]	conducted [2] 28/14	33/11 40/21 118/12	123/20 123/22 145/17
112/12 113/18 161/24	70/3 71/12	179/7	121/17 121/19 124/17	corrections [23] 28/7
clearing [2] 46/2	communication [10] 22/6 73/14 93/9 93/19	conducting [2] 99/13 179/12	continues [4] 39/2 39/18 61/9 103/12	30/18 31/12 31/23 32/1 32/6 32/14 33/24
47/13	93/20 93/22 94/13	conferences [1]	continuing [1]	37/2 37/12 42/5 43/10
clearly [7] 45/5 148/8 148/16 151/12 156/10	95/18 110/14 157/9	137/22	132/13	46/6 46/19 46/25
158/14 180/20	communications [7] 131/8 132/1 132/3	<b>confidence [6]</b> 72/22 73/9 74/19 76/19	contract [6] 110/15 111/7 111/8 114/9	47/18 48/3 48/6 49/9 52/13 78/15 123/18
clears [1] 20/12	132/5 147/21 147/22	85/14 132/10	115/15 144/6	145/10
client [3] 20/11 141/10 165/8	172/20	confidentiality [1]	contracts [7] 104/23	correctly [9] 33/21
client's [1] 141/15	community [1] 93/2	81/5	110/3 110/7 110/12	46/21 52/7 52/8 61/16
clients [2] 19/6 21/24	company [1] 128/12 compare [1] 12/2	confirm [1] 146/8 conformance [1]	173/14 173/15 173/18 contractual [1] 58/1	62/8 62/18 107/18 159/16
close [5] 23/23 69/11	compared [1] 32/3	30/15	contribution [1]	correspondence [6]
80/10 80/13 105/21 closed [2] 130/3	compensate [5] 44/4	connected [1] 142/5	164/4	102/3 130/14 130/15
	150/4 151/3 151/17	connection [4]	controlled [1] 71/18	166/23 169/25 171/16

С	credit [5] 37/13 50/13		47/14 58/8 58/10 77/4	
corresponding [1]	50/15 50/19 106/22	database [1] 12/14	108/19	22/13
130/16	crime [1] 83/22 criminal [5] 78/3	date [19] 15/3 16/17 17/20 28/17 59/23	<b>December [3]</b> 1/17 100/4 100/8	description [2] 55/18 110/11
corrupted [2] 179/20	97/20 97/20 99/10	59/25 60/5 64/14	December 2010 [1]	descriptions [1] 13/6
180/5	137/10	65/16 66/10 97/7	100/4	designated [1]
cost [4] 29/4 29/15	critical [1] 47/20	139/9 141/19 147/10	decided [2] 91/22	150/23
33/2 171/5 costing [2] 5/13 5/14	criticising [1] 125/1	156/11 165/2 165/4	163/3	despatched [1]
costs [5] 29/22	cross [1] 61/1	167/16 176/20	decision [5] 82/10	130/20
167/18 168/10 169/15	cross-heading [1]	dated [9] 1/17 59/24	82/18 130/23 149/2	desperately [1]
171/10	61/1	70/17 81/21 97/16	163/2	161/10
could [71] 22/1 29/15	Crown [2] 51/6 77/20	100/8 129/21 136/2 144/2	decisions [3] 5/15 86/24 167/10	despite [1] 106/24
32/7 37/8 38/16 40/6	Crozier [2] 170/9 170/13	dates [6] 15/2 60/4	decks [1] 108/16	detail [7] 30/19 63/4 80/15 82/14 82/17
43/6 44/1 48/11 53/5	crucial [3] 160/20	60/11 123/10 173/17	declaration [3] 125/7	99/20 104/11
55/22 56/14 56/23	162/19 163/13	176/21	125/24 126/2	detailed [3] 2/24
56/25 58/4 61/20	culpable [2] 127/10	dating [1] 27/10	deduction [3] 54/5	26/19 26/23
62/20 63/21 72/22 73/1 73/9 75/10 76/19	127/13	Dave [1] 129/24	107/19 107/20	details [2] 104/14
79/10 82/11 85/14	culture [2] 129/5	David [4] 103/18	deductions [1] 55/19	130/10
88/9 88/13 89/2 89/9	171/12	105/1 105/2 129/24	deeper [1] 146/16	deter [3] 168/7
89/10 90/10 90/11	current [4] 28/21	day [15] 32/21 44/2	defects [11] 5/2 6/22	168/12 168/16
90/12 92/12 93/15	28/24 28/25 99/13	44/2 56/16 56/19	10/24 13/13 14/14	determined [1] 123/1
109/8 117/12 122/1	currently [1] 61/5	57/15 66/23 67/2	16/19 160/9 160/17	developed [2] 83/9
122/3 128/5 132/9	customer [6] 93/7 148/9 153/21 155/6	67/11 79/18 79/22 112/14 112/15 125/8	162/20 163/8 163/14 defence [14] 77/10	166/7 development [1]
132/18 132/19 132/24	155/24 163/15	180/20	77/25 79/9 82/3 83/1	66/18
132/25 134/12 134/13	customers [2]	days [7] 31/16 66/20	83/18 83/19 85/3	DFR [6] 53/23 53/25
134/14 134/24 135/3	145/13 155/1	110/20 123/9 139/11	85/20 86/5 86/25	54/4 54/5 54/9 58/2
135/12 144/23 146/8	cut [3] 43/18 43/23	140/20 167/9	87/24 118/13 118/19	did [97] 4/10 4/14 6/8
148/1 149/1 151/10 153/17 158/9 163/5	66/10	dead [1] 88/7	defend [3] 118/22	6/16 6/20 7/24 7/25
173/8 174/9 175/5	cut-off [2] 43/18	deal [11] 1/14 7/21	168/5 175/9	8/4 8/13 8/19 8/19
175/9 175/11 175/21	43/23	44/10 47/24 59/23	defers [1] 52/16	10/23 11/24 13/3 13/3
176/6 177/4 178/11	cutting [2] 29/21 44/5		deficit [1] 18/15	13/8 13/12 14/3 14/5
179/21 180/24	D	164/13 173/18	define [3] 49/15	14/10 24/2 24/24 26/3
couldn't [7] 12/2	daft [1] 154/24	dealing [9] 11/2	49/18 164/2	26/8 28/8 31/5 31/25
47/12 50/2 51/23	damage [1] 72/5	46/15 48/16 48/20 104/5 135/6 155/18	defined [2] 23/4 50/20	32/1 33/19 34/19 36/21 51/21 51/21
63/16 117/14 146/25	damaged [1] 89/6	158/12 180/4	defining [1] 164/22	51/25 53/6 53/9 55/6
counsel [1] 79/6	damages [1] 167/18	dealt [9] 23/10 47/24	definitive [2] 74/14	55/7 57/15 57/16
counter [3] 61/2 90/3	dare [1] 95/13	48/10 48/19 78/15	74/20	63/18 65/14 71/20
134/3	dark [1] 92/23	88/5 123/25 136/3	delayed [2] 74/18	75/17 75/22 76/12
country [1] 115/20 County [1] 140/13	data [65] 10/19 11/3	138/19	96/25	77/9 80/13 81/13
couple [4] 8/21 66/20	11/5 11/13 11/16	Dear [3] 147/12	deliberately [1] 26/14	
116/7 128/10	11/18 11/19 12/8	149/18 169/23	demanded [1] 171/3	97/22 103/6 105/25
course [7] 6/20 13/12	12/12 12/13 12/18 12/20 12/20 13/3	<b>Dearne [4]</b> 8/10	demonstrate [2]	106/1 113/14 117/11
65/10 68/13 87/25	16/20 17/2 17/8 19/5	12/17 105/7 154/16 debit [1] 37/15	126/8 126/16 department [4] 129/8	117/20 118/1 118/8 118/10 118/11 119/13
111/12 126/13	19/8 43/17 44/19	debt [55] 17/25 18/1	132/23 171/9 178/25	119/23 120/13 124/19
court [11] 49/19 52/9	45/10 45/20 57/8	18/4 18/9 18/11 18/13		126/2 129/10 135/9
52/18 52/18 52/22	57/11 66/21 66/23	18/16 18/18 18/19	163/1	135/17 137/3 137/5
75/20 77/20 87/24 118/13 118/19 118/22	67/2 70/7 71/11 75/16		depended [1] 74/16	137/9 140/7 141/12
courts [1] 77/8	76/16 80/24 81/5	28/22 28/23 29/1 29/1	dependent [2] 67/15	143/13 145/21 150/18
cover [1] 26/9	83/11 83/15 83/22	29/6 29/6 33/1 38/15	147/20	152/16 155/9 155/12
covered [3] 34/21	83/24 84/6 85/4 85/17	41/18 46/1 46/9 47/3	depends [3] 46/3	157/6 157/17 160/2
83/2 108/25	85/21 86/5 86/6 86/7 86/11 90/9 90/13 91/2	47/6 47/8 49/19 50/2	47/14 132/5	161/4 162/23 162/24
create [6] 47/22	91/5 91/23 93/16	50/5 50/6 50/7 50/10 50/20 50/21 51/16	depos [1] 4/3 deposit [1] 57/14	163/24 164/16 164/16 167/5 170/19 172/6
55/24 76/15 80/19	99/10 99/13 127/17	52/3 53/20 54/6 54/16		172/17 175/18 179/17
80/20 174/1	134/11 134/15 134/20	55/25 58/4 58/12	derived [1] 125/21	didn't [37] 5/1 6/10
created [3] 164/21	134/25 135/11 135/13	89/13 93/13 110/10	describe [4] 25/24	8/16 14/13 14/24
165/20 166/3 creating [1] 5/20	148/1 178/19 179/20	110/11 110/13 111/14		26/23 33/13 33/14
Credence [2] 63/24	180/5	125/14 125/15 131/7	described [5] 18/5	33/17 41/17 48/19
63/24	data's [1] 83/23	132/2 132/4 165/21	43/4 112/2 117/20	54/20 58/12 58/13
	data/different [1]	debts [7] 18/23 46/4	162/25	58/14 71/21 80/18
				53) corresponding _ didn't

D	disclosure [7] 76/9	37/15 37/17 37/18	59/24 60/1 65/2 68/7	92/23 93/23 94/5
	80/10 80/13 80/17	37/25 38/4 38/12	68/19 70/1 70/17	94/11 95/19 95/22
didn't [20] 83/6	86/24 88/13 99/12	38/18 38/24 39/8	70/19 71/2 77/24	97/23 101/21 102/21
84/18 84/20 85/1	disclosures [2]	39/19 39/21 40/3 40/9	82/23 85/11 87/2 87/3	103/23 108/19 113/16
94/11 108/17 109/2	75/19 76/8	40/11 54/2 54/4 54/10	87/10 87/16 88/4	117/1 117/11 118/5
119/5 119/22 122/7 126/1 127/21 140/1	disconnect [1] 178/5	54/11 55/19 55/25	89/22 91/11 97/11	120/6 122/16 125/19
146/20 159/6 162/4	discontinued [1]	56/5 150/21 165/12	97/13 98/10 109/19	127/1 127/5 128/2
162/21 162/23 166/1	178/3	165/14	133/19 133/22 135/23	131/23 132/1 135/4
175/10	discounting [1]	disputed [4] 46/20	135/25 136/14 138/6	135/21 137/21 140/6
difference [6] 44/11	175/19	53/1 53/2 53/10	138/7 138/14 141/3	140/21 141/15 146/4
44/13 58/12 82/21	discovered [8] 60/20	disputes [3] 53/22	141/16 143/25 147/3	147/16 148/22 149/20
108/5 135/13	64/18 65/17 65/17	53/25 166/14	147/3 153/13 163/12	150/15 151/12 156/16
different [39] 4/6	65/24 83/12 101/8	disputing [7] 47/23	164/2 164/23 164/25	158/4 158/9 158/25
11/3 11/5 13/6 13/23	102/4	75/16 85/16 86/10	165/3 165/4 166/25	159/1 160/4 160/5
22/7 42/13 43/9 44/6	discovery [3] 64/14	123/18 149/21 150/16		164/17 166/15 167/9
44/8 44/8 44/19 44/20	85/6 85/23	dissemination [1]	documentation [3]	167/25 168/17 168/19
45/8 48/9 48/9 48/10	discrepancies [11]	73/16	78/17 168/20 178/17	169/1 169/3 170/19
51/5 51/7 55/1 55/10		distracted [1] 113/15		171/18 171/20 172/4
101/16 107/13 109/19	93/10 98/9 98/16	dives [1] 173/10	25/20 25/23	172/9 173/20 173/25
124/1 138/5 141/16	136/13 141/21 157/5	Division [2] 78/4	documents [11] 15/7	174/18 174/19 175/16
142/8 143/3 143/8	176/12	97/21	70/23 98/21 98/22	176/2 176/5 176/16
145/9 150/7 153/1	discrepancy [39]	do [127] 1/17 3/6 3/8	102/19 103/2 117/17	176/16 176/18 177/3
153/4 161/7 163/15	45/13 49/23 50/23	9/15 10/3 11/11 16/25		177/23 180/7
165/20 178/7 180/8	56/15 60/2 63/25	19/4 20/24 21/4 21/5	169/6	done [22] 40/18 52/8
difficult [8] 7/21 9/14	64/10 67/18 67/18	21/6 23/23 26/22	does [37] 4/25 14/13	58/9 68/5 68/14 94/10
11/10 15/22 72/13	67/25 67/25 68/3 71/8		29/14 39/14 40/19	95/12 120/15 122/3
138/8 147/22 162/15	71/11 88/23 89/3 90/4		46/7 54/2 54/4 59/24	123/9 145/6 156/12
difficulties [1] 143/9	90/7 90/12 93/6 93/14 100/12 100/17 101/9	31/24 33/18 34/1 34/3 34/4 35/9 35/15 37/20		156/17 156/25 157/4 157/7 163/6 164/17
difficulty [1] 148/18	100/12 100/17 101/9	39/19 39/25 45/16	112/7 112/13 119/19	174/9 174/9 176/17
dig [1] 102/2	100/5 100/7 100/7	49/6 56/12 56/17 70/4	125/6 126/6 126/8	181/1
direct [6] 11/17 20/15	109/9 109/10 126/19	70/22 71/10 73/18	128/24 128/24 131/20	
21/5 23/7 104/13	134/4 134/8 134/14	74/7 74/23 75/22	136/24 144/15 146/14	
168/24	147/13 149/19 179/12			114/25
directed [1] 78/9	Discrepancy' [1]	84/5 84/9 84/22 84/23		doubt [2] 102/5
direction [1] 11/17	101/23	84/24 87/9 92/12	171/7 174/14 178/20	149/20
directive [1] 151/25	discuss [4] 59/14	92/13 93/24 94/12	doesn't [19] 35/23	down [50] 19/10
directly [1] 172/18	59/17 73/15 77/9	94/23 99/3 99/11	40/4 47/25 62/13 64/1	
director [3] 24/19	discussed [9] 17/21	106/8 107/5 108/19	70/22 93/24 112/5	29/22 38/15 40/21
47/11 158/25	52/12 82/8 82/25	108/20 109/9 109/21	117/5 119/6 119/17	44/17 46/14 46/23
directorate [4] 157/14 158/22 158/23	83/21 87/25 99/16	112/19 113/1 116/11	123/16 127/1 144/17	49/3 49/16 66/8 77/24
161/7	133/24 137/8	120/9 131/9 132/18	144/24 156/8 158/7	78/6 80/10 80/13
directors [1] 170/18	discussing [4] 19/17	132/19 135/7 135/10	165/4 178/21	81/10 82/19 98/12
disadvantages [1]	36/18 71/3 140/4	135/22 138/21 139/7	doing [24] 43/5 44/14	100/3 100/6 100/13
36/8	discussion [9] 36/15	139/11 139/16 140/17	46/24 47/2 51/6 68/21	104/17 109/8 109/12
disagreement [1]	73/6 82/5 86/17 87/17	141/6 143/17 143/20	72/9 91/8 107/9	109/20 111/14 114/8
84/18	99/12 103/13 148/16	143/22 146/17 146/21	113/15 114/21 123/12	120/8 126/14 129/19
disappear [2] 61/3	173/15	148/18 151/11 151/12		135/24 138/7 138/9
98/17	discussions [4]	152/11 153/20 154/16		138/10 141/23 142/4
disappeared [1]	85/20 137/19 143/17	155/25 157/2 157/15	162/1 162/9 162/11	142/13 144/13 144/14
107/7	146/20	157/21 159/6 161/17	175/15 175/19 178/10	
disappearing [1]	dismissed [1] 111/8	162/8 162/11 162/13	don't [109] 10/10 10/14 11/14 27/3	147/21 150/9 151/4
60/3	dispatching [1] 112/22	164/15 164/23 164/25 165/18 166/13 166/22		166/25 170/22 172/21 drafted [1] 136/14
disappointed [1]	display [2] 97/12	168/24 169/17 170/10		
166/24	97/13	170/12 170/25 171/12		
disappointingly [1]	displayed [2] 27/22	171/22 174/3 174/21	57/17 65/19 65/21	67/2 101/13
104/22	129/4	175/10 175/16 175/18		due [9] 50/13 65/10
disbelieve [3] 173/20	disprove [1] 31/25	176/3 176/25 177/5	74/24 74/25 75/2	68/13 92/5 127/15
174/20 176/16	dispute [38] 34/11	177/5 177/20 177/22	76/11 80/21 80/23	131/6 150/4 151/3
disclose [2] 87/18	34/16 35/4 35/4 35/8	177/23 178/1 178/17	81/1 81/1 81/2 82/15	151/18
89/17	35/10 35/12 35/15	document [55] 25/2	82/22 83/6 84/11 86/2	
disclosed [3] 87/22	35/15 35/18 36/1	25/4 25/16 27/10 28/9		103/24
88/1 117/17	36/14 36/16 36/22	28/19 30/7 34/6 57/21	90/20 91/7 92/15	Durham [1] 140/14
				(54) didn't Durham

D	124/24 128/3 129/5	equal [2] 37/3 37/6	everyone's [1] 50/16	11/24 12/1 123/12
during [4] 15/13 22/3	129/9 129/16 129/21	equally [3] 18/15	everything [8] 29/19	155/17 158/12 165/8
24/9 24/22	131/11 136/9 136/16	46/4 48/2	31/9 31/9 32/5 43/8	experienced [2]
duty [2] 42/23 42/24	136/21 137/14 141/18		43/21 163/24 167/20	147/15 148/21
	142/5 142/9 142/14	151/22	evidence [51] 1/12	expert [3] 83/19
<u>E</u>	142/18 144/2 145/1 145/4 153/15 158/12	errant [1] 85/10	3/7 29/23 30/13 35/14 36/21 36/23 37/23	114/10 169/16 explain [13] 19/5
each [5] 11/5 27/19	163/15 174/19 179/9	error [11] 37/3 42/24	38/1 38/4 39/3 39/7	30/21 41/3 44/21
30/19 114/4 154/10	179/13	43/3 48/23 48/23 65/5		49/21 55/14 93/12
earlier [14] 7/1 25/8 30/3 67/19 87/3 98/6	emails [6] 100/24	78/16 88/25 127/15	39/14 39/16 39/24	109/23 110/6 112/1
98/11 133/22 140/3	100/25 101/5 101/18	137/5 154/11	40/4 46/12 52/21 54/3	
146/1 152/4 159/5	141/24 163/16	errors [19] 5/2 6/21	54/12 54/14 55/7 55/8	
162/25 166/1	embracing [1] 71/18	10/24 13/13 14/14	55/8 55/18 56/7 63/9	72/10
early [10] 60/15 96/5	Emma [2] 100/7 101/25	16/19 42/14 42/17 43/25 44/1 44/4 44/7	86/18 111/11 117/15 118/1 118/16 119/5	explaining [2] 20/5 36/19
101/14 123/9 139/10	empathy [1] 166/20	45/1 78/12 79/14	121/20 122/1 122/12	explains [1] 142/10
140/20 140/24 156/11	emphasising [1]	160/9 162/20 163/14	122/18 123/22 127/19	
167/9 167/17 easiest [1] 142/21	46/24	174/2	136/16 140/3 140/25	163/20
easily [3] 9/18 66/17	employed [1] 161/22	escalate [7] 65/8	149/22 159/17 159/20	explanatory [1]
158/4	enclose [1] 79/8	65/14 68/12 69/16	180/8 180/20 181/2	79/10
easy [2] 119/6	encloses [1] 111/16	104/24 105/16 157/17		explicitly [1] 164/9
119/11	encounter [1] 179/6 end [18] 26/16 29/5	escalated [1] 157/1	s [1] 30/13 evidently [1] 158/11	exploiting [1] 70/5
effect [7] 5/16 9/10	29/5 41/8 46/20 49/25	escalating [1] 157/21 essentially [5] 35/17	evolved [1] 165/25	exploration [5] 33/25 38/9 38/18 38/24
43/2 48/6 49/9 63/24 166/3	50/3 50/19 56/16 61/4	37/12 44/13 80/9	ex [1] 88/2	99/25
effective [2] 29/4	62/22 89/9 93/17	104/25	ex-subpostmaster	explore [2] 3/7 36/7
29/15	112/14 112/15 138/2	establish [5] 16/17	[1] 88/2	explored [2] 38/14
effectively [11] 7/11	155/13 180/13	126/7 126/15 132/25	exactly [5] 41/9 45/2	39/5
19/5 20/10 31/8 33/17	ended [4] 5/15 38/15	147/24	65/21 95/11 121/13	expressed [5] 17/14
37/2 37/18 38/12	67/22 79/25	estimate [1] 14/9	examine [2] 72/20 83/19	27/8 31/14 73/24 164/9
117/13 161/16 166/7	ending [1] 99/3 endured [1] 167/22	estimated [2] 171/6 171/10	examined [1] 171/5	extent [3] 45/9
efficiently [1] 29/20	enforcing [1] 152/9	et [11] 4/4 17/6 47/23		102/13 128/12
effort [1] 75/7 eg [3] 114/4 147/19	engaged [1] 23/8	60/3 60/3 154/5 154/8		external [1] 129/22
147/19	engagement [1]	162/3 162/3 163/5	42/22 43/12 46/13	extraction [1] 66/21
eg card [1] 147/19	109/25	163/5	54/14 55/1 56/13 81/7	extracts [1] 169/5
eg if [1] 114/4	engineer [1] 154/4	et cetera [11] 4/4	81/12 115/1 135/9	extreme [1] 128/25
eg stamp [1] 147/19	engineers [2] 139/4 144/21	17/6 47/23 60/3 60/3 154/5 154/8 162/3	137/9 151/25 examples [1] 37/1	extremely [8] 116/13 118/15 120/19 120/23
eight [1] 6/13	enough [5] 73/25	162/3 163/5 163/5	Excel [1] 56/22	122/11 126/12 128/15
either [13] 24/23	79/11 85/2 108/9	Evans [1] 181/3	excellent [1] 174/14	128/22
49/24 53/18 58/1 73/22 100/21 107/2	149/4	Evans-Jones [1]	exchange [2] 66/5	
107/24 108/7 118/16	enquiries [1] 104/5	181/3	129/16	F
121/20 122/12 153/20	enquiry [4] 104/20	even [9] 9/14 16/9	exchanged [1]	facility [10] 35/3
electronically [1]	104/24 106/18 158/19		100/24	35/13 35/18 36/2 36/9 36/14 36/22 39/21
111/21	ensure [6] 40/18 73/1 73/17 74/9 103/9	93/13 94/10 109/1 114/21	Excuse [1] 153/6 executive [3] 130/14	53/1 53/10
element [1] 126/9	131/8	evening [1] 56/14	130/14 170/18	fact [20] 17/7 27/3
elements [1] 113/10	ensuring [1] 83/25	eventually [2] 109/16		38/13 43/14 46/7 49/7
eloquently [1] 43/4 else [8] 18/19 75/17	entered [1] 166/10	144/20	31/25 33/23	55/3 61/20 62/20 64/5
95/22 106/11 153/10	entering [1] 135/13	ever [7] 47/10 55/5	exist [2] 41/16 158/7	73/22 73/23 83/8
158/9 158/16 173/2	entirely [2] 19/20	67/7 73/14 94/12	existed [2] 5/2 6/21	89/19 128/3 129/2
email [60] 7/1 21/8	25/25	168/8 171/20	existence [4] 36/21	141/2 146/22 179/24 179/25
21/16 22/10 22/20	entitled [2] 88/23 155/2	every [12] 5/21 31/7 42/24 44/2 55/10	67/3 71/24 86/25 Existing [1] 49/13	facts [4] 72/3 73/7
25/9 25/14 66/5 66/9	entries [2] 114/2	74/22 75/3 91/6 95/16		93/21 95/3
67/6 77/18 77/25 78/20 79/3 79/8 79/19	120/11	112/19 114/23 154/25		failed [1] 146/9
81/20 82/5 82/16 83/3		everybody [2] 95/15	expanding [1] 138/9	failing [1] 178/6
87/14 97/15 98/12	124/10	131/23	expect [2] 62/7	failure [5] 149/23
100/7 101/2 103/18	entry [3] 90/5 134/5	everybody's [1]	113/17	150/3 151/2 151/16
103/19 104/11 110/2	139/10	51/19	expected [3] 84/16 95/25 162/5	152/11 fair [10] 17/9 18/22
110/17 116/3 116/19	environment [2] 66/18 66/20	everyone [5] 7/15 43/21 51/21 51/24	expecting [1] 34/24	40/20 73/11 82/22
120/2 120/6 120/7	envisaged [1] 168/9	96/25	experience [7] 3/11	89/20 90/18 126/22
				(EE) during fair

F	finance [15] 13/18	folder [2] 2/9 87/11	142/20	Gareth [7] 66/9 70/18
		follow [9] 4/25 14/13	free [2] 119/6 119/11	78/9 79/15 87/3 104/8
fair [2] 127/25 139/25	14/20 14/25 24/19	40/9 47/2 60/14 103/3		142/15
fairer [2] 72/24 73/15	45/15 45/17 47/11	141/22 148/25 152/21	99/3	gave [4] 97/12
fairly [2] 61/25 135/5	59/20 95/9 104/21	follow-up [1] 103/3	front [1] 1/16	117/16 159/5 159/9
fall [1] 67/18	105/4	followed [5] 101/24	Fujitsu [67] 11/16	general [3] 95/21
falling [2] 16/7 33/17	financial [6] 13/24 81/6 104/2 130/4	148/14 149/1 178/23 180/2	11/19 59/21 60/1 65/5 65/7 65/13 67/7 67/15	
falls [1] 119/11	157/5 168/4	following [12] 72/17	68/6 68/11 68/14	25/5 25/9 25/14 76/14
false [2] 73/18 74/4	find [10] 5/11 0/12	79/1 101/6 105/14	68/21 69/5 69/14	158/3
falsification [1] 125/2	29/21 55/15 56/6	118/2 128/12 142/18	69/19 72/6 74/16	generate [3] 90/11
falsified [2] 124/20 125/17	72/14 79/15 83/25	152/8 156/21 179/23	74/24 75/2 85/9 90/4	134/13 149/23
familiar [2] 140/5	101/16 154/16	179/24 179/25	90/23 90/24 91/2	generated [4] 45/10
164/6	findings [1] 158/5	follows [1] 61/3	98/14 100/22 102/1	46/4 65/5 179/20
familiarity [1] 117/4	fine [11] 12/4 12/6	foolproof [1] 128/5		generating [1] 47/7
family [1] 167/21	43/20 48/24 59/3 63/1 96/8 97/4 133/7 169/8		107/16 116/12 116/16 116/18 116/25 117/10	• • •
Fantastic [1] 97/5	173/5	72/20 79/2 85/12 87/8		generous [1] 149/5
far [13] 12/21 21/14	finish [2] 152/24	87/9 88/5 91/21	118/8 118/23 132/23	gentlemen [1]
33/16 94/21 99/24	170/3	103/17 105/12 110/3	134/4 135/3 136/17	170/15
103/11 106/17 132/24 149/22 154/14 163/12	finished [1] 152/23	126/4 128/13	136/25 138/20 144/21	genuine [2] 41/18
163/23 179/1		force [1] 38/22	146/8 146/17 146/24	41/18
fault [11] 73/3 74/3	first [45] 1/14 1/15	forced [5] 30/6 34/25	155/9 156/14 156/15	gesture [1] 174/12
74/7 126/20 130/5	2/11 20/1 23/16 30/7	35/5 38/7 52/19	157/10 158/4 158/6	get [39] 5/18 18/18
130/19 146/3 149/11	30/20 32/22 34/11	forces [2] 30/9 31/6	158/19 159/23 160/14	19/9 20/10 21/12 22/9
152/15 152/18 154/22	35/11 42/8 47/4 51/11 57/21 59/23 61/18	33/5 33/23 34/1	177/15 177/18 177/22 178/18 179/9 180/9	23/5 23/21 25/20 27/18 31/18 31/23
faults [1] 144/23	64/18 65/12 68/19	forensic [1] 171/5	Fujitsu's [9] 92/21	37/20 44/17 48/19
faulty [1] 89/19	69/2 72/19 77/23	forever [1] 9/18	98/19 117/5 117/18	50/15 51/21 51/22
favour [1] 71/9	82/23 88/5 88/7 90/1	forget [2] 36/4	132/10 136/6 136/23	52/14 58/2 58/4 58/13
feature [1] 33/9 February [4] 1/1	91/6 93/17 97/15	113/15	137/15 158/15	58/19 58/20 61/13
104/16 104/18 129/21	109/23 117/3 133/13	forgive [1] 129/17	full [9] 1/10 38/8	62/17 62/21 77/22
fed [2] 16/22 172/20	133/18 135/2 147/3	forgotten [1] 50/16	66/22 72/3 103/7	101/4 102/1 105/25
Federation [4] 22/22	152/15 166/5 167/7	form [3] 105/20	120/6 120/7 148/15	113/15 117/7 118/14
34/9 34/15 35/2	172/1 172/11 174/17	118/13 118/18	178/18	130/7 146/24 162/24 168/9 176/9
feedback [3] 65/9	174/21 175/4 177/12 178/1	formal [4] 54/2 54/11 55/19 55/24	73/13 179/17	getting [8] 27/25
68/13 164/18	firstly [4] 41/3 50/23		function [10] 5/10	43/17 46/8 46/14
feeds [2] 16/6 63/23	65/11 65/16	format [2] 46/15	7/7 7/15 9/20 12/18	67/22 146/7 152/5
feel [4] 20/13 80/18	fit [4] 9/9 9/12 10/4	56/24	12/19 13/22 14/7	180/4
119/24 175/8 feeling [4] 94/3 95/6	27/7	former [3] 129/13	18/23 19/1	ghost [5] 139/17
95/7 95/17	fitted [2] 13/2 138/24	129/14 131/6	functioning [1] 16/8	139/21 140/14 141/11
feels [3] 11/4 140/10	five [4] 88/5 96/18	forth [2] 160/18	funds [3] 53/1 53/2	163/18
146/13	96/19 173/5	170/1	53/11	give [20] 1/10 1/12
felt [6] 9/14 13/6	fix [4] 60/2 66/19 90/16 179/5	forward [9] 4/17 88/19 100/4 101/2	further [28] 38/15 64/3 64/3 78/23	2/9 23/7 24/10 41/7 42/22 68/1 80/13
34/21 36/19 74/23	fixed [1] 78/18	109/18 124/21 125/25		86/18 87/15 104/14
166/16	<b>FJ [2]</b> 177/14 178/15	136/25 160/11	107/5 110/23 115/10	119/2 127/13 163/20
Ferry [1] 149/17	flag [4] 55/6 170/8	forwarded [1] 79/18	132/8 135/24 138/9	175/11 175/22 178/12
few [6] 9/1 110/20 134/18 152/6 152/7	170/10 170/19	forwarding [3] 78/24	138/10 139/19 141/22	180/19 180/22
158/14	flagship [1] 168/15	98/1 98/13	142/2 142/13 144/14	given [20] 8/25 11/10
field [1] 21/1	flawed [1] 116/12	found [6] 7/21 43/24	144/25 147/4 149/21	15/3 26/9 47/10 67/7
fifth [1] 88/8	flew [1] 11/3	58/10 101/6 143/3		
fight [1] 84/21	<b>flow [6]</b> 11/18 18/16 19/6 19/6 19/7 45/20	162/14	170/22 175/8 178/4	95/20 101/19 102/25 119/12 131/18 142/19
figure [5] 8/23 62/5	flowing [1] 11/20	four [9] 2/6 24/6 24/13 65/25 69/5 88/7	future [5] 33/16 76/25 88/10 99/6	146/18 155/1 159/20
90/3 109/8 134/3	flows [4] 17/3 17/4	111/15 123/24 126/14		162/19 175/6
figures [11] 54/19	19/9 46/22	fourth [3] 2/7 2/14	·	gives [2] 52/16
56/8 90/24 109/18	fly [1] 88/20	53/22	G	111/17
111/19 114/1 121/23 124/5 124/13 142/19	flying [2] 15/16 67/21	Fowell [1] 129/21	gain [5] 61/20 62/15	giving [1] 74/11
143/15	focus [5] 31/1 46/2	frank [4] 1/6 1/11 9/3	62/20 92/5 142/23	globally [1] 11/9
file [1] 87/9	46/13 47/6 47/7	182/2	gained [1] 123/11	<b>go [76]</b> 1/19 13/1
final [2] 62/23 63/21	focused [3] 19/18	Frankie [5] 133/24	gains [2] 89/10 164/13	14/24 19/25 23/20
	29/8 130/20	135/25 136/8 138/9		23/21 27/20 28/5 31/2
				(56) fair - go

G	56/13 56/16 60/22	55/3 58/17 63/11	69/15 70/21 74/8 74/9	he's [26] 68/25 76/5
	63/1 63/6 65/13 68/2	63/17 64/17 67/10	79/25 82/9 86/9 90/9	101/7 111/13 112/4
<b>go</b> [67] 33/15 34/6	69/18 71/4 73/25	67/10 68/15 68/21	95/15 101/4 104/3	112/4 112/11 113/8
41/21 46/1 56/3 60/7	74/12 74/24 84/17	70/14 74/18 76/22	104/5 104/8 104/22	113/18 114/16 114/17
60/23 61/11 68/2 68/5	84/25 95/7 102/18	77/2 77/3 77/6 78/18	104/22 108/12 111/22	114/20 115/7 115/13
68/7 68/9 72/16 75/1	107/16 108/12 109/7	81/18 82/2 82/3 82/3	112/5 112/12 113/18	125/2 125/5 125/5
75/19 75/25 76/2	112/17 123/5 123/11	82/10 82/18 82/23	113/24 114/16 115/20	
78/23 79/2 85/9 87/2	133/19 149/6 151/9	83/8 84/16 87/18	130/3 130/20 130/24	125/23 125/24 126/17
87/8 88/4 90/1 103/15	151/11 152/7 153/4	88/23 94/11 96/1	131/18 134/11 138/12	126/24 127/10 176/7
104/15 105/11 106/1 106/13 109/10 110/16	153/24 156/15 161/22	101/5 101/10 102/6	139/1 144/10 145/6	head [7] 6/1 24/14
117/19 118/21 120/16	162/8 165/22 166/5	102/7 102/9 107/19	145/12 147/14 148/5	24/15 24/18 79/24
126/1 130/12 132/24	177/25	107/20 109/15 110/22	148/6 148/21 150/3	97/20 99/9
133/21 136/15 138/9	grade [8] 6/3 6/4 6/5	116/18 117/1 117/9	151/2 151/17 154/11	headed [1] 142/14
141/22 142/4 142/13	160/25 161/5 166/12	118/4 118/6 121/3	154/12 154/22 155/8	heading [1] 61/1
142/20 144/13 144/25	172/5 172/10	121/8 121/11 123/1	155/15 158/11 164/12	
147/4 147/17 148/12	grades [3] 6/6 161/4	124/23 125/23 129/8	169/24 178/4 180/21	hear [10] 1/3 59/7
149/16 150/24 155/3	161/7	130/1 132/6 132/14	hasn't [1] 57/18	83/6 96/13 96/15
155/4 157/6 158/16	Graham [4] 111/4	136/17 136/25 141/5	have [267]	96/16 97/1 97/3
162/9 164/5 164/8	111/6 118/20 130/10	141/14 142/8 144/20	haven't [6] 38/6	149/10 181/2
169/21 170/4 170/22	grateful [4] 110/23	145/21 146/19 154/2	60/19 68/2 74/12	heard [6] 18/3 29/23
173/5 174/24 174/25	114/10 144/1 180/22	156/9 158/6 162/14	162/8 167/24	83/6 104/22 127/19
176/13 177/18 178/8	Graves [3] 129/24	163/14 164/21 165/8	having [10] 36/15	140/11
goal [1] 44/16	130/13 170/8	165/19 166/6 166/10	54/5 138/25 152/6	hearing [1] 17/12
goes [2] 146/5	great [6] 11/14 12/19	166/20 169/25 179/5	152/7 166/22 173/16	hearings [1] 111/12
150/24	48/14 48/15 63/2	179/8 179/9 179/11	178/8 178/9 180/3	hearted [2] 31/21
going [47] 2/4 2/21	158/2	179/13 179/14 179/16		31/22
2/24 4/6 4/8 5/19 13/1	greater [1] 16/17	179/16	131/4 131/6	held [6] 31/20 98/22
14/21 17/7 23/23	ground [1] 95/8	hadn't [6] 16/22	he [108] 24/19 57/16	99/2 104/6 137/17 179/22
25/21 30/24 31/1 31/2	grounds [1] 175/20 group [10] 3/11 3/21	38/14 58/14 68/6 151/10 180/2	68/24 69/7 79/6 79/25 80/13 80/18 80/21	
33/1 36/15 49/2 49/3	4/5 4/5 111/9 117/16	half [4] 31/21 31/22	81/2 81/17 82/9 84/19	help [20] 5/15 45/7 46/25 64/3 90/21
57/2 57/3 77/22 81/24	129/22 156/24 157/13		84/20 101/3 101/7	100/11 104/11 136/24
85/10 86/2 86/18	166/17	half-hearted [1]	101/10 102/19 104/5	139/19 147/16 148/20
88/19 92/13 98/12	group's [1] 89/24	31/21	104/7 104/21 104/22	148/23 149/11 150/7
104/17 117/3 119/24	groups [2] 4/7	hand [1] 19/13	105/2 105/3 105/6	151/9 153/1 153/25
133/12 133/18 138/5	157/13	handed [1] 112/24	105/9 106/7 110/18	154/1 155/24 180/24
145/14 146/23 147/9	augos [12] 10/7	handing [1] 77/9	111/18 111/20 111/22	
147/16 148/23 154/24	16/11 16/14 16/15	handling [2] 139/23	111/22 112/5 112/5	helping [2] 47/7
157/2 157/2 160/11	18/3 36/17 38/3 44/17	162/20	112/7 112/12 112/12	171/22
174/23 176/9 177/20	68/17 68/23 116/10	hands [1] 148/7	112/13 113/18 113/18	helpline [7] 20/15
180/13 gone [10] 12/9 15/15	127/18 140/19	hang [2] 58/2 168/23	113/21 113/23 113/24	
64/20 95/11 139/10	guessing [2] 95/5	happen [4] 50/9	114/1 114/16 114/25	150/17 150/18 150/20
141/1 145/21 158/9	172/9	53/25 90/25 128/24	115/10 115/16 118/14	helps [5] 46/3 47/14
158/19 178/4	guidance [2] 80/4	happened [18] 15/12	118/15 119/5 120/19	97/24 140/24 153/13
good [30] 1/3 1/8	110/23	26/10 45/19 55/11	121/20 122/1 122/3	her [9] 23/18 23/18
9/16 12/3 18/14 18/15	Guildford [1] 77/19	55/14 55/14 55/16	122/4 122/8 122/11	29/24 30/1 81/22 87/6
32/16 32/17 32/19	guiltiness [1] 127/8	57/18 82/23 94/9	122/15 123/15 124/5	140/14 144/19 145/12
36/19 37/14 42/15	guilty [3] 125/2	95/10 95/15 102/10	124/18 124/19 124/19	
44/5 46/24 50/5 59/7	126/24 127/1	142/10 143/7 143/13	125/3 125/6 125/8	37/5 45/22 48/23
62/13 82/15 89/12	guy [2] 161/17 176/6	158/14 176/4	125/15 125/22 126/1	57/17 65/23 82/18
96/13 108/8 110/22	Н	happening [7] 15/20	126/6 126/8 126/10	83/22 91/9 91/11
113/24 113/24 114/6		16/24 54/10 57/19	126/24 127/11 127/12	
114/17 125/3 125/8	hackneyed [1] 131/16	73/13 149/12 149/14	127/13 127/14 127/15	
125/16 133/15	had [116] 7/16 8/21	happy [6] 33/15 79/24 121/13 121/15	127/17 127/23 127/24 128/5 128/14 130/4	125/20 138/18 148/20 153/24 163/11 173/21
good' [1] 124/19	9/4 9/5 9/16 10/4 15/9		130/17 130/20 132/9	174/20 175/2 177/24
got [62] 5/17 7/12 9/2	15/12 15/15 18/10	hard [4] 1/15 129/11	132/16 144/5 144/6	here's [2] 143/11
10/11 11/14 11/16	18/12 18/13 20/17	129/18 152/19	167/19 167/20 169/7	143/11
12/22 15/1 15/7 21/4	21/3 26/6 29/11 30/22	Harding [1] 29/24	169/15 169/16 169/22	
23/16 26/6 27/10	42/10 43/4 45/10 48/9	hardshin [1] 167/22	169/24 169/25 170/5	105/15 105/24 106/15
30/22 31/24 32/23	48/12 49/9 50/2 50/4	has [61] 32/5 37/17	171/2 172/5 175/8	110/19 129/25 131/6
32/24 34/4 40/9 45/6	51/5 51/6 51/24 51/24	45/19 46/2 55/16	176/8 179/8 179/8	173/20
47/2 48/15 48/23	52/19 52/25 53/18	56/13 61/19 65/8	179/16 179/17	high [5] 5/16 30/17
48/23 49/5 50/4 51/17	53/19 54/15 54/21	66/22 68/11 68/24	he'd [2] 81/2 167/20	30/17 168/18 180/13
				(57) go high

Н	16/19 17/17 26/4 26/7	hurdles [1] 81/9	I deal [1] 1/14	I knew [3] 23/18
higher [1] 95/19	26/12 26/20 26/24	hurt [1] 89/6	I did [6] 7/25 26/8	81/15 161/18
highest [1] 161/5	27/1 28/15 31/16		117/11 118/10 118/11	
highlight [5] 76/7	32/21 33/14 34/13		162/23	I left [1] 139/25
93/15 133/24 138/12		l accept [1] 177/4	I didn't [2] 8/16 140/1	
138/13	45/19 45/20 54/20	l accepted [1] 84/19	I display [1] 97/13	I may [2] 63/6 176/19
highlighted [4] 35/12	54/23 54/24 55/3	l actually [1] 31/25	I dispute [1] 35/4	I mean [6] 84/25
133/23 136/13 164/12	55/13 56/9 56/24 57/8		I do [5] 21/5 135/22	119/10 125/19 152/17
him [13] 68/24 81/16	57/16 61/2 61/6 63/25 65/18 65/20 65/22	l always [1] 9/14	143/22 170/25 178/17 I don't [75] 10/10	169/2 176/11
81/18 97/23 101/2	70/7 70/10 71/16	l am [11] 98/1 98/13	10/14 11/14 27/3	I mentioned [1] 97/11
114/21 115/13 115/15	71/21 71/25 72/4	130/6 133/18 138/5	29/20 32/17 35/20	I might [1] 56/3
124/9 126/6 126/10	72/23 73/19 75/16	138/8 138/21 146/6	42/20 55/5 57/17	I missed [1] 57/7
140/6 169/20	76/17 76/19 83/12	147/16 148/13 155/18		I moved [1] 3/24
hindsight [1] 74/6	83/23 83/24 85/15	I appreciate [2] 11/9	75/2 76/11 80/21 81/1	
his [65] 24/17 47/11 75/9 78/2 80/16 84/10	85/17 85/21 86/6 86/8		81/1 81/2 82/15 82/22	
84/10 94/25 97/17	86/11 88/10 88/14	I approached [1]	83/6 84/11 86/2 87/20	I need [5] 56/4 107/1
101/7 105/6 106/8	88/17 89/3 90/3 93/16		87/20 88/2 90/20	107/7 107/23 108/10
111/11 111/13 111/19	94/21 96/1 99/7 99/11		92/15 93/23 94/5	I never [1] 110/11
111/20 111/21 112/5	99/14 99/22 100/1	164/20	94/11 95/19 95/22	I now [1] 97/3
112/7 113/20 114/16	107/3 107/25 112/6	l asked [1] 25/17	103/23 108/19 117/1	I obviously [1] 15/1
115/7 115/7 115/15	113/8 115/24 118/22	l assume [3] 120/1 124/4 124/11	117/11 118/5 120/6	I personally [1] 47/10
115/16 115/16 115/21	121/6 125/5 127/16 128/4 128/18 130/5	I believe [4] 4/12	127/1 127/5 128/2 131/23 135/4 137/21	I possibly [1] 81/18
116/3 119/5 121/23	130/19 130/25 131/12	<del>_</del> <del>_</del>	140/6 140/21 141/15	I presume [2] 52/25 157/19
124/5 124/6 124/20	132/20 134/3 139/11	I believed [2] 127/7	146/4 147/16 148/22	I probably [3] 135/22
124/23 125/7 125/17	144/10 148/5 149/23	127/11	149/20 150/15 156/16	
125/24 126/2 126/7	150/3 151/2 151/8	I call [1] 1/5	158/4 158/9 160/4	I pulled [1] 130/18
126/11 127/24 128/6	151/16 151/21 152/11		160/5 164/17 166/15	I really [1] 13/5
128/14 129/1 129/1 130/3 130/20 130/21	152/14 152/18 159/22		167/9 167/25 168/19	I recall [1] 82/14
132/13 132/14 132/17	159/24 160/9 163/9	42/21 56/20 72/15	169/1 171/20 172/4	I recognise [1] 53/8
132/25 135/12 155/25	168/5 171/4 176/23	97/3 97/4 99/24		I remember [7] 33/21
156/9 167/5 167/6	177/1 177/5 177/6		176/16 176/18 177/3	105/2 107/14 107/18
167/6 167/20 167/20	177/19			110/9 159/16 177/6
167/21 170/25 171/3	Horizon-related [1]	167/25 171/21 173/7 173/25 174/4	l enclose [1] 79/8	I represent [1]
174/13 175/8	15/15	l can't [48] 5/9 5/17	l ever [1] 73/14	133/16
history [1] 173/9	horrified [1] 162/10 hour [1] 79/18	8/7 12/14 12/20 13/21	l examine [1] 72/20	I said [3] 18/2 27/8 128/9
hit [1] 89/2	house [4] 8/10 12/17	14/4 17/19 23/13	175/8	I saw [1] 27/9
hm [3] 124/8 138/17	75/24 105/7	24/12 24/23 33/15	I felt [1] 36/19	I say [1] 95/13
177/16	houses [1] 11/3	36/11 41/9 45/2 45/24	I find [1] 9/13	I see [1] 135/17
Hogg [3] 77/25 78/6	how [60] 6/12 8/1	53/13 56/9 57/19	I first [2] 166/5 167/7	
79/9	8/19 10/11 14/7 16/9	68/16 72/1 74/1 75/23	I found [2] 7/21	174/8
hold [1] 52/2 holding [1] 81/6	16/22 16/25 17/4 20/1	79/5 80/15 80/21	162/14	I shouldn't [1] 35/5
holdings [1] 112/9	20/6 20/17 21/6 21/15		I gained [1] 123/11	I spoke [2] 42/11
honest [6] 11/1 41/10	21/24 22/9 22/25 25/4	101/16 103/5 112/21	I gave [1] 97/12	110/20
84/5 84/22 140/11	25/16 26/12 27/7	113/9 113/13 124/16 127/18 133/1 137/8	<b>I got [5]</b> 10/11 11/14	I started [1] 3/22
160/24	33/16 37/20 40/17 43/6 44/9 54/1 64/17	149/3 149/15 151/8	12/22 30/22 123/11	I stated [1] 82/16
hope [3] 133/19	67/9 74/9 75/4 75/6	153/23 154/15 157/20	l guess [6] 36/17 38/3 44/17 116/10	I still [1] 128/23 I struggled [1]
169/7 180/24	78/14 80/4 85/5 90/12		127/18 140/19	140/12
hoped [1] 156/17	109/3 117/9 117/12	170/14	<b>I had [4]</b> 42/10 52/19	I suggest [1] 101/24
hopefully [5] 18/17		I cannot [6] 77/11	82/2 166/20	I take [2] 26/14 147/2
48/19 50/14 55/15	127/17 127/24 128/24		I have [7] 52/21 82/8	I think [118] 3/11
168/5 horizon [135] 4/11	132/25 134/13 142/10	151/23 152/25	105/25 111/1 130/18	4/23 6/4 6/25 8/2 9/1
4/14 5/3 6/18 6/22	151/23 157/2 157/6	I carried [1] 119/15	143/22 154/14	11/15 12/24 14/20
8/15 8/16 8/20 9/4	158/4 159/24 161/9	I catch [1] 129/17	I heard [2] 18/3 83/6	16/6 16/21 17/10
9/25 10/24 11/7 11/12	161/25 164/13 168/13		I hope [2] 133/19	23/24 26/10 27/4
11/14 11/25 12/5	173/18 174/7 174/9	<b>I could [6]</b> 43/6 75/10		27/17 29/13 29/19
12/10 13/9 13/14	However [4] 66/20	132/18 158/9 163/5 180/24	I investigated [1]	30/22 33/21 34/21
13/16 13/16 14/11	106/17 148/4 174/14	l couldn't [6] 12/2	166/19	35/21 36/23 36/23
14/15 14/17 14/24	huge [2] 92/3 174/14 Hulbert [1] 129/24	47/12 51/23 63/16	l just [3] 72/9 131/7 171/25	40/24 41/5 41/8 41/22 42/3 42/21 43/18
15/1 15/8 15/13 15/15	Human [1] 129/24 Human [1] 111/12	117/14 146/25	<b>I kind [2]</b> 11/1 162/5	42/3 42/2 45/2 46/11 47/4
				(59) bighor I think

1	116/7 117/7 135/23	145/2 147/14 147/15	37/13 42/6 52/25 53/6	indicating [1] 118/19
1 think [02] 40/5	141/16 143/22	148/21 148/22 148/23		indication [2] 127/14
I think [83] 49/5 50/1 51/5 51/19 61/12	l won't [2] 87/14	149/4 149/20 150/15	62/18 71/25 72/8	156/1
62/3 62/21 62/24	173/8	151/23 152/8 152/10	72/19 72/21 74/8	indifferent [1] 12/3
63/13 63/13 63/16	I wonder [1] 96/4	160/11 162/1 162/2	75/15 85/12 85/15	individual [5] 3/1 4/3
67/12 68/25 70/12	I worked [3] 3/23	162/2 163/23 163/23	86/9 86/14 88/18 90/6	43/9 103/8 130/9
70/13 70/21 72/7	3/23 81/15	163/24 166/24 169/2	92/1 93/12 103/3	individuals [2] 48/13
73/11 74/7 74/21	I would [70] 8/17	172/9 172/18 172/19	103/7 103/8 111/12	95/24
74/21 75/8 77/13 80/3	9/11 10/7 10/14 14/9	174/21 175/5 176/18 177/7 177/18 177/22	116/5 116/12 134/7	infallibility [1] 128/18
80/6 80/18 80/21 81/2	14/16 14/16 14/17 16/11 16/14 26/11	180/22	impacted [6] 70/14 73/12 89/24 94/9	infallible [1] 128/5 info [1] 131/3
81/18 82/5 82/20 84/6	26/25 35/20 36/5	l've [38] 21/4 23/16	102/7 109/5	inform [1] 97/10
84/10 88/22 91/4	49/11 52/4 55/12	29/10 29/11 30/22	impacting [3] 16/1	information [40] 9/21
92/12 93/24 94/5 94/8	55/13 56/11 56/17	34/4 34/21 41/5 51/17	61/5 69/25	11/3 13/7 61/24 67/7
94/18 95/6 96/14 103/18 105/2 105/2	56/22 58/9 62/6 63/4	60/22 63/1 63/2 63/6	impacts [1] 89/1	67/10 71/15 73/2
108/19 115/3 121/4	64/7 64/11 68/17	69/18 71/4 73/25 74/3		73/16 74/19 74/24
123/8 123/23 125/5	68/23 71/17 76/4	75/12 84/17 84/25	84/18	74/25 75/18 76/21
126/13 126/22 127/8	84/16 86/16 88/19	85/11 86/20 101/5	implication [2]	77/2 77/10 79/12 81/6
128/8 128/11 128/20	90/22 90/22 91/16	101/6 104/20 113/4	124/18 135/14	81/12 87/18 87/23
129/13 130/21 131/1	92/17 92/17 92/20	113/4 119/20 128/22	implications [7] 83/4	88/1 89/6 95/4 97/18
133/12 135/13 140/24	99/20 102/15 102/17 104/1 108/12 110/22	133/19 152/1 152/3 154/13 161/22 171/20	90/13 90/19 92/3 134/15 134/24 137/6	104/10 121/23 132/20 137/6 137/10 138/12
144/13 148/25 153/4		171/21 171/22 172/18		139/22 141/8 142/3
158/10 159/10 159/13	121/13 122/13 122/14		important [13] 4/20	143/22 155/15 163/21
159/13 164/17 165/19	122/24 123/10 123/23		9/20 9/25 31/2 41/19	174/3 179/13 179/15
166/19 166/21 167/6	123/25 128/10 128/21		47/19 47/20 69/19	informed [4] 73/13
167/6 174/6 174/21 175/4 175/16 175/24	131/22 140/9 152/12	37/25 82/15 86/20	69/20 69/22 90/23	82/9 91/3 143/11
178/24 181/1	157/12 157/19 158/10		108/7 128/4	informing [3] 90/14
I thought [3] 83/2	158/18 158/23 161/10		impose [1] 80/2	134/16 134/25
106/5 146/23	168/19 172/19 172/25		improve [3] 22/7	Ingham [2] 139/16
I totally [1] 84/2	178/11	31/9	36/17 138/8	140/13
I turn [1] 166/25	l wouldn't [8] 74/25 75/23 86/23 99/15	ideals [1] 42/10 ideas [1] 166/6	improvement [11] 5/6 5/8 5/10 5/22 6/12	initial [6] 98/19 106/20 107/15 136/6
I understand [4] 7/8	141/14 157/16 158/16		6/16 81/16 140/22	136/23 137/15
64/13 152/13 177/17	164/17	53/3 70/14 72/8 74/8	140/22 159/8 159/14	initially [6] 4/2 8/7
l use [1] 95/6	I wrote [1] 166/24	74/22 98/14 100/16	improvements [4]	17/23 17/24 19/18
I used [1] 23/20 I want [3] 59/10 66/6	I'd [26] 11/16 15/17	100/22 103/10 154/5	19/19 29/5 29/16	159/11
107/13	16/12 23/4 23/21	156/18 156/22	30/23	injured [1] 89/6
I was [56] 5/12 5/19	26/11 27/8 38/3 54/16		improving [1] 5/12	innocence [3] 132/13
6/2 6/2 8/17 9/14 9/18	54/22 54/23 54/24	74/16 80/7 106/20	Inadequate [1] 30/12	132/17 132/25
11/15 12/22 12/25	56/1 56/12 57/1 57/12		inbuilt [1] 33/9	innocent [2] 126/25
13/2 14/25 15/13	60/17 93/22 101/20 106/5 118/3 140/18	identifying [6] 42/14 42/17 42/19 42/20	incentive [5] 46/5 48/2 48/5 49/8 71/9	129/2
15/20 15/23 16/2 16/3	152/15 162/6 167/1	42/17/42/19/42/20	incident [3] 97/19	input [6] 20/10 20/11 20/21 111/20 112/6
16/11 16/21 24/16	170/3	ie [7] 15/8 47/7 75/9	179/9 179/10	112/10
24/22 25/11 30/4	<b>I'll [4]</b> 87/14 120/13	91/24 113/4 123/5	incidents [3] 98/2	inquiry [12] 1/9 1/13
32/17 36/15 45/24 46/18 47/17 52/19	130/18 138/18	125/3	98/14 104/12	2/22 2/23 3/2 3/4
73/11 75/2 97/6	l'm [83] 2/3 2/9 2/21	ie before [2] 15/8	include [2] 59/18	111/11 117/17 130/1
103/11 117/3 125/5	2/24 9/13 10/9 19/5	123/5	83/10	136/17 162/21 167/23
127/8 128/10 128/11	21/14 24/23 30/22	ie by [1] 47/7	included [4] 101/22	Inquiry's [2] 2/3
132/16 140/21 159/7	30/25 40/2 40/18	le l've [1] 113/4	102/22 143/1 143/5	29/23
159/15 160/7 161/10	45/24 53/8 60/17	ie lan [1] 75/9	includes [4] 98/19	inside [1] 20/22
162/4 162/11 163/10	60/20 63/1 63/13 63/15 64/7 64/11	ie Post [1] 91/24 ie that's [1] 125/3	136/6 136/23 137/15 including [7] 34/11	instituted [1] 177/1 instructing [1]
164/19 166/15 166/16	67/20 69/18 71/4	if [217]	34/12 42/5 42/6 100/8	
167/10 168/17 169/6		illustrate [2] 55/15	106/3 168/22	instructions [3]
177/6 178/24 179/1	75/23 82/17 84/10	71/17	inconsistency [2]	30/13 34/2 79/11
I wasn't [9] 15/19 15/25 16/13 16/23	85/10 86/12 87/1	imagine [7] 92/18	42/4 42/16	integrity [36] 16/20
53/13 95/1 100/2	92/19 94/25 105/3	99/20 102/17 116/24	incorrect [2] 175/25	22/18 47/19 71/13
117/25 132/17	106/17 109/23 113/9	158/23 167/19 178/11		71/16 71/21 71/25
I went [3] 8/23	118/10 118/10 119/1	imagined [1] 158/18	increased [1] 125/24	72/4 72/12 75/16
116/24 165/20	119/24 121/13 123/12		indeed [7] 70/9 87/24	83/11 83/16 84/9
I will [7] 79/14 105/19	125/21 140/18 143/20 143/22 143/25 144/17		117/16 134/23 159/22 165/11 174/24	84/23 85/17 86/10 88/18 90/9 92/3 94/20
	1-70/22 170/20 144/11	mpact [30] 20/24	100/11 1/7/24	55/10 50/3 32/5 34/20
				(59)   think integrity

	146/22	107/10 107/16 108/5	154/7	29/14 30/6 31/15
integrity [16] 94/22	investigated [4]	108/23 109/19 115/4	itself [4] 10/1 57/8	39/16 41/25 43/25
94/24 96/2 99/7 107/3	101/21 102/6 123/3	115/24 121/2 121/11	59/24 163/16	46/18 46/21 46/25
107/25 108/8 108/18	166/19	123/3 130/19 135/5	1	47/17 47/22 48/25
109/2 116/11 120/25	investigating [6]	135/17 141/21 155/23	Jan [1] 144/9	49/21 56/3 56/9 56/19
121/6 121/10 130/25	73/18 102/12 102/16	157/17 157/21 162/7		57/20 59/23 63/21
131/23 134/11	122/22 128/15 171/22	176/8 178/3 179/4	Janet [1] 165/11 January [10] 28/8	64/20 65/18 66/4
intended [1] 9/20	investigating/helping [1] 171/22	179/15 179/18 180/6 180/8	45/3 154/3 155/14	66/15 67/14 68/1 68/7 68/19 70/19 70/20
intending [1] 173/2	investigation [24]	issue since [1]	164/16 164/21 165/1	72/9 77/24 78/1 81/3
intent [1] 168/2	45/12 101/17 101/23	66/22	165/7 165/9 165/14	81/9 82/5 87/2 87/8
intention [1] 93/23		issued [8] 31/12 37/7	January '09 [1]	90/22 91/12 95/4
intentionally [1] 70/5	119/17 119/25 120/1	37/8 40/7 105/25	164/21	95/21 96/16 97/1
interest [1] 27/8	120/2 120/11 120/15	145/17 169/14 179/5	January 2003 [1]	97/24 98/3 98/12
interested [1] 160/22	123/5 123/7 130/9	issues [38] 3/8 3/16	154/3	99/22 100/13 104/16
interesting [2] 145/16 175/1	132/8 140/10 143/4	11/7 15/16 16/1 22/5	January 2009 [5]	104/20 106/1 109/23
interface [3] 160/13	145/24 146/16 147/1	23/23 34/8 34/18	28/8 164/16 165/7	110/6 111/5 112/1
160/17 163/14	149/24 155/8 176/4	41/21 41/22 48/8	165/9 165/14	112/16 114/2 114/8
interfered [1] 135/12	investigations [4]	65/15 69/25 78/20	Jarnail [5] 78/1 78/2	117/2 118/3 119/11
interference [1]	3/3 75/5 104/4 143/1	80/24 84/7 95/8	78/7 87/5 87/11	120/16 122/7 129/17
13//20	invite [1] 79/25	108/21 111/3 129/10	Jason [1] 1/8	129/19 129/20 131/7
interpret [1] 63/15	involve [4] 4/6 21/1	139/24 143/7 145/19	Jenkins [17] 59/21	134/1 134/17 135/25
interpretation [1]	29/14 151/12	146/3 146/16 146/18	66/9 66/12 70/18 71/1	138/24 142/4 147/10
152/17	involved [20] 4/3	146/24 148/17 149/2	78/9 79/15 86/18 87/3 87/18 87/22 100/8	151/19 152/19 155/2
intervention [1] 30/3	5/13 5/17 5/19 7/19			158/13 158/15 160/11
interview [4] 27/4	15/18 15/19 51/11		136/14 142/15	161/23 163/11 164/8
111/1 111/16 166/2	75/6 81/14 86/4 110/6		Jenkins' [2] 98/10	167/1 169/4 169/6 169/21 170/3 171/25
interviewed [2] 10/6	110/13 145/19 167/10 169/7 169/11 175/7	44/1	98/20	172/2 172/25 173/23
10/11	176/24 179/25	Issy [2] 77/25 79/8	job [22] 5/8 5/21 7/5	174/5 175/18 176/19
interviewing [1] 27/3	involvement [1] 4/11		12/13 17/22 23/5	179/3
into [68] 3/24 4/4 4/5	involves [1] 29/13	it's [88] 1/16 2/11 3/6		
4/0 5/19 6/17 11/10	involving [3] 2/25	4/20 11/20 26/8 27/12	110/11 111/13 115/16	K
	11/19 142/16	28/14 29/8 32/25	122/25 123/11 129/1	keen [3] 94/20 94/22
12/22 12/24 16/7	irregularities [1]	37/19 40/15 46/24	152/5 152/6 166/2	94/23
16/22 16/25 17/4 17/16 17/20 18/11	130/4	52/22 61/12 62/6 63/4		keep [4] 92/23
20/16 22/4 23/5 23/7	is [342]	64/7 65/24 66/10 67/1		104/17 143/18 148/3
30/22 32/8 36/15 38/7	is/was [1] 21/19	68/9 70/23 70/24 71/1	John [3] 79/23 82/1	keeping [2] 137/6
45/12 45/20 46/9	Ismay [15] 24/16	71/17 72/13 73/11	142/16	137/10
52/18 53/1 53/11	47/11 79/24 80/10	76/3 77/15 81/11	join [1] 23/21	kept [2] 54/21 155/2
53/15 55/13 61/10	82/8 82/13 82/18	81/23 82/15 89/7	joined [4] 5/5 26/7	<b>key [4]</b> 41/21 41/22
63/23 66/5 67/18	82/25 84/24 141/18	90/22 91/22 91/25	167/7 179/1	49/13 129/10
67/21 72/13 75/7 80/6	142/6 172/15 172/17	97/13 97/16 97/16	<b>Jon [4]</b> 66/17 77/18 79/3 79/4	<b>Ki [1]</b> 138/12 <b>kick [1]</b> 40/17
83/19 85/19 93/7	172/18 172/23	97/19 103/19 105/11 105/13 105/13 110/1	Jon Longman [2]	kind [48] 7/10 7/16
	Ismay's [1] 166/6 isn't [15] 45/8 45/16	110/16 114/23 114/25		9/15 11/1 11/4 11/15
11//19/10/11/20/23	46/22 57/14 92/8	115/5 115/6 115/11	Jones [1] 181/3	13/2 13/6 21/22 30/25
125/10 126/1 131/1	92/10 113/14 123/20	115/13 115/15 115/18		31/18 31/21 32/5
	124/7 125/13 149/9	115/24 115/24 123/23		33/14 35/21 37/10
145/15 152/5 152/6	149/12 158/13 174/17		167/17	38/14 43/4 43/4 44/16
159/14 161/19 164/5	175/13	128/8 129/24 131/22	judgement [1]	62/23 69/11 71/18
165/21 177/18 178/8	isolate [2] 11/10 65/6		145/23	74/13 74/22 79/11
introduce [1] 112/20	issue [63] 16/23	142/20 145/25 146/2	Julie [1] 155/8	80/20 89/7 91/4 91/5
introduced [2] 38/10 115/20	25/18 31/13 31/22	150/10 153/15 164/4	July [5] 77/18 79/9	95/1 95/8 95/18
introducing [2]	32/1 35/14 39/4 39/9	164/8 165/6 169/3	82/2 86/3 141/19	107/15 109/13 117/2
121/23 135/15	42/21 42/23 42/25	171/14 172/1 174/17	jump [1] 154/7	122/13 128/22 140/10
introduction [1]	43/1 43/10 43/13 45/8			159/15 160/12 162/2
28/14	56/7 56/21 59/15		juncture [1] 149/6	162/5 163/2 165/24
invent [1] 174/2	59/18 61/1 61/7 62/2	177/8 177/22 180/20	June [4] 65/18 65/22	166/7 175/25 178/25
invested [1] 167/20	65/9 66/22 68/4 68/12		155/5 179/10	kit [3] 138/25 155/11
investigate [8] 45/1	68/22 69/16 70/24	item [1] 49/5	June 2010 [1] 65/22	178/1 know [10] 23/18
55/12 75/9 126/11	71/18 71/19 81/5	items [1] 154/7	just [104] 2/9 4/17 7/14 14/19 17/11	knew [10] 23/18 52/25 53/4 56/14
135/17 145/3 146/6	94/10 94/11 99/9 100/23 101/10 103/7	its [6] 18/22 42/3 71/25 118/22 127/16	20/22 25/8 27/19 28/5	76/15 81/15 116/19
	100/23 101/10 103/7	11/20 110/22 121/10		10/10/10/10/10

K	land [1] 109/6	Leighton [4] 104/7	lines [2] 73/6 81/8	109/22 112/16 120/7
knew [3] 127/21	Langfield [2] 100/7	104/19 105/15 105/24		142/21 150/13 164/6
161/18 163/19	102/1	length [2] 1/17 2/6	96/19 135/21	165/10 165/21 165/24
know [85] 1/9 4/14	language [1] 91/10	less [4] 94/4 94/5	links [1] 152/15	166/20 169/19 171/25
5/1 10/3 10/10 10/14	large [9] 45/5 45/6	94/7 103/3	lips [1] 119/12	172/2 172/25 173/7
16/14 24/12 26/22	55/2 101/20 102/20	let [8] 79/11 80/23	list [19] 19/25 31/3	173/8 174/22
32/23 47/5 53/6 57/2	102/21 106/22 133/16		68/19 69/1 74/14	looked [10] 48/11
60/19 65/22 66/12	149/8	153/25 161/20 174/2	74/20 75/25 76/1 76/2	48/13 72/18 82/24
68/3 69/23 70/12	largely [3] 4/2 11/2	let's [24] 18/12 35/8	101/9 101/13 101/15	98/6 98/11 156/17
70/15 74/25 75/1	25/2	35/25 36/7 55/1 71/5	102/22 106/20 106/21	156/18 166/22 171/24
76/11 76/21 82/22	last [20] 37/22 40/10	73/6 74/3 77/22 93/24		
83/3 83/24 84/1 84/11	48/1 57/20 69/5 71/6	94/8 95/10 95/10	142/9	11/15 11/18 19/19
87/4 87/22 92/15 94/7	82/2 88/6 88/8 100/22	111/17 134/1 136/15	listen [1] 23/22	20/10 20/20 22/17
94/9 94/11 95/19	104/23 105/19 111/12	137/18 139/3 149/5	listened [1] 159/17	29/8 29/19 35/21 42/2
95/22 97/22 101/13	114/4 128/13 153/18	150/13 151/5 151/19	listening [1] 4/19	44/14 48/21 48/25
107/4 108/12 111/7	159/18 171/4 176/19	156/21 165/6	listing [1] 122/2	50/21 54/24 54/24
117/15 117/20 118/5	177/11	letter [17] 25/5 25/6	lists [1] 101/22	57/12 59/12 68/8
119/13 119/22 120/6	lastly [6] 21/18 22/21	55/22 57/23 57/25	Litigation [2] 111/10	68/19 95/23 123/12
120/13 128/2 131/4	61/17 137/14 147/2 163/11	58/11 58/12 58/13 122/13 145/6 147/5	117/16 little [18] 5/11 31/15	123/17 129/23 141/7 141/7 171/12
131/9 135/4 140/6	late [1] 140/11	147/6 147/9 152/1	41/25 67/12 67/14	looks [8] 40/25 41/24
140/21 141/12 143/20	later [11] 140/11	170/6 170/7 171/7	114/8 120/8 138/10	42/7 137/18 138/15
147/22 152/16 153/20	58/21 67/23 79/18	level [10] 5/16 5/22	139/19 144/14 147/4	142/8 164/4 164/8
154/1 156/16 157/15	79/21 105/13 105/13	17/14 21/25 26/15	153/14 155/4 163/21	lose [6] 80/3 83/4
157/16 158/4 158/9	123/25 128/10 143/5	94/1 95/2 98/17	164/1 167/1 176/18	93/16 115/16 129/1
158/25 159/1 160/11	latest [1] 82/11	145/23 161/8	176/20	148/1
161/18 161/20 162/6	latter [1] 178/13	levels [1] 46/1	live [7] 14/17 14/24	losing [1] 152/12
165/18 167/5 167/23	law [6] 52/18 78/3	liability [1] 53/20	15/1 15/8 15/15 54/10	
167/25 170/10 170/12	97/20 97/21 99/10	life [1] 44/16	78/12	61/20 62/15 62/20
172/4 172/9 176/2	171/19	light [1] 89/17	livelihood [2] 115/16	72/22 73/9 85/14 92/2
176/3 176/18 177/24	lawyers [3] 97/10	like [34] 7/22 12/1	129/1	114/18 114/21 124/21
178/17	168/20 176/24	13/6 23/14 28/1 40/25	living [1] 167/21	126/9 127/7 127/13
knowing [4] 15/18 64/21 81/1 165/2	lay [2] 54/1 55/10	41/24 42/7 45/6 47/11		152/12 168/10 173/16
knowledge [31] 1/25	lead [4] 36/4 81/4	51/18 57/12 80/4 84/7	local [3] 90/5 98/16	losses [21] 41/2 41/9
2/18 6/17 6/21 8/14	90/10 134/12	88/25 108/17 108/20	134/5	41/15 41/17 71/8
8/16 8/19 8/22 9/2 9/6	leader [7] 6/6 7/6	112/19 122/24 138/15		89/11 144/5 145/9
10/23 13/8 13/13	10/5 157/22 159/2	142/8 145/20 152/12	127/23	145/11 149/12 149/21
14/10 14/14 26/3 26/6	163/13 168/10	156/12 160/12 167/1		150/2 150/4 150/16
26/19 27/1 48/16	learn [2] 147/14	167/21 168/10 168/16		151/1 151/3 151/6
75/12 75/18 76/15	148/21	169/9 169/10 170/3	logic [1] 113/13	151/18 155/14 164/13
109/25 111/21 124/6	learnt [2] 64/23 135/2		logs [1] 79/14	179/7
135/12 147/25 151/11	least [7] 7/3 102/9 116/19 145/22 147/24	<b>likely [4]</b> 60/14 64/25 141/5 146/2	long [11] 38/19 64/17	lost [10] 71/8 98/9
162/14 168/24			65/8 65/14 68/11	101/9 111/13 115/7
knowledgeable [1]	149/8 149/11	limit [1] 50/1	68/22 69/15 101/4 111/2 174/13 180/20	136/13 144/19 147/23 167/20 167/20
48/18	leave [3] 93/20 104/22 174/14	limitations [4] 44/24 45/7 45/22 45/23	Longman [4] 77/18	lot [17] 15/17 15/24
known [19] 16/9	Lee [1] 167/2	limited [8] 3/19 3/25	79/4 79/4 79/18	15/24 16/3 20/8 22/5
16/13 64/22 72/22	left [9] 8/7 13/1 38/11	5/5 9/8 16/14 49/1	look [72] 2/7 18/20	33/18 43/14 43/15
73/1 73/3 73/8 73/9	101/16 111/14 139/25		19/11 19/13 27/12	63/18 110/14 128/11
74/3 78/16 85/14 93/1	148/9 158/8 176/18	line [39] 23/7 38/15	27/19 28/19 29/14	145/7 152/16 157/9
156/3 156/10 158/16	L 0020V [3] 00/1/	46/3 46/25 47/7 48/25		
167/12 167/13 173/13	100/1 139/11	58/17 68/10 74/13	48/13 48/23 52/19	lots [8] 15/16 37/2
179/14	Legacy Horizon [3]	93/17 111/19 112/5	54/23 55/13 56/4	43/9 43/24 43/25
<b>knows [4]</b> 84/1 90/16 124/4 124/11	99/14 100/1 139/11	112/7 112/17 113/2	56/11 56/18 56/23	46/19 46/19 67/20
124/4 124/11	legal [22] 51/17	113/12 113/20 115/21		Lottery [5] 22/1 22/2
L	52/17 69/9 69/12	117/18 121/24 123/16		43/12 43/13 43/14
lack [5] 26/25 30/24	69/13 75/15 75/19	124/6 124/13 125/15	59/25 60/23 60/25	lower [1] 97/15
83/11 120/25 121/18	76/9 76/10 80/1 85/16			lowest [1] 5/24
lacked [1] 83/15	86/10 86/14 94/1	128/18 129/11 130/6	64/15 65/2 65/11 66/4	
ladder [1] 5/24	119/1 137/5 168/3	130/22 131/12 131/12		
ladies [1] 170/13	170/25 171/1 171/3	131/15 131/21 145/25		luncheon [2] 96/11
lady's [1] 14/4	171/9 171/13	155/20 168/6 168/11	77/16 77/23 83/21	136/1
laid [2] 7/11 84/10	legally [3] 49/18	line/beginning [1]	88/4 89/21 90/1 91/21	
	51/15 52/3	93/17	97/15 100/5 109/21	110/17 111/16 114/13
				(64) know Lucher

1	10/18 10/19 10/22	34/12 140/4 141/22	72/18 73/24 73/25	153/4 156/15 164/5
	11/13 12/8 12/12	150/22	75/17 76/14 77/9	164/15 167/19
Lusher [4] 118/19	12/13 12/18 12/23	maximum [1] 179/18	77/12 78/8 79/16 85/7	
119/18 124/10 124/22	12/25 13/24 94/14	may [53] 1/5 3/4 3/5	85/19 86/7 86/15	million [3] 169/16
Lusher's [1] 129/9	95/23 95/25 101/25	3/5 11/9 18/23 21/3	86/17 86/22 87/17	171/6 171/10
Μ	102/2 103/19 104/3	25/19 32/2 34/25	87/21 87/25 88/3	mind [13] 33/14 55/7
Machin [1] 104/7	140/19 140/21 141/2	39/16 50/12 52/13	91/18 94/3 94/15 97/8	85/4 85/21 86/13
made [24] 20/17 37/3	141/6 154/19 155/17	56/2 56/19 58/2 58/19	98/7 98/25 99/16	108/21 129/4 135/6
37/5 37/5 74/3 82/10	158/21 159/1 159/10	58/19 63/6 64/11 65/9		139/20 154/7 158/11
82/18 86/24 91/13	159/16 159/21 160/6	65/12 65/17 65/24	134/22 135/6 136/5	158/13 161/22
92/14 104/21 107/19	160/13 161/15 163/8 163/16 163/17 166/10	65/25 67/3 68/12 69/17 70/10 83/12	136/18 136/22 171/25 172/12 177/1 177/3	
107/20 113/24 113/24	166/11	83/14 83/24 99/6	meetings [13] 98/7	minute [2] 133/4 168/23
114/6 114/17 116/15	manager [27] 6/1 6/2	101/3 103/2 130/17	98/22 99/2 137/4	minutes [7] 58/24
119/3 125/3 125/16	6/6 8/5 12/15 12/25	135/11 137/21 139/2	137/17 137/20 137/21	
145/20 146/19 163/10	18/5 22/2 24/3 24/5	144/2 145/16 145/16	137/22 137/23 138/2	134/18 138/3 173/5
magazine [1] 130/2	27/6 69/1 95/16 104/2		176/23 177/5 177/6	miskeying [1] 57/7
magnifying [2] 114/20 114/25	129/22 130/14 144/6	148/17 153/17 159/2	member [5] 40/7	mismatch [40] 17/7
mail [6] 3/11 3/21	155/20 160/25 161/5	170/24 171/23 172/1	155/19 156/13 161/16	59/11 59/14 59/15
106/6 129/22 139/4	161/6 161/7 170/10	173/1 176/19	175/6	59/18 60/24 61/14
166/17	173/15 173/15 173/19		members [2] 8/3	62/1 62/4 63/8 64/15
main [3] 25/3 46/2	175/2	May 2012 [1] 101/3	8/21	64/17 65/4 67/17
64/9	manager's [1] 94/25		memory [18] 11/1	67/24 85/7 85/24
mainly [1] 19/2	managers [10] 6/9	107/12 Mol achien [2] 78/0	11/8 12/5 14/23 15/11	87/23 89/10 94/15
maintain [4] 94/20	24/6 24/8 24/14 26/8 95/14 110/12 110/15	McLachlan [2] 78/9 79/16	41/5 62/3 62/8 62/11 62/21 63/7 64/12	97/7 98/8 102/25 103/14 109/16 133/19
94/22 94/23 128/4	170/9 170/19	me [56] 1/3 2/7 2/9	67/17 67/23 71/4	136/5 136/11 136/18
maintaining [1]	managing [1] 20/9	6/5 10/6 10/15 16/15	73/25 91/17 157/21	137/4 137/19 143/7
159/23		23/2 25/10 25/21 36/4		143/9 146/19 160/14
major [4] 15/12 15/15 110/10 154/2	69/23 109/17	36/4 40/14 40/17	mention [3] 21/9	171/25 173/12 179/24
majority [1] 74/10	manual [2] 7/10	41/20 43/7 46/11 53/5		180/2 180/6
make [30] 5/15 18/14	164/6	54/15 55/21 56/19	mentioned [8] 48/9	Misra [7] 77/14 77/19
18/14 18/15 23/21	manually [2] 90/4	59/7 68/4 68/16 78/19		79/7 81/23 86/21 87/5
29/15 30/23 36/4 36/9	134/4	79/11 82/9 82/11	97/11 98/4 99/20	87/19
37/14 38/17 46/18	many [11] 1/12 6/12	91/12 91/19 91/20	mentioning [2] 47/13	
47/4 47/17 47/24 50/5	8/1 10/11 14/7 45/4	96/13 97/2 101/4	47/15	87/24
52/6 55/18 58/12	46/21 47/18 127/19 164/12 180/23	111/23 117/2 117/6 119/2 123/9 127/13	merge [1] 163/3	missed [1] 57/7
69/24 75/19 76/9	mapping [2] 16/21	128/8 129/17 131/2	merits [7] 33/25 36/7 38/9 39/6 121/2	91/25 173/22
112/17 112/18 145/2	16/25	131/9 143/21 146/15	121/11 122/22	misspelt [1] 169/24
152/23 161/20 162/23	March [3] 105/13	153/6 153/25 154/1	message [5] 96/1	mistake [1] 145/19
168/4 174/21	181/2 181/7	161/18 165/16 166/7	116/13 168/6 168/12	mistaken [2] 64/8
makes [9] 35/9 57/13 118/14 120/19 122/8	marginal [1] 58/18	171/6 177/17 177/23	168/19	64/12
125/8 153/16 178/12	Marie [4] 8/7 23/14	178/21	methodology [1]	mistakes [1] 112/19
178/21	23/15 159/7	mean [25] 5/23 16/25		mistresses [1]
making [12] 7/18	mark [8] 66/10 66/12	19/4 20/24 31/5 32/6	Michele [3] 129/24	133/17
33/22 43/25 46/11	66/12 80/6 81/13	32/9 39/14 40/19	130/13 170/8	<b>Mm [3]</b> 124/8 138/17
50/5 76/8 110/7	81/15 142/14 142/15	53/19 54/4 84/25 89/13 94/23 105/9	microphones [2] 4/18 4/21	177/16 Mm-hm [3] 12//8
119/19 160/3 174/7	marks [1] 174/15 marooned [2] 100/12	112/8 112/13 119/10	middle [5] 61/11	Mm-hm [3] 124/8 138/17 177/16
174/12 175/4	100/16	125/19 150/18 151/19		moment [10] 2/9
man [3] 117/15	marriage [2] 111/14	152/17 169/2 176/11	166/9	14/21 16/18 70/6
128/25 129/23 manage [12] 6/2 6/10	129/2	177/17	Midlands [2] 78/11	70/20 77/22 81/24
7/24 13/3 13/4 14/5	massive [1] 55/6	means [9] 54/5	79/13	113/13 133/3 153/6
21/22 24/24 150/2	match [2] 20/11	112/22 119/6 119/10	might [39] 15/5 20/13	
151/1 151/6 160/23	48/24	124/4 124/11 139/3	25/19 35/22 35/25	money [22] 29/18
manageable [1]	matched [1] 19/9	153/22 179/25	37/3 37/10 42/24 43/1	
46/14	matching [1] 49/5	meant [1] 9/19	43/12 45/8 48/22 51/5	
managed [3] 7/18	material [1] 89/17	media [1] 130/1	52/14 56/3 57/6 70/22 72/5 76/25 80/4 80/7	53/18 54/7 58/20 68/2 92/7 106/23 108/3
8/13 151/23	matter [9] 3/1 36/12 138/19 141/20 155/7	meeting [51] 23/20 59/13 59/17 59/23	81/4 89/18 95/1 96/4	108/4 108/9 109/10
management [46]	155/13 157/25 158/2	59/25 60/9 60/14	105/2 108/3 115/15	114/16 115/8 124/23
3/23 6/25 7/5 7/6 7/7	170/24	64/17 64/21 66/1	133/4 137/9 138/8	155/1
7/13 7/17 9/21 10/5	matters [6] 2/22 3/6	66/13 71/3 72/17	150/7 152/18 152/25	monitor [1] 154/4
				(62) Lusher - monitor

Μ	98/10 98/20 99/9	Mr Stein [3] 133/12	101/5 101/17 106/5	108/6 176/9
monitored [2] 117/13	100/8 101/1 102/18	153/9 156/8	109/4 116/23 121/16	neither [1] 87/22
159/22	105/23 110/2 110/17	Mr Ward [12] 114/13	123/11 123/19 129/18	net [3] 43/2 50/16
month [9] 5/21 10/22	111/16 112/3 114/13	119/3 120/14 129/17	131/22 133/15 147/2	168/4
11/12 31/7 37/10	114/13 118/19 118/20		150/10 152/1 152/17	netting [1] 44/16
104/23 105/12 105/13	119/3 119/18 120/14	132/12 132/25 134/21	159/10 161/15 163/2	network [24] 5/6 5/8
105/14	124/10 124/22 129/9	135/11 135/15	165/21 167/9 170/25	5/10 5/22 6/12 6/16
monthly [7] 13/23	129/17 129/23 130/16		174/5	20/22 20/24 20/25 21/6 22/4 23/5 23/6
23/20 31/20 32/4	130/23 131/2 132/9 132/12 132/25 133/2	130/23 135/18	myself [6] 56/6 67/14 95/9 110/15 141/15	23/7 25/13 29/2 30/2
32/12 33/10 33/23	133/12 133/14 133/15	Mr Wilson [1] 97/20 Mr Winn [20] 1/8	178/11	94/16 95/9 110/4
months [5] 10/18	133/21 133/22 134/2			140/22 164/18 174/11
46/17 65/25 66/24	134/21 135/11 135/15			175/2
67/2	125/10 126/2 126/14	141/18 143/6 146/12	Ν	Networks [1] 91/19
moral [6] 90/13 90/19 91/10 92/3 134/14	140/4 140/7 141/18	147/6 147/24 149/14	naively [1] 178/24	never [12] 30/5 41/20
134/24	143/6 146/12 147/6	152/7 152/20 153/7	name [18] 1/8 1/10	52/4 55/3 83/13 90/15
more [52] 11/2 11/4	147/7 147/12 147/24	168/25 180/18 180/19		92/13 108/21 110/11
11/15 13/13 14/13		Mr Wright [1] 105/23	24/12 28/8 44/22 53/8	147/18 158/11 158/13
16/15 16/21 19/1 20/6		Mr Wynn [1] 169/23	77/15 133/15 143/20	new [8] 16/11 30/16
21/16 24/4 26/19 27/5	152/20 153/7 153/9	Mrs [2] 81/23 172/19	144/15 159/5 159/9 167/2 169/24	61/10 78/17 111/24
31/2 33/2 33/3 39/25	156/8 167/2 167/19 168/24 168/25 169/11	Mrs Bolsover [1] 172/19	named [1] 24/3	128/8 131/3 178/5
42/21 45/6 47/19		Mrs Seema Misra [1]	namely [3] 35/18	Newmark [1] 130/24 Newsagent [1] 130/1
48/22 56/5 58/9 66/23	172/15 172/17 172/18		89/3 97/9	Newsome [2] 142/5
72/24 80/3 80/15	172/23 180/16 180/18		names [6] 24/10	142/15
80/19 82/17 82/22	180/19 182/3 182/4	87/24 140/13 144/18	24/23 104/7 153/20	next [22] 21/9 31/11
83/6 83/7 83/9 95/8 95/12 95/13 95/13	Mr Afzal [6] 147/7	153/11 169/3 172/23	170/12 172/2	32/8 44/2 46/1 50/14
95/18 95/21 99/6	147/12 149/17 149/18	173/6 180/14 182/5	narrative [1] 39/17	50/19 53/24 56/16
104/11 106/17 110/15	150/14 150/21	Ms Bolsover [1]	National [3] 22/22	60/7 66/20 68/24
114/23 130/7 155/1	Mr Beer [5] 133/22	172/23	34/9 34/15	75/14 90/6 114/3
155/17 158/12 162/5	136/2 140/4 140/7	Ms Hogg [1] 78/6	nature [8] 10/24	114/22 116/8 125/8
162/12 172/25 176/20	180/16	Ms Ingham [1]	60/24 61/25 80/16 114/1 121/4 124/3	126/2 134/7 143/25
morning [8] 1/3 1/8	<b>Mr Bilkhu [2]</b> 169/14 170/22	140/13 <b>Ms Misra's [1]</b> 87/24	124/10	174/25 NFSP [11] 22/23
31/24 32/21 57/20	Mr Castleton [1]	<b>Ms Page [3]</b> 169/3	NBSC [12] 20/15	22/25 23/3 23/11
59/7 99/19 100/14 most [13] 11/22 12/4	167/19	173/6 180/14	20/18 21/10 21/15	25/12 25/21 31/14
12/6 24/16 32/1 42/13	Mr Castleton's [1]	Ms Stubbs [1]	25/12 91/18 95/9	51/19 175/6 175/11
46/8 56/21 68/25 69/7	168/24	144/18	105/3 145/7 150/19	175/22
81/17 168/18 172/3		Ms Susan [1] 29/24	150/20 154/4	nice [2] 31/10 127/2
move [5] 4/17 31/10	Mr Graham [1]	much [24] 2/20 5/16	Near [1] 8/12	niceties [1] 171/1
46/20 100/4 120/8	118/20		necessarily [5] 33/24 54/14 112/14 167/16	
moved [16] 3/24 4/4	Mr Ismay [7] 82/13 82/18 84/24 172/15	42/19 42/21 55/10 58/23 59/9 59/12	168/1	173/20 Nigel Allen [1] 144/2
6/24 10/18 12/12	172/17 172/18 172/23		necessary [2] 3/7	night [3] 32/23 34/5
13/18 14/20 14/22	Mr Jenkins [10]	91/9 96/9 96/24 133/2	47/6	43/23
15/10 16/16 17/11	59/21 66/12 71/1	133/8 161/20 162/5	need [49] 20/13	nilly [1] 90/24
17/16 17/20 141/5 159/13 159/13	86/18 87/18 87/22	167/9 181/4	22/20 33/2 33/3 34/3	no [148] 3/17 4/12
movement [1]	100/8 101/1 102/18	multiple [2] 33/20	35/7 37/7 39/7 49/19	6/11 6/19 6/23 9/1
144/21	136/14	51/5	53/25 54/2 55/8 56/4	10/4 12/1 12/11 13/11
moves [2] 39/23 50/8	Mr Jenkins' [2] 98/10		57/14 69/23 70/15	13/15 13/17 14/6
moving [6] 7/9 15/4	98/20	64/21 92/1 111/23	71/12 72/2 72/7 72/10	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
44/18 101/2 114/21	Mr Lee [1] 167/2	149/2	74/7 78/10 80/3 83/3 83/25 87/17 93/8	16/21 17/19 18/8
126/4	Mr Longman [1] 79/18	mute [1] 96/14 my [60] 1/8 2/9 5/21	93/18 93/22 94/1	33/12 33/12 35/1 35/18 35/24 35/24
MP [3] 130/23 175/12	Mr Lusher [8] 110/2	10/7 10/15 11/1 11/8	103/6 104/11 107/1	36/13 37/13 37/16
175/22	110/17 111/16 114/13		107/7 107/17 107/23	37/18 39/7 41/1 41/14
MPs [1] 175/14	118/19 119/18 124/10		108/1 108/10 108/13	46/4 46/24 48/2 48/4
MR [100] 1/7 1/8 2/21 59/10 59/21 66/12	124/22	29/11 30/2 36/16	108/21 112/18 112/20	49/8 50/18 51/17 52/4
70/18 71/1 76/7 79/3	Mr Lusher's [1]	46/11 51/18 52/6 52/6		53/13 54/9 55/21
79/18 82/13 82/18	129/9	52/17 52/22 54/24	155/4 162/6 165/23	57/16 58/1 58/9 58/9
84/24 86/18 87/16	Mr Simpson [2] 76/7	62/3 62/8 62/21 63/7	168/1	58/15 62/24 64/24
87/18 87/22 87/22	99/9	64/9 64/12 67/17	needed [5] 16/4 32/7	67/8 69/11 69/18
96/24 97/6 97/20	Mr Singh [3] 79/3	67/19 67/23 75/12 84/20 91/17 94/2 99/5	47/23 75/19 108/16	69/18 70/22 71/4 71/4
	87/16 87/22	04/20 91/17 94/2 99/5	11000 [3] 00/10	71/9 77/11 79/5 79/5
				(62) monitored no

N	71/23 73/18 73/22	notice [2] 70/13	91/24 92/6 94/1 95/11	57/4 57/14 57/25
	73/25 74/2 74/9 74/10		101/13 110/10 117/20	
no [87] 81/1 83/8	75/11 79/24 81/24	now [47] 5/5 9/14	143/18 152/24 155/23	67/11 70/6 81/18
83/13 85/8 85/25	82/10 82/18 83/23	9/15 11/24 19/16 24/1	158/13 173/5 174/2	82/24 92/12 97/8 99/5
86/16 86/20 88/25	83/24 84/10 84/24	27/10 29/23 52/20	174/7 174/11 178/9	105/20 106/22 107/5
91/14 93/14 94/5 94/8	86/7 86/8 87/1 88/1	66/12 78/10 82/13	179/3	107/19 108/3 110/23
94/18 95/6 97/23	89/4 89/7 90/22 91/17	87/14 95/16 97/3 97/3	offence [1] 137/10	111/9 113/10 113/11
99/15 99/15 102/9	91/23 95/4 97/12	103/14 105/19 107/10		114/3 114/5 114/14
105/10 107/1 107/23	99/20 100/21 100/22	108/14 111/16 113/4	office [78] 3/12 3/19	114/22 121/16 124/4
108/1 108/5 109/4	102/7 104/22 105/3	113/12 116/17 131/1	3/19 3/25 4/10 4/13	124/11 133/25 134/2
117/22 120/2 120/10	105/9 105/13 105/18	132/7 134/1 134/10	5/5 7/9 7/12 9/2 13/24	134/2 136/1 142/15
121/13 122/24 123/8	106/1 106/21 107/6	134/17 135/22 136/21	16/14 42/3 42/6 43/16	145/18 151/15 153/6
123/8 124/4 124/11	107/16 109/16 112/14	137/3 138/14 138/21	43/17 45/9 45/11 46/7	155/1 157/14 158/13
125/19 126/18 127/3	113/1 113/24 114/2	138/24 140/3 141/8	47/8 48/7 52/20 52/23	159/5 162/1 162/16
127/3 127/5 129/13 131/18 131/19 132/8	114/6 114/17 118/10	141/16 141/18 144/14	54/12 65/13 69/3 72/5	163/11 163/15 164/20
132/20 135/15 135/20	118/10 119/17 119/23	145/23 155/15 164/2	76/15 81/22 89/13	166/3 167/1 168/22
137/8 137/11 137/12	121/13 121/15 122/13	167/23 168/13 174/1	90/13 91/24 92/1	170/3 174/18 174/23
137/13 139/1 139/15	122/17 122/24 123/12	181/1	97/21 103/6 107/6	174/24 176/19 177/8
139/18 139/18 140/21	123/23 124/19 125/10	nowadays [1] 152/14	107/20 108/23 115/18	177/9 178/1 179/21
143/20 144/24 145/10		number [14] 10/23		ones [4] 22/15 30/24
149/22 151/14 155/12	127/2 127/8 127/14	18/13 40/6 49/1 100/7	130/11 132/9 134/15	177/7 178/25
157/21 157/24 158/6	128/9 131/9 131/10	100/24 101/3 101/12	134/19 134/23 134/25	ongoing [9] 75/15
158/7 159/4 159/19	131/20 138/23 143/4	111/18 133/16 133/20		75/20 76/10 85/16
160/1 163/10 163/16	143/18 143/22 145/7	134/18 136/11 144/4	142/10 144/19 146/2	86/9 97/10 107/2
163/16 163/23 166/12	145/16 145/20 148/5	numerous [2] 154/3	148/24 149/5 149/7	107/24 108/8
168/17 169/1 169/13	148/6 148/6 148/10	177/10	149/9 149/17 150/6	Online [12] 14/17
169/18 170/11 170/13	148/13 148/14 148/20	0	150/20 151/20 151/25	14/24 15/1 15/8 28/15
170/21 171/8 171/11	150/2 150/4 151/1		152/25 153/25 154/2	61/6 65/18 65/20
171/18 171/20 173/4	151/3 151/16 151/17	<b>Oak [1]</b> 130/10	154/8 154/9 154/14	65/22 99/8 99/11
175/16 176/5 179/16	151/20 152/11 152/18		159/21 167/17 168/2	99/23
no-one [2] 124/4		obliged [1] 52/12	168/9 169/23 170/16	only [21] 2/3 3/6
124/11	160/1 161/10 163/10	observation [1]	171/4 172/2 173/14	12/21 21/12 31/22
nobody [2] 33/18	165/12 165/22 168/4	119/13	Office's [1] 78/3	51/4 54/11 57/8 60/20
69/13	168/8 170/25 171/7	obvious [4] 48/22	officer [6] 6/4 23/10	61/7 110/1 110/24
noise [1] 17/12	172/19 173/4 173/24	62/15 124/12 126/19	24/25 25/1 25/16 78/3	
non [2] 30/15 52/17	174/3 174/4 175/5	obviously [11] 11/7	offices [4] 43/20 51/6	
Non-conformance [1]	175/14 175/20 175/25		127/21 165/9	154/23 160/11 179/21
30/15	1/0/13 1/0/17 1////	132/16 141/14 146/23		onto [4] 7/9 50/8 61/6
non-legal [1] 52/17	177/7 177/22 178/16	149/10 152/1 162/14 169/24	23/13 48/23 56/20	154/24
none [4] 69/9 165/10	178/17 179/14 179/17	occasional [1] 26/16	115/9 162/3	onus [1] 39/23
174/15 175/3	180/8 180/9		okay [23] 4/22 14/25	open [4] 79/25 84/3
nor [1] 87/22	note [21] 28/1 36/1	occasionally [3] 11/22 22/19 22/19	15/11 23/17 25/11	84/22 175/5
normal [3] 93/8	36/7 36/9 36/12 39/2	occasions [2] 111/18	41/21 45/4 52/14 59/7	opening [1] 25/5
137/23 172/20	39/5 39/13 39/18	158/15		operate [1] 80/5
normally [9] 20/19	40/10 40/25 42/8 51/14 61/13 63/23	occur [1] 61/8	118/20 120/4 121/9 136/14 138/16 140/16	operated [1] 48/17
21/8 21/16 23/1 39/16	71/1 72/17 73/22	occurred [4] 41/20	141/4 141/21 143/24	17/18 28/22 28/25
71/8 71/8 109/9	98/25 161/20 180/13	101/10 155/14 179/10		29/7
154/10	noted [2] 42/12 71/7		old [6] 46/17 66/24	operation [15] 6/18
Norwich [1] 110/4	notes [27] 27/18	October [23] 60/4	101/5 107/10 108/21	8/14 8/20 9/6 13/9
not [171] 2/24 4/12	27/23 28/1 35/10	60/5 60/5 60/12 60/15		13/14 14/11 26/4 26/6
9/13 11/16 13/17	35/11 36/3 36/4 40/21			26/19 34/16 42/5
14/16 15/13 15/25	41/25 42/2 49/16	87/6 87/7 87/12 97/17	once [11] 20/17	48/12 79/13 118/23
25/10 29/14 30/15	49/17 53/23 57/24	99/3 103/1 110/17	47/24 55/21 58/11	operational [3] 33/12
31/17 36/5 36/17 38/1	59/13 59/15 76/14	116/3 116/4 136/2	86/3 86/3 127/19	33/20 34/2
45/17 48/15 49/3 50/7	98/5 98/6 99/18 111/1		141/5 145/21 151/9	operations [3] 78/13
52/5 52/13 52/15	136/5 136/10 136/11	149/17	166/3	82/4 83/20
52/22 53/8 53/25	136/19 137/23 138/3	October 2010 [1]	one [83] 6/5 6/6 6/9	opinion [3] 52/17
54/14 56/1 57/25	nothing [17] 4/16	86/19	12/21 12/24 17/19	153/5 161/15
59/24 59/24 60/17	4/23 20/12 50/9 60/22	off [32] 3/22 18/18	18/10 19/9 23/8 23/10	
61/13 62/6 63/5 63/11	63/1 63/23 131/8	19/9 20/12 25/22	24/6 24/25 27/2 32/25	
63/15 63/15 63/18	132/18 132/19 145/8	40/17 43/18 43/23	34/18 35/11 37/10	opposed [1] 43/15
64/6 64/7 64/11 65/1	145/13 146/8 154/12	44/16 49/3 50/6 50/6	41/5 42/9 42/22 42/23	
65/7 68/15 70/3 70/4	154/13 161/17 163/18	50/16 66/11 67/22	43/8 43/11 48/8 56/7	37/6 62/12 121/13
L				(64) no opposite

0	81/12 84/7 91/16	86/17 89/21 102/6	page 3 [9] 30/6 59/25	103/8 134/21 135/6
	92/17 98/21 106/23	112/24 114/3 128/22	68/9 104/15 105/11	136/3 136/21 138/14
option [4] 49/23 51/14 148/10 175/4	108/4 113/11 137/16	130/12 130/18 159/17	133/21 173/8 173/9	139/10 144/15 145/1
options [11] 18/10	141/24 146/18 146/25	160/14 162/24 167/24	173/9	156/23 156/24
50/22 51/4 51/9 51/10	154/23 157/15 168/6	174/15	page 4 [3] 34/6 60/7	particularly [26] 5/13
92/20 173/25 174/18	168/21 169/5 175/19	overall [2] 30/19 61/6		10/14 15/22 15/25
174/22 175/3 175/19	others [12] 31/2	owe [1] 108/3	page 5 [3] 27/20	16/13 16/23 23/8
or [144] 3/2 3/19 5/2	42/10 55/23 85/6	owed [3] 18/23 92/7	41/21 138/6	29/21 31/14 32/25
6/6 6/13 6/22 9/6 9/9	85/23 110/15 129/8	108/4	page 6 [3] 49/12	33/20 37/7 43/22
11/2 12/2 12/3 14/8	143/3 147/19 168/7 168/12 168/16	owes [1] 115/10	70/17 87/2	45/18 91/7 91/17 109/17 129/11 146/4
15/22 18/15 20/3	otherwise [3] 123/6	owing [2] 46/9 89/14 own [6] 42/3 114/16	page 7 [1] 57/21 page 8 [2] 71/5 100/5	160/5 164/14 166/15
20/24 21/7 21/8 21/19	126/21 127/12	115/7 124/23 154/7	pages [5] 1/16 2/6	174/16 175/3 176/1
22/1 22/10 22/13	ought [2] 35/3 81/14	171/16	27/18 55/23 143/25	177/3
22/20 23/9 25/9 25/14	our [26] 29/22 39/22	<b>Ownership</b> [2] 46/1	pages 1 [1] 143/25	partly [1] 149/11
25/22 32/3 32/16 38/12 40/13 41/18	61/22 67/19 79/1	46/2	paid [8] 17/3 23/10	partners [1] 33/20
43/9 44/9 44/24 45/13	80/25 89/18 104/5	owning [1] 11/6	46/4 47/15 58/10	parts [3] 2/4 19/7
49/24 50/7 50/19 51/1	104/6 104/21 104/24	P	58/11 58/14 58/14	22/7
51/11 52/1 53/13	105/16 109/6 110/21		pair [1] 84/14	pass [1] 113/7
53/18 54/17 54/18	116/25 118/13 118/18		Pam [1] 144/15	passed [2] 69/15
54/22 55/15 56/1 56/7	130/6 131/6 132/23	page [104] 1/20 2/7	paper [3] 41/6 54/22	113/4
56/15 57/4 57/15	149/11 155/8 157/1	2/14 19/12 27/20 28/5 28/19 30/6 34/6 41/21		past [3] 37/1 97/10
57/16 58/1 58/11	157/20 158/19 165/8	48/1 49/12 53/22	papers [1] 129/18	104/9
60/15 61/20 62/15	ours [1] 54/1 ourselves [2] 134/1	57/21 57/21 59/25	<b>paperwork [1]</b> 154/14	pause [5] 24/11 96/15 96/16 96/17
62/20 62/24 64/18	175/10	60/7 60/7 60/8 60/23	paragraph [21] 19/14	
65/19 65/24 65/25	out [68] 4/3 4/7 7/11	60/25 61/11 61/18	53/24 60/1 65/3 66/15	
69/5 69/19 71/2 71/23	8/23 22/8 25/6 31/7	63/22 65/2 66/8 66/11	68/9 70/2 71/6 101/10	
73/9 75/17 76/12	32/1 33/13 37/15	66/16 66/16 68/9	106/8 113/23 117/4	51/1 52/15 53/18
76/13 77/6 80/2 80/22 80/24 80/24 83/18	40/14 42/10 46/5	68/19 70/1 70/17 71/5	120/17 120/17 124/2	53/18 53/20 54/8 54/9
83/20 87/24 88/1	46/19 47/18 47/20	71/5 72/16 72/18	136/4 140/25 142/21	58/1 106/23 109/11
88/24 89/6 89/11	48/2 48/5 48/24 49/9	72/21 76/3 77/17	175/2 178/13 179/3	173/25 175/5
90/23 95/3 95/20	50/5 54/1 55/11 56/11		paragraph 1 [4]	paying [2] 48/7 58/15
95/25 97/10 98/7 99/5	56/19 58/2 58/10	79/3 85/12 85/12 87/2		payment [2] 51/11
100/22 105/4 105/9	58/16 61/22 63/1 63/3	87/9 87/10 88/4 88/5 89/21 91/22 100/5	140/25	51/12
105/20 108/14 109/11	70/13 70/16 79/15 83/25 84/10 88/18	101/1 103/15 103/17	paragraph 2 [2] 113/23 124/2	payments [35] 59/11 59/14 59/15 59/18
111/21 116/25 118/16	90/0 02/20 06/17	104/15 105/11 105/12	113/23 124/2	60/24 61/14 62/1 62/4
119/17 119/22 121/18	101/7 108/9 111/22	105/22 106/2 106/13	19/14 175/2	63/7 64/15 64/17 65/4
121/21 122/12 122/18	112/20 112/22 113/10		paragraph 4 [1]	67/17 67/24 85/6
123/24 124/6 126/19	112/1/ 112/10 116/10		101/10	85/24 87/23 89/10
127/16 127/17 129/10	119/16 119/17 119/25	128/13 129/19 130/12		94/15 97/7 98/8
130/5 131/18 139/4 139/17 139/20 140/21	120/1 120/10 122/3	130/12 130/13 131/5	126/4 126/13	103/14 106/18 107/17
145/11 145/16 150/24	122/4 122/4 127/20	133/21 133/24 135/24		109/5 109/15 141/21
153/20 153/25 155/1	127/23 144/22 149/11		81/11	143/2 143/7 160/14
156/23 157/7 157/13	155/11 155/22 160/6	138/11 142/13 142/20		171/25 173/12 179/23
157/14 159/2 159/2	164/19 174/1 174/5	144/13 147/3 147/4	pardon [1] 45/16	180/1 180/6
159/18 163/18 163/20	179/6	149/16 153/11 153/18 155/4 169/3 169/20		Paystation [2] 106/7 106/10
164/6 166/1 166/10	outages [1] 11/2 outcome [2] 94/15	169/20 169/21 172/1	Parliamentary [1] 130/24	PEAK [1] 138/19
167/11 172/18 175/12	143/16	173/6 173/8 173/9	part [23] 3/22 5/21	penny [2] 63/2
175/20 175/22 177/7	outlier [1] 129/11	173/9 174/25 180/14	7/10 9/20 9/25 17/2	103/20
178/16	outputs [2] 98/22	182/5	23/4 25/3 54/24	penultimate [1]
order [7] 29/15 33/7	137/17	page 1 [10] 28/5	100/23 100/25 117/3	66/15
33/10 75/19 76/9 112/21 168/10	Outreach [1] 34/14	60/23 60/25 70/1 76/3		people [42] 6/12 7/19
original [4] 101/9	outside [2] 22/21	79/2 101/1 106/2	149/8 165/6 168/2	8/19/110/610/11
101/13 101/14 142/9	166/20	116/2 130/12	170/1 171/12 171/15	10/14 14/7 15/18
other [38] 8/2 11/5	outstanding [6] 32/7	page 14 [1] 149/16	172/20 178/13	15/18 16/14 21/2
11/16 12/1 12/2 16/14	46/10 103/13 104/4	Page 15 [1] 147/3	part-time [1] 3/22	
19/7 19/10 19/22	106/18 144/4	page 2 [9] 19/12	Participant [2]	29/12 49/6 49/6 58/1
19/25 20/20 23/23	over [25] 8/8 12/25	28/19 61/11 72/16 77/23 88/4 105/22	111/10 156/9 Participanta [1]	58/10 68/20 68/20
24/8 29/12 34/12 49/4	19/20 33/17 34/6 37/6 46/1 63/19 76/16	129/19 174/25	Participants [1] 133/5	69/9 71/24 74/4 75/25 91/16 95/9 100/7
49/6 56/7 70/9 81/6	77/10 85/20 86/4	page 29 [1] 169/20	particular [12] 56/17	106/3 112/18 153/3
	11110 00/20 00/4			100/0 112/10 100/0

Ρ	piece [1] 41/6	33/22 34/11 37/20	POL00090726 [1]	posting [1] 98/15
people [9] 157/10	pieces [1] 173/23	40/2 44/18 45/4 45/20		postman [3] 3/22
157/11 157/13 160/4	piste [1] 117/20	45/25 46/18 47/4	POL00092640 [1]	3/22 112/15
160/13 161/22 165/22	place [17] 3/3 38/15	49/17 50/7 50/20	176/20	postmaster [5]
172/12 176/25	55/4 60/15 62/15	50/20 53/22 56/1	POL00093133 [1]	109/12 134/21 139/23
percentage [1] 175/7	63/22 76/12 78/8	57/22 62/25 63/21	153/15	143/14 176/16
perfectly [3] 37/19	116/14 117/24 150/1	63/23 75/14 81/17	POL00098016 [1]	postmasters [1]
124/11 124/14	150/25 151/6 154/18	83/7 84/2 85/13	103/12	143/10
performance [4] 5/12	155/8 161/4 177/9	105/19 108/25 111/5 112/9 116/24 124/14	POL00105280 [1] 173/8	potential [6] 75/15 85/15 86/9 86/14
159/22 159/23 159/24	placed [2] 27/4 80/7	127/25 128/9 128/12	POLFS [9] 44/19	89/23 94/17
performed [1] 30/1	placement [1] 8/17 places [1] 61/24	132/21 135/22 145/1	44/21 44/22 45/15	potentially [15] 32/20
performing [2] 20/2	plan [2] 7/11 107/5	147/20 165/23	45/15 45/21 45/22	33/2 33/3 33/3 34/24
26/18	planned [1] 16/8	pointed [1] 179/6	45/23 46/22	37/10 47/22 56/22
perhaps [13] 13/7	planning [2] 17/2	points [9] 34/12	polled [1] 146/9	76/9 92/5 93/15 94/11
25/18 46/12 48/15	66/18	40/22 61/18 72/19	polled/software [1]	156/24 175/11 175/22
108/10 143/18 157/13	platform [2] 7/9 7/10	78/25 86/3 88/6 88/7	146/9	PowerPoint [1] 27/14
161/12 164/1 164/5	play [2] 105/21 121/5	136/3	polling [2] 146/16	practical [1] 81/9
169/19 169/21 171/15	playing [1] 9/15	POL [48] 12/21 20/25	146/24	practice [1] 33/13
period [20] 10/22 11/10 11/12 15/13	please [101] 1/5 1/10	22/7 22/18 23/6 29/2	POLSAP [4] 63/23	practices [1] 42/4
18/13 28/11 31/11	1/15 1/20 2/5 2/7	34/25 35/13 38/12	63/24 64/10 93/9	pre [3] 31/16 53/25
32/8 50/14 61/9 61/10	19/12 27/12 27/20	39/3 39/13 39/23	posed [2] 117/1	54/4
67/20 90/7 114/5	28/5 28/19 30/7 34/7	40/16 45/10 50/8 52/7	117/6	pre-DFR [2] 53/25
114/7 114/22 114/24	35/10 41/3 41/21 42/1	59/20 68/6 68/14	position [13] 53/4	54/4
134/8 148/8 163/13	49/12 49/16 49/22	68/18 69/2 70/8 75/19		pre-Horizon [1]
person [11] 6/5	54/4 57/21 58/24 59/2	75/24 76/8 77/3 77/6	95/1 110/24 125/21	31/16
16/13 23/8 23/10 69/7	60/23 61/11 64/16	93/9 93/12 93/18	125/22 128/4 128/7	precise [1] 101/15
76/7 81/13 84/8 84/23	65/2 66/4 66/9 68/9	94/14 97/18 101/15	142/24 152/2 175/9	precisely [1] 113/1
154/25 172/3	70/17 70/19 71/5	101/25 102/6 116/5	possibilities [1]	prefer [1] 91/24
personally [2] 47/10	72/16 72/20 77/16 77/17 77/24 78/6	116/15 143/5 157/13 158/17 169/14 170/24	173/18	premises [1] 154/18 preparation [1] 16/22
74/21	78/19 78/20 78/25	178/12 178/17 179/14		prepare [1] 17/2
persuade [1] 39/25	70/2 70/10 70/17	179/21 180/3 180/9	29/20 47/18 53/16	prepared [3] 72/17
Pete [2] 142/5 142/15	81/20 82/11 85/9	POL's [2] 54/17	70/15 80/11 80/14	118/21 166/19
phantom [29] 115/20	95/12 97/0 99/1 90/21	171/3	94/21 96/18 108/13	presence [1] 87/10
	91/21 93/5 96/19	POL00001304 [1]		present [6] 54/2 55/8
138/16 138/23 139/1	97/13 97/15 98/3	169/19	171/14	69/7 119/5 173/22
139/6 139/9 139/13 139/17 139/20 140/1	100/4 100/5 100/6	POL00002268 [1]	possibly [9] 21/16	178/16
140/5 140/8 141/9	100/15 103/12 103/15		81/18 95/13 102/7	presentation [5]
141/12 153/14 155/18	103/17 104/12 104/15	POL00004694 [1]	122/1 129/7 158/18	23/22 27/15 27/25
156/2 156/10 156/22	104/17 104/17 105/12		165/2 178/24	28/6 54/12
157/17 157/22 158/7	105/22 106/2 109/20	POL00023432 [1]	post [73] 3/12 3/19	presented [2] 72/7
158/12 163/18 174/1	109/23 116/2 120/9	109/22	3/19 3/24 4/9 4/13 5/5	
174/4	129/19 129/20 130/8	POL00028838 [5]	7/8 7/12 9/2 13/24	press [2] 39/22 63/3
Phase [4] 2/23 3/2	130/12 130/13 131/3	59/12 68/8 85/11	16/13 18/4 42/3 43/16	
3/4 3/8	133/21 133/25 135/23		43/17 46/7 47/8 48/6	pressure [5] 34/22 47/10 58/7 95/20
Phase 3 [2] 2/23 3/8	135/24 136/8 138/5 138/10 141/16 142/20	POL00029084 [1] 66/4	52/20 52/23 53/15 54/11 65/13 69/3 72/5	
Phase 4 [1] 3/2	143/25 144/13 144/14		76/15 78/3 81/22	presumably [6]
Philippa [1] 170/8	147/5 147/10 149/16	138/6	89/13 90/13 91/24	81/16 83/20 90/23
phone [12] 20/19	153/12 153/18 173/7	POL00029718 [1]	92/1 97/21 103/6	138/2 172/15 177/15
21/7 21/8 21/16 21/17	planty [1] 161/14	100/5	107/6 107/19 108/23	presume [2] 52/25
22/10 22/20 23/2 25/9	plural [1] 99/2	POL00029719 [1]	115/18 118/21 128/18	
25/14 137/22 154/3	Plus [1] 174/3	141/17	130/10 132/8 134/15	pretty [5] 55/10 58/18
phrase [1] 131/21	pm [10] 96/10 96/12	POL00039029 [1]	134/19 134/25 139/4	67/15 124/7 173/13
physically [4] 113/7 125/10 125/23 126/1	96/21 96/23 133/6	27/13	140/13 141/9 142/10	prevent [1] 116/14
pick [4] 4/18 4/21	133/9 133/11 138/25	POL00045457 [1]	144/19 146/2 148/24	previous [10] 29/23
48/22 174/4	155/9 181/6	164/1	149/5 149/7 149/9	30/3 53/4 56/14 82/16
picked [5] 10/3	PM's [1] 138/24	POL00055100 [1]	149/17 150/6 150/20	83/2 83/3 84/25
104/20 154/25 167/24	PO [1] 168/6	77/16	151/20 151/25 152/25	
168/19	point [50] 13/17	POL00055225 [1]	159/21 165/9 167/17	previously [5] 53/10
picking [1] 17/11	15/19 19/22 20/21	81/20	168/2 168/9 169/22	68/8 104/10 107/4
picture [1] 109/3	26/1 26/25 30/7 30/20 31/5 32/11 33/15	POL00055410 [3] 87/15 97/14 135/24	170/16 171/4 172/2 173/11 173/14	179/14
	51/552/1153/15	07/10 97/14 100/24	173/11 173/14	price [1] 91/6
				(66) neonle - price

Р	145/5 147/15 148/1	programming [1]	47/13 108/2 168/8	R
primarily [2] 20/21	148/22 150/23 151/21	127/16	168/11	raise [11] 25/18
21/1	155/12 156/19 160/3	progress [2] 82/11	purposes [1] 45/11	25/19 38/12 38/12
primary [1] 31/19	160/4 161/14 161/19	111/24	pursue [4] 69/19	38/19 38/24 71/20
principal [3] 11/8	163/8 177/14 177/19 180/3	progressed [1] 89/25		72/3 101/25 155/16
121/2 121/10	procedure [2] 38/10	project [3] 15/12 15/15 17/2	pursued [1] 144/19	156/13
principle [2] 94/3	179/8	promise [2] 51/11	pushing [1] 36/23 put [28] 18/11 18/14	raised [14] 34/8 35/6
148/4	procedures [4]	53/18	18/15 29/11 35/20	78/25 87/20 111/4
print [1] 122/2		promotion [1] 160/24		115/4 121/3 121/12
printed [2] 87/11	180/10	promotional [1] 13/7	54/16 55/7 63/1 67/3	130/24 140/6 141/14
122/3	proceed [4] 73/18	prompt [3] 36/13	71/20 72/13 75/7	157/20 177/10 179/4
prior [4] 53/23 116/15 165/13 165/16	74/4 107/7 148/10	61/13 62/17	84/18 84/20 114/16	raising [6] 34/15 68/17 92/8 123/3
priority [1] 30/24	proceedings [4] 4/19	promptly [1] 74/19	122/21 124/23 125/6	155/7 168/7
proactive [2] 162/6	76/10 77/3 97/11	proof [1] 171/17	125/10 129/8 136/25	ramming [1] 47/18
162/12	process [48] 19/18	proper [10] 33/24	151/9 168/1 175/9	ran [1] 140/13
probably [41] 6/5 8/2	22/8 30/14 31/8 32/12		putting [10] 32/14	rang [1] 25/21
22/16 23/16 26/25	34/16 35/16 36/17   40/3 40/9 40/11 40/15	52/14 71/23 103/10	50/4 70/6 70/13 125/3 125/16 144/22 154/24	range [2] 23/9 25/11
27/2 27/5 29/12 31/1	40/3 40/9 40/11 40/15	127/7 144/22 180/9 properly [11] 47/25	125/16 144/22 154/24	ranging [1] 60/12
31/3 34/21 66/21	55/21 57/25 58/3 61/3			rate [1] 174/14
66/23 67/1 67/11	63/17 70/11 76/12	113/9 144/10 146/22	Q	rather [20] 7/9 7/20
68/25 69/11 74/6 76/4	81/16 113/10 116/6	149/1 175/11 176/17	qualifications [1]	23/6 27/3 46/16 58/5
80/22 84/16 86/16	131/7 132/2 132/4	180/2	3/15	58/21 71/18 73/8 81/9
89/20 92/12 92/20 93/22 94/1 102/15	148/25 149/21 150/16	Proposal [1] 89/21	qualified [3] 162/17	95/21 108/2 111/2 118/8 121/2 121/11
123/8 123/19 126/22	151/23 152/21 152/21		166/13 166/15	123/2 135/14 137/22
127/22 128/11 135/22	159/8 159/14 164/3	99/5 136/7 136/18	queries [4] 19/19	175/18
140/11 141/12 142/20	164/22 165/1 165/11	136/24 137/16 143/8	66/25 103/13 155/22	rationale [1] 43/5
157/1 162/10 166/16	165/13 165/15 171/13		<b>query [2]</b> 106/7 156/12	re [6] 31/15 130/25
168/18	174/15 177/21 178/9 178/16 178/22	prosecuted [3] 76/23 76/25 137/7	question [17] 38/3	139/1 141/24 147/13
problem [80] 6/25	processes [9] 28/22	prosecution [9] 2/25	52/9 62/13 68/5 68/6	149/19
7/5 7/6 7/7 7/13 7/13	28/25 29/7 49/13 93/8		68/14 68/17 73/11	re-occurrence [1]
7/16 7/17 7/18 7/19	150/1 150/25 151/5	87/5 87/19 88/24 99/7	75/10 80/1 85/1	139/1
7/20 9/21 10/5 10/18 10/22 11/6 11/22	151/12	prosecutions [4]	106/10 116/25 117/6	re-track [1] 31/15
12/23 20/5 21/4 42/25	processor [1] 154/6	22/17 97/10 99/10	117/8 117/8 174/15	reached [1] 75/5 react [4] 65/8 65/14
43/16 55/12 65/6	produce [7] 80/18	99/13	Questioned [6] 1/7	68/12 69/15
65/12 65/16 65/17	89/10 118/16 121/20	prospect [1] 79/25	133/14 153/11 182/3	reaction [1] 172/11
65/23 65/25 66/17	122/1 122/12 122/18	protection [1] 84/6	182/4 182/5	read [10] 53/5 93/4
68/7 68/15 70/14	produced [12] 16/20		questioning [2] 68/21 180/17	97/24 111/11 111/17
71/10 74/12 94/17	74/20 83/12 83/22	protesting [1] 132/16 protocol [1] 118/2	questions [33] 1/9	116/8 138/18 153/18
101/25 102/1 102/4	83/24 99/11 99/14	protocols [1] 117/24	2/4 2/21 2/24 3/5 5/20	169/4 174/8
102/13 106/19 107/2	148/5	prove [9] 39/23 123/2	71/13 71/15 71/20	reading [4] 116/7
107/24 108/2 108/12	producing [4] 13/23	123/6 126/10 126/15	72/4 72/12 80/19	120/15 148/3 171/7
110/22 127/15 135/14 139/14 140/19 140/21	76/17 83/15 123/23	127/12 127/17 127/24		reads [5] 61/1 81/25 89/22 103/23 107/21
141/2 141/6 142/8	product [27] 13/6	132/9	97/6 109/24 130/7	real [2] 119/12
143/3 143/11 143/14			130/25 133/3 133/5	121/10
149/9 149/10 152/23			133/13 134/12 134/13 134/18 134/19 136/12	realies [4] 145/21
154/2 154/5 154/19	17/20 21/19 21/19	provide [6] 56/5 65/9		realising [1] 145/20
155/12 155/17 156/11	21/21 22/1 22/2 22/5 22/9 25/12 25/22 37/4	68/13 73/7 88/9 88/13 provided [11] 35/14	171/23 173/3 180/23	reality [1] 7/14
158/21 159/1 159/10	44/22 44/25 81/15	39/3 39/6 39/13 39/14		really [26] 4/20 8/23
159/15 159/21 160/6	110/2/ 161/16 161/18		quid [2] 67/25 67/25	
160/13 161/14 161/15	162/7	105/21 123/21	quite [24] 9/13 22/5	14/24 40/2 43/25
163/16 163/17 163/18 176/5 179/5	products [13] 20/9	providing [2] 95/22	23/23 33/14 35/20	73/14 86/2 92/10 95/14 103/5 110/11
problematic [3]	21/23 21/24 22/4 22/4	130/6	43/4 45/5 53/8 69/11	122/17 149/3 156/16
12/10 15/22 102/23	22/18 44/9 48/10	provision [2] 1/13	107/15 110/14 128/23	159/21 160/1 161/22
problems [29] 9/17	48/16 48/17 48/18	74/18	139/10 148/25 157/9	162/17 165/14 175/13
15/24 19/21 25/25	49/1 178/5	<b>pull [4]</b> 5/14 46/16	158/18 162/4 163/4 163/24 165/2 167/12	175/15 178/22
44/9 52/11 78/18	professional [1] 3/15 profile [1] 168/18	112/9 161/12 pulled [3] 29/12 31/8	171/14 171/24 175/1	realms [1] 166/10
80/24 113/14 138/25	Programme [3]	130/18	quote [1] 167/25	reams [1] 55/23
139/2 143/2 143/9	52/25 53/6 53/7	purpose [5] 13/3	quoting [1] 124/9	reappears [2] 122/5
				(67) primorily reconnected

R	recollection [4] 64/9	referring [5] 86/1	145/21 174/1 174/4	report [11] 6/8 8/4
reappears [1]	69/18 136/25 137/20	99/9 137/14 139/6	174/4	14/3 19/3 24/2 68/22
125/9	recollections [1] 141/15	177/8 <b>refers [1]</b> 7/2	remain [1] 114/9 remaining [2] 72/20	71/10 146/24 156/21 161/19 172/17
reappraisal [1] 165/7	recommend [1] 29/4		163/12	report' [1] 179/11
reason [9] 39/20 71/23 72/2 93/13	recommendation [2]	131/20	remains [1] 93/10	reported [11] 7/13
108/9 109/23 115/11	89/24 94/16	reflecting [2] 34/20	remember [61] 5/9	7/16 24/14 24/19
135/16 164/20	Recommendations	49/7	5/17 8/8 10/20 11/25	43/18 68/6 68/15
reasonable [2] 88/19	[1] 49/13	reflection [3] 58/7 128/3 128/8	12/14 12/20 13/21 17/16 18/7 23/13	155/13 156/19 172/14 172/22
149/13	recommended [2] 31/17 93/4	reflective [1] 129/5	24/10 24/12 24/23	reporting [16] 3/23
reasonably [1] 80/4	reconciliation [2]	reflects [1] 91/1	29/24 30/1 33/16	13/18 13/20 13/22
reasons [7] 33/20 42/15 44/5 80/13	32/12 78/14	refund [2] 93/7 93/13	33/21 41/9 45/2 53/14	
82/25 83/10 84/10	record [9] 57/16 64/4	refuse [2] 80/2 83/4	62/6 64/16 64/23	25/11 27/7 28/7 28/23
recall [21] 45/24	65/23 82/18 85/18 86/9 130/8 138/18	refusing [1] 83/1	65/19 65/21 71/1 74/1 79/4 82/16 82/20 83/8	29/1 29/6 56/25 160/5
57/19 69/14 75/23	161/23	regard [3] 78/19 102/22 152/12	88/2 91/13 91/15	13/25 122/2 179/20
77/11 80/15 80/21	recorded [6] 61/23	regarding [4] 81/6	99/11 105/2 107/14	represent [5] 133/16
81/15 82/13 82/14 87/20 87/20 99/16	86/14 144/10 145/8	137/19 143/13 155/23		150/3 151/2 151/16
99/24 119/15 130/17	160/10 173/22	regards [3] 134/24	137/8 137/21 139/16	152/11
141/15 143/17 151/23	recording [2] 43/13 106/24	137/3 145/24 region [1] 169/16	143/16 149/3 151/8 153/23 157/20 158/24	representatives [1] 52/1
170/19 178/10			159/4 159/6 159/16	represents [1] 175/7
recalled [1] 3/5	54/22 54/23 54/25	regularisation [1]	160/6 167/16 169/17	reproduce [1] 66/17
recalls [2] 140/14 141/10	55/13 116/13 145/12	117/23	170/14 176/25 177/3	reproducible [1]
receipt [8] 34/13	163/17	regularly [1] 110/12	177/5 177/6	78/12
40/21 40/22 40/23	recover [7] 18/20 18/23 50/11 52/21	reinstated [1] 56/1 reintroduce [1] 71/11	remembering [1] 40/23	reputation [1] 122/21 request [6] 40/23
40/24 41/4 41/17	58/7 93/7 152/22	reissuing [1] 47/23	remind [3] 36/9	41/3 80/11 80/14
148/5	recover/refund [1]	reiterated [1] 152/2	36/13 134/1	80/17 105/19
receipts [34] 59/11 59/14 59/15 59/18	93/7	reject [1] 37/25	reminder [1] 57/23	requested [3] 82/3
60/24 61/14 62/1 62/3	recoverable [3]	rejected [4] 41/11	remittances [1]	104/3 107/6
63/7 64/15 65/4 67/16	147/18 147/20 148/10 recovered [1] 106/23		116/10 remmed [4] 111/22	requesting [1] 79/12 requests [5] 78/16
67/23 85/6 85/23	recoveries [2]	relate [3] 25/19 34/8	112/12 113/19 122/4	85/3 85/20 86/12
87/23 89/10 94/15 97/7 98/7 103/14	110/10 110/11	176/22	remming [2] 113/10	177/13
106/18 107/17 109/5	recovering [1] 50/21	related [6] 15/15 25/7	122/4	require [6] 4/10 6/16
109/15 122/6 141/21		129/16 134/19 169/10	remote [4] 109/21 110/1 116/18 117/7	8/14 13/8 14/10 26/3
143/2 160/14 171/24	18/1 18/4 18/9 18/20 18/22 28/7 28/23 29/1	179/11 relates [1] 28/11	remotely [3] 4/19	required [6] 11/20 26/19 31/7 73/3 93/12
173/12 179/23 180/1	29/6 38/15 47/3 47/6	relating [3] 101/22	116/6 174/9	96/1
180/6 receipts/payments	47/8 50/10 50/20 54/7		remove [2] 57/23	requirement [2]
[3] 59/11 59/14	54/16 55/25 57/25	relation [14] 3/2	57/25	33/12 37/16
59/15	58/4 77/4 77/4 89/13 93/13 131/7 132/2	11/18 41/4 98/2 98/14 101/8 136/9 137/4	removed [4] 53/1 58/4 142/9 178/2	requirements [1] 44/8
ReceiptsPayments	132/4 148/8 148/10	137/14 139/9 139/19	remuneration [3]	research [1] 118/10
[2] 98/5 136/10	148/14 148/25 168/4	145/23 146/20 177/8	54/6 55/20 107/20	resistance [1] 95/18
receive [1] 34/22 received [8] 79/8	178/6	relations [4] 129/22	reoccur [1] 155/12	resolution [3] 55/15
86/12 106/1 113/4	recovery/refund [1]	155/6 155/24 163/15	reopening [1] 104/4	107/13 165/12
144/12 154/12 170/7	93/13 recreate [1] 66/19	relationship [3] 18/5 23/24 104/2	reorganisation [3] 12/23 27/9 165/19	resolve [10] 94/10 95/12 104/12 108/13
179/8	red [1] 55/6	relationships [1]	repay [2] 109/11	135/14 149/3 155/25
receiving [1] 177/13	refer [3] 84/25 111/2	21/23	149/12	157/3 160/8 162/7
recent [5] 104/6 131/8 132/1 132/3	151/10	relatively [1] 16/11	repeat [2] 38/21	resolved [7] 37/18
164/12	reference [17] 44/19	release [1] 78/17	170/6	53/23 106/21 107/8
recently [2] 131/3	85/10 87/15 97/12 98/20 98/24 120/2	relevant [12] 3/16 7/19 19/22 19/25	repeated [1] 117/1 repercussions [1]	108/6 157/5 161/21 resolving [12] 7/20
145/17	120/10 131/11 133/20		99/6	11/6 16/4 42/14 42/17
recognise [3] 53/8 57/5 57/17	138/15 144/3 145/2	76/22 77/2 88/22	repetition [1] 116/23	42/19 44/7 45/1
recognised [2]	153/16 155/22 162/23		replies [1] 130/15	108/21 160/3 160/4
165/10 165/14	170/4	relied [2] 70/7 176/14		176/9
recognition [1]	references [1] 81/25 referred [4] 134/2	relief [1] 148/13 rem [8] 112/20	66/11 79/21 80/9 80/23 81/8 104/20	resource [2] 45/6 58/16
174/13	140/25 142/2 156/4	112/22 113/13 145/18		respect [3] 41/17
1		1	l	1

R	rigorous [1] 116/14	routine [1] 163/1	40/10 47/12 49/11	154/6 168/1
	ring [9] 23/1 70/22	routinely [2] 63/19	49/17 50/2 52/4 52/23	
respect [2] 79/13	91/7 144/16 144/24	117/18	56/4 56/20 57/1 57/12	scripts [1] 178/6
119/4	169/11 171/7 178/20	routines [1] 42/9	58/9 60/17 61/14	scroll [17] 27/20
respond [4] 78/20	178/21	Royal [5] 3/11 3/21	62/11 62/13 62/23	49/16 66/8 66/11
116/3 124/16 129/9	rings [3] 77/15	129/22 139/3 166/17	62/25 63/4 63/16 75/2	
responded [3] 54/17	143/21 167/2	Rudd [1] 155/6	76/4 79/22 84/18	98/3 100/6 100/13
56/1 129/10	risk [8] 12/24 12/25	rules [2] 152/8	84/25 86/16 88/19	104/17 114/8 129/19
responding [1]	34/25 90/9 92/3 93/15		90/22 91/7 94/22	136/8 138/7 150/9
165/23	134/10 162/24	run [1] 66/25	95/13 103/5 106/14	seared [1] 116/9
response [16] 7/8	risks [2] 35/22 35/25		107/22 108/12 112/15	second [24] 2/5 31/5
65/13 69/14 101/1 105/20 105/25 116/2		running [4] 9/4 17/5	116/4 116/17 116/23	37/20 57/23 58/12
116/23 131/16 131/16	110/23 111/7 130/10	159/23 165/9	118/20 118/25 119/2	58/13 60/1 68/5 68/10
132/7 155/4 156/15	134/19 135/7 135/10	rural [2] 110/24	119/3 119/15 120/16	70/2 85/11 93/17
174/25 175/1 179/16	Road [1] 149/17	152/16	121/13 122/7 123/6	109/22 120/17 120/18
responsibilities [1]	Rob [1] 97/19	S	123/10 124/2 126/5	136/4 140/9 142/11
54/1	robust [8] 35/15 40/2		126/13 128/13 131/22	142/14 142/20 142/21
responsibility [2]	40/11 40/13 40/13	sad [1] 23/19	131/25 133/1 135/9	144/13 173/13 179/24
73/20 75/24	73/19 130/7 131/13	safeguards [1]	139/25 146/5 147/17	Second Sight [2]
responsible [6] 76/8	robustly [2] 40/18	144/23	148/12 149/15 150/24	
102/12 110/7 110/10	40/19	said [39] 8/17 9/9	150/24 156/21 156/22	
137/25 179/22	Rod [15] 24/16 47/11	9/11 9/20 18/2 21/4	161/10 161/20 162/6	173/13
restart [2] 131/6	79/24 80/10 81/1 82/8		162/21 165/7 165/19	secondly [2] 51/1
132/2	82/10 83/8 84/10	30/21 37/22 41/13	172/3 172/6 173/19	178/3
restarting [1] 132/4	84/19 141/18 142/1	55/8 56/8 75/12 84/17 86/6 86/21 94/4 94/5	175/3 179/17	security [14] 21/19
restore [1] 96/19	142/5 142/7 166/5	94/14 94/18 107/16	saying [58] 30/22	21/20 22/11 22/12
result [5] 65/4 78/8	Rod Ismay [1]	122/22 123/20 128/9	32/11 32/16 32/17	22/13 22/15 22/18
113/16 117/6 138/2	141/18	130/3 136/16 140/9	34/3 35/2 35/7 39/5	25/12 69/11 76/5
resumed [1] 171/1	Rod's [1] 85/1	150/13 157/2 158/6	40/2 52/19 56/12 58/3 58/19 62/16 67/20	97/18 117/9 132/10 176/25
retail [4] 43/15 43/22	role [62] 3/20 3/23 3/24 4/1 4/9 4/10 4/13	161/18 165/11 165/21	72/8 86/9 93/25	see [92] 1/3 4/18
130/1 174/5	5/1 6/16 6/20 8/13	174/19 176/8 176/10	102/18 107/9 112/4	27/14 27/25 28/5
return [1] 37/17	8/13 8/18 9/16 10/10	salary [1] 175/8	112/12 113/18 114/13	28/20 32/22 35/10
returned [1] 144/9	10/11 10/12 11/8 12/8		114/16 114/20 115/19	35/11 37/19 41/25
reveal [2] 74/3 80/24	12/22 13/5 13/8 13/12		118/18 119/1 121/22	42/8 43/8 54/25 56/14
revealed [1] 72/3	13/16 14/10 14/14	Sally [1] 153/20	122/19 123/2 123/21	57/5 59/7 59/14 59/17
review [14] 27/12	15/19 19/16 19/18	Sam [1] 133/15	124/5 124/9 124/15	59/20 60/1 60/11 62/7
28/7 28/14 28/21	20/2 20/3 20/4 23/4	same [23] 6/5 10/13	125/2 125/5 125/12	66/9 66/11 77/17 78/2
28/24 33/3 104/6	25/1 25/3 25/24 26/3	14/18 15/17 16/2	125/14 125/21 126/17	78/23 79/17 79/21
107/3 107/25 108/8 108/18 109/2 156/16	26/18 26/18 27/9	22/10 37/8 37/9 37/9	127/15 132/9 136/22	81/4 81/21 81/23
163/1	27/11 29/11 29/13	44/14 61/9 70/24	137/2 140/6 145/4	81/24 87/8 87/9 93/22
reviewed [1] 63/4	30/1 30/22 81/16	79/18 91/19 91/20	148/20 149/9 152/24	96/8 96/13 96/15
Richard [1] 117/15	110/6 123/10 128/8	107/17 113/1 113/2	153/2 153/24 163/25	96/16 97/1 97/4 97/16
rid [1] 50/4	140/18 141/6 159/25	116/9 130/19 145/25	172/22 175/24 176/6	97/17 97/19 98/3 99/3
right [57] 3/13 16/6	160/17 160/20 160/22		176/7	99/4 100/9 100/15
19/13 24/19 27/23	162/15 162/19 165/16		says [21] 21/22 36/1	
28/2 28/11 29/8 33/10	165/18 165/24 166/6	158/22	39/13 52/22 78/6	104/16 105/6 106/8
35/2 41/11 41/23 42/6	166/16	satisfied [2] 117/9	97/25 100/13 101/3	109/8 109/16 110/2
44/12 47/5 47/5 52/23	roll [4] 32/7 61/9 63/3		101/11 110/18 134/6	110/16 112/16 120/6
53/13 55/5 57/3 57/3	117/16	satisfy [1] 126/6 save [2] 29/18 47/21	141/23 142/5 164/3	120/21 129/4 129/20
59/1 65/19 66/1 67/1	rolled [4] 114/2 124/20 125/25 142/22		164/11 170/5 170/23 175/2 177/9 177/12	135/17 138/11 138/14 141/19 141/23 142/4
69/2 69/10 72/14 74/6	rolling [2] 62/23	saw [8] 27/9 43/6	178/13	141/19 141/23 142/4
90/17 91/1 92/9	109/18	44/9 49/8 75/25 98/25	scandal [1] 3/3	146/25 147/4 147/6
103/25 105/1 105/8	rollover [3] 33/14	99/18 110/11	scary [2] 67/12	149/22 150/9 154/22
110/9 113/6 135/11	53/16 63/3	say [106] 3/18 4/21	173/22	155/5 156/18 168/20
140/3 144/7 146/12	Romec [2] 138/22	5/5 6/24 9/8 10/14	scenario [3] 66/19	169/22 169/23 170/4
152/20 156/11 161/12	139/3	10/17 12/3 14/16	91/4 91/8	170/22 171/21 173/25
165/6 165/13 167/8	room [1] 49/4	14/19 15/14 17/24	scene [1] 169/9	177/10 178/11
167/15 172/8 172/23	rooto [1] 05/8	18/4 18/12 19/17	schedule [1] 160/5	seeing [6] 27/7
175/15 175/17 175/18	round [3] 23/23 89/9	20/21 22/21 24/4	scope [1] 66/22	109/17 112/4 112/7
175/19 176/24 180/12 181/4	119/24	24/13 30/8 34/19 35/1	Scott [1] 103/20	112/11 136/15
right-hand [1] 19/13	route [3] 80/6 146/25	35/4 35/23 36/6 36/9	screen [6] 19/13	seek [8] 18/23 46/5
	150/23	36/13 36/23 37/22	23/25 139/7 139/22	48/2 48/5 49/8 56/20
	<u> </u>			(69) respect - seek

S	sentence [7] 26/13	30/1 30/2 30/5 77/25	signature [7] 1/22	so [254]
seek [2] 132/13	48/1 49/7 72/25 116/8		2/8 2/14 78/2 97/18	Society [1] 149/16
178/15	120/18 123/14	144/20 145/6 145/12	105/6 129/20	software [4] 137/5
seeking [1] 80/10	sentences [1] 116/7	155/9 155/13 159/6	signed [2] 2/6 146/11	
seeks [1] 164/2	sentiments [1] 72/25		significant [8] 31/13	sold [1] 43/15
seem [8] 60/10 75/21	separate [4] 21/21 41/6 50/8 107/18	165/12 Shala [2] 82/22	90/9 103/7 106/24 108/23 134/11 135/5	solicitor [4] 77/25 83/18 87/4 87/19
81/17 101/21 102/21	September [16]	She's [2] 83/23 100/11	175/7	solicitors [3] 79/9
122/15 153/21 162/4	60/15 60/18 66/2	shoppers [1] 5/19	significantly [2] 32/2	82/3 85/3
Seema [8] 77/14	66/10 67/6 70/18	short [9] 38/19 56/3	123/11	solution [18] 89/25
77/19 79/7 81/23	77/12 81/21 85/7 86/4		signing [2] 110/10	90/2 90/2 90/12 90/15
86/18 86/21 87/5 87/19	98/9 98/10 103/1	125/7 133/10 134/17	150/10	91/21 92/10 93/4 93/4
Seema Misra [5]	130/3 147/15 148/22	154/8	signposting [1] 7/22	93/24 98/21 99/5
77/14 77/19 86/21	September 2010 [3]	shortage [7] 56/13	signs [1] 155/23	133/25 134/1 134/2
87/5 87/19	60/15 66/10 67/6	56/17 114/5 114/6	similar [7] 10/7 12/2	134/14 136/7 137/16
Seema Misra's [1]	September/October	125/9 126/2 145/18	75/10 143/17 163/4	solution/s [4] 98/21
86/18	[3] 66/2 77/12 85/7 September/October	shortages [2] 113/25 114/2	168/7 170/7 Simpkins [1] 142/17	99/5 136/7 137/16 solutions [10] 89/23
seemed [7] 9/18 12/4	<b>2010 [1]</b> 103/1	shortfall [2] 144/18	Simplistically [1]	90/1 92/19 92/23
12/6 31/21 75/22	series [3] 60/8 98/2	179/22	80/2	133/23 136/18 136/24
95/12 161/22	98/14	shortly [3] 44/21	simply [4] 35/15	137/1 137/3 143/8
seemingly [4] 34/8 74/18 121/1 178/7	serious [14] 17/15	110/6 142/12	39/19 98/17 114/21	some [52] 3/5 8/21
seems [12] 13/5 34/8	83/22 115/3 115/6	should [43] 1/15 7/14		9/8 23/22 27/17 27/23
41/11 76/11 91/12	115/11 115/13 115/15		76/7 97/16 97/17 99/9	29/23 31/1 49/6 49/20
101/13 105/18 139/10	115/18 115/22 115/24		136/21 172/4 172/7	50/20 52/11 55/22
141/5 174/6 176/22	118/15 120/20 120/23			58/10 60/4 64/3 76/16
180/7	122/11 seriously [4] 75/6	71/7 73/12 76/7 76/12 76/15 88/1 89/25 91/2		77/23 81/9 93/20 95/3 100/11 100/16 102/5
seen [16] 7/1 27/17	92/13 103/4 103/6	93/11 94/4 94/17	sincerely [1] 150/11	104/10 106/6 107/5
60/18 60/19 65/7	seriousness [3]	95/14 111/1 118/16	Singh [7] 78/1 78/2	108/9 108/25 109/1
70/20 82/6 86/3 89/16 89/17 99/22 103/1	17/14 102/25 121/1	120/15 121/20 122/12		110/15 111/5 113/12
134/20 138/23 148/9	serves [1] 62/8	123/15 125/22 131/9	87/22	113/19 117/4 117/15
171/16	service [9] 7/22	131/10 143/10 145/11	single [5] 19/21	125/15 127/16 128/12
selected [2] 2/4	103/19 103/19 104/2	148/6 148/11 150/21	20/21 24/12 26/1	130/20 132/7 139/22
26/22	104/3 104/6 104/21	160/10 165/19 165/24 174/8		142/19 147/18 166/6
self [2] 40/25 79/10	105/4 153/21 serving [1] 154/25	shouldn't [5] 35/5	sir [20] 1/3 59/7 96/4 96/9 96/13 96/14	166/22 166/24 171/23 175/12 175/22 177/2
self-explanatory [1]	session [1] 61/9	39/12 90/25 108/20	96/15 96/16 97/1	180/24
79/10	sessions [1] 178/3	132/2	133/8 133/12 153/8	somebody [10] 9/16
sell [2] 17/3 174/5	set [6] 7/15 23/5 37/4		153/12 169/7 173/1	47/11 95/22 105/1
send [8] 46/19 56/22 56/22 94/2 130/18	40/14 107/5 164/21	63/11 63/14 90/4	173/4 180/15 180/17	113/4 135/15 137/25
142/12 168/5 168/11	sets [5] 101/7 155/22	112/10 122/7 134/4	181/1 181/5	156/9 159/9 171/10
sending [1] 58/15	173/21 175/25 176/7	135/11 147/10	sit [2] 23/22 75/2	someone [5] 23/13
sends [1] 50/6	setting [3] 42/10	showed [6] 17/8 43/2		68/17 83/21 116/24
senior [22] 6/6 6/9	169/9 171/15 settle [16] 18/12	64/10 114/5 130/21 141/3	sits [1] 67/24 sitting [1] 113/21	179/22 Somerside [1]
8/5 24/3 24/5 24/6	18/16 30/6 49/18	showing [6] 61/2	situation [6] 10/8	103/21
24/8 24/13 68/25 69/7	49/21 49/23 50/2 50/7	62/10 87/10 108/17	13/1 38/11 44/1	something [36]
78/3 94/14 95/14	50/15 51/1 51/14 52/2		135/19 142/11	15/11 15/12 18/19
95/16 95/23 95/25 97/19 161/6 161/7	52/12 52/16 52/19	shown [8] 55/3 62/16		23/14 38/18 46/16
166/10 172/3 172/12	52/20	64/8 64/11 80/15	Skinner [1] 165/11	46/17 56/19 57/12
seniors [1] 170/17	settled [2] 142/24	98/18 114/6 135/25	skip [2] 40/21 46/1	59/10 62/6 64/22 66/6
sense [3] 35/9	152/6	shows [4] 63/24	slandering [1]	74/12 75/1 75/8 80/4
178/12 178/21	settlement [1] 144/20	87/16 142/24 169/25 shut [1] 43/21	122/15 slide [3] 27/19 27/22	101/16 101/22 106/11 118/6 119/11 127/8
sensible [7] 60/10	settling [3] 152/5	shutters [1] 82/19	30/21	131/13 135/10 141/12
75/21 75/22 76/11	164/3 164/22	side [10] 19/13 43/15	slides [2] 164/16	146/7 156/2 158/14
76/13 146/13 165/5	setup [1] 161/15	43/16 43/17 43/22	164/20	159/12 161/23 164/5
sensitive [1] 169/3 sent [15] 58/11 67/6	Seven [1] 6/13	49/4 75/24 116/25	slightly [2] 4/17	164/6 168/14 177/23
70/21 70/23 71/2	several [1] 154/13	162/13 174/5	138/9	178/10
77/18 79/3 87/4 87/16	shall [1] 165/7	Sight [2] 140/9	slowly [1] 24/4	soon [1] 70/15
104/16 106/6 141/19	shared [1] 102/9	179/24 Sight's [1] 173/13	smacks [1] 145/22	sooner [4] 58/5
143/5 179/14 179/16	sharing [1] 104/11 she [19] 8/7 24/6	Sight's [1] 173/13 sign [1] 178/9	Smith [1] 129/24 smooth [1] 22/7	58/21 66/24 68/7 soonest [1] 94/4

S	131/15 178/14	145/14	subsequently [1]	118/10 118/10 143/22
sorry [39] 2/10 4/22	start [20] 3/10 33/17	strategic [2] 95/13	50/9	152/23 155/24 160/3
10/9 15/5 18/3 23/13	40/15 50/11 50/21	163/7	successive [1] 27/18	175/5 175/8 177/22
24/12 31/15 36/3 37/1	54/6 54/7 54/10 58/15	stress [2] 16/3 16/5	such [10] 5/25 73/16	surplus [2] 106/24
38/21 45/15 45/16	59/11 100/5 109/17	stretched [1] 9/22	83/10 127/16 138/2	173/24
45/24 53/5 64/24	123/5 123/6 140/7	strike [1] 26/9	141/22 146/19 150/6	surprised [3] 63/13
67/14 72/14 83/2 86/2	145/14 147/9 153/17	striking [1] 174/17	152/25 158/6	101/20 163/23
88/6 92/19 96/25	155/3 174/19	string [1] 87/9	suddenly [1] 145/14	Susan [1] 29/24
101/4 116/7 119/7	started [5] 3/18 3/22	strong [2] 11/17 85/1	suffer [1] 152/16	suspects [1] 111/20
119/8 119/24 124/16	56/1 87/6 140/9	structure [1] 7/17	sufficient [3] 54/21	suspended [6] 111/3
139/18 143/20 147/14	starting [5] 20/1	struggle [1] 81/3	55/9 55/18	114/9 115/13 127/20
148/21 149/9 149/20	66/21 120/18 145/1	struggled [1] 140/12	sufficiently [1] 156/2	127/23 128/25
150/15 151/24 152/10	165/16	struggling [3] 2/9	suggest [21] 42/2	suspense [3] 53/2
172/6	starts [1] 110/1	19/5 72/14	57/1 64/4 64/7 71/17	53/11 98/16
sort [12] 48/24	state [4] 121/4	Stubbs [2] 144/15	76/14 81/13 92/20	suspension [2]
149/11 156/15 164/21	125/25 138/22 155/10		93/24 101/24 102/16	110/20 167/11
166/3 166/9 167/24	stated [3] 82/16	stuff [2] 46/15 46/21	103/2 117/3 123/23	suspicious [1] 57/6
168/15 171/15 171/16	83/13 107/4	style [1] 170/7	125/10 131/9 132/1	swapped [1] 155/11
173/10 174/7	statement [28] 1/15	subject [4] 81/21	137/9 150/6 152/25	Swindon [2] 173/23
sorted [1] 96/17	1/24 2/2 2/5 2/12 2/17		176/13	174/4
sorting [1] 70/16	3/18 5/6 6/24 10/17	submit [1] 162/9	suggested [8] 55/2	symptoms [1] 101/15
sought [1] 83/1	14/19 15/3 17/24	subpostmaster [58]	94/12 104/7 104/23	sync [1] 61/22
sound [1] 127/1	19/11 23/25 24/13	18/21 23/6 32/9 33/10		system [75] 4/11
sounds [6] 29/10	25/24 54/19 62/9	34/4 38/17 38/22	179/13	4/15 5/3 6/18 6/22
76/13 113/12 113/12	62/14 63/3 64/9 114/3		suggesting [4] 27/10	8/15 8/16 8/20 9/7
149/13 165/5	116/17 124/20 141/1	43/8 50/12 50/24 51/8		10/25 11/15 12/10
space [2] 13/2 44/19	162/22 179/13	52/18 53/3 53/11	suggestion [9] 35/17	13/10 13/14 14/11
space/access [1]	statements [7] 1/14	53/19 54/13 54/15	39/21 74/1 74/3 91/13	14/15 16/19 17/17
44/19	34/14 62/5 62/22	55/2 56/4 56/6 56/8	92/14 92/21 92/22	26/4 26/7 26/12 27/1
speaking [8] 28/1	63/14 63/19 125/18	58/5 73/20 81/12 88/2		33/5 33/9 34/1 45/16
30/20 36/1 36/3 45/22	states [3] 130/6	89/4 89/7 93/2 108/3	suggests [10] 5/11	45/17 45/18 45/19
139/16 140/14 141/10	131/12 146/4	108/4 109/11 111/3	7/1 44/11 63/10 65/23	
specific [2] 145/3	status [1] 148/8	111/6 111/13 115/6	113/20 117/4 123/8	71/13 71/16 71/21
146/6	Stein [6] 133/12	118/6 118/9 119/19	123/16 145/6	71/25 72/4 72/23 73/3
specifically [2] 51/23	133/14 133/15 153/9		suited [1] 166/17	73/10 73/19 74/4
156/3	156/8 182/4			78/11 78/16 79/13
specificity [1] 16/18	step [1] 58/3		summarised [1]	80/25 85/15 89/4
specified [1] 80/21	steps [1] 61/3			89/18 89/18 90/11
SPM's [1] 107/20	Steve [5] 103/20	126/20 127/23 130/2	summary [5] 31/18	92/5 99/8 111/24 112/6 112/25 115/10
<b>SPMR [3]</b> 175/4	106/3 106/15 142/16	146/3	111/17 111/17 170/23	
175/6 176/15	142/16	subpostmaster's [8]	171/2	115/19 115/25 116/11
SPMs [1] 168/6	stick [2] 85/10 96/1	54/18 54/19 56/2	summation [3] 76/13	117/19 120/25 121/22 125/14 125/25 130/7
spoke [3] 42/11 52/1	still [21] 9/15 31/17	108/10 114/9 115/19	82/22 89/20	
110/20	46/22 54/10 61/4 96/14 106/22 109/2	126/24 139/21 subpostmasters [50]	summer [1] 173/11 summing [1] 90/15	134/13 138/20 144/11 149/7 154/21 154/23
spoken [4] 20/17	113/21 126/3 128/23	3/1 18/24 22/23 30/5	supervisor [1] 5/25	168/5 178/5 178/8
81/18 82/9 156/9	132/16 138/25 139/2	30/16 32/13 33/23	support [15] 21/1	system's [3] 71/19
spreadsheet [4]	166/9 166/12 166/22	34/9 38/7 41/1 41/6	26/10 35/14 38/16	72/12 114/20
56/23 100/22 107/8	177/13 180/2 180/3	41/14 41/16 47/9	39/4 39/11 74/2 110/4	
130/17	180/9	47/15 48/7 49/10	117/18 119/5 120/25	12/2 29/9 42/6 45/11
spreadsheets [1]	stock [8] 19/8 123/16			61/22 80/24 85/4
143/5	130/6 131/12 131/12	58/8 62/25 70/8 73/7	128/14	85/21 86/5 154/22
stack [3] 154/8	131/15 131/21 173/22		supported [4] 56/7	
154/24 155/11	Stoddart [3] 23/13	85/22 92/7 92/23 93/1		Т
stage [9] 8/25 118/14	23/14 23/15	94/7 94/9 103/9	supporting [2] 28/21	tab [1] 1/16
131/10 132/25 146/18	stop [2] 55/19 60/2	109/25 110/8 110/9	74/1	table [1] 60/25
146/21 157/14 166/9	stopping [3] 25/8	123/1 127/19 129/12	supports [1] 137/2	tabled [1] 71/3
166/11	111/5 112/1	133/16 137/7 143/19	supposed [1] 39/25	take [26] 26/14 34/4
stamp [3] 17/3 91/6	store [2] 54/21	151/20 152/19 165/8	suppressors [1]	37/14 55/1 58/23 63/1
147/19	116/13	166/21 171/13 171/17	138/24	70/20 76/12 96/4
stamps [2] 173/23	Stores [1] 130/10	subpostmasters' [1]	sure [22] 7/18 47/4	103/6 133/4 133/18
174/5	straight [4] 52/15	58/20	47/17 47/24 52/6 53/8	135/23 136/4 137/18
stand [1] 118/22	56/20 58/11 88/21	subpostmistress [2]	60/17 63/16 65/1 66/7	138/5 147/2 150/7
standard [3] 51/7	strange [2] 91/12	86/6 154/11	69/24 105/3 110/7	151/5 153/1 153/13
				(71) sorry - take

Т	157/1 157/10 157/17	135/14 137/22 175/18	73/18 77/3 80/23	102/19 107/10 108/1
take [5] 154/6	157/20 157/22 158/11	thank [41] 1/4 1/12	95/10 95/11 106/20	120/2 120/10 131/11
166/25 168/6 173/9	158/22 159/2 159/8	2/2 2/20 3/10 4/25	106/24 107/18 107/19	135/13 142/19 143/14
175/8	159/14 159/15 160/23	19/11 23/25 24/1	111/2 112/17 112/23	147/6 152/21 155/4
taken [22] 55/3 60/15	160/25 161/9 161/10	27/21 34/6 42/1 58/23	113/17 116/25 117/20	156/18 158/7 161/6
65/8 65/14 68/11	161/16 161/18 161/19	59/8 59/9 59/12 64/13	123/21 145/11 151/10	163/21 170/3 172/25
68/21 69/15 72/2	161/21 161/25 162/24	96/9 96/20 96/24 97/5	156/19 162/3 162/10	176/8 178/3
101/4 103/1 103/3	163/13 163/14 163/15	100/3 106/2 133/2	165/10 165/10 170/14	therefore [12] 2/3
108/9 112/5 133/22	163/19 163/21 165/21	133/8 133/12 133/25	170/20 171/19 174/2	13/12 26/3 39/7 55/19
137/1 137/24 155/8	167/7 167/12 168/14	135/24 144/14 145/3	174/5 176/13 177/19	60/20 61/15 71/24
158/15 158/17 162/24	170/25 171/3 176/25	153/7 153/8 153/9	177/23	82/10 125/17 126/23
172/21 177/9	teams [21] 13/24	155/5 172/21 173/7	themselves [3] 7/20	142/4
takes [2] 58/25 148/8	19/22 19/25 20/8	180/15 180/19 180/25	24/14 162/11	these [38] 19/8 30/25
taking [6] 54/7 58/20	20/20 21/21 42/13	181/1 181/5	then [138] 3/12 3/22	36/3 36/3 42/2 46/14
60/20 123/9 124/14		thanks [5] 1/12 142/1	3/23 4/4 6/4 6/5 11/11	46/20 48/24 59/13
144/22	45/5 46/15 47/17 48/9		15/10 16/1 18/20	60/8 74/17 78/19 85/3
talk [2] 22/3 40/8		that [1053]	19/25 20/15 21/4	95/7 98/16 104/12
talked [4] 16/10 30/3	162/6 163/4 165/20	that's [89] 2/6 2/8	21/18 22/21 25/20	107/16 109/24 111/20
46/11 172/18	165/22	3/14 7/23 11/8 16/15	27/23 29/3 29/4 30/8	113/25 114/2 116/9
talking [14] 11/5	technically [1] 9/13	18/2 18/6 26/17 27/2	34/25 37/14 37/16	118/13 118/18 120/18
19/16 25/11 27/6 45/9	technology [4] 9/13	27/22 28/2 29/10 31/3		122/7 124/3 124/10
54/22 91/8 125/19	9/15 9/22 10/4	32/16 32/25 33/1 34/1	42/13 44/2 45/20	126/10 126/13 131/9
125/20 130/2 139/20	telephone [1] 79/1	36/5 36/11 38/10 39/9		137/23 143/16 150/21
140/7 152/4 171/2	tell [10] 2/7 11/24	49/11 51/15 53/17	47/25 49/1 49/3 49/16	153/20 176/8 176/23
talks [1] 178/4	14/21 56/9 70/8 95/10	60/4 62/13 63/2 63/7	50/3 50/10 50/10	178/7
tally [1] 54/20	95/11 119/18 143/13	63/20 65/11 67/1 82/5		they [169] 19/9 20/10
tamper [1] 134/22	163/12	85/9 86/14 88/19	56/11 56/16 56/17	20/13 20/14 20/17
target [2] 60/11 89/2	telling [7] 71/24 94/6	89/20 90/18 92/7 92/7	57/12 57/23 60/3 60/4	21/3 21/5 21/6 21/21
tarring [1] 95/16	95/14 112/25 113/8	92/9 92/21 94/2 95/16	60/7 61/11 61/17 62/1	22/6 22/16 22/19 23/1
task [1] 164/21	118/8 118/9	95/17 96/8 96/17 96/18 103/23 103/23	63/3 64/1 65/12 68/5 69/5 70/15 71/8 77/24	23/21 24/9 25/4 25/5 25/8 25/14 25/17 28/1
Tatford [1] 79/6	tells [2] 111/23 170/22	104/17 104/25 107/10		31/8 31/9 31/19 32/22
TC [4] 40/21 40/22	ten [2] 173/17 174/2	107/21 112/25 113/6	79/21 81/8 83/11 86/1	32/23 32/23 33/2 34/4
51/20 174/14	tended [2] 91/19	113/8 115/20 115/21	90/1 91/9 91/21 91/25	34/19 34/20 34/21
team [138] 5/6 5/8	91/20	120/12 124/7 125/3	96/8 98/9 100/24	34/23 34/24 35/6 35/8
5/10 5/12 5/22 6/6	term [5] 80/22 90/22	125/12 126/22 130/21		35/13 37/8 37/8 37/9
6/10 6/12 6/16 6/25	95/6 119/20 140/11	131/1 133/7 136/13	104/15 105/5 105/11	37/19 38/11 38/13
7/2 7/5 7/6 7/7 7/13	tormod [1] 170/10	140/17 149/8 150/20	105/17 105/22 106/2	38/14 38/16 38/19
7/18 7/24 8/1 8/2 8/13	terminals [2] 144/21	153/2 154/20 158/14	106/13 109/6 112/16	38/24 39/3 40/6 40/6
8/21 9/21 10/5 10/5	144/22	162/20 164/5 169/7	114/6 114/8 120/24	40/8 40/8 41/10 41/17
10/18 10/19 10/22	terminate [1] 130/23	169/8 170/24 174/9	122/5 122/5 125/6	43/1 43/5 44/14 46/20
11/13 12/9 12/9 12/12	terminated [2] 111/7	175/25 176/2 176/3	125/23 125/24 128/21	48/13 48/15 48/19
12/13 12/18 12/21	115/16	176/4 176/11 177/9	130/21 131/5 131/20	48/20 49/18 50/13
12/23 12/24 12/24	termination [2] 111/8		133/5 134/6 136/12	50/15 51/4 51/9 51/10
12/25 13/18 13/20	120/22	their [36] 18/11 33/19		51/15 52/1 52/13
13/22 14/5 14/8 14/20	terminelegy [1]	34/18 38/16 41/7 41/7		52/14 52/15 54/2
14/22 14/25 15/4 15/9 16/10 16/17 17/25	91/12	41/9 43/5 43/22 44/13	142/19 142/19 144/4	54/21 55/8 55/8 55/10
18/4 18/9 18/10 18/20	terms [17] 5/23 7/18	44/16 45/14 48/18	145/1 146/5 147/2	55/12 56/23 56/25
18/22 19/1 20/2 20/6	15/12 15/19 16/22	51/10 52/1 53/20 54/1	148/12 149/16 149/25	58/11 58/13 58/14
20/7 20/8 20/16 20/25		54/8 54/9 55/11 63/19		58/14 61/15 61/20
21/9 21/22 22/15	48/17 81/5 88/17	75/4 84/2 89/1 92/5	155/3 155/22 160/2	62/7 62/17 62/20
24/24 27/7 30/3 30/4		117/19 127/20 140/10		63/11 63/17 64/1 64/5
37/8 40/7 41/23 42/22	113/14 157/4	143/12 145/15 153/5	165/6 165/16 167/15	64/5 65/9 67/24 68/12
43/12 44/9 45/9 48/12	Terry [1] 155/6	155/25 156/16 175/11		70/4 70/15 73/3 73/8
49/8 50/10 50/10	test [1] 75/4	176/17 179/7	173/7 174/11 178/2	74/12 74/18 74/20
50/21 54/7 58/16	than [31] 7/1 7/9 7/20		179/3	74/22 81/3 82/3 84/17
67/19 68/18 81/16		21/5 23/7 23/21 25/17		88/23 90/7 90/8 92/24
86/25 87/24 101/25	31/2 46/16 47/19	32/14 33/2 33/5 37/19		94/10 94/11 94/18
102/2 102/17 110/4	56/24 58/5 58/21	41/19 42/4 42/14	there's [38] 27/17	94/20 94/22 95/20
130/15 140/22 140/23	66/23 71/18 73/8	42/17 42/20 42/20	37/16 39/7 50/18	100/15 100/20 101/20
141/2 155/17 155/19	80/15 80/19 81/9	44/7 44/10 45/1 47/2	50/22 56/3 57/1 57/2	101/21 102/21 104/14
155/21 155/25 156/3	82/17 95/21 108/2	47/2 47/19 48/19	57/5 57/16 57/17	107/7 107/14 109/24
156/4 156/13 156/25	110/15 114/23 118/9	49/14 52/14 52/16	67/20 81/4 83/21 86/8	110/7 110/9 110/12
	121/2 121/11 123/2	57/4 69/16 69/25	87/14 90/16 91/4	111/2 112/19 117/12
				(72) take thev

Т	172/11 179/19	167/5 168/14 168/18	142/24 179/12	90/21 168/16
they [35] 123/2	though [14] 9/14	173/12 177/2 178/16	training [4] 8/25 10/4	
124/12 124/12 126/20	16/9 20/13 29/10	179/12	26/9 51/17	8/23 9/18 25/6 31/1
127/20 127/20 129/9	80/18 83/14 88/16	timely [4] 40/20	transaction [78]	46/16 46/18 47/17
130/2 134/8 134/8	89/12 93/13 95/4	46/16 69/23 109/17	20/14 28/6 28/22	52/20 56/6 140/18
138/22 138/24 142/23	119/24 137/19 174/25		28/25 29/6 30/9 30/12	162/12 165/22 174/21
145/21 151/8 151/13	177/4	154/13 156/18	30/17 31/6 31/12	175/9
153/21 154/9 155/1	thought [18] 26/11	timing [1] 43/25	31/22 32/1 32/6 32/13	
156/16 156/21 160/15	36/15 55/12 83/2	timings [1] 82/21	32/22 32/24 33/1	32/2 181/2 181/7
161/13 162/8 163/4	86/23 106/5 106/5	tip [1] 143/18	33/24 34/13 34/23 35/4 37/2 37/12 37/14	turn [12] 2/5 32/21 49/12 81/20 87/14
166/6 167/21 170/16	119/11 119/12 131/18 135/18 136/17 145/19		37/16 37/21 38/8	103/12 109/19 116/2
170/17 170/18 174/22	146/23 146/23 155/13		38/13 38/23 39/6	129/16 129/19 164/1
176/11 178/1 178/19	157/12 157/19	TM1 [1] 161/2	39/10 39/12 39/17	166/25
179/7	Thoughts [1] 174/16		39/23 40/5 40/7 40/22	
they'd [8] 25/5 25/6	thread [2] 103/13	2/25 3/16 79/1 82/9		Turning [1] 67/13
25/18 56/15 56/16	103/16	136/1 136/16 137/1	42/23 43/1 43/9 43/10	
58/14 62/8 156/17	threaten [2] 171/9	155/16	44/2 44/3 45/12 45/14	
they'll [2] 162/10	171/13	together [7] 5/14	46/5 46/19 46/25	34/12 37/6 37/12
162/11	threatened [2]	23/21 29/12 31/9	47/18 48/3 48/5 49/24	
they're [10] 47/4 47/5 56/9 107/16 123/21	169/15 171/20	111/17 130/18 163/4	50/13 50/15 50/18	61/18 72/19 85/18
165/22 173/4 175/20	three [20] 6/6 9/5	told [19] 30/5 49/2	52/13 55/2 57/1 57/2	86/3 97/24 98/4 101/8
176/10 178/8	24/8 40/22 50/22 51/4		57/3 57/17 78/14	102/3 102/20 103/13
they've [3] 32/24	51/9 61/24 72/20	118/3 118/4 118/6	116/6 123/18 123/20	104/4 106/19 107/10
39/13 159/20	78/25 89/23 107/10	124/22 125/5 125/23	123/22 140/22 145/10	107/12 108/5 108/14
thieves [1] 167/21	108/14 108/21 108/22		145/17 148/5 148/6	110/1 111/3 113/10
thing [24] 32/16	123/24 136/18 173/17	156/8 161/19 161/23	148/7 148/9 148/17	126/4 137/18 163/3
32/16 32/18 32/19	173/25 177/25		transaction's [1]	170/1 170/13 170/15
32/22 33/16 44/14	through [20] 11/4	too [3] 5/16 41/11	37/5	172/11 172/25 173/21
62/23 84/3 84/5 84/8	11/8 18/17 25/13 25/14 31/14 32/23	140/10 took [11] 3/3 4/9 4/13	transactional [1]	174/22 175/24 176/7 176/13 176/17 178/4
84/22 84/23 89/12	36/15 40/8 40/9 44/5	4/25 8/8 12/25 78/8	transactions [35]	178/7
91/5 146/21 154/23	46/8 47/8 49/24 51/12		37/6 44/20 56/18	type [5] 7/9 7/10
158/6 170/3 175/15	101/5 142/12 157/7	136/2	56/24 57/5 106/7	12/20 88/24 91/18
175/17 175/18 175/19	173/9 180/9	top [16] 6/7 27/22	106/11 138/16 138/23	
176/1	throw [1] 38/3	53/22 59/15 63/22	139/2 139/6 139/9	43/15 56/12 56/17
things [19] 5/25 15/20 16/6 17/5 30/25	throwing [1] 35/8	65/3 68/9 78/1 106/13	139/13 139/17 139/21	
31/1 47/20 52/8 67/20	Thursday [3] 33/19	106/13 110/16 131/5	140/2 140/5 140/8	
69/23 84/20 85/18	105/21 105/25	166/9 169/21 169/23	140/15 141/9 141/11	<u>U</u>
95/19 97/24 126/10	Thursdays [1] 32/3	180/4	141/13 147/18 152/22	
154/24 166/19 166/24	tie [1] 123/10	topic [3] 140/8	153/14 155/10 155/18	
178/7	tiers [1] 5/23	141/12 163/11	156/2 156/10 156/23	unable [1] 149/3
think [199]	ties [1] 156/8	topical [1] 167/7	157/18 157/22 158/7	unappealing [1] 174/22
thinking [8] 12/9	till [4] 37/15 125/11 125/23 126/1	totally [3] 43/6 84/2 174/22	158/13 163/19 transcript [3] 1/19	unclear [3] 30/14
45/24 67/9 91/18	time [69] 3/20 3/22	touch [2] 3/6 173/16	transcript [3] 1/19 87/15 111/16	164/13 178/15
95/21 127/9 140/17	7/17 9/1 9/4 9/5 11/1	towards [3] 21/5 42/4		uncomfortable [1]
163/7	11/10 11/12 11/22	62/22	trawl [1] 101/5	162/4
third [9] 49/14 49/17	12/4 12/6 12/6 19/20	<b>TP4 [1]</b> 142/22	treated [3] 52/7	under [17] 34/11
51/14 65/2 85/13	20/25 24/9 24/16	TP5 [1] 142/22	54/11 167/21	34/22 35/12 48/25
106/8 117/18 174/23 174/24		trace [1] 101/17	trial [5] 79/7 83/21	49/14 49/17 60/25
thirdly [2] 29/3 51/1	31/13 33/2 33/3 37/9	track [1] 31/15	86/19 87/6 126/7	61/17 62/18 72/19
this [334]	37/9 42/23 43/17	trading [39] 30/9	tried [2] 171/21	85/12 89/21 95/20
Thomas [1] 103/20	43/18 52/14 66/2 70/3		171/22	98/4 102/3 134/10
those [28] 3/6 15/1	71/2 83/14 97/21	32/5 32/8 32/12 33/7	trot [1] 49/3	143/4
25/13 25/16 27/20	101/21 105/3 106/25	33/11 33/13 33/18	true [6] 1/24 2/17	undermine [4] 76/19 89/18 128/7 128/17
61/24 68/20 73/6	107/15 109/13 112/19 113/1 113/2 119/9	33/19 49/25 50/3 50/14 50/20 53/16	88/20 123/2 123/6 175/24	undermines [1]
73/17 73/23 74/14	119/16 121/5 123/18	61/8 62/4 62/9 62/14	truly [1] 89/14	131/23
76/25 81/8 85/18	129/6 132/7 135/2	62/22 63/14 63/17	Trundell [4] 68/23	underneath [6] 27/18
89/16 93/1 99/13	141/11 143/4 148/8	63/19 64/8 90/7	75/9 172/4 172/6	28/8 35/10 44/18
106/21 122/5 133/2	152/2 154/25 157/8	109/10 114/3 114/22	truth [2] 5/15 36/12	57/24 60/25
137/3 151/12 164/16 170/7 170/12 172/2	157/23 161/4 162/8	114/23 124/19 124/20		understand [33] 3/7
	163/13 163/17 163/22		31/18 55/13 56/11	3/8 7/8 9/17 9/19
L				(73) they understand

U	79/17 83/7 84/18	45/12	177/22	161/19 174/12 174/23
	84/20 87/14 89/9	Valley [1] 154/17	Ward [17] 111/4	175/24
understand [28]	90/15 91/7 94/6 98/3	value [8] 30/17 37/6	111/6 114/13 118/20	we've [30] 15/7 17/21
32/24 43/7 55/13	101/24 103/3 104/15	58/1 61/7 62/10 90/5	119/3 120/14 129/17	27/10 31/24 39/5
57/15 64/13 72/15 75/11 78/14 78/17	104/20 105/22 106/2	134/5 145/7	129/23 130/10 130/16	39/24 40/9 48/22
81/3 84/2 86/2 90/20	107/5 108/17 112/9	Value/High [1] 30/17	131/2 132/9 132/12	48/23 52/11 72/8 82/5
103/7 107/7 112/2	114/23 114/25 115/5	values [7] 46/20	132/25 134/21 135/11	86/3 88/5 88/6 94/10
128/23 135/21 151/19	118/22 120/16 123/10		135/15	95/11 103/1 108/9
152/13 152/14 152/15	126/4 129/17 130/21	100/16 100/21 112/10	Ward's [3] 112/3	127/19 130/1 142/18
160/2 163/6 176/6	135/5 136/8 139/6	various [6] 98/22	130/23 135/18	149/6 153/24 156/22
176/23 177/17 179/18	151/15 152/1 153/18	133/23 137/17 137/20		165/21 171/16 171/24
understanding [30]	154/25 155/3 164/8	137/21 143/6	11/16 11/19	176/22 177/25
6/17 8/14 8/20 9/6	165/18 168/19 173/9	Varying [1] 42/9	warned [1] 94/17	wear [2] 49/19 52/9
9/17 10/16 13/9 14/11	173/25 174/4 174/25	vast [1] 74/10	Warwick [1] 79/6	website [1] 2/3
26/4 26/11 26/14	175/5 175/5 176/19	version [4] 85/10	was [548]	Wednesday [5] 31/23
26/15 26/23 30/25	177/25 179/1 180/2	98/5 98/6 136/10	was   [1] 13/1	32/3 32/21 34/5 179/7
39/22 51/18 51/19	update [3] 82/2 82/11 131/2	23/18 29/10 41/5 41/5	wasn't [42] 11/23 12/19 13/16 15/19	week [11] 98/15
51/21 51/22 51/24	updating [2] 102/13	48/18 55/22 58/23	15/25 16/9 16/13	98/23 99/3 137/17 154/3 154/9 154/10
51/25 55/11 95/25	102/15	59/9 59/12 62/13	16/23 26/18 32/2	154/15 154/15 154/15
102/9 102/12 110/22	upheld [1] 130/23	75/13 83/22 91/5 91/9		159/18
131/20 131/22 163/5	uploaded [1] 2/3	96/9 96/24 101/14	44/15 47/10 52/6	week's [1] 154/21
163/20	upon [5] 75/15 85/16	101/20 102/9 102/20	53/13 53/16 64/8 73/6	
understood [4] 53/19	86/9 147/20 176/14	102/21 106/10 109/14	73/23 75/1 80/11 81/8	
62/1 159/24 168/13	urgent [1] 79/11	114/10 115/3 115/21	89/16 95/1 100/2	177/3 177/7
undertaken [1] 75/5	URN [2] 1/19 2/16	122/8 123/9 128/9	101/14 105/4 117/23	weeks [2] 106/6
undertaking [1] 13/8 undertook [1] 27/11	us [37] 1/10 14/21	133/2 133/8 140/20	117/25 119/20 127/22	
unearthed [1] 142/18	15/3 24/10 35/15 38/6	149/10 150/3 151/2	132/17 149/1 149/14	well [65] 5/24 16/12
unexpected [1] 17/6	39/19 47/25 56/5	151/17 154/9 161/11	154/25 160/20 163/2	16/13 21/3 23/1 23/18
unexplained [3]	58/25 67/15 75/3	161/18 161/20 167/6	165/14 178/22	31/14 40/14 42/20
106/22 132/14 179/6	90/21 92/21 96/15	167/9 169/2 171/23	way [39] 7/15 11/25	47/19 48/19 48/22
unfair [2] 34/22	96/16 97/24 117/17	180/23 181/4	17/9 17/17 26/23 29/5	50/12 52/23 54/1
95/17	119/18 122/7 139/19	via [7] 93/7 104/11	29/9 36/11 48/12	54/14 54/23 56/2 58/2
unfortunately [2]	145/14 146/10 153/13		48/17 51/5 55/7 56/7	58/19 58/20 64/16
128/6 148/13	155/7 156/8 156/20	150/16 150/20		1
unhappiness [1]	159/5 159/9 161/12 161/20 162/25 163/20	view [22] 11/11 11/14 11/17 31/19 36/16	91/20 95/21 111/23	90/23 91/17 92/17 97/3 97/4 107/21
80/16	166/1 175/9 176/19	37/23 48/15 48/20	116/5 116/10 117/19	112/14 114/23 117/3
unit [1] 6/1	181/1	52/22 73/12 73/23	118/21 122/24 124/1	119/21 123/17 128/9
unless [5] 25/17	use [9] 20/20 26/14	81/2 84/2 84/19 85/1	129/8 135/17 150/21	135/5 137/25 142/11
126/20 127/12 127/13	38/16 73/4 90/22 95/6		151/7 156/11 159/21	146/17 149/4 152/21
132/9	131/20 149/8 169/4	150/7 153/1 153/4	160/8 160/10 171/21	156/2 157/1 159/11
Unlike [1] 93/9	used [11] 12/21	166/6	174/7	159/13 160/2 161/18
unlikely [1] 145/13	23/20 26/13 31/15	views [2] 34/20 146/1	ways [10] 5/11 28/21	162/8 162/9 165/6
unpick [1] 139/3	31/16 37/23 44/20	visibility [1] 178/18	28/24 29/14 29/21	165/16 167/12 169/19
unresolved [3] 107/1 107/23 108/2	115/20 119/21 131/22		48/10 73/23 89/16	170/18 172/9 173/13
unsure [2] 138/21	138/20	voice [2] 4/18 178/11	107/13 178/19	174/24 175/18 176/3
155/18	useful [3] 139/23	Volume [1] 30/17	we [284]	176/5 176/25 177/8
until [9] 14/24 37/17	175/12 175/22	W	we'd [7] 22/19 58/9	177/25
43/23 54/16 56/1	user [1] 26/16		109/7 109/11 123/20	well-known [1] 16/13
126/24 148/7 181/1	uses [1] 114/25	wages [2] 58/20	135/9 157/1	Welsh [1] 155/8
181/7	using [4] 85/11	108/10 wait [1] 161/23	we'll [9] 16/17 28/5	went [19] 8/23 11/11
unusual [1] 154/9	117/19 171/13 171/19	wait [1] 161/23 waited [1] 154/11	28/19 56/3 96/18	11/13 12/8 14/17 15/1
up [83] 4/9 4/13 4/18	usual [7] 118/15 121/20 123/15 160/8	waiting [3] 162/1	104/16 123/5 142/4 176/10	15/8 16/25 25/13 27/8 47/20 52/18 95/19
4/21 4/25 5/15 7/15	163/1 163/8 178/14	162/2 162/2	we're [33] 3/16 19/16	1
9/4 9/15 17/5 17/11	usually [5] 4/7 23/2	walk [1] 32/20	56/12 66/1 70/1 70/16	
18/14 19/12 22/20	23/3 25/18 56/21	want [13] 52/15	71/3 72/9 77/22 81/5	were [192]
23/5 32/5 35/1 38/15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	59/10 66/6 72/11	81/11 81/24 86/2 91/7	
40/14 43/21 43/22	V	72/11 75/25 81/4	92/13 97/4 103/14	16/7 34/23 34/24
46/20 47/3 48/22	vague [1] 41/5	101/24 107/13 108/17	108/14 118/21 119/24	
50/23 51/1 54/17 54/17 55/5 56/25 58/1	vaguely [1] 105/2	111/2 171/25 176/1	125/19 125/20 131/6	77/14 90/24 101/20
66/11 67/22 78/23	validity [5] 35/14	wanted [5] 41/6	136/15 139/20 147/9	118/19 118/23 124/24
	38/17 38/22 39/4	41/10 131/7 131/25	149/3 157/2 157/2	140/6 152/10 160/15
L			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	74) understand weren't

weren't [3]         161/13         6/21         11/20         12/3         17/16         40/13         42/11         64/25         68/13         71/11         73/9         WITN01090200 [1]           162/16         176/11         35/9         43/7         46/3         46/4         68/16         73/17         76/22         79/14         83/4         93/6         93/8         2/16           West [1]         81/22         63/4         63/14         65/11         80/7         81/13         81/23         86/6         105/19         105/20         112/10         1/14         1/24         2/2         2/5         2/11           what [232]         63/4         65/11         85/4         01/13         01/15         02/14         112/11         112/11         112/14         12/18         2/17         1/14         1/24         2/2         2/5         2/11	W	whether [38] 2/8 5/2	27/25 35/7 38/11	64/4 65/4 65/5 65/9	1/19 19/12
162/16 176/11         33/9 4.3/1 400-4704         0 30/9 4.3/1 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/2 4.3/1 4.3/2 4.3/	weren't [3] 161/13				
West [1]         avr. avr. avr. avr. avr. avr. avr. avr.					
what [22]         bits 63/14 63/11         bits 61/13 61/23 600         bits 61/13 61/23 600         bits 61/13 61/23 600           whats [14]         25/21         67/22 711 75/18 66/24 10/17         bits 61/23 60/14 60/21         bits 60/24					
<ul> <li>wharts [14] 25/21</li> <li>wharts [15] 25/14</li> <li>wharts [16] 25/15</li> <li>wharts [16] 25/15</li> <li>wharts [16] 25/16</li> <li>wharts [16] 25/17</li> <li>wharts [16] 25/17&lt;</li></ul>	what [232]				
42.01 49.03 30.16         90.16 65/20 96/4         102/12 103/18 106/20         126/11 126/14 135/22         116/17 14/11 162/22           86/1 949 95/10 95/11         119/22 132/5 133/4         111/10 111/13 111/14         143/22 144/3 146/9         wintescer [1] 55/17           75/1 776/4 7770         143/17 12/24 18/1 100/19 17/21         148/4 123/1 130/2         147/14 150/2         147/14 126/22         147/14 126/22         16/14 14/11 162/22           wintescer [2] 51/17         14/16 16/12 / 17/10         14/14 140/11 14/14 140/22         14/14 14/14 150/1         15/17         14/14 150/1         15/17         12/22 14/17         15/17         15/17         12/22 14/17         15/17         15/17         12/27         15/17         15/17         12/27         15/17         12/27         12/27         12/27         14/17         12/27         12/27         12/27         12/27         12/27         12/27         12/27         12/27         12/27         12/27	what's [14] 25/21				
30/14         30/14         30/14         30/14         19/14         12/14         19/14         12/14         19/14         12/14         19/14         12/14 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Boh 199 90/10 95/1         119/22 132/6 133/4         111/10 111/13 111/14         143/22 14/3 1469         Intenses (1) 159/17           Whatever [5]         12/14         13/14 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>					
H34       H					
Ministoever [2]         177/6         177/7         177/7         177/6					
Mints         Winch         Winch <th< td=""><td></td><td>177/6 178/16 178/17</td><td>130/24 133/22 136/21</td><td></td><td></td></th<>		177/6 178/16 178/17	130/24 133/22 136/21		
whatscover [2]         51/17         1/21         1/31/14         1/51/15		which [116] 4/2 7/1	140/13 144/5 146/17	151/17 155/19 155/25	167/15 167/16 173/8
165/13         161/2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
With [67]         11/22         12/2         14/17         12/2         14/17         12/2         14/17         12/2         14/17         12/2         14/17         12/2         14/17         12/2         14/17         12/2         14/17         12/2         12/17         12/2         12/17         12/2         12/17         12/2         12/17         12/2         12/17         12/2         12/17         12/2         12/17         12/2         12/17         12/2         12/17					
12/22 14/17 15/1 18/7         20/24 25 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 23 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14					
22/14         23/14 <td< td=""><td>12/22 14/17 15/1 18/7</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	12/22 14/17 15/1 18/7				
20/11 3/24 3/16 3/25 4/1/3 2/16         43/18 4/325 4/1/3 4/5/9 who's [2] 4/07 75/6         97/20         152/1           61/2 5/21 6/3/16 5/7         63/16 6/7/1					
440/2 52/1 53/15         46/9 48/14 50/1 50/4         whoever [2] 27/6         Winn [36] 157 1/6 18/9         words [4] 99 72/13           64/16 65/13 65/19         50/6 50/14 52/13         159/2         111 2/21 59/10 59/20         72/14 119/7           76/20 77/12 61/2 64/1         57/17 60/2 65/5 65/9         33/16 40/16 48/22         104/2 104/12 104/12 104/21         25/6 29/7 45/6 46/17           71/2 12/15 113/16 124/11         68/20 69/16 70/6         166/17 173/9         133/2 137/15 133/21 137/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/15 133/21         123/19 158/15 133/15 133/15 133/21         123/19 133/21         123/19 133/21         123/21 152/15 13/3         123/21 153/17 17/11 17/17         123/21 153/17 17/11 17/17         123/21 158/17 153/16 13/14         123/22 13/16 13/17         123/21 153/17         123/11 11/14         123/21 153/17         123/11 13/14         123/22 13/16 13/17         123/11 13/14         123/11 13/14         123/11 13/14         123/11 13/14         123/11 13/11         123/11 13/11         123/11 13/14         123/11 13/14<					
501/5 63/21       63/6       60/6       60/6       63/16       63/17       65/16       63/17       10/17					
bab / 16 20/12 60/12 86/4         52/16 53/19 53/23         whole [9] 25/11         82/8 82/9 96/24 97/6         work [14] 12/4 12/6           8/21 28/13 90/6         52/16 53/19 53/23         sin 6 40/16 48/22         10/4/2 10/4/12 10/4/2         25/6 29/7 45/6 46/17           9/22 95/7 976 88/15         56/16 68/8 68/12         70/19 94/16 163/2         13/2 13/15 133/21         25/6 12/97 45/6 46/17           12/15 113/16 12/4/1         66/20 69/16 70/6         Whone [7] 6/8 8/4         14/3 24/2 64/23         13/4/2 14/1/8 143/4         12/3/19 15/2/2           12/16 13/4/7         71/6 88/16 80/7         14/3 24/2 64/23         14/3/2 14/7/6 14/7/2         15/2/2 15/2/3         32/3 16/12 26/12           12/17 13/20 13/25 106/1         11/3 12/9 11/2/2         100/12 179/15         15/2/2 15/7/13 179/4         26/2 48/1/5 106/1           13/22 15/21 16/21         11/3/1 12/9 11/2/2         11/17 11/18 111/14         1179/14 180/18 10/19 12/2         26/2 48/1/5 10/1           15/22 15/21 56/1         11/3/1 12/9 11/2/2         11/17 11/12 11/14         13/14 180/18 180/19 12/2         26/2 48/1/4 26/14           16/12 16/13 116/7         13/16 13/17 17/42         23/3 26/22 32/19 36/7         Winhare [1] 130/11         89/1 05/5 105/9           16/17 180/1         13/14 12/14 12/6         11/17 16/12 16/2         13/14 13/14         13/14 13/14 18/14         28/12 18/2/2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
07/20 7/1/2 83/4         57/17 60/2 65/5 65/9         33/16 3/016 48/22         104/2 104/14 104/12 104/14 104/12 104/14 104/12 104/14 104/12 104/14 104/12 104/14 104/12 104/14					
94/22 95/7 97/6 98/15       65/16 88/8 68/12       70/19 94/16 163/2       133/2 133/15 133/21       56/11 71/9 10//4         112/15 113/16 124/11       75/22 79/10 86/2       whom [7] 6/8 8/4       146/12 147/16 147/24       162/21 173/13         124/14 124/18 125/3       95/19 99/6 102/6       10/12 179/15       152/20 153/7 155/21       3/23 16/12 26/12         135/18 139/21 159/7       130/2 10/2/5 106/1       10/12 179/15       152/20 153/7 155/21       3/23 16/12 26/12         140/7 140/9 154/18       10/2/7 10/2/5 106/1       10/12 179/15       152/20 153/7 155/21       3/23 16/12 26/12         157/22 158/21 159/7       113/30 113/25 114/6       whose [5] 75/24 97/9       168/25 177/13 179/4       26/22 481/15 105/1         160/6 160/22 161/21       113/20 113/25 114/6       why [69] 9/12 10/3       182/2       working [16] 3/19         165/1 65/19 113/12 131/18       36/8 36/19 36/25       wish [3] 38/19 38/2       working [16] 3/19       8/22 14/17 21/24         165/1 65/10 138/6       52/52 55/55/56/156       Withm [11] 130/11       189/9 10/51 05/9       8/18 81/13       9/30 10/51 05/9       16/17 160/9         171/1       138/19 141/3 142/21       133/19 133/20 134/8       37/25 23/15 5/156/6       19/16 13/17       18/17       16/17 160/9       12/12 122/18       16/17 160/9       12/12 122/18       16/17 160/9 <td></td> <td>57/17 60/2 65/5 65/9</td> <td>33/16 40/16 48/22</td> <td></td> <td></td>		57/17 60/2 65/5 65/9	33/16 40/16 48/22		
112/15       113/12		65/16 68/8 68/12	70/19 94/16 163/2	133/2 133/15 133/21	56/11 71/9 107/4
124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       124/14       125/16       124/14       125/16       124/14       125/16       125/16       125/16       125/16       125/16       125/16       125/16       125/16       125/16       125/17       125/14					
125/16       126/1       13/14 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
130 1 1992 1 40/3 140/7 1 40/9 154/18       102/7 102/25 106/11       whose [5] 75/24 97/9       168/25 177/13 179/4       26/24 81/15 105/1         140/7 1 40/9 154/18       111/3 112/9 112/22       111/7 111/8 111/14       179/14 180/18 180/19       22/24         160/6 160/22 161/21       113/20 113/25 114/6       113/20 113/25 114/6       113/20 113/25 114/6       182/2       working [16] 3/19         161/25 163/13 165/7       130/6 131/12 131/18       36/8 36/19 36/25       wish [3] 38/19 38/24       28/21 28/24 29/9         167/7 173/13 174/18       133/19 133/20 134/8       37/25 38/10 47/15       71/14       29/19 57/8 80/1 81/11         167/7 173/13 174/18       133/19 133/20 134/8       52/5 52/9 55/15 56/9       Withdraw [4] 130/11       89/9 105/3 105/9         176/1 178/17       178/9       141/25 145/24 147/21       68/15 69/19 69/22       Withdrawais [1]       worrie [1] 17/12         18/11 18/18 22/5       153/15 153/16 153/17       70/8 71/14 72/11       147/20       Withdrawais [1]       worrie [1] 17/12       128/15 128/22         13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5       153/15 153/16 153/17       70/8 71/14 72/11       Withdrawn [1] 17/12       128/15 128/22       Worrie [1] 169/15       worrie [1] 17/12       128/15 128/22       Worrie [1] 169/15       126/12       126/12       126/12       126/12       126/12       126/12					
14/2       14/3       11/2					
15/12/2       15/12/2	140/7 140/9 154/18				
160/160/22 161/21       125/9 129/5 130/4       23/3 26/22 32/19 36/7       Winn/Rod [1] 82/8       8/22 14/17 21/24         161/25 163/13 165/7       130/6 131/12 131/18       36/8 36/19 36/25       wish [3] 38/19 38/24       28/21 28/24 29/9         161/25 163/13 165/7       133/19 133/20 134/8       57/25 38/10 47/15       36/8 36/19 36/25       wish [3] 38/19 38/24       28/21 28/24 29/9         167/7 178/1 179/7       135/23 136/10 138/6       52/5 52/9 55/15 56/9       Witham [1] 130/11       89/9 105/3 105/9         181/2       whenever [3] 16/6       68/15 69/19 69/22       121/21 122/12 122/18       worrled [3] 16/6         where [58] 2/10 3/6       153/15 153/16 153/17       70/8 71/14 72/11       Withdrawn [1] 17/2       worrled [3] 126/12         13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5       152/15 153/31       70/8 71/14 72/17       147/20       worrled [3] 126/12         13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5       155/18 156/8       81/8 81/13 84/23       withdrawn [1] 17/2       128/15 128/22         13/11 14/1 18/18 22/5       152/17 163/17       70/8 71/14 72/17 41/2       147/20       worrled [3] 126/12         13/11 14/1 18/18 22/5       157/14 161/21 163/19       94/19 107/7 108/1       13/24 15/20 161/3       128/25         13/11 14/12 167/14       161/21 163/19       94/19 107/7 108/1       13/24 15/20 161/3       128/25 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
16/12/16/12       130/6       131/12 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
163/3       163/19       163/17       173/11       163/11       163/17       173/11       163/17       173/11       163/11       163/17       173/11       173/11       173/11       173/11       163/11       163/17       173/11       163/11       163/17       173/11					
16/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/2       135/23 136/10 138/6       52/5 52/9 55/15 56/9       Witham [1] 130/11       89/9 105/3 105/9         176/1 178/1 179/1       135/23 136/10 138/6       52/5 52/9 55/15 56/9       Witham [1] 130/11       89/9 105/3 105/9         181/2       henever [3] 16/6       136/19 14/1/3 142/5       62/11 65/8 65/14 68/6       Witham [4] 118/16       166/17 180/9         68/15 91/2       142/23 145/24 147/21       68/11 68/14 68/21       69/15 69/19 69/22       withdrawal [1]       17/7         13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5       152/9 152/15 153/13       70/8 71/14 72/11       147/20       withdrawal [1]       180/15         13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5       153/15 153/16 153/17       72/11 73/6 74/2 74/2       withdrawal [1]       180/15       worreid [3] 16/19 68/4         13/1 14/1 20/23 2030/24       161/17 161/21 163/19       94/19 107/7 108/1       withdrawal [1]       13/24 152/20 16/13       128/15         38/11 40/2 42/23       161/17 161/21 163/19       94/19 107/7 108/1       13/24 15/20 16/13       128/15       worrei [3] 66/21 146/7         38/11 40/2 42/23       167/24 168/22 169/6       119/18 120/23 12/1       13/24 15/20 16/13       128/15       128/15       128/15       128/15       worth [3] 66/21 146/7         38/11 40/2 42/23       167/19 68/4       129/27 123/32 12/24       17/4 20/1					
181/2       138/19 141/3 142/5       62/11 65/8 65/14 68/2       121/21 122/12 122/18       withdraw [4] 118/16       166/17 180/9         68/15 91/2       142/23 145/24 147/21       68/16 68/14       68/14 68/21       121/21 122/12 122/18       word [1] 37/7         where [58] 2/10 3/6       152/9 152/15 153/13       70/8 71/14 72/11       147/20       withdrawals [1]       word [1] 17/5         13/1 14/1 8/18 22/5       153/16 153/17       72/11 73/6 74/2 74/2       withdrawals [1] 17/2       word [1] 18/7       28/15 128/22       128/15 128/22       word [1] 66/21 146/7         13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5       154/9 155/18 156/8       81/8 81/13 84/23       118/8 1/13 84/23       withdrawals [1] 17/2       word [1] 66/21 146/7         13/1 24/15 31/19 37/20       154/9 155/18 156/8       81/8 81/13 84/23       119/17 108/1       withdrawals [1] 17/2       word [3] 66/21 146/7         13/12 44/15 34/14       167/14 68/22 169/6       119/18 120/23 121/1       13/24 15/20 16/13       146/23       worth [3] 66/21 146/7         38/11 40/2 42/23       169/10 171/24 176/9       122/17 123/21 124/9       17/4 20/16 20/25       worth [3] 66/21 146/7         38/14 44/12 44/15       179/11       178/17 178/19       122/17 123/21 124/9       17/4 20/16 20/25       would [378]       would [378]         17/15 16 86/10 90/20       179/51 79/9 17			52/5 52/9 55/15 56/9	Witham [1] 130/11	89/9 105/3 105/9
whenever [3] 16/6 68/15 91/2         142/23 145/24 14/21 68/11 68/14 68/21 149/23 150/18 152/8         168/11 68/14 68/21 69/15 69/19 69/22         word [1] 3/// worms [1] 175/5           where [58] 2/10 3/6 51/8 6/14 8/9 12/16         152/9 152/15 153/13 153/15 153/16 153/17         70/8 71/14 72/11 72/11 73/6 74/2 74/2         withdrawals [1] 147/20         worms [1] 175/5           22/20 23/20 30/24 31/8 31/19 37/20 38/11 40/2 42/23         155/8 156/8 164/2 167/19 167/24         161/21 163/19 94/19 107/7 108/1         withdrawals [1] 147/20         worms [1] 171/2         128/15 128/22           3/11 40/2 42/23 33/11 40/2 42/23         164/2 167/19 167/24         108/7 113/13 118/8         5/22 71/2 9/2 12/21         worth [3] 66/21 146/7           3/12 44/15 44/15         169/10 171/24 176/9         122/7 123/21 124/9         13/24 15/20 16/13         146/23           3/17 60/12 51/21 51/21         177/11 178/2 178/3         122/17 123/21 124/9         21/18 23/11 27/6         worth [3] 66/21 146/7           50/22 51/1 56/23 57/6         179/5 179/9 179/11         148/24 149/1 149/9         42/22 44/24 45/21         37/22 41/16 41/18           60/17 62/6 62/15 75/1         179/21         128/15 129/17 137/16         1152/11 161/21 162/21         66/16 41/9 64/10 67/19 64/20 67/3 68/3 68/16           7/15 18 16/14 17/21         188/16 129/17 137/16         165/18 166/13 166/71         84/6 97/21 116/75         84/6 87/21 83/18 83/21					
68/15 91/2       192/2 103/6       152/9 152/15 153/13       70/8 71/14 72/11       147/20       worried [3] 126/12         91/2 103/6       152/9 152/15 153/13       70/8 71/14 72/11       147/20       worried [3] 126/12         13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5       154/9 155/18 156/8       81/8 81/13 84/23       withdrawn [1] 171/2       128/15 128/22         22/20 23/20 30/24       161/17 161/21 163/19       94/19 107/17 108/1       within [42] 3/5 3/21       128/25         38/11 40/2 42/23       167/19 167/24       168/2 160/6       119/18 120/23 121/1       13/24 15/20 16/13       146/23         38/11 40/2 42/23       169/10 171/24 176/9       122/7 123/21 124/9       17/4 20/16 20/25       would [378]         47/6 48/12 49/23       177/11 178/2 178/3       124/13 132/3 132/14       21/18 23/11 27/6       would [378]         50/22 51/21 51/21       178/5 178/7 178/19       132/19 132/22 148/20       29/12 38/12 42/16       25/17 25/22 36/7         60/17 62/6 62/15 75/1       179/5 179/9 179/11       148/24 149/11 149/9       42/22 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18         71/15 78/11 81/4       146/23       would 1378]       would 378]       would 378]       would 378]         13/12 11 161/2 162/21       161/4 174/9       42/12 41/41 149/9       42/12 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18					
where [58]         2/10 3/6         152/9 152/15 153/13         70/8 7/14 72/11         147/20         worrred [3]         126/12           5/18 6/14 8/9 12/16         153/16 153/16 153/17         72/1 173/6 74/2 74/2         withdrew [1]         151/12         128/15 128/22           13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5         154/16 153/16 153/16         153/16 153/16         153/17         72/1 173/6 74/2 74/2         withdrew [1]         128/15 128/22           2/202 03/20 30/24         154/19 157/18         156/8         18/8 81/13 84/23         withdrew [1]         169/17         168/12         worrtg [3]         66/21 146/7           38/11 40/2 42/23         161/17 161/21 163/19         94/19 107/7 108/1         13/24 15/20 16/13         128/25         worth [3]         66/21 146/7           38/11 40/2 49/23         177/11 178/2 178/3         124/13 132/3 132/14         21/18 23/11 27/6         would [378]           50/22 51/21 51/21         178/5 178/7 178/19         132/19 132/22 148/20         29/12 38/12 42/16         25/17 25/22 36/7           50/22 51/21 51/1         179/5 179/9 179/1         148/24 149/1 149/9         4/2/2 24/5 50/8 58/16         46/6 56/21 63/10           60/17 62/6 62/15 57/1         179/5 179/9 179/1         148/24 149/1         149/9         4/2/2 24/5 50/8 58/16         46/6 56/21 63/10           10/14 10/61 192/6					
5/18 6714 8/9 12/16       153/15 153/16 153/17       72/11 73/6 74/2 74/2       Withdrawn [1]       17/17       126/15 126/22         13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5       154/9 155/18 156/8       81/8 81/13 84/23       withdrawn [1]       169/15       worry [3]       67/19 68/4         22/20 23/20 30/24       164/2 167/19 167/24       108/7 113/13 118/8       5/22 7/12 9/2 12/21       worry [3]       66/21 146/7         38/11 40/2 42/23       167/14 168/22 169/6       119/18 120/23 121/1       13/24 15/20 16/13       146/23         43/12 44/15 44/15       169/10 171/24 176/9       122/7 123/21 124/9       17/4 20/16 20/25       worth [3]       66/21 146/7         50/22 51/21 51/21       178/5 178/7 178/3       124/13 132/3 132/14       21/18 23/11 27/6       would [378]         60/17 62/6 52/15 75/1       179/5 179/9 179/11       148/24 149/1 149/9       42/22 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18         60/17 62/6 52/15 75/1       179/5 179/9 179/11       148/24 149/14 151/19       46/22 48/5 50/8 58/16       49/6 56/21 63/10         100/16 106/19 126/8       while [5] 30/2 54/10       152/11 161/12 162/11       61/4 61/9 64/10 67/19       64/20 67/3 68/3 68/16         100/16 106/19 126/8       98/21 106/23 126/12       while [6] 70/22       30/19 33/24 38/8       88/16 88/21 80/2       70/8 72/27 4/25 75/23         17/2/5 141/1					
13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5       161/17 161/21 163/19       94/19 107/7 108/1       within [42] 3/5 3/21       128/25         22/20 23/20 30/24       164/2 167/19 167/24       108/7 113/13 118/8       5/22 7/12 9/2 12/21       worth [3] 66/21 146/7         38/11 40/2 42/23       167/24 168/22 169/6       119/18 120/23 12/11       13/24 15/20 16/13       worth [3] 66/21 146/7         38/11 40/2 42/23       169/10 171/24 176/9       122/7 123/21 124/9       17/4 20/16 20/25       would [378]         43/12 44/15 44/15       178/5 178/7 178/19       122/17 123/21 124/9       17/14 127/6       would [378]         50/22 51/1 56/23 57/6       179/5 179/9 179/11       148/24 149/1 149/9       42/22 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18         60/17 62/6 62/15 75/1       179/21       148/24 149/1 149/19       42/22 48/5 50/8 58/16       49/6 56/21 63/10         96/21 106/23 126/12       while [5] 30/2 54/10       152/11 161/12 162/21       68/6 68/14 68/18 80/2       70/8 72/2 74/25 75/23         100/16 106/19 126/8       98/21 106/23 126/12       165/18 166/13 166/18       84/6 97/21 116/15       76/21 83/18 83/21         128/15 129/17 137/16       91/5 94/12       159/15 166/17 173/13       88/16 88/21 89/6       89/21 10/6/23 126/12       135/22 141/14 136/23         174/23 174/24 176/18       whole [3] 6/4 7/12       7/15 8/6 88/2/1 10/6       16/19					
22/20 23/20 30/24       164/2 167/19 167/24       108/7 113/13 118/8       5/22 7/12 9/2 12/21       worth [3] 66/21 146/7         31/8 31/19 37/20       164/2 167/19 167/24       168/2 169/6       119/18 120/23 121/1       13/24 15/20 16/13       146/23         38/11 40/2 42/23       169/10 171/24 176/9       122/7 123/21 124/9       17/4 20/16 20/25       would [378]         43/12 44/15 44/15       179/5 178/17 178/19       122/7 123/21 124/9       17/4 20/16 20/25       would [46] 12/9         50/22 51/21 51/21       179/5 178/17 178/19       132/19 132/22 148/20       29/12 38/12 42/16       25/17 25/22 36/7         50/22 51/1 56/23 57/6       179/5 179/9 179/11       148/24 149/1 149/9       42/22 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18         60/17 62/6 62/15 75/1       179/5 179/9 179/11       148/24 149/1 149/9       46/22 48/5 50/8 58/16       49/6 56/21 63/10         96/17 128/23 130/17       while [5] 30/2 54/10       96/17 128/23 130/17       165/18 166/13/21       68/6 68/14 68/18 80/2       70/8 72/2 74/25 75/23         100/16 106/19 126/8       98/21 106/23 126/12       wide [4] 70/13 73/14       121/5 141/9 154/10       84/1 68/21 89/6         124/13 17/2       148/24 176/9       122/11 161/12       122/11 161/12       159/15 166/17 173/13       89/12 91/10 99/15         165/18 166/13 161/4       171/2       173/76<	13/1 14/1 18/18 22/5				
31/6 31/19 3/1/20       167/24 168/22 169/6       119/18 120/23 121/1       13/24 15/20 16/13       146/23         38/11 40/2 42/23       169/10 171/24 176/9       122/7 123/21 124/9       17/4 20/16 20/25       would [378]         47/6 48/12 49/23       177/11 178/2 178/3       122/7 123/21 124/9       17/4 20/16 20/25       would [378]         50/22 51/21 51/21       179/5 178/7 178/19       132/19 132/22 148/20       29/12 38/12 42/16       37/22 41/16 41/18         53/2 55/11 56/23 57/6       179/5 179/91 179/11       148/24 149/1 149/9       42/22 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18         60/17 62/6 62/15 75/7       179/51 78/1 81/4       while [5] 30/2 54/10       152/11 161/12 162/21       61/4 61/9 64/10 67/19       64/20 67/3 68/3 68/16         75/1 75/15 78/11 81/4       96/17 128/23 130/17       while [4] 70/13 73/14       121/5 141/9 154/10       84/6 97/21 116/15       70/8 72/2 74/25 75/23         100/16 106/19 126/8       98/21 106/23 126/12       wide [4] 70/13 73/14       121/5 141/9 154/10       84/1 84/13 86/23         127/15 141/1 146/3       147/19       wide [4] 70/13 73/14       159/15 166/17 173/13       88/16 88/21 89/6         127/15 141/1 116/12       128/15 129/17 137/16       91/5 94/12       30/19 33/24 38/8       108/8 112/25 128/25         158/16 161/4 171/21       71/5 8/6 8/8 8/21 10/6       wide [1] 9					
336/11 40/2 42/23 43/12 44/15 43/12 44/14 179/5 178/7 178/19 179/5 179/9 179/11 178/5 178/7 178/19 179/5 179/9 179/11 178/5 178/7 178/19 179/5 179/9 179/11 178/5 178/7 178/19 179/5 179/9 179/11 148/24 149/1 149/9 122/7 123/21 124/9 122/7 123/21 124/9 122/7 123/21 124/9 22/12 38/12 42/16 22/12 38/12 42/16 22/12 38/12 42/16 25/17 25/22 36/7 37/22 41/16 41/18 37/22 41/16 41/18 49/6 56/21 63/10 64/20 67/3 68/3 68/16 49/6 56/21 63/10 64/20 67/3 68/3 68/16 162/24 163/6 163/21 68/6 68/14 68/18 80/2 70/8 72/2 74/25 75/23 70/8 72/2 74/25 72/2 73/1 73/8 85/14 73/1 73/8 85/14 73/1 73/8 85/14 73/1 73/8 85/14 73/1 73/8 85/14 73/1 73/8 75/14 73/1 73/8 73/1 73/8 75/14 73/1 73/8 73/1 73/8 75/14 73/1 73/1 73/1 73/8 73/1 73					
443/12 44/15       177/11 178/2 178/3       124/13 132/3 132/14       21/18 23/11 27/6       wouldn't [46] 12/9         47/6 48/12 49/23       178/5 178/7 178/9       132/19 132/22 148/20       29/12 38/12 42/16       25/17 25/22 36/7         50/22 51/11 56/23 57/6       179/5 179/9 179/11       148/24 149/1 149/9       42/22 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18         50/17 62/6 62/15 75/1       179/21       148/24 149/1 149/9       42/22 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18         60/17 62/6 62/15 75/1       179/21       148/24 149/1 149/9       42/22 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18         85/16 86/10 90/20       while [5] 30/2 54/10       152/11 161/12 162/21       61/4 61/9 64/10 67/19       64/20 67/3 68/3 68/16         100/16 106/19 126/8       98/21 106/23 126/12       whilst [8] 96/19       165/18 166/13 166/18       84/6 97/21 116/15       84/18 80/2         127/15 141/1 146/3       128/15 129/17 137/16       98/21 106/23 126/12       wide [4] 70/13 73/14       121/5 141/9 154/10       84/18 48/13 86/23         147/22 154/18 158/9       147/19       whole [83] 6/4 7/12       wide [1] 93/2       widely [5] 16/9 72/22       89/12 91/10 99/15       89/12 91/10 99/15       135/22 141/14 152/14         147/19       10/14 11/6 15/18       20/15 20/24 21/2 21/4       wide [6] 2/2 3/1 4/18       114/21 116/15 119/1       158/22 158/16 158/					
47/16       48/12       49/23       50/22       51/21 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
53/2 55/11 56/23 57/6       179/5 179/9 179/11       148/24 149/1 149/9       42/22 44/24 45/21       37/22 41/16 41/18         60/17 62/6 62/15 75/1       179/2 1       149/12 149/14 151/19       46/22 48/5 50/8 58/16       49/6 56/21 63/10         75/1 75/15 78/11 81/4       while [5] 30/2 54/10       152/11 161/12 162/21       61/4 61/9 64/10 67/19       64/20 67/3 68/3 68/16         70/16 106/19 126/8       whils [8] 96/19       165/18 166/13 166/13       68/6 68/14 68/18 80/2       76/21 83/18 83/21         100/16 106/19 126/8       whils [8] 96/19       165/18 166/13 166/13       84/6 97/21 116/15       76/21 83/18 83/21         127/15 141/1 146/3       y8/21 106/23 126/12       wide [4] 70/13 73/14       121/5 141/9 154/10       84/1 84/13 86/23         147/22 154/18 158/9       98/21 106/23 126/12       wide [4] 70/13 73/14       121/5 141/9 154/10       84/1 84/13 86/23         147/19       widel [5] 16/9 72/22       without [21] 6/2       89/12 91/10 99/15       89/12 91/10 99/15         147/19       widel [5] 16/9 72/22       without [21] 6/2       89/12 91/10 99/15       89/12 91/10 99/15         147/19       10/14 11/6 15/18       10/14 11/6 15/18       10/14 11/6 15/18       30/19 33/24 38/8       108/8 112/25 128/25         61/19 62/19 63/25       61/2 20/24 21/2 21/2       19/12 40/4 40/5 49/6       119/10 119/12 124/6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
60/17 62/6 62/15 75/1       179/21       149/12 149/14 151/19       46/22 48/5 50/8 58/16       49/6 56/21 63/10         75/1 75/15 78/11 81/4       while [5] 30/2 54/10       152/11 161/12 162/21       61/4 61/9 64/10 67/19       64/20 67/3 68/3 68/16         85/16 86/10 90/20       96/17 128/23 130/17       thills [8] 96/19       165/18 166/13 166/18       84/6 97/21 116/15       70/8 72/2 74/25 75/23         100/16 106/19 126/8       98/21 106/23 126/12       thills 16] 91/5 94/12       159/15 166/17 173/13       88/16 88/21 89/6         127/15 141/1 146/3       128/15 129/17 137/16       91/5 94/12       159/15 166/17 173/13       88/16 88/21 89/6         147/22 154/18 158/9       147/19       wide [4] 70/13 73/14       121/5 141/9 154/10       84/1 84/13 86/23         147/19       wide [83] 6/4 7/12       73/1 73/8 85/14       30/19 33/24 38/8       108/8 112/25 128/25         10/14 11/6 15/18       70/5 20/24 21/2 21/4       Wider [1] 93/2       64/21 90/14 90/24       135/22 141/14 152/14         10/14 11/6 15/18       20/15 20/24 21/2 21/4       Wifi [64] 2/2 3/1 4/18       114/21 116/15 119/1       158/2 158/16 158/17         61/19 62/19 63/25       21/19 21/22 22/2       50/14 52/15 56/5 60/2       134/15 134/25 135/12       179/2         61/2 0 23/11 23/12       61/4 61/7 61/13 61/15       144/22 165/2       Wright [8] 66/10 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
75/1 75/15 78/11 81/4       while [5] 30/2 54/10       152/11 161/12 162/21       61/4 61/9 64/10 67/19       64/20 67/3 68/3 68/16         85/16 86/10 90/20       96/17 128/23 130/17       162/24 163/6 163/21       68/6 68/14 68/18 80/2       70/8 72/2 74/25 75/23         100/16 106/19 126/8       whist [8] 96/19       98/21 106/23 126/12       165/18 166/13 166/18       84/6 97/21 116/15       76/21 83/18 83/21         127/15 141/1 146/3       128/15 129/17 137/16       98/21 106/23 126/12       wide [4] 70/13 73/14       121/5 141/9 154/10       84/1 84/13 86/23         147/22 154/18 158/9       128/15 129/17 137/16       91/5 94/12       159/15 166/17 173/13       88/16 88/21 89/6         147/19       who [83] 6/4 7/12       73/8 85/14       30/19 33/24 38/8       108/8 112/25 128/25         10/14 11/6 15/18       0/14 11/6 15/18       wider [1] 93/2       64/21 90/14 90/24       135/22 141/14 152/14         48/16 48/21 48/25       10/14 11/6 15/18       wider [1] 93/2       94/6 95/24 111/21       157/10 157/16 157/25         61/19 62/19 63/25       20/15 20/24 21/2 21/4       will [64] 2/2 3/1 4/18       114/21 116/15 119/1       158/2 158/16 158/17         61/2 95/12       21/19 21/22 22/2       50/14 52/15 56/5 60/2       134/15 134/25 135/12       179/2         whereby [1] 98/15       23/10 23/11 23/12       61/4 61/7 61/13 61/1					
85/16 86/10 90/20 100/16 106/19 126/8 127/15 141/1 146/3 147/22 154/18 158/9 158/16 161/4 171/21 174/23 174/24 176/18 where's [1] 38/3 whereas [9] 9/18 48/16 48/21 48/25 61/19 62/19 63/25 64/5 95/12 whereby [1] 98/15       96/17 128/23 130/17 128/23 130/17 whilst [8] 96/19 98/21 106/23 126/12 128/15 129/17 137/16 147/19 who [83] 6/4 7/12 7/15 8/6 8/8 8/21 10/6 10/14 11/6 15/18 20/15 20/24 21/2 21/4 whereby [1] 98/15       162/24 163/6 163/21 165/18 166/13 166/18 84/6 97/21 116/15 145/18 166/13 17 165/18 166/13 166/18 84/6 97/21 116/15 159/15 166/17 173/13 88/16 48/21 89/6 89/12 91/10 99/15 30/19 33/24 38/8 108/8 112/25 128/25 30/19 33/24 38/8 108/8 112/25 128/25 135/22 141/14 152/14 Wifi [1] 152/13 94/6 95/24 111/21 157/10 157/16 157/25 213/10 23/11 23/12 24/8 24/15 24/21 27/6 61/2 26/17 63/3 64/1       06/8 68/14 68/18 80/2 84/6 97/21 116/15 150/13 73/14 121/5 141/9 154/10 159/15 166/17 173/13 88/16 88/21 89/6 89/12 91/10 99/15 30/19 33/24 38/8 108/8 112/25 128/25 135/22 141/14 152/14 157/10 157/16 157/25 135/22 141/14 152/14 157/10 157/16 157/25 23/10 23/11 23/12 24/8 24/15 24/21 27/6 61/2 26/17 63/3 64/1       06/10 119/12 124/6 166/10 66/12 103/18 105/1					
100/16 106/19 126/8       whiist [8] 96/19       165/18 166/13 166/18       84/6 97/21 116/15       76/21 83/18 83/21         127/15 141/1 146/3       98/21 106/23 126/12       wide [4] 70/13 73/14       121/5 141/9 154/10       84/1 84/13 86/23         147/22 154/18 158/9       128/15 129/17 137/16       91/5 94/12       159/15 166/17 173/13       88/16 88/21 89/6         147/19       widely [5] 16/9 72/22       73/1 73/8 85/14       91/5 94/12       84/1 97/21 116/15       84/1 84/13 86/23         where's [1] 38/3       who [83] 6/4 7/12       7/15 8/6 8/8 8/21 10/6       91/5 94/12       without [21] 6/2       89/12 91/10 99/15         10/14 11/6 15/18       7/15 8/6 8/8 8/21 10/6       10/14 11/6 15/18       wider [1] 93/2       64/21 90/14 90/24       135/22 141/14 152/14         48/16 48/21 48/25       10/14 11/6 15/18       20/15 20/24 21/2 21/4       Wifi [1] 152/13       94/6 95/24 111/21       157/10 157/16 157/25         61/19 62/19 63/25       21/19 21/22 22/2       21/13 22/15 22/15       19/12 40/4 40/5 49/6       119/10 119/12 124/6       162/13 162/14 164/17         158/2 158/16 158/17       134/15 134/25 135/12       179/2       179/2         whereby [1] 98/15       23/10 23/11 23/12       61/4 61/7 61/13 61/15       144/22 165/2       Wright [8] 66/10         61/2 2 62/17 63/3 64/1       61/22 62/17 63/3 64/1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
1277/15/1417/14073       128/15/129/17/137/16       91/5/94/12       159/15/166/17/173/13       88/16/88/21/89/6         147/22/154/18/158/9       147/19       widely [5]/16/9/72/22       159/15/166/17/173/13       88/16/88/21/89/6         158/16/16/14/171/21       147/19       widely [5]/16/9/72/22       30/19/33/24/38/8       108/8/112/25/128/25         where's [1]/38/3       7/15/8/6/8/8/8/21/10/6       wider [1]/93/2       93/2       64/21/90/14/90/24       135/22/141/14/152/14         whereas [9]/9/18       10/14/11/6/15/18       wider [1]/93/2       94/6/95/24/111/21       157/10/157/16/157/25         61/19/62/19/63/25       61/19/62/19/63/25       20/15/20/24/21/2/21/2       19/12/40/4/40/5/49/6       119/10/119/12/12/4/6       162/13/162/14/164/17         64/5/9/51/2       23/10/23/11/23/12       61/4/61/7/61/13/61/15       134/15/13/4/25/135/12       179/2         whereby [1]/98/15       24/8/24/15/24/21/27/6       61/22/62/17/63/3/64/1       WITN01090100 [2]       Wright [8]/66/10	100/16 106/19 126/8				
147/22 154/16 156/9 158/16 161/4 171/21 174/23 174/24 176/18 where's [1] 38/3 whereas [9] 9/18 48/16 48/21 48/25 61/19 62/19 63/25 64/5 95/12 whereby [1] 98/15       147/19 147/19 widely [5] 16/9 72/22 73/1 73/8 85/14 wider [1] 93/2       without [21] 6/2 30/19 33/24 38/8 64/21 90/14 90/24 11/12 116/15 119/1 157/10 157/16 157/25 135/22 141/14 152/14 Wifi [1] 152/13 will [64] 2/2 3/1 4/18 19/12 40/4 40/5 49/6 119/10 119/12 124/6 50/14 52/15 56/5 60/2 23/10 23/11 23/12 24/8 24/15 24/21 27/6       89/12 91/10 99/15 108/8 112/25 128/25 135/22 141/14 152/14 94/6 95/24 111/21 158/2 158/16 158/17 119/10 119/12 124/6 119/10 119/12 124/6 119/10 119/12 124/6 134/15 134/25 135/12 144/22 165/2         whereby [1] 98/15       24/8 24/15 24/21 27/6 11/2 62/17 63/3 64/1       Without [21] 6/2 30/19 33/24 38/8 64/21 90/14 90/24 119/10 119/12 124 155/2 4111/21 158/2 158/16 158/17 162/13 162/14 164/17 162/13 162/14 164/17 179/2					
136/10       10/14       17/12       mo [83]       6/4       7/12       73/1       73/8       85/14       30/19       33/24       38/8       108/8       112/25       128/25         mhere's [1]       38/3       mhereas [9]       9/18       10/14       11/6       15/18       wider [1]       93/2       64/21       90/14       90/14       90/24       135/22       14/14       152/14         10/14       11/6       15/18       10/14       11/6       15/18       94/6       95/24       111/21       157/10       157/16       157/25         61/19       62/19       63/25       64/5       95/12       98/15       20/15       22/15       22/15       50/14       50/14       119/10       119/12       124/6       162/13       162/13       162/14       164/17         64/5       95/12       23/10       23/11       23/12       61/4       61/7       61/13       61/15       144/22       165/2       Wright [8]       66/10         64/2       98/15       24/8       24/15       24/21       27/6       61/22       62/17       63/3       64/1       119/10       19/12       124/6       162/13       162/13       162/13       162/13 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
174/23 174/24 170/16       7/15 8/6 8/8 8/21 10/6       wider [1] 93/2       64/21 90/14 90/24       135/22 141/14 152/14         where's [1] 38/3       10/14 11/6 15/18       Wifi [1] 152/13       94/6 95/24 111/21       157/10 157/16 157/25         whereas [9] 9/18       20/15 20/24 21/2 21/4       Wifi [1] 152/13       94/6 95/24 111/21       158/2 158/16 158/17         61/19 62/19 63/25       21/19 21/22 22/2       19/12 40/4 40/5 49/6       119/10 119/12 124/6       162/13 162/14 164/17         64/5 95/12       23/10 23/11 23/12       61/4 61/7 61/13 61/15       144/22 165/2       Wifin [8] 66/10         whereby [1] 98/15       24/8 24/15 24/21 27/6       61/22 62/17 63/3 64/1       WITN01090100 [2]       Wright [8] 66/10					
willere's [1]       36/3         whereas [9]       9/18         48/16       48/21         48/16       48/21         61/19       62/19         61/19       62/19         64/5       95/12         whereby [1]       98/15             10/14       11/6         11/16       15/18         20/15       20/24         21/19       21/22         21/19       21/22         21/19       21/22         21/19       21/22         21/19       21/22         21/19       21/12         21/19       21/22         21/19       21/22         21/19       21/22         21/19       21/22         21/19       21/22         21/12       21/15         23/10       23/11         23/10       23/11         24/8       24/15         24/8       24/15         24/8       24/15         24/8       24/15         24/8       24/15         20/15       24/21         21/17       61/22         21/17 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
whereas [9] 9/18 48/16 48/21 48/25 61/19 62/19 63/25 64/5 95/12 whereby [1] 98/15       20/15 20/24 21/2 21/4 21/19 21/22 22/2 22/13 22/15 22/15 22/13 22/15 22/15 22/13 22/15 22/15 61/2 22/13 22/15 22/15       will [64] 2/2 3/1 4/18 19/12 40/4 40/5 49/6 19/12 40/4 40/5 49/6 119/10 119/12 124/6 50/14 52/15 56/5 60/2 134/15 134/25 135/12 144/22 165/2       158/2 158/16 158/17 162/13 162/14 164/17 162/13 162/14 164/17 179/2         whereby [1] 98/15       98/15       61/2 24/21 27/6 61/22 62/17 63/3 64/1       WITN01090100 [2]       Wright [8] 66/10 66/12 103/18 105/1					
61/19 62/19 63/25       21/19 21/22 22/2       19/12 40/4 40/5 49/6       119/10 119/12 124/6       162/13 162/14 164/17         64/5 95/12       22/13 22/15 22/15       50/14 52/15 56/5 60/2       134/15 134/25 135/12       179/2         whereby [1] 98/15       23/10 23/11 23/12       61/4 61/7 61/13 61/15       144/22 165/2       Wright [8] 66/10         61/22 62/17 63/3 64/1       61/22 62/17 63/3 64/1       WITN01090100 [2]       66/12 103/18 105/1					
64/5 95/12       22/13 22/15 22/15       50/14 52/15 56/5 60/2       134/15 134/25 135/12       179/2         whereby [1] 98/15       23/10 23/11 23/12       61/4 61/7 61/13 61/15       144/22 165/2       Wright [8] 66/10         61/2 24/8 24/15 24/21 27/6       61/22 62/17 63/3 64/1       WITN01090100 [2]       66/12 103/18 105/1		21/19 21/22 22/2	19/12 40/4 40/5 49/6		
whereby [1] 98/15 23/10 23/11 23/12 61/4 61/7 61/13 61/15 144/22 165/2 wright [8] 66/10 66/12 103/18 105/1 61/22 62/17 63/3 64/1 WITN01090100 [2]					
24/8 24/15 24/21 27/6 61/22 62/17 63/3 64/1 WITNU1090100 [2] 66/12 103/18 105/1					
(75) weren't Wright		24/8 24/15 24/21 27/6	61/22 62/17 63/3 64/1	WITN01090100 [2]	66/12 103/18 105/1
(75) weren't Wright					
					(75) weren't Wright

W	3/20 4/9 4/10 4/13		
Wright [4] 105/23	4/18 5/1 5/5 5/8 5/10		
142/14 142/15 170/8	6/16 6/24 7/5 10/17		
write [9] 28/9 55/21			
55/23 90/5 91/24 92/6	13/16 13/20 14/19 15/3 16/9 17/22 17/24		
122/14 134/5 174/11	18/4 18/13 19/11 20/4		
writing [7] 5/20 20/5	24/13 25/24 26/3		
21/7 60/2 72/25 146/7 169/22	26/15 28/8 33/18 34/6		
written [5] 54/15	35/10 35/11 35/17		
67/22 68/24 73/22	36/1 39/2 40/25 45/16		
169/25	49/17 51/7 52/5 53/23		
wrong [13] 15/2 15/5	62/22 62/22 67/9 74/9 75/17 79/11 80/9 85/4		
16/7 23/16 52/24 56/9	85/21 86/13 95/24		
56/10 57/11 63/6	104/7 104/14 105/19		
67/21 89/9 175/20 177/18	109/10 109/24 109/25		
wrongdoing [2]	112/17 114/10 116/2		
127/10 129/2	116/17 121/1 121/10		
wrongfully [1]	129/8 131/20 132/7 132/10 136/16 136/24		
108/10	132/10 136/16 136/24		
wrote [2] 35/12	140/24 140/25 141/15		
166/24 Wypp [1] 169/23	143/15 146/1 147/14		
Wynn [1] 169/23	147/25 148/21 149/12		
Y	152/5 154/18 155/16		
yeah [1] 52/23			
year [8] 41/8 60/18	157/10 157/17 158/11   158/22 159/2 159/2		
60/19 60/20 60/21	159/5 161/9 161/19		
91/6 111/12 174/2 years [16] 3/12 9/5	162/22 163/17 163/19		
60/17 101/3 102/3	163/21 166/23 167/12		
107/10 108/14 108/22	168/14 169/24 170/6		
123/24 128/10 152/6	171/16 172/11 173/17		
152/7 167/22 171/5	yours [2] 30/21 150/11		
173/17 174/13	yourself [6] 28/1 36/9		
yellow [1] 133/25	117/9 135/9 162/9		
yes [303] yet [4] 113/19 138/25	166/3		
154/12 167/24	z		
you [734]			
you'd [7] 10/6 45/6	<b>zero [6]</b> 19/10 31/10 44/16 44/17 109/13		
83/20 113/1 132/23	138/13		
135/2 141/1			
<b>you'll [2]</b> 97/16 167/15			
you're [26] 14/21			
29/19 48/25 49/2 49/3			
52/23 58/19 69/12			
74/6 86/7 91/8 95/3			
95/4 112/22 112/25 121/15 122/19 140/7			
153/2 153/15 173/14			
174/6 175/19 177/19			
178/9 180/3			
you've [10] 6/25 35/1			
70/20 75/3 112/17			
123/5 134/17 143/9			
161/21 174/18 young [1] 111/15			
your [124] 1/10 1/14			
1/22 1/25 2/8 2/14			
2/17 3/7 3/10 3/18			
			(76) Wright zero