## Management Response and summary of E&Y Financial Audit findings 2011/12

|   | New Findings 2011/12   |      | Comment  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1 |  | 2012 | Recommended management response  |
|   | process  | Med  | As part of the improvement process, and due to findings from last year's   |
|   | A LINIC COLUMN   |      | audit a standardised access control management process has been  |
|   | 4x HNG findings – 2x findings                                      |      | implemented by Fujitsu for both HNG and POLSAP (excluding Cash   |
|   | <ul> <li>resolved through initiation of revised process</li> </ul> |      | Centres).  |
|   | Tevised process  |      | This process requires further evaluation to assess performance, but has  |
|   | 4x SAP findings all in Cash  |      | already been proven to catch outstanding anomalies.  |
|   | Centre – responsibility of CC                                      |      | and and a second processing a second processing and a second processing and a second processing and a second processing and a second processing a second processing and a second processing a second processing and a second processing a second proce |
|   | Managers   |      | Mitigating controls are in place through the use of iKeys and issuing  |
|   |  |      | procedures.  |
|   |  |      |  |
|   |  |      | Fujitsu HR are now part of the process in access revocation.   |
| 2 | outenginen password  | 2012 | Recommended management response  |
|   | parameters   | Low  | E&Y have acknowledged that password weaknesses in the application,   |
|   |  |      | operating system and database level are further protected to some extent by  |
|   | No standard security policy  |      | the network and Active Directory password controls   |
|   | exists across all accounts /                                       |      |  |
|   | applications   |      | POL to review multiple security policies and standardise where appropriate,  |
|   |  |      | to provide a suitable cross-reference of parameter setting across multiple   |
|   |  |      | systems, landscapes and suppliers  |

Ernst & Young have allocated findings against the categories utilised in last year's audit. While these have been assessed as

| State Control of State Control |  | al Audit)    | new, lesser findings are being reported against these categories.  |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------|--|
|                                | Prior year - open  |              | Comment  |
| 1                              | Strengthen the change management process   | 2011<br>high | Recommended management response  E&Y review of change management processes did reflect improvements since the prior year audit   |
|                                | SAP – 14x anomalies where name evidence required  HNG – 35x anomalies raised                             |              | Further clarity to be provided to the auditor regarding responsibility of authorisation of changes according to category (ie maintenance change, antivirus etc.) – 26x null findings.                      |
|                                | 26x discounted as null findings (due to being  |              | Service Improvement process implemented in Nov/Dec 2011 to resolve   |
|                                | maintenance changes) 9x findings prior to implementation of new process                                  |              | other findings.  E&Y to provide amended RAG status   |
| 2                              | Review of privileged access  | 2011         | Recommended management response  |
|                                | SAP – 2x findings POL Information Security – to assess whether appropriate to                            | high         | E&Y review of privileged access to IT functions across the in-scope applications and their supporting infrastructure did reflect improvements, particularly around POLSAP privileged access                |
|                                | shared service environment   |              | As part of the improvement process, and due to findings from last year's audit a standardised access control management process has been implemented by Fujitsu for both HNG and POLSAP (excluding Cash    |
|                                | HNG – 2x findings POL Information Security – to assess whether appropriate to shared service environment |              | Centres).  This process requires further assessment to ensure performance, but has already been proven to catch outstanding anomalies  |
|                                |  |              | Mitigating controls are in place through the use of iKeys and issuing procedures.  |
|                                |  |              | Reporting and evidence has been standardised in BAU reports for Privileged Access utilisation. As part of the iSMF BAU process management reviews assess adequacy and regularity of the controls in place. |
|                                |  |              | POL Information Security to review appropriateness of access against best practise and centre of excellence models   |
|                                |  |              | E&Y to provide amended RAG status  |
| 3                              | Implement periodic user access review and monitoring controls  | 2011<br>Med  | Recommended management response  Objection to the statement – 'no process in place to periodically validate user access appropriateness to the HNGX estate'  |
|                                | HNG – 2x findings – quarterly  |              | A process does exist, including a quarterly review , with communication & management via Information Security Management Forum (iSMF).   |

|   | review not demonstrated  SAP – 1x finding – evidence of review not retained                   | Implementation of the new process took place in October 2011, regular access management reviews have been performed, with the exception of th data centre physical access in Ireland (which was in the midst of a Service improvement plan) and was unable to be evidenced to the E&Y Audit team.  Processes to be reviewed and communicated and evidence to be retained. |
|---|---|---|
|   |   |   |
|   |   | E&Y to provide amended RAG status   |
| 4 | Strengthen the user administration process  SAP – 6x findings – regarding                     | Recommended management response  E&Y examination of the user administration process for the applications in scope reflected some improvements since the previous year audit   |
|   | Cash Centre manager authorities   | SAP – Cash Centre Manager's authorising responsibility to be assessed and confirmed by Information Security as appropriate, with recommendations on segregation of duties.  |
|   | HNG – 4x findings 2x findings prior to (and captured by) new process implemented October 2011 | The existing review process to be assessed to ensure appropriateness of controls regarding Cash Centre authorisation  |
|   |   | E&Y to provide amended RAG status   |
| 5 | Improvements to logical   | 2011 Recommended management response  |
|   | security settings  2x Linux findings 2x Oracle findings                                       | E&Y review of the logical security settings for the infrastructure supporting the applications in scope reflected improvements, including the remediation of the logical security weaknesses noted for the Linux platforms in the prior year  |
|   | -   |   |
|   | Fujitsu / POL Information   | Process currently in place to continue.   |
|   | Security to confirm whether   | Fujitsu will perform a periodic scan of passwords to be made as part of a   |
|   | this is appropriate to shared   | regular Pen Test Exercise invoked by PO Ltd Security.   |
|   | service environment   |   |
|   |   | Findings and exceptions outside of best practice to be raised at the regular embedded BAU monitoring sessions within the existing BAU governance process within POL and to be supported by the Audit Control Governance Board   |
|   |   | E&Y to provide amended RAG status   |
| 6 | Strengthen password   | 2011 Recommended management response:   |
|   | parameters  Conflicting security policies   | E&Y review of the password configurations for the in-scope applications and the infrastructure supporting these applications reflected some improvements since the prior year audit   |
|   | Confidency security policies  |   |
|   |   | Auditors do not appear to recognise the complexity of the estate in line with their recommendation. Limitations exist due to the complexity of multiple systems and it is inappropriate to apply a single standard as suggested.  |
|   |   | POL to review multiple security policies and standardise where appropriate, otherwise provide a suitable cross-reference of setting across multiple systems, landscapes and suppliers.  |
|   |   | E&Y to provide amended RAG status   |
| 7 | Review of generic privileged  | 2011 Recommended management response  |
| ' | accounts  | med E&Y review of privileged access to the in-scope applications and their  |
|   | accounts  | supporting infrastructure reflected some improvements in the use of shared  |
|   | Centre of Excellence  | privileged accounts   |
| 1 | authorities / responsibilities  |   |
| 1 | ·   | Process currently in place to continue  |
|   | Fujitsu / POL Information<br>Security have confirmed that<br>this is appropriate to shared    | Monitoring and communication will be provided to POL through the regular embedded BAU process to ensure access control management is robust.  |
|   | service environment (agreed to by RMG Internal  | POL Information Security to confirm best practise approach and appropriateness of access rights   |
|   |   |   |
| 1 | Audit - review 2012)  | E&Y to provide amended RAG status   |