From: "Parsons, Andrew" GRO

To: Anthony de Garr Robinson GRO "Owain Draper (odraper GRO GRO GRO "Lukas, Elisa"

Cc: "Prime, Amy" GRO "Lukas, Elisa"

Subject: FW: Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege

DOC 36115520(1) Privileged Users - Draft Executive Summary.DOCX [BD-

4A.FID26896945]

Date: Mon, 19 Jun 2017 18:55:00 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Attachments:** \_DOC\_36115520(1)\_Privileged\_Users\_-\_Draft\_Executive\_Summary.DOCX **Inline-Images:** image7ba2fe.JPG; image0d38c1.PNG; image7885e2.PNG; image7ecb34.PNG

Tony, Owain

See attached a draft exec summary for Deloitte's work on remote access. This is a summary that BD drafted and this morning Deloitte said that they broadly agreed with its conclusions (which was a miracle!). It will likely get watered down a bit as Deloitte dislike being definitive and tend to shy away from clear views – as you will see from their comments in the attached.

However, I'd be grateful for your thoughts on whether a summary like the attached is useful for the Defence?

Please bear in mind that Deloitte were not tasked to review the whole of Horizon but asked to focus on the integrity of transaction data within the system and the effect on the integrity of that data in light of the allegations of "remote access". The reason for this is that if we can establish that the data in the system is accurate, then we can eliminate a number of the Claimant's allegations about their accounts simply being manifestly incorrect. This of course does not rule out the possibility that an error elsewhere in Horizon or in the branch could cause incorrect data to be put into the accounts, but at least we could say that Horizon keeps an accurate and complete record of that incorrect data.

Andy

**Andrew Parsons** 

Partner

Bond Dickinson LLP

Direct: GRO

Direct: GRO
Mobile: GRO
Office: GRO

Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com



From: Westbrook, Mark (UK - Manchester)  Sent: 19 June 2017 09:04  To: Parsons, Andrew Cc: Keating, Lewis (UK - Leeds)  Subject: Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege _DOC_36115520(1)_Privileged Users - Draft Executive Summary.DOCX
Hello Andy,
Some immediate thoughts below (incomplete and will need more time to consider more fully), but thought you'd appreciate some immediate feedback.
We should probably consider how we structure the presentation of this information between our Firms.
Thanks,
Mark
IMPORTANT NOTICE
This communication is from Deloitte LLP, a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC303675. Its registered office is 2 New Street Square, London EC4A 3BZ, United Kingdom. Deloitte LLP is the United Kingdom affiliate of Deloitte NWE LLP, a member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"). DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL and Deloitte NWE LLP do not provide services to clients. Please see <a href="https://www.deloitte.com/about">www.deloitte.com/about</a> to learn more about our global network of member firms.
This communication contains information which is confidential and may also be privileged. It is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient(s), please notify it.security.uk GRO and destroy this message immediately. Email communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or free from error or viruses. All emails sent to or from a mail account are securely archived and stored by an external supplier within the European Union.
Deloitte LLP does not accept any liability for use of or reliance on the contents of this email by any person save by the intended recipient(s) to the extent agreed in a Deloitte LLP engagement contract.

Opinions, conclusions and other information in this email which have not been delivered by way of the business of Deloitte LLP are neither given nor endorsed by