TO GRO

P.01/03

Bird + Bird

## **FAX TRANSMISSION**

## BIRD & BIRD

Facsimile GRO in Brussels and Paris
K:\B\BPOCL\001\FAX\M\LLERF14

То:	Horizon		•		90 Feller Lane London EC4A 11P
Atten\Ref:	Dave Miller				
					Telephone
Fax No:	GRO		1.0		+4 GRO
	• • •			•	÷44 GRO
cc:	Catherine Chur	chard, Post Office	Counters		Telex
	Fax No:	GRO		-	GRO Birds C
	rax No.				
	•				DX GRO) London
	Vince Gaskell,	BA			Web Page
te,	Fax No:	GRO	1.		•
	10110	.,,			www.twobirds.com
			01 14 0.3		
	Nick Gray & Pa	aul Lam-Po-Tang	, Slaughter & M	lay	
	Fax No:	GRO			Partners D Harris
					C E Camps
	·	700t 7			DM Captivales
	Pat Kelsey, BA	POCL Programs	ne .		TM Cook
	Fax No:	GRO			R N Scott
	L	<u> </u>			P J Christic
	n n 11 n	00			P Smith
	Ron Powell, D	33			D W Byan-Cook C ) H Smith
	Fax No:	GRO			J.R.C.Walkey
		GIVO			D Korr
Emana	Howard Rubin	,			M Macdonald
From:					D M C Stone
Account No:	BPOCL/001	•			CWRes
Date:	1 March 1999				PD Quinan HR Sandison
Time:					DHAyos
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				RIWard
Number of pa	iges (including th	us page):			CM Crostwaise
		•			NTlown
Note: This fax is it	tended for the named	addressee only. It contr	ins information which	th may be confidential and	R M Biclossifi
which may also be	privileged. Unless y	ou are the named addre	ssee (or authorised to	receive for the addressee)	S K Topping
you may not cop	y or use it, or disclos	æ it to anyone else. If	you have received i	t in error please notify us	TCGTeher HEPearson
immediately so th	at we can arrange for	its return. To do so, or	if you have any quer	ies, please telephone GRO	VSACook
GRO			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<i>r</i>	TR D Asserson
				,	J Stannard
					CJR Barrett
Dear Mr Mill	er				DCICook
		• .		-	JM Oyngoli M R Habbe
A = 1/011 250 21	vore Usmich is i	n the United State	c at present Th	ave with him	C Powell
•			<del></del> ,		A I Sandorson
drafted a resp	onse to the letter	r from John Benne	ent for your cons	nderation.	H J Rubin
					1 M Biya
Honefully my	draft eneake for	itself however st	ould von wich	to discuss the draft	PR Brownlow
Hopefully my draft speaks for itself however should you wish to discuss the draft please do not hesitate to contact me.					I D Hunter
piease do not	nesitate to conta	ici me.			FA Reeve
				· <del>[ </del>	J Simi P C Dally
If I am not in	the office I can I	be contacted on m	v mobile phone	whic GRO	R H Butzrworth
- C			., P	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NSP Blundall
GRO			•		R W Fawcet
					J P Hardies*
Yours sincere	elv vie				A Maqua" C Chosh
	GRO		\$ 1.	the second second	CORDI
	GRU			Ç	
		•			Consultants
HOWARD I	RUBIN				KTCAmold
		•			5 N L Chelton
			4 · *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PJ Dann
			•		R F Faword
the second					Dr I N Walden* I Folon*
		•			( )
				<u></u>	fnot a solicitor
	la Loi, 1040 Boussels, Belg	jum Telephone GRO	) facsimil GR	•	"Avocat registered

Hong Kong 20/F Printing House, 18 Ice House Street, Central, Hong Kong Telephone

01-MAR-1999 14:58 FROM BIRD & BIRD

0 GRO

P.02/03

HXR/SJB

1 March 1999

DRAFT

Without Prejudice and Subject to Contract

Thank you for your letter of 25 February. I am disappointed - and somewhat puzzled that you should wish, at this point, to change the basis of our discussions that have served us well over the life of the project to date.

As I read your letter, it would appear that you wish to establish that a contractually binding project plan was agreed in correspondence following the Corbett discussions of last year. This ignores, however, that those discussions were without prejudice to the notice of breach of 24 November 1997 and were, in any event, by necessity subject to contract. What this meant, as we have repeatedly made clear, is two things. First, by discussing a revised project plan, the Authorities did not waive any of their rights and remedies with respect to earlier project delays including in particular, ICL Pathway's failure to complete the Operational Trial by the due date of 21 November 1997 (which was agreed in CCN105). Second, unless and until formally agreed in accordance with our change control procedures, any revised project plan arising from these discussions is informal and not contractually binding.

You also state that the DSS has unilaterally varied the multi-benefit testing programme, this is now he case. In the first place, for the reasons already explained, is the current testing programme has no contractual status. Secondly, quite apart from that, and as pointed out in George's earlier letter of 16 February, the failure to start Model Office Testing by the promised date of December 1998, must mean that we review the remainder of the testing programme, including the multi-benefit testing.

01-MAR-1999 14:59 FROM BIRD & BIRD

TO GRO

P.03/03

Tremendous progress has been made by all parties in moving towards a resolution of these matters. As you acknowledge in your letter this can only progress if matters are to proceed on a "without prejudice" and "subject to contract" basis. I believe it is in all our interests to establish an agreed and practicable basis for taking forward the day to day work on the project, while discussions on the wider aspects of the project's future continue.

I hope you will consider that this is a constructive way forward and will agree to resume our meeting on this basis.

Yours sincerely

Dave Miller

cc: Vince Gaskell, BA Project Director