IVI	es	sa	ge

From:	Belinda Crowe	e [	GRO					
Sent:	28/08/2014 05	5:50:06						
To:	Dave Hulbert		GRO	1				
CC:	Pheasant, Andrew [/O=BOND PEARCE/OU=First Administrative Group/cn=Recipients/cn=ajp4]; Andy Garner							
		GRO	]; Paul Inwood	GRO	; Rod Isr	may		
	G	RO	]; Rob King	GRO	; Sally Smith [	GRO		
	Andy Holt [	GRO	; David	d Oliver	GRO	; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd		
	[	GRO	; Rodric Williams		GRO	; John M Scott		
		GRO	; Parsons, And	drew [/O=BOND I	PEARCE/OU=First Adm	ninistrative		
	Group/cn=Red	cipients/cn=ap	6]					
Subject:	Re: Second Sig	ht Part Two [E	3D-4A.FID2047225	53]				

## Thanks Dave

Just to note, Fujitsu have been in contact to say that they may not be able to comment until the beginning of next week. We do, therefore, need to be able to get this out I would say next Tuesday at the very latest.

Best wishes Belinda

### Belinda Crowe

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ		
GRO Postline: GRO		
GRO		
On 27 Aug 2014, at 08:58, "Dave Hulbert"	' <	> wrote

#### **Andrew**

My comments are limited to sections 18 and 19. No comments on any other section.

#### Section 18 - response 6.

I can't comment on the details of this, but I do know from conversations with NFSP colleagues that they have made statements in the past about the recovery processes and messages being confusing. Maybe Rod Ismay can comment on this.

## Section 18 - response 7.

In terms of improvements to Horizon, all of the following could be used.

- a) Incident and Problem Management processes both of these ensure that where a branch reports an issue it is investigated and resolved. Where several instances of the same issue occurs, then a problem record is created and the root cause of the issue is identified and fixed (ie to avoid further instances). The resolution of problems can sometimes be minor amendments to processes or can result in a change to the software code via the next release.
- b) Operational reviews with Fujitsu these take place across each and every month by a number of different specialist teams in both Post Office and Fujitsu. The purpose is to monitor and review past performance, addressing any issues as required, and to prepare for known changes or events to come.
- c) Operational reviews with the NFSP these have been in place for over 10 years and have operated on either a monthly or quarterly basis across this period. It has involved the NFSP Execs meeting with senior representatives from the IT Service Teams, Network and FSC. A number of operational issues are raised via these meetings and actions taken to resolve and improve either Horizon (the system) or associated processes. Other systems are also discussed as and when relevant eg ATM's.

d) Continuous Service Improvement - this is a standard process that IT Services operates with all it suppliers. Fujitsu are particularly good in this area and have over the years developed and introduced a number of improvements. In 2012/13 [I need to check if I've got the year right] Fujitsu also provided £100k of additional funds to be used by the Post Office for improvements - they were not contractually obliged to do this. The approach we agreed with Fujitsu was to use the NFSP's input to drive the improvement initiatives which the £100k would be used on. Tri-party working, including NFSP members actively involved in demos/tests, resulted in improvements directly driven by the NFSP and funded by Fujitsu.

#### Section 19 - response 8.

This response is correct. With regards to the banking transaction example, I believe this to be standard banking procedures that the Post Office complies with. The RAC (Request, Authorise, Confirmation) and overnight reconciliation processes designed into Horizon are those we have adopted from the banking industry.

With regards to the risk of data being lost in transit, this is also correct. Should this occur, we have processes in place to recover the data from it's last known point and resubmit. The Post Office stands the costs for this process and any consequences, none of which are passed on to subpostmasters.

Regards		
Dave		
Head of IT Services		
Post Office Ltd		
GRO		
On 26 Aug 2014, at 19:13, "Pheasant, Andrew"	GRO >	wrote:
Dear all,		

Further to Belinda's email please find attached the first part of the working draft of the response to the Second Sight Part 2 Report.

We have segmented the response into sections to correspond with SS's Report. The draft to sections 14 - 22 are attached. Sections 4 - 13 will follow shortly. At this stage it is possible that POL may submit only the relevant sections of the response to applicants according to the issues raised within their cases. In addition, we will submit a covering note/letter highlighting our general concerns about the Report (such as the lack of evidence to support the thematic issues and speculation on issues outside of the scope of their instructions and expertise). There are certain parts of the report where we have asked for more detail about the processes and procedures and I would be grateful if those details could be provided.

Please can you respond with comments on any relevant sections. If do not have any comments please just confirm it is a nil response. We will collate the responses and circulate final draft before submission.

If it would be easier to discuss any issues please feel free to call.

Best regards

Andy

# **Andrew Pheasant**

Associate

for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP

<image001.jpg>
Direct:
Mobile:
Office:

Follow Bond Dickinson:

<image002.jpg><image003.png>

# www.bonddickinson.com

From: Belinda Crowe [mailto GRO

Sent: 22 August 2014 16:41

To: Dave Hulbert; Andy Garner; Paul Inwood; Rod Ismay; Rob King; Sally Smith

Cc: Andy Holt; David Oliver Angela Van-Den-Bogerd; Rodric Williams; John M Scott; Parsons, Andrew;

Pheasant, Andrew; Belinda Crowe **Subject:** Second Sight Part Two

Hi all,

I think I have now spoken to most of you and very many thanks for your assistance with this. I attach, again for some of you, a copy of the Second Sight Part Two report. We will need to get a response out on this next week and Andy Parsons is holding the pen. Although we have a lot of the information we need, we still have some gaps and I think the easiest way to deal with this is to have a core team of experts from the business who can fill the gaps and also clear the final document. I hope it will not be too onerous, indeed we do not have time for it to be.

I also hope I've got every area covered but we will soon find out if not. Those of you that already provided some information for me, I can confirm that I have passed that on to Andy.

The aim is not to produce a critique of the report but a document which would stand alone but which deals with the themes and sets out the facts related to each one, making sure we address each point. I just wanted to join everyone up to get us started. Andy will pick it up from here.

Best wishes and thanks again

Belinda

## **Belinda Crowe**

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

GRO Postline GRO

**GRO** 

\*

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. dave.hulbert GRO by is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not dave.hulbert GRO by lease notify andrew.pheasan GRO as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Bond Dickinson LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Bond Dickinson LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is St Ann's Wharf, 112 Quayside, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 3DX, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

<\_DOC\_29285013(2)\_Response to Second Sight Part 2 report - Sections 14 - 22.DOCX>

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*