Message

From: Parsons, Andrew [Andrew.Parsons GRO

Sent: 28/11/2016 18:12:09

To: Jane MacLeod [jane.macleod GRO]

CC: Rodric Williams [rodric.williams GRO]; Mark Underwood GRO ;
Patrick Bourke [patrick.bourke GRO } Rob Houghton [Rob.Houghton GRO]; Thomas P

Moran [thomas.p.moran GRO]; Prime, Amy [Amy.Prime GRO]; Lukas, Elisa

[Elisa.Lukas GRO

Subject: RE: Letter to Freeths - legally privileged [BD-4A.FID26896945]

Attachments: _DOC_34439504(3)_Rider re Remote Access.DOCX

ΑII

Please find attached the updated version of the Remote Access section for approval.

Kind regards Andy

Andrew Parsons

Partner

Bond Dickinson LLP

Bond Dickinson

Direct: Mobile: Office: GRO

Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com

From: Parsons, Andrew

Sent: 28 November 2016 12:41

To: 'Jane MacLeod'

Cc: Rodric Williams; Mark Underwood Ratrick Bourke; Rob Houghton; Thomas P Moran; Prime, Amy; Lukas, Elisa

Subject: RE: Letter to Freeths - legally privileged [BD-4A.FID26896945]

ΑII

Please find attached the updated "remote access" wording. The general direction of your comments was to be less apologetic in tone and less repetitive, both of which we've tried to accommodate in the attached.

The key issue is how far we want to go in accepting that incorrect statements have been made in the past. I believe that some of the drafting / tone changes are coming out of us not having a settled view on this issue. May I suggest that we discuss this point at 5pm with Tony and then we can finalise the drafting after that?

Kind regards Andy

From: Jane MacLeod [mailto:jane.macleod GRO GRO

Sent: 28 November 2016 07:42

To: Parsons, Andrew

Cc: Rodric Williams; Mark Underwood Patrick Bourke; Rob Houghton; Thomas P Moran; Prime, Amy; Lukas, Elisa

Subject: Re: Letter to Freeths - legally privileged [BD-4A.FID26896945]

| Α | n | d | ١ |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

In light of the comments received overnight, is it possible to look at 'just' the remote access wording and re-circulate that this morning? I would prefer not to circulate the wording from yesterday's draft more widely (Paula, Al, Mark D, Angela etc)

Could you let me know if this is possible?

Thanks

Jane

Jane MacLeod General Counsel The Post Office

GRO

Sent from my iPad

On 27 Nov 2016, at 09:39, Parsons, Andrew GRO wrote:

ΑII

Please find attached a draft Letter to Freeths in response to their last substantive letter (copy also attached). This incorporates comments from Tony and Rod.

The majority of this letter addresses legal and procedural points. The key substantive area is section 9 on Remote Access (in particular, **Rob**, I'd be really grateful if you could review this section).

Following feedback from Deloitte, we cannot definitively say that PO (as distinct from FJ) never had the ability to change Horizon data because Deloitte and the current staff at FJ just don't have enough knowledge of Old Horizon to confirm this. This was a point made in an early draft but it has now been removed.

We have (I hope) now found a formulation of words that avoids having to overtly throw FJ to the wolves and avoids any risk of waiving privilege in any documents, but still gives us a fair story to tell. We have also toned down the admissions of making incorrect statements, though they are still there. I hope this might make it easier to get this letter cleared through GE and FJ.

We have a call scheduled for 5pm tomorrow with Tony which we can use to run through any comments. Comments by email before then are also welcomed.

The final version of the letter will be thoroughly proof read before sending so please ignore any typos.

Kind regards Andy

Andrew Parsons

Partner

Bond Dickinson LLP

Bond Dickinson

POL-0021353





Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. jane.macleod GRO only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not jane.macleod GRO please notify andrew.parsons GRO as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Bond Dickinson LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Bond Dickinson LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Bond Dickinson LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SEI 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

<Letter of Response.pdf>

<_DOC_34430591(1)_Response to Letter of Reply_ 27 November 2016.DOCX>

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.