
From: Mark R Davies [GRO]
Sent: Wed 28/01/2015 4:49:20 PM (UTC)
To: Tom Wechsler [GRO]
Subject: RE: Board


Not a problem and thanks.

Best wishes,

Mark

Mark Davies | Communications and Corporate Affairs Director

1st Floor, Banner Wing, 148 Old Street, London, EC1V 9HQ



From: Tom Wechsler
Sent: 28 January 2015 16:46
To: Mark R Davies
Subject: RE: Board

Thanks. Meant to say, I'm happy to support you any time you want it in these forums. I didn't know about this until too late I'm afraid

T

Tom Wechsler



From: Mark R Davies
Sent: 28 January 2015 16:08
To: Mark Underwood1; Rodric Williams; Jane Hill
Cc: Patrick Bourke; Belinda Crowe; Chris Aujard; Melanie Corfield; Tom Wechsler; Mike Granville; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
Subject: Board

Hi all

Paula and I have briefed the Board today. Thank you for your help as ever. It was fine: more information sharing than anything else.


One question on CCRC for Rod: what information will we be providing and when do we think we will be doing so? Could we have done this proactively?

Best wishes,

Mark

Mark Davies | Communications and Corporate Affairs Director

1st Floor, Banner Wing, 148 Old Street, London, EC1V 9HQ



From: Mark Underwood1
Sent: 28 January 2015 09:10
To: Rodric Williams; Jane Hill
Cc: Mark R Davies; Patrick Bourke; Belinda Crowe; Chris Aujard; Melanie Corfield; Tom Wechsler; Mike Granville; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
Subject: RE: Sparrow Q&A and core narrative

Hi all,

We managed to get v2 of our answers to SS last night.

Attached is a comparison on what was supplied as v1 compared to what was supplied at v2.

Below are the finalised number splits for the respective answers given to date. You will note that now – excluding those (3) agreed by the WG as to wide (this is a decision taken by the WG not the PO), **Post Office has only refused to answer 4 of the 109 questions posed by Second Sight**. Though they may disagree at the select committee, if we stick to this line – I am happy we can rebut anything thrown with confidence

Question	Stand alone answer provided	Answer provided with reference to a doc provided in the Annex	Answer Provided by reference to a previous answer provided in the Paper	Not Answered - but stated that PO will provide an answer if SS identify specific cases where Applicants in the Scheme are affected	Not Answered - stated PO have already answered the question during previous correspondence	Not Answered - as 'question' is a statement	Ar
Total	53	16	11	1	3	4	
%	49%	15%	10%	1%	3%	4%	
Answered Vs Not Answered split	73%						

From: Rodric Williams
Sent: 27 January 2015 11:19

To: Jane Hill

Cc: Mark R Davies; Patrick Bourke; Belinda Crowe; Chris Aujard; Melanie Corfield; Tom Wechsler; Mark Underwood1; Mike Granville; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd

Subject: FW: Sparrow Q&A and core narrative

Hi – can we please make sure we stick to the agreed distribution list (I was working late last night on a version which had been superseded by the one below).

I have also been asked to comment on the draft opening statement, but am no longer sure which is the correct version.

Thanks, Rod

From: Jane Hill

Sent: 26 January 2015 19:05

To: Paula Vennells

Cc: Gavin Lambert; Martin Edwards; Tom Wechsler; Patrick Bourke; Mark R Davies; Mike Granville; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd; Melanie Corfield

Subject: Sparrow Q&A and core narrative

Dear Paula

Please find attached the Q&A document for the Select Committee. Apologies for the delay in getting this to you. (Mike is pulling together a more general briefing on wider issues in case raised by Committee members.)

Also attached is a suggested core narrative, broken down into bullets. We are working this up into a draft opening statement which I will circulate tomorrow.

Best wishes

Jane

Jane Hill | Head of Public Affairs

1st Floor, Banner Wing, 148 Old Street, London, EC1V 9HQ

