Project Sparrow

Having reviewed the arrangements for the delivery of Project Sparrow, there seems to be an urgent need to:

- Define the scope of the project
- Define the success criteria for the project
- Put in place adequate and dedicated resources to deliver the project
- Establish the Governance and management/accountability arrangements to ensure its successful delivery

Success criteria

By end March/April:

- The majority of Mediation Scheme applications will be through the scheme
- Individual outcomes of cases will have remained confidential
- We will have produced a final 'report' (whether Post Office/Working Group or combination to draw a line under the Scheme
- Second Sight involvement will have ended with:
 - PO re-established as credible investigators of complaints/problems (endorsed by SS)
 - o Political support for completion of SS involvement
 - o JFSA neutral position on SS involvement
- Media/political/stakeholder response to completion of the Scheme will be broadly positive
- New investigation/intervention/enforcement/ prosecutions arrangements in place, announced (possibly in the 'final report') and operating fully. Includes:
 - o Investigation arrangements
 - o Intervention arrangements
 - Prosecutions policy
 - Permanent appeals/mediation scheme in place

Project Sparrow Scope (Sponsoring Director Chris Aujard)

The scope of the project should be confined to:

- The Mediation Process and Working Group. Including:
 - Participation in the Working Group
 - Secretariat for the Working Group
 - o Administration of the scheme (maintaining records, issuing letters etc.)
 - o Investigation of applications
 - o Settlements and mediation
 - o Comms, media and stakeholder management
 - Policy
- Review of criminal cases
- Development and implementation of intervention/prosecutions policy, including potiential permanent 'complaints handling' arrangements
- Business improvement, but limited to those parts of the processes which are specifically linked to the Scheme (to be defined).

Outside scope

The wider business improvement programme, led by Angela Van Den Bogerd should not be within scope of Project Sparrow although there would need to be a close link between this and Sparrow. Accountability for this programme would rest with Kevin Gilliland as part of Network transformation, with a close link into Project Sparrow.

Resources

The present resource allocation (which is partly ad hoc) is:

- Angela Van Den Bogerd Full time on the Business Improvement Programme and the mediation scheme (member of WG and in managing the investigations process)
- Suzie McGregor Full time scheme administrator
- Andy Holt part-time programme manager
- Andy parsons (Bond Dickinson) on an as and when basis
- Belinda Crowe secretariat to Working Group and strategic support for Paula as chair of the Steering Group

We also have input from:

- Sophie Bialaszewski (Comms)
- · Rodric Williams (Legal)

For us to move from reactive to proactive now that we have an Independent Chair who is looking to progress applications swiftly through the scheme process requires full-time, dedicated resources. The number of applications already exceeds our planning assumptions (we have 86, with 2 weeks for the scheme to run).

Full time resources required for the project

A best guess (possibly modest) s we are starting to scope the work in more detail would be that we require:

Full time

Programme Director, includes:

- WG secretariat
- Scheme policy

Programme manager – Andy Holt (currently part time)

Scheme operations – Angela (full time on business improvements)

Legal – Andy Parsons (could we second him in?)

Policy/relations manager - Sophie (currently assisting)

Executive support - Suzie (already in post)

Additional resources

We also need to scope out the resources required for:

- PO investigations as the cases flow through the scheme pipeline
- PO 'mediation' resources

Governance

At present, the Steering Group makes strategic decisions and provides challenge for the project and there are two weekly meetings of the 'project team' to go through what needs to be done. Looking at the emerging scope of the programme, we should now clarify and tighten the governance and accountabilities based on the scope of the programme and the more detailed project planning underway. This will enable us to move from reactive to proactive.