
From: Alwen Lyons[/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=ALWEN.LYONS648EE5C4-F2A8-40E2-9F55-1B9B1E4F6D52]
Sent: Wed 22/05/2013 10:04:48 PM (UTC)
To: Susan Crichton[GRO]
Cc: Mark R Davies[GRO]; Martin Edwards[GRO]
Subject: RE: Briefing for Paula JA meeting 23rd May 2013 PV speaking notes

I have amended

Thanks
Alwen
Alwen Lyons | Company Secretary

 148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

 [GRO] Postline: [GRO]

 [GRO]

 [GRO]

 [@postofficenews](#)



POST
OFFICE

From: Susan Crichton
Sent: 22 May 2013 22:31
To: Martin Edwards; Alwen Lyons; Mark R Davies
Subject: RE: Briefing for Paula JA meeting 23rd May 2013 PV speaking notes

I would just make the following points –

- Although we are keen to focus on the horizon issues I am pretty sure that the original scope included process improvements
- It was originally JA's request that we included JFSA in the process and he insisted that SS needed to convince JFSA of the validity of the investigation – if his view has changed it would be helpful to make this clear during the call
- in our conversation with SS today we have agreed that they should finish the spot reviews but that these would also

be complete by end of October.

- the issue re new cases was raised by SS with Janet around end of Feb they have also raised the evidence issue re the MP's cases again via Janet.

Susan

From: Martin Edwards
Sent: 22 May 2013 21:36
To: Alwen Lyons; Susan Crichton; Mark R Davies
Subject: RE: Briefing for Paula JA meeting 23rd May 2013 PV speaking notes

Updated version of the brief with the changes discussed with Alwen earlier. Have also integrated Mark's additions.

The structure and sequence reflects what I understand is our expected position with James – i.e. we're on strong ground asking him to restrict the number of cases and this should be our first focus; he may be more reluctant to limit the review to Horizon glitches rather than wider process issues - we should test this, but if that's the case what we do need to make absolutely clear that there can be no confusion between the two in the headline conclusions. Alwen – is that a fair summing up?

From: Alwen Lyons
Sent: 22 May 2013 20:18
To: Martin Edwards; Susan Crichton
Subject: FW: Briefing for Paula JA meeting 23rd May 2013 PV speaking notes

Here it is with Mark's suggested changes

Thanks
Alwen
Alwen Lyons | Company Secretary

 148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

  Postline: 

 

 

 [@postofficenews](https://twitter.com/postofficenews)

POST
OFFICE

From: Mark Davies [GRO]
Sent: 22 May 2013 20:04
To: Alwen Lyons
Subject: Briefing for Paula JA meeting 23rd May 2013 PV speaking notes

Hi Alwen

I've had a look, see attached. I am confused as to whether we are agreeing to the second sight view that they need until end of October for the full review where they can, or whether we are being firmer and saying they finish after the three cases. Can you clarify?

Suggest you send to Martin Edwarda as well before Paula. I have added various bits here and sorry they are not in tracking - on the iPad.

I hope your day hasn't been too grim.

Best wishes
Mark

Sent from my it I