POLB 16(1<sup>st</sup>) POLB 16/1 – 16/12

#### POST OFFICE LIMITED

(Company no. 2154540) (the 'Company')

Minutes of a Board meeting held at 12.00 noon on 22 January 2016 at 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ

#### Present:

Tim Parker Chairman

Richard Callard
Alisdair Cameron
Tim Franklin
Virginia Holmes
Ken McCall
Carla Stent
Non-Executive Director
Non-Executive Director
Senior Independent Director
Non-Executive Director

Paula Vennells Chief Executive

#### In Attendance:

Alwen Lyons Company Secretary

Dave Carter Group Financial Controller (Minute 16/7)

Martin Edwards Head of Strategy and Corporate Planning Director (Minute 16/3,

16/4and 16/7)

Mark Ellis Supply Chain Director (Minute 16/3)
Martin George Commercial Director (Minute 16/4)
Jane MacLeod General Counsel (Minute 16/8)

Justin Zatouroff KPMG

#### POLB 16/1 INTRODUCTION

(a) A quorum being present, the Chairman opened the meeting and welcomed Ken McCall the new Senior Independent Director and Carla Stent the new Non- Executive Director and Chair of the ARC.

#### POLB 16/2 CEO REPORT AND TRANSFORMATION UPDATE

#### **CEO Report**

The CEO introduced her report and focused on the following key areas:

#### (a) Period 9 sales

Excellent trading over the Christmas period had ensured a very good period 9 sales result. The network had also delivered improvements in the customer service effort measures and NPS scores both of which had been a priority.

#### (b) Banking Services Framework.

The CEO thanked the Board for their support for the Banking Services Framework, which had been agreed at the Extraordinary Board meeting in December.

#### (c) Flooding

144 Post Offices had been affected by the recent floods. Support from the network team and local communities had ensured that all

but 5 had reopened. The CEO explained that she had visited some of those worst affected, and that there were some real heroes in the network.

The Chairman asked the Executive to consider how the Business could recognise and celebrate the exceptional contributions made by individuals. The CEO explained that in the past the Business had celebrated significant contributions through the Chairman's Awards and that she would consider how best to take this forward. The Board supported.

#### **ACTION:CEO**

## The CEO to consider how the Business should recognise exceptional contribution by individuals.

#### (d) SGEI Consultation

Richard Callard explained that the SGEI consultation was part of the government funding process, and a requirement of EU State Aid clearance. The current consultation was likely to be less wide ranging than had been initially planned, as Ministers felt a number of questions were operational in nature and for POL to deal with as a business as usual matter.

The only commitment in the Government's manifesto had been to retain 3000 rural branches, although ministerial expectations are that the size of the network should remain broadly at 11500 branches. The funding discussions which would start after the summer should consider the future shape of the network.

The CEO recognised that neither the Minister nor the Secretary of State had challenged the recent Crown franchising announcement. Richard Callard stressed that the shareholder understood that the Executive were managing difficult challenges and that these were Business decisions.

#### (e) Interim Report & Accounts

The CEO reported that the Interim Report & Accounts had been discussed at the ARC meeting with one challenge to the narrative. The CEO promised to circulate the Interim Report along with the press release and the Qs & As to the Board before publication.

### Circulate the Interim Report along with the press release and the Qs & As to the Board before publication.

#### (f) Industrial Relations

The CEO reported that an IR deep dive had been organised for the Chairman and invited the other NEDs to join the meeting if they would find it helpful. A full brief would also be provided for those who could not attend in person or by phone.

#### **ACTION: CoSec**

**ACTION: CFO** 

#### Invitation to be extended to all the NEDs for the IR deep dive

#### (g) NFSP

The CEO explained the NFSP funding agreement and the Federation's move to a trade association. These changes were causing concerns within the NFSP at a time when 75% of the Federation's Executive was also changing. The CEO recognised

the importance of a professional body to support subpostmasters and represent the network which had been the reason for the proposed trade association.

#### **ACTION: CEO**

To assist new Board members the CEO promised a paper to explain the rationale behind the NFSP funding and the move to a trade association.

- (h) Having taken all of the discussion issues into account, the Board noted the CEO's report.
- (i) The Board noted the Transformation Update.

#### POLB 16/3

#### IRIS

- (a) The Chairman welcomed Mark Ellis, Supply Chain Director, and Martin Edwards, Head of Strategy and Corporate Planning Director, to the meeting.
- (b) The CFO introduced project IRIS and the work undertaken to date. He explained that the work had identified cost reduction opportunities by:
  - Optimising routes and cash depots, with a saving of £9.5m, 235 FTE redundancies and the closure of 10 facilities at a cost of £11-13m
  - Withdrawing from the external market which would increase the EDITDAS improvement by an addition £4m, increase redundancies to c800 FTE but increase one off cost by £19m

The CFO believed that either option could be likely to trigger Industrial Action.

- (c) A further option to outsource the Cash Business had also been considered. The Board acknowledged the challenges of outsourcing, but recognised that management might need to use this more radical proposal in discussions with the unions.
- (d) The Board discussed the options presented in the paper and gave a strong steer to management to focus attention on the rightsizing of the fixed cost structure.

## ACTIONS: Mark Ellis

- (e) The following questions to be considered before a final paper would be presented at the March Board:
  - How would the Business, deliver the required workforce with changes to terms and conditions (excluding cutting pay), flexible shifts, and a more efficient structure?
  - The cost drivers for cash delivery are the number of cross pavement transfers and the frequency. What could be done to reduce the demand from branches? Could subpostmasters be incentivised to order less frequently?
  - What are the economics of different methods of cash delivery including subpostmaster collections?

- (f) The Board asked the CFO to ensure that the IR threat was aligned with the wider IR strategy and planned changes. The CEO gave assurance that IRIS was already included in the IR plans.
- (g) Mark Ellis left the meeting.

#### **POLB 16/4**

#### MAILS STRATEGY UPDATE

- (a) The Chairman welcomed Martin George, Commercial Director, to the meeting.
- (b) Martin George updated the Board on the work undertaken to date to define the long term mails strategy. Despite encouraging sales results in P9 and a significant uplift in the quarter 3 performance the long term threat remained.
- (c) Martin George explained that Royal Mail Group (RMG) continue to block Post Office from selling postage online, and were proposing to discount the RMG online offer which could have a considerable impact on Post Office income.
- (d) The Board discussed the relationship with RMG and asked management to focus on the costs and opportunities of an open architecture model, including the value and risks of independence from RMG.

#### ACTION: Martin George

- (e) The Board discussed the paper and asked that the following questions be considered in the March Board paper:
  - What contribution does mails income make to a subpostmaster's remuneration and how critical is this income to branch economics?
  - What is the value of the footfall from mails products for the subpostmasters, and how could an open architecture model impact subpostmaster income?
  - What priorities will RMG have in the renegotiation of the MDA and what are their cost drivers? What would they want from Post Office and how can we become vital for RMG?
  - What is the value of the Parcels2Go (P2G) acquisition does it have demonstrable value to the Post Office beyond the cashflows of the standalone business?
- (f) Having taken all of the discussion issues into account, the Board noted the Mails Strategy Update.
- (g) Martin George and Martin Edwards left the meeting.

#### **POLB 16/5**

## MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARDS AND COMMITTEES MEETINGS AND BOARD STATUS REPORT

#### (a) Minutes

The minutes of the meeting of the Board held on 25<sup>th</sup> November 2015 were <u>approved</u> as accurate records and the Chairman was <u>authorised</u> to sign them.

The minutes of the meeting of the Board held on 17<sup>th</sup> December 2015 were <u>approved</u> as accurate records and the Chairman was <u>authorised</u> to sign them.

The minutes of the meeting of the ARC held on the 21st September 2015 were noted by the Board.

#### (b) Status Report

POLB 15/112 (e): The Board noted the Trade Union update paper.

POLB 15/107 (d): The Board <u>noted</u> the Economics of the ATM Business paper.

The Status Report, showing matters outstanding from previous Board meetings, was noted.

#### POLB 16/6 FINANCIAL REPORT – PERIOD 9

- (a) The CFO introduced the Financial Report for Period 9 explaining that after a good Christmas the Business was ahead of plan for the first time in 2015/16 and that he was now cautiously optimistic that the EBITDAS target would be delivered.
- (b) Having taken all of the discussion issues into account, the Board noted the Financial Report for Period 9.

### POLB 16/7 INITIAL DISCUSSIONS ON THE 2016/17 BUDGET AND OUTLOOK FOR THE 3 YEAR PLAN

- (a) The Chairman welcomed back Martin Edwards and welcomed Dave Carter, Group Financial Controller, to the meeting.
- (b) The CFO introduced the budget discussion and explained the work underway to prepare the 2016/17 budget for presentation at the March Board.
- (c) The Board asked the CFO to ensure that the budget was based on income targets which were stretching but realistic as the current targets for some products eg Mortgages had not been robust. The CFO assured the Board that realistic income targets would be proposed for all products.

# Ensure challenging and realistic income targets are set as part of the 2016/17 budget.

- The CFO explained that the first cut of the budget had highlighted a gap of £91m between the initial budget bids and the plan. The budget process had revealed the need for a radical approach to cost reduction. The CFO stressed that the Executive were committed to delivering the target £10m loss in the plan.
- (e) The Board agreed that radical solutions would now be required to reduce and right size the cost base. The Chairman stressed that much had already been delivered but that the Executive needed to

**ACTION: CFO** 

- consider extreme solutions even if the cost of delivery affected the short term profitability.
- (f) The Board recognised that Business needed to invest in growth but would be unable to do so unless the cost base was rectified. However it would be important to monitor the top line whilst tackling the costs.
- (g) Having reviewed the work to date, the Board <u>noted</u> the cost challenge and asked the CFO to return with the 2016/17 budget having taken regard of the Board discussion.
- (h) Richard Callard explained that the timetable would be tight for the Shareholder to agree the budget, after the March Board approval, and release the funding before the end of the financial year. The CFO assured the Board that the Business would work with the Shareholder Executive to ensure they had sight of the budget as it developed.

### ACTION: Martin Edwards/CFO

It was agreed that the Business would work with the Shareholder Executive to ensure they had sight of the budget as it developed to help facilitate the process which would follow the Board decision in March.

(i) Dave Carter and Martin Edwards left the meeting.

#### POLB 16/8 TRINITY

- (a) The Chairman welcomed Jane MacLeod, General Counsel, to the meeting.
- (b) The CFO noted that the Board had previously been briefed on Project Trinity and provided an update on progress and the status of discussions with the various third parties involved.
- (c) The Board asked for a note explaining who had given assurance to the original plans and what assurance would be sought for delivery of the Trinity plan.

#### **ACTION: GC**

Provide a paper to explain what assurance was given to the original plan and what will be given to Trinity

- (d) The CFO explained that a final decision was likely to be needed in February and proposed an Extraordinary Board meeting be help at the appropriate time.
- (e) The Board noted the update and that an additional board meeting would be called in February to be held by telephone.

### POLB 16/9 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

#### **Delegated Authorities**

(a) Having taken all of the discussion issues into account, the Board approved the new delegated authorities and noted that an appropriate list of authorised signatories would be kept by the Company Secretary.

### (b) Back Office

- The CFO gave a verbal update on the Front Office project. He explained that since the September Board meeting where the Board had approved the Accenture contract, changes had occurred which meant that the contract was no longer within the original approval.
- (c) The Board asked if the changes were still necessary, and the CFO explained that to do nothing was not an option, but that he would ensure that this was explained in a paper. He thanked the Board for their patience and promised to circulate a paper with an option for a call to discuss.

#### ACTION:CFO/ COSEC

## Provide a paper on the Back Office procurement with an option for a Board conference call if required.

#### POLB 16/10 ITEMS FOR NOTING

#### Sealings

(a) The Board <u>noted</u> the Report on Sealings and <u>resolved</u> that the affixing of the Common Seal of the Company to the documents set out against items numbered 1366 to 1378 inclusive in the seal register was hereby confirmed.

#### **Prosecutions Policy**

- (b) The Board <u>noted</u> the Prosecutions Policy but challenged whether the policy should be available on the Post Office website, asking what benefit this brought to the Business.
- (c) The CEO explained that publishing the policy gave clarity to subpostmasters.

#### **ACTION: GC**

It was agreed that the GC would consider whether publication was appropriate.

(d) Having taken all of the discussion issues into account, the Board noted the new Prosecutions Policy.

#### POLB 16/11 CLOSE

(a) There being no further business, the Chairman declared the meeting close.

#### POLB 16/12 DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING

(a) It was <u>noted</u> that the next meeting of the Board would be on 21 March 2016.

Chairman	Date