## POST OFFICE LIMITED

Title:	CIJ 4 Shortfalls Assurance Review	Overall Rating	Needs Significant Improvement

## **Findings**

CIJ 4 whilst labelled Shortfalls also includes Loss Prevention and Transaction Disputes

- 53 unique actions of which 2 related to HIJ and have been excluded from the scope of the review.
- 37 (70%) actions are complete and can be demonstrated via evidence
- 14 (26%) actions require improvements, 5 of the original actions have not been completed. A further 9 actions were duplicates.

Whilst there is sufficient evidence to demonstrate the actions delivered and their sustainability, the key areas in our opinion which would need strengthening is the monitoring of shortfalls on an E2E basis and assessing the impacts the CIJ actions are having from a PM lens (for example there is no visibility at GE level for the number of aged discrepancies that are currently outstanding, metrics for remittances returned, counterfeit risks, branch closures discrepancies, obsolete stock.)

Subject	Assurance finding	Recommendation/Improvement opportunity
Discrepancies	There is no end-to-end metric on rems (inbound) to	Create and end-to-end view of discrepancies identified at Swindon
	Swindon showing the impact on the discrepancies and	and reported through to Chesterfield to enable monitoring of
	whether they have been resolved or not and whether	impact on Postmasters (both positive and negative).
	impact on Postmasters is monitored.	
Stock Dashboard	No evidence of who owns the Stock dashboard	Assign a dashboard owner
Resourcing	Since the fix was implemented, there was a reduction in	
	resourcing planned due to the introduction of Auto Rems	
	which has not been introduced.	
Investigation	The Investigation dashboard needs to identify effective	The Branch Discrepancy Improvement Programme has an action to
dashboard	root cause analysis	review this
		Provide details of the Branch Discrepancy Improvement Programme

Strictly Confidential Page 1 of 1