POLB(12)4th POLB12/28-43

Post Office Limited (company no. 2154540)

Minutes of the meeting of the Board of Directors held at 148 Old Street, London EC1V 9HQ on 15th March 2012

Present:

Alice Perkins Chairman, Post Office Ltd

Neil McCausland
Les Owen
Paula Vennells
Chris Day

Senior Independent Director, Post Office Ltd
Non Executive Director, Post Office Ltd
Managing Director, Post Office Ltd
Chief Financial Officer, Post Office Ltd

In attendance:

Alwen Lyons Company Secretary, Post Office Ltd

Lesley Sewell Interim Chief Operating Officer (item POLB 12/28) Kevin Gilliland Sales and Network Director (item POLB 12/29 & 30) Nick Kennett (item POLB12/30 & 36) Financial Services Director Legal and Compliance Director (item POLB 12/39 & 40) Susan Crichton Sarah Hall Financial Controller (item POLB 12/39) Martin Lacey Pensions Specialist (item POLB 12/39)

POLB12/28

INFRASTRUCTURE (IT AND PROCUREMENT)

- (a) Lesley Sewell explained the IT Strategy for the next 3 years which will support the wider business activity, procure circa 60 IT contracts and deliver the cost reduction challenge. The Board discussed the Strategy which involves the proposed introduction of a Service Integrator (SI) to manage IT providers. Les Owen asked if the SI would be allowed to provide any of the work towers in the framework of suppliers. (POL(12)28 Appendix 2). Lesley Sewell explained that the SI would only supply the service desk but no other tower;
- (b) Lesley Sewell emphasised that the business lacked the capability and maturity to manage the changes required to deliver the future IT infrastructure. This work was not a core competency and it made more sense to outsource. She stressed the importance of getting the SI contract terms correct and getting good governance in place to manage that contract;
- (c) Chris Day assured the Board that the SI and contracts would include efficiency targets which were already assumed in the budget;
- (d) Neil McCausland had suggested at a pre-meet that the SI approach be tested to give the Board some assurance that it was the best solution. Lesley Sewell reported that Berkeley Partnership had reviewed the SI strategy and were supportive of the approach agreeing that the SI would bring the required capabilities to the business:

- (e) Paula Vennells questioned whether the SI would in fact raise capability, as the same POL staff who were currently working in this area of the business would be TUPE'd over to the SI. Lesley Sewell assured the Board that the contract would insist on raised capability and people would not be retained if they did not achieve the required level. Paula Vennells flagged to the Board that the redundancy cost could return to POL under TUPE rules;
- (f) Les Owen asked the business to ensure that POL retained the procurement decisions for the tower contracts. Lesley Sewell assured him this was the way the SI contract would be structured. She emphasised that the SI contract negotiation was vital and the business would need to buy-in consultancy support for this work;
- (g) Lesley Sewell assured the Board that the business would retain and strengthen its in-house resource which would focus on the IT changes needed for new products and services;
- (h) the Chairman understood that Berkeley Partnership had challenged the concept of the towers within the framework, Lesley Sewell explained that the Berkeley Partnership have suggested other solutions and proposed that the framework could be structured around the business applications. She would take a further look at a different approach but thought it would be too radical a change for the business in one go. However, Lesley Sewell assured the Board that changes could be made to the proposal as it developed and the team would keep an open mind;

ACTION: Lesley Sewell

(i) Les Owen asked if the model had been successfully deployed in other organisations. Lesley Sewell reported that she had visited Rolls Royce who were currently moving to the model. She agreed to find a business who are already successfully using the SI and similar structure to benchmark. She would look at retail / services sector as well:

ACTION: Lesley Sewell

(j) Neil McCausland asked for an explanation of the Financial overview (POL(12)28 APPENDIX 1) and why the costs were so high for 13/14 and 14/15. Lesley Sewell explained that the optimised baseline was based on existing contracts including RPI increases and also included the projects involved in business transformation. Neil McCausland challenged the additional costs. He agreed the expenditure of £13.38m to implement the programme, but stated that this did not mean he agreed the targets for subsequent years. The Chairman clarified that the Board were not being asked to approve the IT Budget for subsequent years and asked Lesley Sewell and Chris Day to provide a breakdown and explanation of the optimised expected cost scenarios for the Board;

ACTION: Lesley Sewell Chris Day

(k) the board <u>endorsed</u> the proposed strategy subject to the points made and <u>authorised</u> expenditure of £13.8m for the implementation of the programme.

POLB12/29

NETWORK TRANSFORMATION

(a) Agency

Les Owen asked if the business had the necessary skills to assess Subpostmaster business plans submitted for Network Transformation. Kevin Gilliland assured the Board that the business was experienced in these assessments as 10% of branches change every year and the business plans for all these changes were put through a retail assessment and then a financial assessment led by Chris Day's team;

- (b) the Chairman emphasised the importance of the selection criteria used to prioritise branches and the need for them to be fairly and robustly applied. Neil McCausland suggested a further criteria to look at the benefit for the Subpostmaster as this could provide advocacy for the change;
- (c) Kevin Gilliland explained that most of the negative press for Network Transformation was focused on locals and a previous 'down-grading' of service. He reported that 'locals' could carry out 98% of the core business carried out by the whole Network which would move closer to 100% once a manual solution was in place for business banking.

(d) Crowns

The Chairman congratulated Kevin Gilliland on the progress being made. Neil McCausland encouraged the business to push for more trials. Kevin Gilliland explained that the most difficult area of the plan was the staff savings and that achieving the targets would rely on engaging the front line staff. This was why more time had been spent on the initial trial at Birmingham. Some of the staff at this office are now being used as advocates in the next trial offices. The Chairman reiterated that the Board was pleased with what it had seen and understood that cultural change was needed, but encouraged the business to keep pushing for faster deployment. Paula Vennells agreed and suggested that six to ten pilots are now needed instead of the couple which are planned:

ACTION: Kevin Gilliland Chris Day

(e) Neil McCausland asked for a reconciliation between the numbers in the paper and the Crown P&L.

POLB12/30

FS SALES

(a) Nick Kennett explained the suggested management structure and the importance of the relationship between the financial services representative (FSR) and the branch staff. Neil McCausland asked what incentives would be in place for the FSR. Nick Kennett explained that a qualified FSR would earn circa £25k with an incentive of an additional £10k available if reaching sales targets. Kevin Gilliland reported that the structure of the branch staff incentive was still to be agreed;

Neil McCausland suggested that the new FSR model be built into (b) the Crown Trial, including branch incentives. Kevin Gilliland accepted this would be a good place to trial new concepts. Nick Kennett reported that the Birmingham trial had already seen an up lift in sales which if replicated through the Network would bring a £1m additional profit:

ACTION: **Kevin Gilliland**

- (c) Les Owen asked for clarification as to whether the proposed FSR role could give advice. Nick Kennett confirmed that although the FSR would be qualified they would not give advice but would perform an assisted sale;
- Les Owen highlighted the potential opportunity as other financial (d) organisations' advisors move to charging a fee post RDR (Retail Distribution Review). Up to 40% of the population will no longer be given free financial services advice and this presented an opportunity for the Post Office.

POLB12/31

MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

- The minutes of the meeting of 9th February 2012 were agreed; (a)
- the Minutes of the POFS Board Meeting (POFS(12)1st) were noted. (b) Paula Vennells challenged the minuting of the MDA Commercial Contract; she will ensure it is challenged at the next Midasgrange Board.

POLB12/32

MATTERS ARISING – Status Report

(a) POLB11/62/(c) Appendix A

ACTION: Nick Kennett

ACTION:

Neil McCausland

Les Owen asked for a report of the number of personal injury referrals made by Junction. Neil McCausland agreed it would be good to track these especially as they are part of an incentive scheme. Because of her potential conflict of interest on this issue, the Chairman asked Neil McCausland to take ownership of it to ensure the business was getting the required information and challenging Junction to ensure that the Post Office was beyond criticism.

POLB12/33 MANAGING DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- Paula Vennells updated the Board that cheques would now be (a) acceptable at 'locals' as a new manual process had been agreed;
- (b) Paula Vennells told the board about the successful BIS week where 500 Senior Civil Servants attended meetings and seminars with the Front Office of Government (FOoG) team with very positive feedback.

POLB12/34

HEALTH AND SAFETY UPDATE

The Health & Safety Update (POLB(12)34) was noted. (a)

POLB12/35

FINANCE/PERFORMANCE REPORT

(a) Chris Day presented the period 10 performance which continued to show strong sales in FS and Mails as well as accelerated spend in key investment expenditure. He also reported that period 11 continued the strong performance. The Full Year interim forecast was now £65 - £70m "profit" including the network subsidy payment of £180m. Les Owen asked if there was a risk that the Government would reduce the Network Payment because of the good performance. Chris Day reported that this had been a concern especially around cash flow, but was unlikely because the business had already made the case for next year.

POLB12/36

EAGLE UPDATE

(a)

ACTION: Les Owen

ACTION: Nick Kennett

negotiations. He explained the problems with the valuation of the future portfolio which would be sold when the contract expired. Les Owen suggested an alternative proposal and the Chairman asked that Les Owen and Nick Kennett convene after the meeting to discuss. Nick Kennett to send a note round to the Board to summarise the discussion:

Nick Kennett gave the Board an oral update on the Eagle

(b) Nick Kennett reported that the Bank had re-opened discussions on FSR numbers, an area which they had previously dropped in the Heads of Terms. The Chairman told Nick Kennett to relay the Board's disappointment that this issue, which they thought had been settled, was now being re-opened.

ACTION: Nick Kennett

POLB12/37

RESIGNATION OF DIRECTOR - LES OWEN

The Board <u>noted</u> the resignation of Les Owen as Non Executive Director effective 15 March 2012 and the Company Secretary was authorised to file the necessary TM01 form with Companies House. The Chairman thanked Les Owen for his contribution to the Board.

ACTION: Alwen Lyons

POLB12/38

BUDGET AND OPERATIONAL PLAN 2012-13

- (a) Chris Day took the Board through the budget and operating plan. He explained that he had incorporated the feedback from the budget workshop into the adjusted budget figures. He stressed that the business was not building in costs for independence without due scrutiny, but recognised the concern about the existing base costs. He explained that the non-staff costs already included a considerable stretch challenge of £15m unidentified savings and suggested a stretch of £8m in the staff and agents costs to be held centrally as a contingency;
- (b) Neil McCausland challenged the increase in staff costs and Les Owen supported his challenge as the 12/13 staff cost budget was above the strategic plan agreed with SHEX. Chris Day explained that the increase in costs driven by separation had been shared with SHEX who accepted them but obliged the business to find a way to cover the costs. Les Owen suggested that the business

consider using a cost reduction firm to look at the staff costs in the business. The Chairman agreed that the business should look hard at its costs but noted that it would need to balance this work against the importance of delivering Network change and revenue growth;

- (c) Chris Day emphasised the need for short term increases in costs but also acknowledged the requirement for an efficiency agenda with forward looking productivity measures. He explained that a company called Vanguard are looking at working practices and reengineering processes in the Network;
- (d) the Chairman suggested that Paula Vennells and Chris Day discuss the medium term cost reduction challenge with the Executive Team to decide how they will improve productivity without jeopardising the major transformation programmes, and report back to the Board. Neil McCausland supported this approach;

ACTION: Paula Vennells Chris Day

ACTION: Chris Day

- (e) Chris Day to circulate to the Board the updated budget figures including contingency;
- (f) the 2012-13 budget was approved.

POLB12/39

PENSIONS - NEXT STEPS

(a) Chris Day introduced the Pensions paper which had been produced to provide background for the Board. Sarah Hall and Martin Lacey were on hand to give additional information.

ACTION: Martin Lacey

The Chairman mentioned the issue of increasing employee contribution rate and asked Martin Lacey to start a piece of work on what POL's options are re employee contributions.

ACTION: Susan Crichton

Les Owen asked whether POL intended to take independent advice with regard to the comments made in para 5.3 as to the legal standing of the promise – Susan Crichton confirmed that she thought that POL should take independent legal advice.

Les Owen also commented on salary sacrifice stating that although this was common in the private sector, it may not be acceptable in the POL context.

Chris Day then asked Sarah Hall to outline the increased POL contribution rate. She explained that the RM guidance for this year was that the P&L pension rate should be increased from 17.1 to 18.2%. Sarah Hall also mentioned that this flowed through into cash assumptions. This assumed a stable population but the reality might be different. Les Owen asked about the risk that the contribution rate could increase up to as much as 24%. Sarah Hall responded that this would equate to a cash risk of c£9m per annum which should be manageable within the context of POL's cash flows.

Following a question with regard to the POL section of the RMPP it was explained that POL would have a separate section of the pension fund but would use the same trustees as RMG until one of

two things happened: either that there was a sale of RMG to the private sector or it became apparent that the strategic objectives of POL and RMG ceased to be aligned. It was concluded that for time being and provided the interests of both companies were aligned and that the RMPP governance arrangement was satisfactory and cost effective.

ACTION: Chris Day

The Chairman mentioned that one of the Non Executives who would shortly be joining the board had a particular interest/expertise in pension matters so suggested that Chris Day should engage with her regarding POL's pension issues. The POL Board will avoid taking any unnecessary long-term irrevocable decisions until the new NED has been fully engaged.

ACTION: Susan Crichton

It was thought that three months would be required to develop the work with respect of risk appetite and looking at the financial impact of the various options. This work will be deferred until the new NED has been fully engaged.

There was a brief discussion with regard to the RMSEPP scheme where Les Owen informed the board that RMG is seeking a final legal interpretation of the RMSEPP pension revaluation rule. Martin Lacey outlined a proposal that RM is considering regarding a lump sum contribution to the RMSEPP Trustees in return for certainty of future contributions until March 2018. POL has the option to participate in this arrangement this year. The Chairman suggested that the Board were not in a position to make a decision on this proposal and that a paper should be circulated

ACTION: Martin Lacey

The board agreed to delegate authority to Chris Day as set out in the board paper.

POLB12/40

BOARD GOVERNANCE UPDATE

- (a) Susan Crichton reported that the European Commission are likely to approve the UK Government's notification that the aid to be given to POL is State Aid in accordance with the EU SGEI Framework on 28th March and that SHEX have sent an email expressing comfort that they are confident that this will happen to that timetable. The UK Government's application is going through inter service consultation and no issues have been raised. Chris Day having reviewed POL's financial position was content with the support from SHEX. The Board took comfort from the assurance and deemed it was not necessary to write to SHEX at this point;
- (b) Susan Crichton explained the stages the business needed to complete before separation took place on the 2nd April; all the activities were contingent on Royal Mail Pension Solution State Aid;
- (c) Susan Crichton reported that the new POL Articles of Association were not yet finalised as additional tax clarification was required. At the request of the shareholder the Articles are aligned with those of RM Group, apart from the section on Delegated Authorities where POL will have to get SHEX approval for spend of over £50m. Susan Crichton and Chris Day were asked to update and circulate a

ACTION: Chris Day Susan Crichton

proposal for Delegated Authorities below SHEX level;

(d) the Articles also require SHEX sign off of a 5 year rolling Strategic Plan. Paula Vennells pointed out that the operational intention of the Articles was different to the actual words and wanted comfort that this would not cause problems. Susan Crichton explained that a ways of working document would be put in place between SHEX / BIS and POL with read across for the Articles to ensure they worked in practice. The Chairman was comfortable to leave Susan Crichton and Paula Vennells to come to a working accommodation on this with BIS:

ACTION: Susan Crichton/ Paula Vennells

(e) the Board resolved that a sub committee be formed to give effect to the legal requirements necessary for the Transaction to move Post Office Limited to become a sister company of Royal Mail Group Limited (the "Transaction"). This sub committee comprising of Paula Vennells and Chris Day would execute the documents required to give effect to the Transaction including, but not limited to, the secondment termination agreement; the new Articles of the Company; the Shareholder approvals and the subscription letter in connection with the special share. Minutes of this sub committee would be circulated to the Board:

ACTION: Alwen Lyons

(f) POL Sealing Authorities

Susan Crichton advised the Board of the need to revise the delegated authority to authenticate the fixing of the Company's seal.

The Board <u>approved</u> that the affixing of the company seal may be authenticated by any current Director of the Company or the Company Secretary or Assistant Company Secretary or the following signatory:

Susan Crichton

(g) Appointment of Alice Perkins to the Royal Mail Holdings Board

The Board <u>noted</u> the appointment of Alice Perkins to the RMH Board;

it was noted that Alice Perkins had notified the Board in accordance with article 89(B) of the Company's Articles of Association that she was proposing to take up a position as a director of Royal Mail Holdings plc ("RMH") and that this could be regarded as reasonably likely to give rise to a conflict of interest for the purposes of section 175 of the Companies Act 2006 (the "Act"). The Board considered this interest and position and noted the potential for certain situations to give rise to a conflict of interest or conflict of duty. The Board also noted the terms of the Letter Agreement from the Department of Business, Innovation and Skills to Alice Perkins dated 15th March 2012 regarding the structure of the RMH group, corporate governance arrangements and the Crown indemnity in favour of Alice Perkins;

it was resolved that it would promote the success of the Company for Alice Perkins to remain a director of the Company notwithstanding her position and interest as a director of RMH and accordingly that such position and interest shall be authorised for the purposes of section 175 of the Act and in accordance with article 89 of the Articles of Association, and that such authorisation shall extend to any actual or potential conflict which may arise out of the matter so authorised:

the Board may notify Alice Perkins from time to time of any additional obligations or restrictions that it considers appropriate for her to observe in order to manage the conflict situation;

in respect of a matter to which this authority relates, Alice Perkins shall not be obliged to disclose to the Company any information in respect of which she owes a duty of confidentiality to a person other than the Company;

this authority may be terminated by the Board at any time;

subject to any duty of confidentiality, Alice Perkins shall be required to notify the Board as soon as reasonably practicable if there occurs any other material change of circumstances of which in her reasonable opinion the Board should be aware if it were considering granting or renewing any such authorisation;

it was noted that, pursuant to Article 89 and section 175(6) of the Act, the meeting was quorate without counting Alice Perkins and Alice Perkins did not vote on the foregoing resolution.

POLB12/41

ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- (a) Appointment of Yorkshire Bank as Bankers POLB(12)51
 The Board approved the appointment of Yorkshire Bank;
- (b) the Chairman informed the Board that, following their recommendation to the Shareholder Executive, Virginia Holmes had been given Ministerial approval to become a POL Non-Executive Director and would join the Board in April;
- (c) the Chairman explained that she and the Company Secretary had met James Arbuthnot MP, at his request to discuss the Subpostmaster cases questioning the integrity of the Horizon system. The Chairman hoped that she could find a way to convince him and other MPs that the system was not at fault. This might mean looking at a further independent study of the issues.

ACTION: Paula Vennells

POLB12/42

NOTING PAPERS

- (a) Significant Litigation Report (POLB(12)42) was noted.
- (b) Post Office Sealings (POLB(12)43) was noted.
- (c) Communication Action Group Minutes (POLB(12)44) were noted.
- (d) Olympics (POLB(12)45) was noted.
- (e) Privacy Compliance Strategy (POLB(12)46) was noted.
- (f) Verification of Telecoms Supplier (POLB(12)47 was noted.
- (g) Treasury Authority Levels (POLB(12)48) was noted.

- (h) RMG Price Changes (POLB(12)49) was noted.
- (i) Horizon Update (POLB(12)50) was noted.
 - a) Lesley Sewell reported that a tactical review was underway to understand the single points of failure within the system. A more strategic review was also needed for re-visiting decisions made on critical back-up for system failures. Lesley Sewell would return to the Board with the outcome of the two reviews and the options available for the future.

ACTION: Lesley Sewell

b) the Chairman informed the Board that she and Paula Vennells were meeting with Duncan Tait (CEO Fujitsu) and Rod Vawdry (Vice chairman of Fujitsu) that evening.

POLB12/43 CLOSE

(a) There being no other business, the Chairman closed the meeting.