Witness Name: BARBARA LONGLEY

Statement No.: WITN0450_01

Exhibits: WITN0450_01/1 to WITN0450_01/7

Dated: 12 September 2022

POST OFFICE HORIZON IT INQUIRY

FIRST WITNESS STATEMENT OF BARBARA LONGLEY

I, BARBARA LONGLEY, will say as follows:

INTRODUCTION

 This witness statement is made to assist the Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry (the "Inquiry") with the matters set out in the Rule 9 Request No 1 dated 12 July 2022 (the "Request").

PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

2. I held 3 Secretarial roles in ICL Retail in 1980s.

About 1992 I was a Visits Executive arranging site visits, travel, accommodation, entertainment for potential overseas customers,

About 1996 I joined SSC as SSC Co-ordinator

(I can see now from the documents sent that I actually joined on 23/1/1998)

- 3. My role in relation to Pathway/Horizon was assigning calls off the PINICL to technicians in the team. I had learnt which technician dealt with each situation. I can't remember exactly what was involved with the process now it was so long ago, but I would basically move the call from my call stack to the technician's stack. I knew it was the right technician for the reason above and no complaints.
- 4. SSC was the name given to the team. My role was arranging rotas, Annual Leave records, photocopying, scheduling appraisals, stationery, post and generally looking after needs of the team. Also assigning calls to technicians.
- 5. The training I received involved sitting beside Hazel Salvat each day for several weeks until she was satisfied that I was able to do the job.

PinICLs, PEAKs and KELs

- 6. I cannot explain any of that as I was not a technician and cannot remember after all this time. I do remember that a KEL was a database kept by the technicians for when they solved a problem others could refer to that solution.
- I don't think I was the actual administrator for the PINICL system as I had no technical knowledge of any of the systems, I just allocated the calls to technicians.

- 8. I have considered WITN0450_01/1 and I have no idea what Privilege Plus was but I think it probably gave me access to the call logging system.
- 9. Calls were assigned to an available technician in team specialising in that particular problem. The allocation was effective because the call got dealt with by correct person on time with no complaints.
- 10.1 cannot recall there being any particular challenges to allocating the calls.
- 11. The system for resolving issues seemed effective to me because I didn't know any different and it would not have been up to me to change anything not being a technician or someone who would make changes.
- 12.I cannot remember now whether an issue was defined as an ICL issue or a Post Office one. I am not even sure whether I would have made this decision.
- 13.I cannot honestly remember now who the development team were or even where they were located. This was 17 to 27 years ago! I think this question not relevant to my role anyway. Someone higher up would know all about relationships, not me.

14. It was not part of my role to resolve or close issues or attribute them to user error. It was up to technicians, managers and above, to tell you impacts and
who was involved.
15. I have considered WITN0450_01/2 to WITN0450_01/7. I would not have known
how common complaints regarding missing transactions or similar errors were.
Technicians and above, who might keep statistics, would.
16.I can't recall any change in complaints, and I didn't keep records, so I don't
know.
17.I can't recall any issues standing out but the technicians and above would be
more likely to know.
18.I did not decide whether to close calls, that was technicians and above.
19.I had no contact with users.
20. I don't believe I was involved in the Horizon Roll Out, so I don't have any opinion
on it.

GENERAL

- 21. My predessessor Hazel Salvat trained me well and I was as confident as I could be knowing I had people I could ask for help if required.
- 22. I don't believe I was involved in the Horizon Roll Out, so I don't have any opinion on it.
- 23.1 retired Sept 2005. It would have been earlier but had to stay on to train my successor. I cannot remember her name after all this time but think it was Lorraine somebody.
- 24.I had no reason during my time to think anything negative about Horizon. I don't think I gave it much thought really.
- 25. Naming individuals on performance would not be something I would do or have a true opinion of, it would be down to the manager or above who did appraisals for instance. I cannot remember names of hardly anyone I worked with after all this time.
- 26. It would be much more relevant to put these questions to the technicians and higher ups who knew what went on in the background. From looking at these new documents, the date I was set up with access would have been the date I joined. From that date, whilst still in training, until May 1998, all narrative on

WITN04500100 WITN04500100

calls was dictated to me by my predecessor. Hazel Salvat. I worked in a non technical, more clerical role from 17 to 27 years ago and am 77 years old now with a very poor memory of those long forgotten days. I cannot contribute anything useful to your inquiry.

Statement of Truth

I believe the content of this statement to be true.

Signed: GRO)
Dated: 12/09/2022	

INDEX TO FIRST Witness Statement of Barbara Longley

No.	Exhibit Number	Document Description	Control Number	URN
1	WITN0450 01/1	PinICL PC000771 - Privilege Plus Access for Barbara Longley - 23 January 1998	POINQ0016526F	FUJ00010355
2	WITN0450 01/2	PinICL – PM missed payment – 26 January 1998	POINQ0016559F	FUJ00010388
3	WITN0450 01/3	PinICL – PM encashing pensions book – 4 February 1998	POINQ0016687F	FUJ00010516
4	WITN0450 01/4	PEAK Incident Management System	POINQ0092745F	FUJ00086585
<u>5</u>	WITN0450 01/5	PEAK Incident Management System Report re: ongoing system errors	POL-0025225	POL00028743
<u>6</u>	WITN0450 01/6	PEAK Incident Management System Report	POINQ0092745F	FUJ00086578
7	WITN0450 01/7	PEAK Incident Management System	POINQ0092988F	FUJ00086822