Export

Peak Incident Management System

Call Reference	PC0103864	Call Logger	Customer Call EDSC
Call Reference		Call Logger	
Release	Reported In BI_3S52R-Provisional	Top Ref	<u>E-0406030482</u>
Call Type	Live Incidents/Defects	Priority	B Business restricted
Contact	EDSC	Call Status	Closed Unpublished known error
Target Date	No Forcast	Effort (Man Days)	0
Summary	FAD281306 - able to do 2 TIs for the same TO		
All References	Type	Value	
	SSCKEL	KEL CObeng2025L	
	Powerhelp	E-0406030482	

Progress Narrative

CALL PC0103864 open/upd Details entered are:-

Date:03-Jun-2004 11:48:14 User: Customer Call

Summary:pm reports that he had a problem with some transfe

```
Call Type:L
Call Priority:B
Target Release: Unknown
[END OF REFERENCE 38134021]
Date/Time Raised: Jun 3 2004 11:07AM
Priority: B
Contact Name: Mr Mogal
                   GRO
Contact Phone:
Originator: Phelp
Originator's reference: E-0406030482
Product Type: Riposte
Product Serial No:
Product Site: 281306
03/06/04 11:07 pm reports that he had a problem with some transfers
yesterday, he was transferring stock and cash between the aa main stock unit
and the bb shared stock unit and although only one transaction shows for the
transfer out the transactions were transferred into the bb stock unit twice
giving the pm a discrepancy
03/06/04 11:12 GB082294
Information: pm reports that on 02/06/03 @ 11:32 he transferred
£10,040.00 in cash from the aa stock unit into bb the session number
for the transfer out is 1-492801-1 and the user name is
SMU001, at 15:55 the transfer was accepted into the bb stock
unit on session number 3-2298832-1 under the user name ASU001
they then tried to roll the aa unit over but were unable to
do so as the system reported transfer pending from aa into
bb, the transfer in to bb was accepted again at 16:44 on
session number 4-1476738-1 under user name SMU001 and they were
then able to roll the aa stock unit over with no discrepancy
03/06/04 11:17 GB082294
Information: again on 02/06/04 the pm transferred out of aa into bb
£11,500 in cash, the cash was transferred out on session number
4-1476587-1 @ 16:00 under the user name SMU001, and was
accepted into bb stock unit at 16:01 on session number
3-2298845-1 but again aa reported transfer pending and the cash was
accepted into bb stock unit again on session number
4-1476729-1 under user name SMU001
03/06/04 11:20 GB082294
Information: the last transfer was £750.00 in cash this was done in two
transaction, one of £250 and one of £500, pm reports that
cash was transferred out on 4-1476596-1 @ 16:09 under user
name SMU001 and was accepted into bb stock unit @ 16:09 on
session numbers 3-2298854-2 and 3-2298856-3 again the aa
reoported transfer pending when they tried to roll it and the
transfer were accepted in on bb again at 16:44 on session numbers
4-1476719-2 and 4-1476719-3
03/06/04 11:25 GB082294
Information: pm reports that the transaction log shows only one transfer
out for each item but the transfers in show that each
transaction has been accepted into the bb twice and this has
caused him a discrepancy and he would like this investigated ,
this call was passed to hish from Tier two at the nbsc and
they have also requested that the problem be investigated
03/06/04 11:39 GB082294
Advice: we have retreived the standard log from the counter 4 were
pm performed the transaction logs to provide times session
number and user names and this shows details as outlined by
pm, the value of £10,040 shows three times once as a transfer
out of aa and twice as a transfer in the bb, the file id
```

```
for standard log is 471626
this was done at 11:40 on 03/06/04
03/06/04 11:44 GB082294
Recommend: please attempt to determine why the pm has had to resolve a
transfer issue by transferring in to a stock unit twice
03/06/04 11:45 GB082294
KEL Ref No.: no applicable kels found
03/06/04 11:46 SYSADM
Open OTI: Automatic Open OTI
***Updated by Mary Rainbow at 03/06/04 11:46:27
03/06/04 11:45 GB082294
REASSIGN: Call # E-0406030482 was Reassigned from Mary Rainbow, Group
HSH8 to Group EDSC1
Date:03-Jun-2004 11:51:19 User:Lina Kiang
The call Target Release has been move to:
Reported In -- BI 3S52R-Provisional
Date: 03-Jun-2004 11:51:54 User: Lina Kiang
Product EPOSS & DeskTop Counter Common added.
Date:03-Jun-2004 11:52:16 User:Lina Kiang
[Start of Response]
PRESCAN: Done
[END OF REFERENCE 381340991
Response code to call type L as Category 40
Response was delivered to Powerhelp
Hours spent since call received: 0 hours
Date: 03-Jun-2004 11:54:13 User:Lina Kiang
The call summary has been changed from:-
om reports that he had a problem with some transfe
The call summary is now:-
FAD281306 - able to do 2 TIs for the same TO
Date:03-Jun-2004 11:54:38 User:Lina Kiang
The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Catherine Obeng
Progress was delivered to Powerhelp
Date:03-Jun-2004 12:01:15 User:Lina Kiang
Defect cause updated to 99: General - Unknown
Date:03-Jun-2004 16:38:01 User:_Customer Call
EMPTY 03/06/04 16:35 uk952760 HSH1 Repeat Call: pm states that he wants
an update - advised pm that the
matter is still under investigation - pm stated that it he
would like someone to contact him with updates regulary
Date:03-Jun-2004 18:31:23 User:Catherine Obeng
[Start of Response]
On 02-Jun-04, user SMU001 carried out a Transfer Out [TO] txns on counter one
and left the session running, therefore Automatic system logout occurred. It
appears that the system did not complete the txn tidily after the forced
logout which occurs after 55 minutes of activity.
As a result, the selected transfer could not be completed, as it was
perceived by the system as currently being processed by another user, i.e.
SMU001.
Even though user ASU001 appears to have TI the txn, in actual fact the
original TO txns were still outstanding until the original user, SMU001
transferred them, TI. Investigation continues...
I attempted to call the PM at 18:05 but there was no reply. SMC please
update the PM when s/he next call in.
[END OF REFERENCE 381374901
Response code to call type L as Category 40
Response was delivered to Powerhelp
Hours spent since call received: 0 hours
Date: 08-Jun-2004 10:19:14 User: Catherine Obeng
[Start of Response]
All nodes were connected at the time that the TransferIn txns were attempted.
No session transfers took place during that day.
Eventlog from node 4 suggests that Riposte replication had not been
```

successful and so while node 3 had successfully TI the txns, this information

F/210/2

was not apparent to node 4 thus it was perceived by node 4 that those txns were outstanding waiting to be TI. Therefore when the user SMU001 logged onto node 4, he was presented with Outstanding Transfer message which had to be accepted or declined. The user chose to accept them even though he tells me that at this stage he was a little concerned because he was certain that user ASU010 had already TI on node 3. This has created a discrepancy on their Cash Account £22,290.00. Also the Host has reported a reconciliation error in TPSC256 for £44,580.00.

[END OF REFERENCE 38150527]

Response code to call type L as Category 40 Response was delivered to Powerhelp Hours spent since call received: 0 hours

Date:08-Jun-2004 14:45:49 User:Catherine Obeng

[Start of Response]

As a temporary solution, NBSC advised the PM to place the discrepancy into the Suspense Account. Routing call to Dev to advice whether SSC can amend the Messagestore in order to put the CA right.

[END OF REFERENCE 38152528]

Response code to call type L as Category 40 Response was delivered to Powerhelp Hours spent since call received: 0 hours

Date:08-Jun-2004 14:47:02 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence Added - Complete Messagestore

Date:08-Jun-2004 14:48:43 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence Added - Errors in TPSC Reports 252, 256, 268A

Date:08-Jun-2004 14:49:23 User:Catherine Obeng

Evidence Added - App Eventlogs

Date:08-Jun-2004 14:51:43 User:Catherine Obeng

MSU are waiting for Development's response before they contact POL to advise of the error and to seek their approval for amending the Messagestore. See PC0103925.

Date:08-Jun-2004 14:52:40 User:Catherine Obeng

The Call record has been transferred to the team: EPOSS-Dev Progress was delivered to Powerhelp

Date:08-Jun-2004 17:20:13 User:Matt Arris

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Martin McConnell Progress was delivered to Powerhelp

Date:09-Jun-2004 09:14:42 User:Martin McConnell

Passing this to Matt Arris for appropriate routing, transfers aren't a speciality of mine.

Date:09-Jun-2004 09:14:59 User:Martin McConnell

The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Matt Arris Progress was delivered to Powerhelp

Date:09-Jun-2004 12:45:26 User:_Customer Call

EMPTY 09/06/04 12:42 UK951613 $\overline{\text{HS}}$ H8 Repeat Cal $\overline{\text{I}}$: Pm has called back in advising that Cathrin iwaiting for a call back 09/06/04 12:42 UK951613 HSH8 Information: Steve cooper contacted cathrin who has advised that she will call the pm back

Date:09-Jun-2004 15:35:37 User:_Customer Call_

EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY OTI Astea OTI Success: An add has been sent to PINICL 09/06/04 15:29 uk952601 HSH1 ReOpen OTI: pm called in again still waiting to here from cathereine as they cant rollover without them 09/06/04 15:29 uk952601 HSH1 Advice: advised i will escalate to catherine and call her. EMPTY EMPTY OTI Astea OTI Success: Tried to send call again 09/06/04 15:31 uk952601 HSH1 Information: spoke with catherine. She will call pm back as soon as

```
possible.
Date:09-Jun-2004 15:53:52 User:Catherine Obeng
[Start of Response]
I have phoned the PM back and advised that he speaks to the NBSC about the
discrepancy when he comes to roll over.
[END OF REFERENCE 38157260]
Response code to call type L as Category 40
Response was delivered to Powerhelp
Hours spent since call received: 0 hours
Date: 09-Jun-2004 19:08:57 User: Matt Arris
Target Date/Time updated: new value is 31/12/9999 00:00
[Start of Response]
Apparently the discrepancy will keep appearing each week until the amount is
committed to the Suspense Account. There is little point in Development
trying to manually tweak the messagestore to remove this problem as the
damage was done when the first discrepancy was accepted. Now the problem is
visible it should be dealt with in the Suspense Account.
I'll transfer the call to Martin for him to clarify where necessary. Martin
can you return Advice and Guidance please.
[END OF REFERENCE 38158792]
Response code to call type L as Category 42
Hours spent since call received: 2 hours
Date:09-Jun-2004 19:10:50 User:Matt Arris
The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Martin McConnell
Progress was delivered to Powerhelp
Date:10-Jun-2004 11:22:17 User:Catherine Obeng
The Call References have been updated. They are now :-
TOP Powerhelp : E-0406030482
SSCKEL : CObeng2025L
Date:10-Jun-2004 11:22:57 User:Catherine Obeng
KEL CObeng2025L created.
Date:11-Jun-2004 15:11:29 User:Martin McConnell
Attached will be a spreadsheet and the set of transactions that I think are
responsible for the error. The spreadsheet is ALL transactions that account
to StockUnit BB and I have presented a data view for the discrepancies
committed. I do not even know if I am expected to be doing a summary
inpsection nevertheless the attached give a 'view' as stated.
As far as the system is concerned, there seems to be a flaw in riposte
informing Counter 4 that the transfer object BB-1-492803 has been erased.
This is an Escher Riposte problem as far as I concerned, the transfer
mechanism already has a belt and braces approach, if we can't trust the
underlying software to replicate this information through to all other
counters, what are we supposed to be able to do? If someone wants to press
for a fix, I suggest this is pointed at the Escher team for them to sort out.
Date:11-Jun-2004 15:12:14 User:Martin McConnell
Evidence Added - Spreadsheet of SU BB.
Date:11-Jun-2004 15:13:00 User:Martin McConnell
Evidence Added - 3 Pairs of Transfer transactions.
Date:11-Jun-2004 15:16:35 User:Martin McConnell
[Start of Response]
Please see earlier evidence and comments on this bug. I think a third party
should verify the amounts etc. that may be sanctioned as the Stockunit being
actually out by, I'm not sure the PM will be happy with what I've presented,
but I can only go on what I have found.
[END OF REFERENCE 381670671
Response code to call type L as Category 94
Hours spent since call received: 0 hours
Date:11-Jun-2004 15:27:44 User:Barbara Longley
                                                                                                                             F/210/4
```

```
The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Catherine Obeng
Progress was delivered to Powerhelp
Date:11-Jun-2004 15:45:34 User:Catherine Obeng
[Start of Response]
There were three rough transactions involved which amounts to total
£22290.00. The £10040.00 that Martin McConnell picks up on in SU BB is only
one of three txns that is in question. Please see the txn details are given
out in the first part of the call details above which was taken the 1st Line
when the PM first called in with the problem on 03-Jun-2004.
[END OF REFERENCE 38167325]
Response code to call type L as Category 40
Response was delivered to Powerhelp
Hours spent since call received: O hours
Date:11-Jun-2004 16:30:17 User:Catherine Obeng
[Start of Response]
Martin MacConnell's recommendation is to put the £22290.00 which is adrift
into the Suspense Account.
I am routing call to MSU to raise an Error Notice to inform POL of this
incident. Also please notify NBSC to contact the PM and advise him of this
course of action.
[END OF REFERENCE 38167473]
Response code to call type L as Category 40
Response was delivered to Powerhelp
Hours spent since call received: 0 hours
Date:11-Jun-2004 16:30:45 User:Catherine Obeng
The Call record has been transferred to the team: MSU-Indt Mgt
Progress was delivered to Powerhelp
Date:22-Jun-2004 15:36:46 User:Michael King
The Call record has been transferred to the team: MSU-Indt Mgt
Progress was delivered to Powerhelp
Date:22-Jun-2004 15:38:18 User:Michael King
[Start of Response]
reconciliation data provided to POL. Routing back to EDSC. does this need
to be routed to Escher for a fix?
[END OF REFERENCE 38212257]
Response code to call type L as Category 40
Hours spent since call received: 0 hours
Date:22-Jun-2004 15:38:27 User:Michael King
The Call record has been transferred to the team: EDSC
Progress was delivered to Powerhelp
Date:22-Jun-2004 15:41:09 User:David Seddon
[Start of Response]
Routing call to Catherine Obeng.
[END OF REFERENCE 38212275]
Response code to call type L as Category 40
Response was delivered to Powerhelp
Hours spent since call received: 0 hours
Date:22-Jun-2004 15:41:16 User:David Seddon
The Call record has been assigned to the Team Member: Catherine Obeng
Progress was delivered to Powerhelp
Date:23-Jun-2004 11:59:27 User:Catherine Obeng
[Start of Response]
Problem could have been avoided if the PM had not accepted the second TI.
The Riposte Error events were apparent on SMC's Tivoli Website, however, they
took no action until the PM raised a call about the dodgy Transfers. In
future, SMC would need to monitor these events and contact the office
requesting that they avoid using the eventing Node and reboot it.
```

[END OF REFERENCE 38214984]
Response code to call type L as Category 40
Response was delivered to Powerhelp

event occurs during PO business hours.

KEL JSimpkins338Q now updated with info on what action SMC should take if

```
POL00028746
Hours spent since call received: 0 hours
Date:06-Jul-2004 11:17:09 User: Customer Call
EMPTY 06/07/04 11:13 uk952601 HSH1 Repeat Call: auditor for po site ahd
called in to se what is happening
with call.
advised i will call abck, ringing through to ssc barbera
to find out wahts happening, 06/07/04 11:14 uk952601 HSH1 Contacted:
spoke with barbera @ ssc advised catherine is on leave and
she will try and get someone to lok at call and call me cak.
gave extension. 06/07/04 11:14 uk952601 HSH1 Advice: trying to casll
                                GRO
auditor back gave mobile of
Date:06-Jul-2004 11:20:40 User:_Customer Call
EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY OTI Astea OTI Success: An add has been sent to PINICL
06/07/04 11:17 uk952601 HSH1 Information: spoke with auditor john
advised third line are goingg to
look at call and call back. advised that i will call them back
as soon as i can.
Date: 06-Jul-2004 11:39:15 User: _Customer Call
EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY OTI Astea OTI Success: An add has been sent to PINICL
06/07/04 11:35 uk952601 HSH1 Information: recieved call back from ssc,
they states that they have
poassed it on and that which ever part of the po limited it is
that they should have sent an error notice to the site
Date:06-Jul-2004 11:44:27 User:Anne Chambers
[Start of Response]
I've checked with Mike King; the BIMS report for this problem was sent to POL
on 22/6 and should have resulted in an error notice being sent to the branch.
Mike says he will send a note to POL saying that the PM has been chasing this
issue; I've asked HSH to inform the PM that they should have received an
error notice and to check with the department that issues them.
The corrected cash account that was sent still had an R&P mismatch. The
double Transfer In causes a mismatch both because of the transfer and because
of the discrepancy which has been erroneously generated. The host-calculated
CA ignores the transfer but is still affected by the accepted discrepancy
which should not have been generated. It is not really possible to provide a
fully balanced CA (email on this subject sent by Mik Peach to Richard
Brunskill then on to John Moran, I have not seen the outcome of this).
[END OF REFERENCE 38257905]
Response code to call type L as Category 40
Response was delivered to Powerhelp
Hours spent since call received: 0 hours
Date:06-Jul-2004 11:56:42 User: Customer Call
EMPTY 06/07/04 11:53 uk081610 HSH1 Information: Attempted to contact the
Auditor back on the mobile no.
                  but the line is currently engaged.
given
         GRO
Date:06-Jul-2004 12:10:15 User: Customer Call
EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY OTI Astea OTI Success: An add has been sent to PINICL
06/07/04 12:02 uk081610 HSH1 Contacted: Contacted Auditor John,
explained that SSC discovered how
the error occured and they passed details to POL so that an
error notice can be issued, Auditor wanted a contact no. for
POL dept who issue error notices, advised that we do not
have a no. for them and that he should go through NBSC. Auditor
happy with information provided.
Date:12-Jul-2004 11:58:56 User:_Customer Call_
EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY OTI Astea OTI Success: An add has been sent to PINICL
12/07/04 11:54 uk952352 HSH5 Repeat Call: Investigation manager Paul
Whittaker wants to confirm that
an error notice is being sent out for the discrepancy at the
PO. Transferred caller to NBSC.
Date:12-Jul-2004 12:19:43 User:_Customer Call_
```

EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY OTI Astea OTI Success: An add has been sent to PINICL 12/07/04 12:15 uk952016 HSH8 Information: nbsc agent passed the manager

mbsc issue now and mary on sct is going to get the 2nd tier

throught unvoiced. this is a

of nbsc to call the pm back.

F/210/6

Date:12-Jul-2004 12:45:53 User: Customer Call EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY OTI Astea OTI Success: An add has been sent to PINICL 12/07/04 12:44 uk951655 HSH2 Information: tina from nbsc called for details for this fad - she is going to contact chesterfield to ascertain if there is an error notice outstanding and call us back Date:12-Jul-2004 12:56:23 User:_Customer Call EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY OTI Astea OTI Success: An add has been sent to PINICL 12/07/04 12:53 uk951655 HSH2 Information: tina nbsc confirmed there is an outstanding error notice but she could not get exact details - she will call Paul Whittker the investigation officer on GRO Date:05-Aug-2004 11:57:50 User:Catherine Obeng [Start of Response] PM [Mr Mogul] has confirmed that POL have issued him with an Error Notice of £22290.00 which has enabled him to clear the error from his account. He is happy for this call to be closed. [END OF REFERENCE 38378314] Response code to call type L as Category 40 Response was delivered to Powerhelp Hours spent since call received: 0 hours Date:05-Aug-2004 12:02:13 User:Catherine Obeng [Start of Response] MSU [Mark Farry] has also confirmed that they are happy for call to be closed as well. [END OF REFERENCE 38378360] Response code to call type L as Category 65 Routing to Call Logger following Final Progress update. Service Response was delivered to Powerhelp Hours spent since call received: 0 hours Date:05-Aug-2004 12:02:13 User:Catherine Obeng CALL PC0103864 closed: Category 65 Type L Date:05-Aug-2004 12:05:20 User:_Customer Call Consumer Phelp has received the call closure General - Unknown Root Cause Logger Customer Call -- EDSC Subject Product EPOSS & DeskTop -- Counter Common (version unspecified) Assignee Customer Call -- EDSC

05-Aug-2004 12:05 -- Customer Call

Last Progress