

---

**From:** Mark R Davies [GRO]  
**Sent:** Wed 12/02/2014 7:03:53 AM (UTC)  
**To:** Paula Vennells [GRO]  
**Cc:** Chris Aujard [GRO]; Belinda Crowe [GRO]; Alwen Lyons [GRO]; Martin Edwards [GRO]; Chris M Day [GRO]; Neil Hayward [GRO]; Nick Beal [GRO]; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd [GRO]; Kevin Gilliland [GRO]  
**Subject:** Re: Prosecutions policy

Hi Paula

Absolutely yes. I am meeting Belinda and Chris this morning.

Best wishes

Mark

Sent from my iPhone

On 11 Feb 2014, at 18:11, "Paula Vennells" <[GRO]> wrote:

> Hi Mark, building on our conversation this afternoon (thanks for being available at short notice) re comms on the prosecutions policy, which was very helpful: we have just finished the ARC and the same point was made by Alice re the comms sensitivities, ie., avoiding the conflation of various unrelated events, not proactively communicating any adjustments - online or otherwise, but equally thinking how we manage the public messaging of option B, if it does become necessary. (She pointed out that it may emerge at the JA/MPs meeting, which I think is a helpful angle to bear in mind as we shape it.)

>

> I imagine Chris will want to update his paper before the next Board (where he can - we are aware of constraints), so there is an opportunity to feed in the comms messaging; indeed, if you can spare the time it might be worth you coming along if the paper is tabled for discussion. If not, I will bring you in anyway as we prep for the MPs meeting.

>

> Can you meet with Chris and Belinda and shape an approach and a set of Q&As to inform the Board discussion, plus the same for the MPs meeting - they won't be far different! Then you might want to run past Alwen and/or Martin for a second round check.

>

> Any questions, don't hesitate to get back to me or Chris.

> Cheers,

> Paula

> Ps. One of the arguments, which we didn't cover is the Fed and Neil/Nick B should be in the loop. They would I hope also support B.

>

>

>

>

> Sent from my iPad

> <image001.png>

> <image002.png>