From:	Mark R Davies	GRO			
Sent:	Fri 09/10/2015 7:03:12		-		
То:	Paula Vennells[GRO			
Cc:	Paula Vennells Tom Wechsler Avene O'Farrell	GRO GRO	; Jane Mac	Leod	GRO
Subject:	Re: Speaking notes fo				
My suggestions would	d be:				
- our transformation i on	s accelerating and the	re are a number of	important other	r issues I would	like to update you
- next week we will be triggering pay awards separation from RMG	. This is a great achiev				* .
- last week we annous from Bank of Ireland.	nced the first acquisition A huge step forward	•	•		business, acquired
- we are closing in on opportunities for you			vill be talking t	o your office abo	out possible
- at the same time we closure of a depot and	are updating colleagud some redundancies	es on some difficul	t changes: in or	ur supply chain t	his week, with the
- we will be making si	imilar announcements	in back office func	tions in a coupl	le of weeks	
- we are handling thes well prepared should				priority is to our	people but we are
- so while I am gratef other key issues and t		-	other issues I d	id want to highli	ight some of the
Mark Davies Communications and Post Office Ltd	-	rector			
Mobile: GRO					
From my iPhone and	•	•			y!
On 9 Oct 2015, at 07:	:51, Paula Vennells	GRO		wrote:	
Thanks Mark.					

Btw I'd like to tell BNR about crown breakeven and any other 'good' news eg., union consultation /no strike re SC? Pension trustee outcome? What would be helpful/safe to share -

can I have some suggestions/words? The point being, if she's been wobbly and worried it would be good to show her the business is still prgressing strongly. P Paula Vennells Chief Executive Post Office Ltd **GRO GRO** Sent from my iPad On 9 Oct 2015, at 07:43, Mark R Davies wrote: Hi Paula The firm makes (or made) multiple deposits on different days into different branches, which explains the 240k figure: Jane will correct me if I am wrong. Mark Mark Davies Communications and Corporate Affairs Director Post Office Ltd GRO Mobile: From my iPhone and therefore possibly in transit so please forgive spelling mistakes or brevity! On 9 Oct 2015, at 07:38, Paula Vennells wrote: Jane, thanks very useful brief and notes. One question: if the deposit made (presumably we can check) was £240k, then surely we were in breach of our obligations as the cap is £120k? I hadn't spotted this before but it comes out very strongly below. Do you need to review what you've written or amend to explain? Thanks, Paula Paula Vennells Chief Executive Post Office Ltd

GRO

! !	GRO
	Sent from my iPad
	On 8 Oct 2015, at 21:28, Jane MacLeod
į	CPO iverote

Paula,

1

Apologies for lumping these together, but it's the easiest way on the iPad! I'll be in the office tomorrow and am available on the mobile if you want to discuss any of the following:

BNR

I note that Patrick has separately supplied Tom with the suggested speaking notes for your call in the morning regarding Sparrow (the proposal that BNR meets with Second Sight and Sir Anthony Hooper). You may also be interested to know that in the conference with Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon, he agreed that the Chairman would need to meet both of those, so you can reassure BNR that their concerns will be heard.

In relation to the Lycamobile discussion, my suggested points for the conversation are as follows:

- under the agreements that PO has with the various banks we can accept deposits from retail customers to be credited to bank accounts, and under the arrangements with some of those banks (including Santander) we can also accept deposits of both cash and cheques from business customers.
- under those agreements, PO acts as the bank's agent and therefore the primary obligation for regulatory compliance (including KYC checks, anti-money laundering and anti-terrorist financing) falls on the banks. PO is required to, and does, comply with various requirements imposed by those banks to support these obligations. This includes observing limits set by the banks on the size of deposits.
- in the case of Lycamobile, the limit imposed by Santander on the amount of cash that can be deposited through nominated Post Office branches, is capped (at £120,000).
- it is not unusual for small businesses particularly retail businesses, to make material cash deposits and we notice a

significant increase in the level of cash deposits on Mondays.

- while Lycamobile's method of operation (cash in unmarked bags) is unusual, it is not illegal.
- once we became aware of the Buzzfeed investigation, we made our own enquiries to determine whether the facts were as reported by Buzzfeed, and to ensure that PO had operated compliantly. The facts reported by Buzzfeed as regards PO appear to be broadly correct, in so far as they describe a pattern of large cash deposits being made by the same group of individuals on behalf of Lycamobile. These deposits have been within the limits set by Santander.
- allegations were made that phones and other 'gifts' were made to PO staff. This has not been verified.
- PO has consistently stated that we take our regulatory responsibilities seriously. We are not aware of anything that would make this statement untrue.
- as a result of the Buzzfeed allegations we are checking the security arrangements at each of the branches named in the report.
- we are also considering the processes and security checks that need to be embedded to support the future extension of business banking services under the proposed Banking Services Framework.
- [while we don't believe that PO was the target of the investigations, we are monitoring media reports, and are in contact with Channel 4 which has also reported interest in the story.]

Email to the Chairman (please not alternative options (highlighted) in the Sparrow paragraph depending on when you send the email, and the outcome of your discussions with the Minister):

"Dear Tim

While you have been away, two matters have arisen affecting Post Office of which you should be aware. We can discuss these in more detail if you wish on Monday, however the following is a brief summary:

Sparrow

The Minister is under pressure to speak to various parties including representatives Second Sight (forensic accountants) and Sir Anthony Hooper (former Chairman of the Steering Group). We believe that as part of your investigation you should meet with each of these parties, and we have therefore recommended to the Minister that she should not meet with them until (at least) the conclusion of your enquiry. [I have a call with her this morning and will recommend that she should await the conclusion of your enquiry before deciding whether to meet with them.][In my call with her this morning, I emphasised to the Minister that she should allow you to complete your investigation before making a decision. However she did not seem minded to accept this advice.]

There are a number of reasons why we are reluctant for the Minister to speak to these parties (particularly Second Sight) at this point:

- By speaking to these parties, the Minister undermines the rationale for your own independent investigation.
- Second Sight are active on social media and we believe that they would make public the fact of any such meeting. This will only encourage expectation of some form of government intervention.
- We now have the majority of outstanding (non-criminal) cases scheduled for mediation. Any expectation of government intervention is likely to cause Applicants to withdraw from the mediation scheme.

You may wish to speak to the Minister to understand the reason for her views.

Buzzfeed report on Lycamobile on Monday

Buzzfeed (an online media outlet) published an article late on Monday which alleged inappropriate corporate behaviour on the part of Lycamobile, whose owner is a major donor to the Conservative Party. The article includes allegations that

Lycamobile staff have deposited substantial sums (exceeding £240,000) in cash through Post Office branches into accounts with Santander; the implication is that this practice constitutes money laundering. (see

http://www.buzzfeed.com/heidiblake/this-tory-donor-wassecretly-filmed-dropping-cash-stuffedru?bftwuk&utm_term=4ldqpgm#4ldqpgm).

The background to this issue is as follows:

- under the agreements that PO has with the various banks we can accept deposits from retail customers to be credited to bank accounts, and under the arrangements with some of those banks (including Santander) we can also accept deposits of both cash and cheques from business customers.
- under those agreements, PO acts as the bank's agent and therefore the primary obligation for regulatory compliance (including KYC checks, anti-money laundering and anti-terrorist financing) falls on the banks. PO is required to, and does, comply with various contractual requirements imposed by those banks to support these obligations. This includes observing limits set by the banks on the size of deposits. PO also complies with relevant anti-money laundering regulatory requirements, including the obligation to file SARs (suspicious activity reports)
- in the case of Lycamobile, the limit imposed by Santander on the amount of cash that can be deposited through nominated Post Office branches, is capped (at £120,000).
- it is not unusual for small businesses particularly retail businesses, to make material cash deposits and we notice a significant increase in the level of cash deposits on Mondays.
- while Lycamobile's method of operation (cash in unmarked bags) is unusual, it is not illegal.
- once we became aware of the Buzzfeed investigation, we made our own enquiries to determine whether the facts were as reported by Buzzfeed, and to ensure that PO had operated compliantly. The facts reported by Buzzfeed as regards PO

appear to be broadly correct, in so far as they describe a pattern of large cash deposits being made by the same group of individuals on behalf of Lycamobile. These deposits have been within the limits set by Santander.

- allegations were made that phones and other 'gifts' were made to PO staff. This has not been verified.
- PO has consistently stated that we take our regulatory responsibilities seriously. We are not aware of anything that would make this statement untrue.
- As a result of the Buzzfeed allegations we are reviewing the security arrangements in place to protect branches that accept large cash deposits, and we are checking the security arrangements at each of the branches named in the report.
- we are also considering the processes and security checks that need to be embedded to support the future extension of business banking services under the proposed Banking Services Framework.
- while we don't believe that PO was the target of the investigations, we are monitoring media reports, and are in contact with Channel 4 which has also reported interest in the story.

We are continuing to monitor the story, investigate the background facts, and consider what impact similar issues could have on future operations. I will keep you updated on the findings.

[salutations etc]"

Happy to discuss any of this.

Kind regards,

Jane

Jane MacLeod

General Counsel
The Post Office
GRO

Sent from my iPad