
From: Jane MacLeod [GRO]
Sent: Mon 16/02/2015 6:40:20 PM (UTC)
To: [GRO]
Subject: FW: CCRC letter - Subject to privilege [BD-4A.FID20472253]
Attachment: Ltr from Frazer Stuart CCRC 12th Feb 15.pdf

Do you have access to Rod's diary – could we do a conference call at say 8.30 or 9 on Thursday morning? I have to be free after 10!

Thanks

From: Matthews, Gavin [GRO]
Sent: 16 February 2015 18:20
To: Jane MacLeod
Cc: Rodric Williams
Subject: FW: CCRC letter - Subject to privilege [BD-4A.FID20472253]

Jane

Andy Parsons has forwarded on your message below and as I have been working closely with Rod and Brian Altman QC on this matter I thought I would offer up a few initial thoughts on the CCRC response:

1. It neatly circumvents both questions POL asked in the letter under response – clearly there is no individual applicant challenging his/her own prosecution so that must mean that the CCRC considers that there are "exceptional circumstances" which justify this investigation though they do not say so explicitly and their response is very weak.
2. It is also clear that at this stage the CCRC have no idea of the documentation they are looking for save for the Altman report – I suspect their plan is to start there and then to ask for more and more documentation as they go along.
3. We can disclose the Altman report subject to all the protections of s25 of the Criminal Appeal Act 1995 ie it must not be disclosed without our consent (note that there are limitations on this).
4. In relation to the Horizon system, that is a very wide definition of documentation. They will have seen the Second Sight report from July 2013 because SS published that. In my view that documentation is not relevant to their search. What is relevant is the review process POL went through after production of the SS report and the subsequent disclosure process carried out by Cartwright King – we need to think about "guiding" them in that direction but only to a sample of files.
5. Overall we need to consider how far POL pushes back on this latest letter – the danger is that once we open the door to them we will find it hard to close it!

These are my preliminary views and I am happy to join a conference call with you/Rod any time this week (although I am out of the office on Friday)

I hope this helps

Kind regards

Gavin

Gavin Matthews

Partner

for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP

Bond Dickinson

Direct: [GRO]
Mobile:

Office: GRO

Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com

From: Jane MacLeod [GRO]
Sent: 16 February 2015 16:27
To: Rodric Williams
Cc: Parsons, Andrew
Subject: CCRC letter - Subject to privilege

Rod,

Please see attached letter from the CCRC. Essentially they are looking for sight of the Altman report although see the paragraph on p2 where they state that they expect that this would also cover the Horizon system.

Based on previous discussions, I think we will need to disclose the Altman Report although we should ensure that this disclosure does not waive privilege. The letter also enclosed a copy of the 'Formal Memorandum: Disclosure by the Commission' (available at <http://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/about/criminal-cases-review/policies-and-procedures/casework/disclosure-by-commission.pdf>) which sets out at p22 the considerations around privilege.

In relation to the Horizon system, we should consider what can be provided around this; would any of Second Sight's own reports assist on this?

I note you are out of the office until Thursday and I am off from Thursday! Its possible I could do a call before 10 on Thursday morning or on Friday afternoon.

Let me know what works for you.

Thanks,

Jane

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. [jane.macleod@bond-dickinson.co.uk](#) ^{GRO} only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not [jane.macleod@bond-dickinson.co.uk](#) ^{GRO}, please notify [gavin.matthews@bond-dickinson.co.uk](#) ^{GRO} as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Bond Dickinson LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Bond Dickinson LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is St Ann's Wharf, 112 Quayside, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 3DX, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.