Forensics and Integrity Services – Whistleblowing Assurance Review

July 2022

Confidential





HOME



Understanding of your needs



Why EY & credentials



Industry insights & best practices



Proposed team



Approach & methodology



Commercials



Contents

Section	Slide Number
Understanding of your needs	3
Why EY & credentials	4-5
Industry insights and best practices	6
Proposed team	7
Approach and methodology	8-11
Commercials	12
Dependencies	13
Appendices ► CVs ► Additional credentials	Appendix Deck






















EY understands the importance of having an effective whistleblowing framework

2

Our understanding of your requirements

- The Post Office requires an independent assessment of the current whistleblowing policy, processes and controls with a specific focus on:
 - ► The current Speak Up policy (last updated c. one year ago)
 - ► The appropriateness of the Conversant system for the Speak Up framework as well as of how it is used within the Post Office
 - The communication with Speak Up reporters (from initial report through to case closure)
 - The operational conduct of the investigations arising from Speak Up reports
 - ► The effectiveness of protection provided to Speak Up reporters
- You require this assessment of the extant policies, processes and controls to independently verify the quality, effectiveness and current status of the existing frameworks, including the consistency of application across the franchise business model of the Post Office Limited Structure.
- You require a comparison to best practices (to include mandatory requirements), identifying where improvements can be made and providing recommendations as to any changes that should be implemented to enhance those policies, processes and controls, including identifying a target end state.
- We have assumed that this assessment should also include operational effectiveness testing, focusing on the likely areas of weaknesses, to test how well the policies, processes and controls are understood and embedded within the business culture and how effective the controls are in enforcing the policies and processes.
- You have articulated that this project is of a highly sensitive nature and communication within your own business is required to be on a need-to-know basis. As a consequence, the project team has been sized accordingly and due to the sensitivity of the project kept to minimum numbers.

Key deliverables

A written report to the Post Office, providing:

- An assessment of the current state of the Post Office's whistleblowing policies, processes and controls
- An assessment of the Post Office's whistleblowing investigations and the compliance with internal process, regulatory standards and industry standards
- A comparison to best practices including relation to both whistleblowing and information gathering
- Recommendations as to the target end state for those policies, processes and controls identified in the assessment

A half day workshop to socialise our observations and recommendations with key stakeholders and discuss potential next steps

Scope of the assessment

- Geography This assessment will draw on global best and leading practices, with operational effectiveness testing of controls limited to the UK
- Entities This assessment will be specifically for The Post Office Limited
- Timeline We understand that this engagement shall commence at the start of August 2022 with a final report and action plan to be delivered by October 2022
- ► Should you wish this work to be performed under legal privilege, this can be discussed prior to commencing work. We have experience working with the clients' legal representatives and also in partnership with EY Law.





Understanding of your needs



Why EY & credentials



WK

Proposed team



Approach & methodology



Commercials



We have the experience to conduct high profile, sensitive reviews under accelerated timescales

Your requirements

Track record of delivering highprofile, sensitive reviews

Deep insights and experience of whistleblowing and investigations

Credible, senior team that is able to provide an independent view

Robust methodology that can execute in tight timescales

Business-oriented approach

The value EY will bring to the Post Office

We have performed high-profile engagements for multiple corporate entities, demonstrating our experience in dealing with sensitive initiatives and working for Boards and Senior leadership, including: Whistleblowing reviews, Senior Manager Accountability reviews and bespoke business reviews where we reported to UK regulators, several past business reviews that required submissions to regulators.

We have also conducted similarly sensitive and high profile reviews as well as industry investigations for corporate institutions on behalf of regulators and other public authorities.

Our team brings extensive experience of whistleblowing and investigations, drawn from first-hand practice in dealing with whistleblowing cases, working with over 10 financial institutions on similar initiatives and through our proprietary survey and comparative data, which allows us to compare the Post Office to whistleblowing best practices.

We are able to draw on our network to provide insights from both financial services and retail aspects which are most important to

We have put forward a senior team of professionals, led by Spencer John who has experience and credibility with regulators and public bodies. Our team has experience of conducting similar reviews of whistleblowing and investigations combined with expertise in controls assessments.

We will deploy a tried and tested approach that focuses on delivering a robust assessment that will stand up to challenge and is cognisant of your desire for confidentiality. Where needed, we will use our maturity assessment models to focus the assessment on the areas that matter most, to provide a comparison to industry practice in accelerated timescales, and to support our findings.

Our approach is to run the framework review and effectiveness testing in parallel, sharing resources and information to increase the speed of delivery and minimise the impact on Post Office stakeholders.

We understand the importance of effective whistleblowing and investigations to the overall health of a business. Our approach and recommendations will focus on helping you to understand how your policy, procedures and controls compare to market practice and provide you with actionable recommendations and define the target end state.




















Our experience and industry insights put us in a unique position to conduct this assessment

Sample credentials

Our client identified the assessment and enhancement of its whistleblowing framework as a key priority in preparation for forthcoming regulations

EY provided SME and fieldwork resource during a Group Internal Audit review of our client's whistleblowing framework

EY was engaged in a large Fraud Risk Management and Whistleblowing Programme

EY were engaged by the FCA Enforcement division to investigate past governance and lending practices of a large UK bank

programme because of concerns about its

EY value added

- ▶ We provided our client with an assessment of each element of the whistleblowing framework against the EY maturity model, to indicate how the bank compared to leading practice, allowing them to prioritise initiatives for improvement.
- ▶ We were able to provide valuable insights from our experience of current leading practice that were relevant to the bank, and give practical recommendations to help them further enhance the whistleblowing framework.
- Our client valued our practical recommendations and have requested us to assess the enhanced framework following implementation of our
- Our team displayed sound judgement and knowledge of best practice in relation to the creation and operation of whistleblowing frameworks beyond a central whistleblowing line.
- We worked alongside the internal audit team to upskill them in the technical area of whistleblowing and we received positive feedback on our support
- EY assisted the client's Internal Audit team to provide deliverables of a high standard which stood up to testing when challenged by senior
- A major North American financial institution engaged EY to assist in benchmarking its Fraud Risk Management (FRM) against industry leading practices and to provide recommendations to improve overall FRM effectiveness. Given that a large proportion of fraud is identified through whistleblowers, our work included an assessment of the bank's whistleblowing policies, procedures and reporting.
- ▶ Our work focused on four work-streams (corporate governance, accounting and risk management, loans and impairments and rights issues) and included evidence gathering, multi-disciplinary analysis and regulatory reporting.
- ▶ This was a high profile and highly sensitive investigation with interest from the highest levels of the FCA. Due to the sensitivity, strategic importance and scale of the investigation it was critical to have a high level of partner and specialist involvement.
- Our team included relevant industry experience, technical and investigation skills and knowledge of FCA processes.
- The final notice issued by the FCA resulted in the largest penalty imposed on a senior executive in the UK.
- ▶ The Board of a global logistics company operating in over 50 countries approached us to conduct a review of its whistleblowing programme because of concerns about its general operation, the quality of reporting and adherence to international legislation and regulation.
- Our recommendations have led to a significant uplift in reports across the entire business.






Understanding of your needs



Why EY & credentials best practices















EY has proprietary market insights on industry best practices

EY has developed leading maturity models which we will employ to assess the strength of design, suitability and operational health of the Post Office's whistleblowing framework. In doing so, we will compare the Post Office against the current legal and regulatory landscape as well as against our experiences working with other organisations, including franchise model risks

In applying EY's whistleblowing maturity model to the Post Office, we will consider the following:



Legislation and regulatory focus - Including relevant legislation and regulations from the UK and US and global bodies such as the FCA's SYSC requirements including the Senior Managers Regime (appointment of a whistleblowing Champion), BCBS corporate governance principles, the G30 study on Conduct and Culture, and OSHA guidance. Additionally, EY shall consider drawing on the most appropriate elements of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act and the National Intelligence Model, where it is practical to apply in a commercial environment.



EY's experience - EY has practical experience of performing similar assessments in corporate and financial services industries, as well as performing whistleblowing investigations, and has developed a view on what would be considered best practice. Spencer John has significant experience in control ownership roles in the financial services sector and has delivered assessments of whistleblowing frameworks in the FS sector. Rachel Sexton, who will act as the EY Quality Partner, has founded and chairs the Financial Services Investigators forum, providing unique insight into investigations best practice within the financial services sector.



EY whistleblowing Survey - EY conducted a survey across over 40 companies from multiple industry sectors in the UK to discuss their whistleblowing arrangements. "Across the companies surveyed, the average ratio of whistleblowing disclosures to staff was seven disclosures per 1,000 staff per year, however almost 60% of respondents are not confident that they capture all disclosures made directly to a manager.



EY EMEIA Integrity survey - The 2022 EM⊟A Integrity Survey, which is our largest yet, represents the views of 4,762 board members, managers and employees in 54 countries and provides compelling insight into perceptions of fraud, bribery and corruption across the region, including insights into attitudes towards whistleblowing. Within the UK, 81% of respondents answered no to personally ever reporting issues of misconduct to management or to a whistleblowing hotline



HOME



Understanding of your needs



Why EY & credentials



Industry insights & best practices



Proposed team



Approach & methodology



Commercials






We bring an experienced pragmatic team that will deliver tangible value to the Post Office °


Spencer John Engagement Partner	Spencer is a forensic investigations and financial crime compliance partner. He has 22 years' experience working in the financial services sector, with the last 10 years in leadership roles. He has experience in large scale change programmes, both as a control owner and as a change control and internal audit specialist in international financial services entities, and has significant experience in stakeholder management and remediation projects.
Rachel Sexton Quality Partner	 Rachel is a forensic investigations and financial crime compliance partner with 24 years' experience specialising in working with financial services organisations She has previously reviewed the operations of investigations teams at two universal banks based in Switzerland to assess their investigation methodology and internal escalation of issues. The review highlighted several areas of improvement including the centralisation of investigations. Rachel founded and runs a quarterly meeting of the Heads of Investigation at eight major banks in London. The purpose of this forum is to share best practice in investigations She is currently working with a global bank to review their whistleblowing programme. This work includes meeting with the bank quarterly to review the report the Board on whistleblowing, understand trends that need investigating, reviewing investigation trends and benchmarking their whistleblowing process against peers
Abbie Steele Engagement Lead	 Abbie works in the Financial Crime and Forensics team at EY with a focus in investigations and compliance. Having spent 4 years at EY and 8 years within the investigations field, Abbie has experience in conducting whistleblowing, conduct and regulatory investigations at both EY, financial institutions and public sector organisations. She has led a number of whistleblowing reviews and benchmark exercises at across financial institutions and insurance.
Michelle Acton- Phillips Engagement Lead	Michelle has 10 years' experience working within the EY Forensics practice, conducting investigations and supporting clients in control assessment and remediation projects. She has assisted clients in drafting target operating models, policy and procedure documents, establishing control frameworks and running risk assessment workshops and trainings across ABC, AML, Anti-Fraud, Whistleblowing/ Speak-Up, Investigations and Facilitation of Tax Evasion.
Evan Sarosik Delivery and SMR	 Evan works in the Financial Crime and Forensics team at EY. Evan is highly experienced in delivering whistleblowing framework reviews having spent extensive periods on secondment within in house investigation functions delivering quality assurance reviews for whistleblowing investigations. Evan recently completed a Global and UK based whistleblowing assessment where his recommendations were well received by the client
Tom Bendor- Samuel Delivery and SMR	 Tom works in the Financial Crime and Forensics team at EY. Tom is highly experienced in delivering whistleblowing framework reviews, including for numerous FTSt 100 financial firms. Tom has also worked on the implementation phase of a FTSE 100 bank's whistleblowing enhancement project, including policies and procedures updates, developing a communications plan and protection program for employees facing detrimental treatment, and intranet site refresh.
Senior Consultant	 Our senior consultants have experience of working across a range of organisations in the compliance space. Specifically, they have experience of operational effectiveness exercises and testing adequacy of design of processes and procedures. A number of our Senior Consultants have also worked on s166 reviews, assessing the fairness of a financial institution's determinations by reviewing documentation submitted by customers





















Our proposed approach - overview



Document Review and Interviews

Through a desktop review of available documentation and interviews with relevant key stakeholders, we shall perform a review covering:

Policy – Where we can build on the recent implementation of the Speak Up policy to present potential enhancements that may make policy more accessible, understandable and actionable

Process/ Procedures — Presenting opportunities for enhancement across training, comms and awareness, case escalation and triage as well as relevant stakeholder contributions such as Internal Audit, external Counsel and SME support

Governance – Providing options for improved Speak Up arrangements and greater alignment to global regulator expectations. This can also include a common challenge area: Management Information and Whistleblower protection

Our review will also be conducted with reference to the requirements and standards of relevant regulation and legislation such as SYSC 18.



Operational Effectiveness

In our experience desktop reviews and discussions can be very valuable, but do not provide an organisation with a view on how well a Whistleblowing Framework design is working.

We know from previous reviews at other organisations that whistleblowing frameworks tend to be challenged by poor communication of the policy and framework, employee confidence in the process and the speed of investigation.

For this reason we propose to conduct a walkthrough of a small sample of cases, focusing on qualifying Speak Up reports as well as those determined to be out of scope, as well as exploring other options for testing Operational Effectiveness.

The sample case walkthroughs will focus on, for example: triage decisioning; time taken to investigate and close case; communications with, and treatment of, the whistleblower; interviews with key stakeholders with operational control of the program.

Other potential Operational Effectiveness exercises include: a staff awareness assessment, review of policy downloads/views; training completion.



Maturity Comparison

Since the introduction of the FCA and PRA rules relating to Whistleblowing, UK regulated Financial Institutions have embarked upon a process of continual enhancement of their Whistleblowing programmes.

When assessing the Speak Up Framework at the Post Office we shall compare the existing arrangements with those of other organisations we have worked with, including institutions known to have had recognition by regulators for operating an effective Whistleblowing programmes.

There are many non-FS corporate institutions that have also invested in significant enhancement of their own Whistleblowing programmes. EY has partnered many such institutions in assessing or implementing these programmes and we can therefore leverage this experience to bring the Post Office additional examples of the features and capabilities of leading programmes.

Deliverable: a written report that will highlight recommendations for enhancement to The Post Office's Speak Up Framework, as well as any insights derived from operational effectiveness activities and market comparison and a half day workshop with key stakeholders to discuss our observations and next steps

- ▶ Efficient use of your time: We shall ensure that stakeholders are interviewed across subjects where possible, limiting the need for multiple interviews. We aim to interview once, test once.
- Framework approach: Our tried and tested framework approach means consistent assessments throughout the engagement.



HOME



Understanding of your needs



Why EY & credentials





Proposed team



Approach & methodology



Commercials






Whistleblowing maturity model

EY will use our whist leblowing maturity model which is comprised of nine key areas against which we will consider the Post Office's maturity which will inform our assessment of the Post Office's overall whistleblowing framework. The model will also drive recommendations based on leading industry practice and nonattributable examples from other organisations. Our model is outlined below:

Whistleblowing Policy & Confidential Tone at the Oversight & Awareness & framework Investigation Effectiveness procedures reporting culture & ease of use reporting elements Each of the above whistleblowing framework elements will be assessed against EY's maturity model as set out below EY maturity model Leading Established Advanced Practice Almost nothing exists for Some parts of this Performance factor is Performance factor is Performance factor is this performance factor performance factor exist, pragmatically defined and defined in more detail and defined in more detail and application on different consistently applied on a consistently applied on consistently applied on all levels is inconsistent few levels most levels levels. **Evolving maturity**




















Whistleblowing maturity model

Each of the EY whistleblowing framework elements are assessed against established control expectations. Below is an illustrative example of EY expectations of Oversight and Reporting at each maturity level

Whistleblowing framework elements

Policy & procedures

Confidential reporting culture

Tone at the top

Oversight & reporting

5 Awareness & training 6 Accessibility 8 ease of use

Triage & case management

Investigation

Effectiveness

Basic

No reporting to the audit committee & external stakeholders about the performance of the whistleblowing

programme.

Evolving

- General overview provided to the audit committee and to management at various levels.
- No reporting to external stakeholders

Established

- Established systems for reporting (whistleblowing reports and outcomes) to the audit committee, management teams at all organisation levels.
- External communication on whistleblowing programme to customers, regulators, and the public.
- Effective record keeping allowing FCA examination.
- A non-executive director appointed as the whistle-blowers' champion.

Advanced

- Audit committee receives regular and robust reports and metrics about the whistleblowing programme including operation and reported concerns.
- External communications about integrity and compliance are used to compare against other organisations and gain insight about external perceptions of the organisation.
- The whistleblowing champion has a level of authority and independence within the firm and access to sufficient resources and information, including access to independent legal advice and training.

Leading Practice

- Compliance and integrity are embedded in the board's comprehensive risk-management, governance, and management-review processes.
- Tested board procedures in place to conduct independent investigations & manage related business, legal and reputational issues.
- Whistle-blowers' Champion role clearly defined & promoted across the organisation, focusing on integrity, independence and effectiveness of the process and protection of whistle-blowers.



HOME



Understanding of your needs



Why EY & credentials



insights & best practices



Proposed team




Approach & methodology




Commercials





* To be run in parallel over a project period of four weeks








credentials















Our commercial proposition – EY fee estimate

- Our commercial proposition is based on our understanding of the brief provided and the assumptions documented in this proposal. We are happy to discuss the scope, approach and further to meet your specific requirements.
- ▶ Rates are based on the fees charges for similar scope and projects with a similar sensitive nature. Our fee estimate excludes VAT and expenses.

Activities and assumptions Fee (GBP) Whistleblowing core capability assessment - 4 weeks Document review and interviews - Operational effectiveness activities - Market comparison Output: A report with findings and recommendations for opportunities for enhancement and a ½ day workshop to discuss the results and next steps **IRRELEVANT**

Potential additional area: Investigation QC/QA Process 1-2 weeks

- Target completion by mid-September
- Can be run concurrently with core capability assessment

Potential additional area: Additional Deep dives on specific areas

e.g. Investigations, triage and case management, conflicts of interest, operating model, etc.

Implementation support

To be agreed based on detailed scoping. indicative fee of

IRRELEVANT

To be discussed and agreed based on the findings and priorities identified by previous phases

Dependencies and assumptions

- Availability of Post Office stakeholders and contributors for detailed discussions or workshops
- Access to "as is" documentation to quickly assess current state. To be provided prior to starting
- Operational effectiveness exercise is dependent upon the availability of data
- Only closed investigations will be part of the scope of the engagement

The information in this pack is intended to provide only a general outline of the subjects covered. It should not be regarded as comprehensive or sufficient for making decisions, nor should it be used in place of professional advice. Accordingly, Ernst & Young LLP accepts no responsibility for loss arising from any action taken or not taken by anyone using this pack.





Understanding of your needs



Why EY & credentials




best practices



Proposed team



Approach & methodology



Commercials





Working together to deliver project objectives

Assumptions

EY is making the following assumptions

- The Post Office will have one policy and a set of processes for whistleblowing which are applied across the business
- Due to the sensitive nature of the work the number of stakeholders we will interview will be agreed upfront and will be focused to give us adequate insight
- We will perform a sample of 10 whist leblowing cases, sample selection to be agreed with you

Dependencies

- Timely access to documents and availability of relevant staff for interviews. To assist the interview scheduling process, we would suggest a representative of the Post Office is assigned responsibility for arranging interviews with an agreed list of key individuals.
- Provision of relevant documentation prior to starting. We will provide a detailed document request list when the scope is finalised, however we anticipate this will include:
 - Whistleblowing policy
 - Whistleblowing training and awareness documents
 - Any relevant internal audit reports relating to whistleblowing
 - Investigations policy
 - Whistleblowing MI and reports to the board
- Timely feedback on our initial findings

Senior engagement

- We anticipate that we would want to interview the following senior staff:
 - Whistleblowing team, including:
 - Head of Whistleblowing
 - NED/ whistleblowing Champion
 - Whistleblowing steering committee
 - Compliance professionals including Chief Compliance Officer
 - Legal and investigations professionals including General Counsel
 - Internal Audit





Understanding of your needs



Why EY & credentials



best practices



Approach & methodology Proposed team









EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

Ernst & Young LLP

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

© 2017 Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK. All Rights Reserved.

ey.com