



"Jenkins Gareth GI"
<Gareth.Jenkins@GRO>
GRO >

01/03/2010 10:35

To: <jarnail.a.singh@GRO>
cc: "Thomas Penny" <Penny.Thomas@GRO>
Subject: RE: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT
TRIAL - 15TH MARCH 2010

Jarnail,

Thanks for the information, which I've now read through.

I think I can summarise the situation as follows:

1. Ms Misera says initially identified that the sub post office was short of cash by about £80 - £90K
2. She tried to cover this up while she repaid the losses which she thought was due to staff theft
3. By the time of the audit in Jan 2008 she was £73K short suggesting she had made good about £10K of the losses
4. When she went to court, she saw an article in Computer Weekly indicating that Horizon was unreliable and decided to jump on the bandwagon.
5. Note that there is no evidence to support any of the cases referenced in the Computer Weekly articles and there is case law showing the reliability of Horizon. (The case of Marine Drive which I think was referred to in the Computer Weekly article.)

However I don't see how anything here changes the fact that she falsified the accounts or that money was missing.

What I still don't understand is exactly what it is that the defence is claiming in terms of where exactly Horizon might have "lost" this money rather than her or her staff stealing it. Therefore unless we can get a clear statement as to exactly what is being looked for and when the system allegedly made mistakes, I don't think there is anything I can do. (I do appreciate that it is up to the Prosecution to prove Horizon is reliable rather than the defence to prove it isn't, but it is always difficult to prove that there are no errors - particularly over such a long period of time.)

Surely it is down to the Post Office investigators to get to the bottom of exactly where there is anything in dispute. At that point I might be able to assist with some technical knowledge to help interpret the various logs to support such areas of dispute.

I'll leave Penny to continue the discussions she is currently having with the Post Office investigators until there is something concrete for me to investigate.

Regards

Gareth

Gareth Jenkins

Distinguished Engineer

Applications Architect

Royal Mail Group Account

FUJITSU

Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

Tel: GRO Internal: GRO

(Note new external number -

old number will not work after 31/12/2009)

Mobile: GRO Internal: GRO

email: Gareth.Jenkins@GRO

Web: <http://uk.fujitsu.com>

P Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office
22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents
are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu
Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and
amended or that it is virus-free.

-----Original Message-----

From: marilyn.benjamin@GRO [mailto:marilyn.benjamin@GRO]
] On Behalf Of jarnail.a.singh@GRO
Sent: 26 February 2010 16:38
To: Jenkins Gareth GI
Cc: Thomas Penny
Subject: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH 2010

Thank you for your E-Mail of today. As per discussions I now enclose:-

- 1 Copy Case Summary.
- 2 Copy Indictment
- 3 Copy Defence Statement
- 4 Copy of the interview
- 5 Copy Defence Expert's name is Charles Alistair McLachlan, Staple
Hall, 87-90 Houndsditch, London, EC3A 7AD. Telephone number and mobile to
follow.

It is important that we are pro active on this and that you contact him as
soon as possible with a view to concluding this.

I appreciate all the help and assistance in this case.

I hope the above assists but if you require anything further or wish to

discuss in more detail please telephone me.

(See attached file: 258932AI.doc) (See attached file: 258932 IND.doc) (See
attached file: Defence Statement Scanned.pdf) (See attached file: Interview
- Scanned document.pdf)

Jarnail A Singh
Senior Lawyer
Criminal Law Team

Tel.No: **GRO**
Fax.No:

Royal Mail Group Limited registered in England and Wales registered number
4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y
OHQ

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the
addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use,
disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication.
If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then
delete this email from your system.
