

POST OFFICE LIMITED v SEEMA MISRA

SCHEDULE OF CHARGES

- 1 "FOR THAT YOU Seema Misra between the 15th day of November 2006 and the 14th day of January 2008 at West Byfleet Post Office stole £74,609.84 belonging to Post Office Limited".

(Contrary to Section 1(1) of the Theft Act 1968)

- 2 "FOR THAT YOU Seema Misra between the 15th day of November 2006 and the 14th day of December 2006 at West Byfleet Post Office dishonestly with a view to gain for yourself falsified an account required for an accounting purpose namely the Monthly Trading Statement for West Byfleet Post Office dated 14th December 2006 by making an entry therein purporting to show that the cash in hand at the close of business that day was £19,704.06 when it was not".

(Contrary to Section 17(1) of the Theft Act 1968)

- 3 "FOR THAT YOU Seema Misra between the 16th day of May 2007 and the 16th day of June 2007 at West Byfleet Post Office dishonestly with a view to gain for yourself falsified an account required for an accounting purpose namely the Monthly Trading Statement for West Byfleet Post Office dated 16th June 2007 by making an entry therein purporting to show that the cash in hand at the close of business that day was £30,604.60 when it was not".

(Contrary to Section 17(1) of the Theft Act 1968)

- 4 "FOR THAT YOU Seema Misra between the 14th day of November 2007 and the 15th day of December 2007 at West Byfleet Post Office dishonestly with a view to gain for yourself falsified an account required for an accounting purpose namely the Monthly Trading Statement for West Byfleet Post Office dated 15th December 2007 by making an entry therein purporting to show that the cash in hand at the close of business that day was £39,135.73 when it was not".

(Contrary to Section 17(1) of the Theft Act 1968)

- 5 "FOR THAT YOU Seema Misra on the 9th day of January 2008 at West Byfleet Post Office dishonestly with a view to gain for yourself falsified an account required for an accounting purpose namely Cash Declaration for West Byfleet Post Office dated 9th January 2008 by making an entry therein purporting to show that the cash in hand that day was £14,000 when it was not".

(Contrary to Section 17(1) of the Theft Act 1968)