

REGINA

-V-

SEEMA MISRA

ATTENDANCE NOTE

1. This case was listed for mention on 7/5/10.
2. Prior to the hearing I was handed a new request for disclosure asking for a mountain of material relating to the central Horizon computer system. The request had been drafted by Professor McLachlan who unwisely ended the document with the suggestion that his work in this new area was likely to take 42 hours. I told the Defence that I was not prepared to make any further disclosure and they would have to make a s.8 application. When HHJ Critchlow was shown the document he stated that the suggested 42 hours work would be a complete waste of public money. The Defence did not pursue the matter further.
3. The Judge was prepared to break the fixture of 21 June because it had been fixed without knowledge of Keith Hadrill's dates to avoid. The Judge ordered that the experts should compile a schedule of their points of agreement and disagreement. No further directions were made. My conversations with the Defence suggested that they would seek to serve a final report from Professor McLachlan within 6 weeks.
4. The List Office gave us a new fixture of 11 October. Jon Longman was able to contact almost all of the witnesses to confirm their availability.
5. The witnesses the Defence require are: Jenkins, Longman, Dunks, Morris, Varsani, Noverre and Bayfield.
6. The Defence told me that they are prepared to print out all of the transaction logs that have been served on CD, so long as we are willing to serve that printed material as an exhibit in the case. This request was no doubt in part made because the Defence's fees are determined by the page count of statements and exhibits. Nevertheless, I do think that we should accept their proposal. It will be impossible for the jury to follow any

evidence derived from the transaction logs unless the material is in printed form.

7. I would like to have a conference once Professor McLachlan's report has been served. I would like Gareth Jenkins to attend.

WARWICK TATFORD