In the Crown Court at Norwich

Regina v Alison Henderson

ADVICE ON EVIDENCE

I have settled the indictment with the dates for the whole of the employment. I have added a false accounting [multi-offence count] to cover the whole period as well. This looks like a straight forward case, since D is the only one who normally works in the office. Some further information would be helpful:

- Can we have the exact date when employment started? Did D have prior experience in working in a PO before this contract as a SPM?
- Do we have the daily cash in hand figures? Do we still have ONCHs? If D has been making the daily declaration, it must have been even more obvious that cash was adrift.
- 3. Was the office ever audited before? If so, we can narrow our offence period.
- 4. When D's daughter worked as relief for holidays, did she have a different log on? We may have to narrow the offence period, if the cash was correct when the daughter was left in charge. When was the last time the daughter was working in the PO? Obviously the daughter may have known about the shortages, but kept quiet about them.

Dianne Chan 9-12 Bell Yard London WC2A 2JR

Dated 14th October 2010.