

ICL Pathway Memorandum

To: Bob Booth
CC:
From: Dave Cooke
Date: 22/12/98
Re: POCL Infrastructure Acceptance Specification

Bob,

Further to my conversation with Peter Charlton, I can now advise you of the following. Using your comment numbering scheme: -

Comment number	ICL Pathway response
4 & 6 - general	It has been agreed that these comments will be addressed as Business Continuity issues.
7 - general	A caveat will added to the <i>Authorities Acceptance Specification Consolidated Caveats</i> document. Proposed text below.
11 - 467/5	An additional EPOSS test has been added which shows that the outlet Transaction Log does contain transactions captured and committed within a customer session. HLTP VI/TSC/040 - High Level test Plan TPS0101 - ref. (93) PO2, SH2 - tepo115 a) As per EPOSS criterion 835/1. Print EPOSS Transaction Log containing BES, EPOSS, APS & OBCS transactions. This test shows that various counter transactions committed within a customer session can subsequently be viewed within the EPOSS Transaction Log produced in the outlet.
24,25,40,41	A caveat will added to the <i>Authorities Acceptance</i>

December 22, 1998

	<i>Specification Consolidated Caveats</i> document. Proposed text below.
27 - 475/3	It has been agreed that issues concerning HCI usability may be captured during the Outlet satisfaction surveys due to be conducted at the end of Live Trial.

The proposed caveat text is as follows: -

Comment 7 - OPS/TMS Boundary

" of the OPS / TMS boundary issue concerning whether the Service boundary between OPS and TMS shall be defined as the physical separation between an outlet and a point in the ICL Pathway Data Centres, or as the programmatic interface between the APS/BES/OBCS/EPOSS/PCDF counter applications and the TMS message store."

Comment 24,25, 40,41 - provision of OPS & TMS technical documentation

" of the provision of OPS & TMS Technical documentation to support external procurement of applications issue concerning whether ICL Pathway should provide documentation as part of R2 Acceptance or for ICL Pathway to support POCL (through the supply of documentation and other methods) via forums such as the Joint end-to-end Design Board and Sales / Marketing initiatives to enhance the capabilities of the Horizon programme.

All of the above have been included in version 1.7 of the Acceptance Specification, together with the various corrections you have advised me of today.

Of these corrections, I can advise you that: -

- Section 7 - The Test data will be contained in a variety of Low Level test scripts. I will advise you of these details separately.
- Your comments against 478/7 and 467/4 re FTMS - my memo of 18/12/98 advised that tests 4.4.1.1 to 4.4.1.10 comprise the FTMS tests and in particular 4.4.1.6 and 4.4.1.9 cover transient fails in the transfer. I have not made any change to the AS.
- The test details for 468/1 - "20 counter outlet" tests are not yet available. I will advise you of this information as soon as I have it.

December 22, 1998

Subject to a final review of the above I believe that we have now completed this AS and we should soon be able to achieve sign-off.

Many thanks for your assistance in helping to achieve this.

Regards,

Dave Cooke