Hi Skedien





www.postoffice.co.uk

Post Office Ltd.

With our compliments

Marne Druse B

That's Cherl

GRO

3 1 OCT 2005

BOND PEARSE LLP BRISTOL

ligno

Copy of E-mail sent 06.05.04

Cath

Please see info below from Julie Welsh. This must have been what was investigated earlier this year and the spmr told. I will raise an ad hoc request to try and obtain copies of the checks that have previously been carried out for evidence.

Also attached are the calls from 16/01/04 - 28/04/04 to both the NBSC & HSH against Fad Code 213 337:

If there's anything further you think I may be able to help with, please give me a call.

Regards Richard

Copy of E-mail from Julie Welsh - Fujitsu

Richard,

I have had a chat with Anne, she used the message store viewing to investigate this. If you want copies of extracts for the particular incorrect declarations please submit an ad hoc request requesting this information. Hope this helps, see below:

NO TRANSACTION DATE AND TIME WAS PROVIDED FOR THIS ACTION USING CURRENT DATE AND TIME By Anne Chambers at 26-feb-2004 15:16:00 Category 94 - Advice and guidance given I have checked various things on the system. All the internal reconciliation checks are ok. Cheques are being handled correctly (except for 10th Feb when the clerk forgot to cut off the report - but this didn't cause a discrepancy). Cash declarations look ok, they usually use drawer id 11. Occasionally they have used a different drawer id, this can lead to amounts apparently doubling on the cash flow report, and should be avoided. But again it will not cause a discrepancy. Checking the cash transactions on the system against the declarations shows that they are not working particularly accurately (i.e. at the end of the day the cash they declare in the drawer is tens, hundreds or thousands of pounds astray from what has been recorded on the system). It is possible that they are not accurately recording all transactions on the system. There is no evidence whatsoever of any system problem. I've mentioned this outlet to Julie Welsh (Customer Services) who will try to get POL to follow it up, but in the meantime please tell the PM that we have investigated and the discrepancies are caused by the difference between the transactions they have recorded on the system and the cash they have declared, and are not being caused by the software or hardware.

Copy of E-mail from Andrew Price to Cath Oglesby

Cath.

When I spoke to the PM at Marine Drive he was unsure what was causing these errors. He told me that he has been using the slave machine for his rems and I assured him that wouldn't cause a problem as long as he was attached to the correct stock unit. The PM thought there would be some errors relating to National Lottery, I phoned the Lottery team at Transaction Processing who confirmed that there were some errors relating to Lottery, but for every charge error there was a corresponding claim error, this was due to the lottery figures been entered on Horizon in the wrong CAP.

PM was also concerned that when entering the lottery figures, it was as though the terminals were not communicating, but if that was the case the PM would have large number of errors on every report and product.

The PM sent cash account information to NBSC and it was looked at by Andrew Wise, he was unable to find any errors. The only amount questioned was a large amount on the cheques to processing centre which Andrew was able to confirm was a cheque payment for the purchase of Premium Bonds.

The PM was advised there was nothing more we could do and we suggested he works a manual system at the side of Horizon to see if any problems were highlighted. Also when doing the rems the PM should take a snapshot before and after to see if any problems were occurring when doing a remittance.

Andrew Wise and I both feel that the Horizon system is working properly and we are unable to help the PM any further

I hope this information helps, please contact me if you want to clarify anything.

Regards Andrew Page 2 of 2

E-mail: GRO

Page 1 of 2

ura B	ranton - Marine Driv	'e	L	EF C	ASTLÉ	Tarl
From: To: Date: Subject:	<pre><cheryl.woodward <laura.branton<="" th=""><th>GRO RO</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></cheryl.woodward></pre>	GRO RO				
Hi Laura,						
	below. Thanks Cheryl arded by Cheryl Woodward	/e/POSTOFFICE on 3	1/01/2005 10	D:36		
P Jones/e/P0	Cath Oglesby To: 29/01/2005 22:25 DSTOFFICE GRO cc: Subj	Cheryl Woodward, ect: Marine Drive	/e/POSTOFFI	CE GR	O lan	
appeal.We	I will send you all that that you contact Lesley Joy have a file on hand at Dar Regards, Cath. would you keep me posted	ce and JohnH Jones with all the pa	who dealt wit	h the		
	arded by Cath Oglesby/e/P		/2005 22:21	feet van gebruike van		
	Andrew Price To: 20/04/2004 12:57 Subj	Cath Oglesby/e/Pocc: ect: Marine Drive	DSTOFFICE MO& [DORESS:	GRO	gro	1
Cath,				V		
	ed both Sarah Pennington actions taken whilst dealin					l
errors. He and I assu	oke to the PM at Marine Dri told me that he has been u red him that wouldn't caus ect stock unit.	ising the slave machi	ne for his ren	ns		

The PM thought there would be some errors relating to National Lottery, I phoned the Lottery team at Transaction Processing who confirmed that there were some errors relating to Lottery, but for every charge error there was a corresponding claim error, this was due to the lottery figures been

entered on Horizon in the wrong CAP.

Page 2 of 2

was also concerned that when entering the lottery figures, it was as though the terminals were not communicating, but if that was the case the PM would have large number of errors on every report and product. The PM sent cash account information to NBSC and it was looked at by Andrew Wise, he was unable to find any errors. The only amount questioned was a large amount on the cheques to processing centre which Andrew was able to confirm was a cheque payment for the purchase of Premium Bonds. The PM was advised there was nothing more we could do and we suggested he works a manual system at the side of Horizon to see if any problems were highlighted.

Also when doing the rems the PM should take a snapshot before and after to see if any problems were occurring when doing a remittance.

Andrew Wise and I both feel that the Horizon system is working properly and we are unable to help the PM any further.

I hope this information helps, please contact me if you want to clarify anything.

Regards Andrew

Service Support Team Leader Network Business Support Centre

GRO

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

iura Branton - Marine Drive From: <cheryl.woodward **GRO** To: <laura.branton **GRO** Date: 31/01/2005 10:45 Marine Drive Subject: Hi Laura, Thanks Cheryl. See e-mail below. ---- Forwarded by Cheryl Woodward/e/POSTOFFICE on 31/01/2005 10:37 ----Cath Oglesby To: Cheryl Woodward/e/POSTOFFICE GRO Ian P 29/01/2005 22:32 Jones/e/POSTOFFICE Subject: Marine Drive ---- Forwarded by Cath Oglesby/e/POSTOFFICE on 29/01/2005 22:29 ----Richard P Benton Cath Oglesby/e/POSTOFFICE To: **GRO** 05/05/2004 15:06 Subject: Cath Please see info below from Julie Welsh. This must have been what was investigated earlier this year and the spmr told. I will raise an ad hoc request to try and obtain copies of the checks that have previously been carried out for evidence. Also attached are the calls from 16/01/04 - 28/04/04 to both the NBSC & HSH against GRO (See attached file: Marine Drive NBSC_HSH 1601-2804.xls) If there's anything further you think I may be able to help with, please give me a call. Regards Richard Benton Operational Service Manager Network Support First Floor South Wing, Post Office Ltd, Cortonwood Business Park,

Page 2 of 3

		GRO				
Postline:	GRO	STD Phone:	GRO	Fax:	GRO	External
Email: rich	ard.p.be	nton GRO	i	· i		i
Forwa	arded by	Richard P Bento	n/e/POST	OFFICE on	05/05/2004	l 13:44
		sh Julie				000
GRO	<ju< td=""><td>lie.Welsh GRO</td><td>To:</td><td>"richard.</td><td>p. benton</td><td>GRO</td></ju<>	lie.Welsh GRO	To:	"richard.	p. benton	GRO
	[GRO	<u> </u>			!
	i			G	RO	
		CC				
	05/0	05/2004 12:32	Sub	ject:		

Richard,

I have had a chat with Anne, she used the message store viewing to investigate this. If you want copies of extracts for the particular incorrect declarations please submit an ad hoc request requesting this information. Hope this helps, see below:

NO TRANSACTION DATE AND TIME WAS PROVIDED FOR THIS ACTION USING CURRENT DATE

AND TIME By Anne Chambers at 26-feb-2004 15:16:00 Category 94 - Advice and guidance given I have checked various things on the system. All the internal

reconciliation checks are ok. Cheques are being handled correctly (except for 10th Feb when the clerk forgot to cut off the report - but this didn't cause a discrepancy). Cash declarations look ok, they usually use drawer id 11. Occasionally they have used a different drawer id, this can lead to amounts apparently doubling on the cash flow report, and should be avoided. But again it will not cause a discrepancy. Checking the cash transactions on

the system against the declarations shows that they are not working particularly accurately (i.e. at the end of the day the cash they declare in

the drawer is tens, hundreds or thousands of pounds astray from what has been recorded on the system). It is possible that they are not accurately recording all transactions on the system. There is no evidence whatsoever of

any system problem. I've mentioned this outlet to Julie Welsh (Customer Services) who will try to get POL to follow it up, but in the meantime please tell the PM that we have investigated and the discrepancies are caused by the difference between the transactions they have recorded on the system and the cash they have declared, and are not being caused by the software or hardware.

Julie Welsh Service Delivery Manager HSH Business Service Management, Post Office Account



Page 3 of 3

ுb : rax:	GRO
E-mail: Web:	GRO <http: services.fujitsu.com=""></http:>
Please n	ote change of e mail address with effect from 1st April 2004 to: GRO
Fujitsu S	GRO GRO
This e-m	ail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents
subject t does not	to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or virus-free.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.
