COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-2005

CHANGE PROPOSAL	CP NO:		
CP TITLE: Analysis of Transaction Logs REQUIRED IMPLEMENTATION / DELIVERY / PURCHASE / DELIVERY date to be agreed TARGET RELEASE WINDOW FOR CP TO BE IMPLEMENTED: N/A DATE BY WHICH CP TO BE IMPACTED: 10th August 2006	DATE RAISED: 3rd August 2006 ORIGINATOR: Pete Sewell (E-mail Received) SPONSOR: Naomi Elliott (E-mail Received) TECHNICAL SPONSOR: Gareth Jenkins (E-mail Received)		
CP CLASSIFICATION: FAST TRACK/URGENT/ROUTINE/FOR INFORMATION* (*strikethrough as applicable)	Line Manager Approval Brian Pinder(E-mail Received) Naomi Elliott (E-mail Received)		

CP CATEGORY: ARCHITECTURE / SYSTEM SOFTWARE / PRODUCT SOFTWARE / NETWORK / BUDGET ONLY / HARDWARE ONLY / PAID STUDY / DOCUMENT ONLY*

(*strikethrough as applicable)

LIFECYCLE STAGE:

 Stage 1 : Strategie Approval
 Stage 4 : Solution Specification

 Stage 2 : Start Up & Feasibility
 Stage 5 : Solution Build & Test

 Stage 3 : Requirements Analysis
 Stage 6 : Implementation

 (*strikethrough as applicable)
 Not Applicable

RELATED Change Request/Request for Work Package:

RELATED PEAKs:

RELATED CPs:

RELATED SEPs:

MAESTRO SCHEDULE CHANGE REQ'D: YES/NO (*strikethrough as applicable)

1. Description of Change Proposed:

POL have requested that further analysis is carried out on PO Transaction Logs recently provided for a pending prosecution case.

Impacts on the current CP are required from :-

FJ Security practise to support this activity.

Systems Architect personnel who will produce the Analysis.

SSC to support this activity

2. Acceptance Criteria and Methods:

Approval by POA CS Director, Principal Systems Architect.

- 3. Reason for Change and Justification for Required Date (above): Customer Request
- 4. Consequences if Not Approved:

5. Internal Impact Assessment Distribution:

Development (inc Analysis and Design), ITU, Q & A, Customer Service, Commercial & Finance, PMSP (SCM, Planning, Proj Mgmt). HNG-X.

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-

2005

6. Platform	s Affected: (insert ider	ntity and details of all platforms req	uiring software up	date by this CP – where	e known)
7. External	Impact Assessmen	t Distribution: (insert contac	t details and addit	ional rows as required)	
Name:	Organisation	n;			
8. Impact On Post Office Accordant Man-days (CP Analysis)		Man-days Non I		abour Schedule	
	TOTAL				
9. Impact C	On Documents: (inser	t additional rows as required)			
Document Reference	Title		Version to be Changed	Version to be Delivered	Schedule
	No Impact				

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-2005

CHANGE PROPOSAL ID: PWY CP 4223 Create Date: 12-MAY-2006 Status: FOR IMPACT Originator: Gabrielle.Cooper Title Anti Virus Replacement (Product selection analysis) Related Items Related Child Change Documents -----Related Parent Change Documents Impact Notes -----Commercial & Finance New CP CS: Service Support No Impact Service Delivery Statement Security & Risk Impact Service Introduction No Impact Service Transformation No Impact Core Services No Impact DEV: ACE No Impact AASS New CP Agent No Impact APOP Admin WS No Impact Athene No Impact Audit No Impact AutoConfig & CtrSched No Impact Counter APS No Impact Counter EOL No Impact Cryptography No Impact Data Warehouse No Impact New CP **DELT** Design Authority No Impact No Impact **FTMS** Host APOP No Impact Host APS No Impact Host DRS No Impact Host LFS No Impact

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-

2005

Host NPS New CP Host RDMC No Impact Host TES No Impact Host TPS No Impact Infrastructure Impact **MTAS** New CP Maestro No Impact Message Broadcast No Impact Networks No Impact **OCMS** No Impact Ops Documentation No Impact Proxy Delivery Service New CP Reference Data No Impact Secure Builds No Impact **SMG** No Impact Tools & Emulators No Impact **VPN** No Impact

ITU: RV New CP Impact

Support Impact SVI New CP

PMSP: Planning New CP

Project Mgmt New CP Software CM New CP

Quality & Audit New CP

Fujitsu Reference Data Required Post Office Reference Data Required Post Office Dependency Exists

Archived Impact Notes

Action Messages

Action Number: 2 Date May 15 2006 16:17:37 By: Victoria. Hancock

Customer Services

No Impact: Core Services

Impact Originator: Donna Poulter, HSD

Customer Services

No Impact: Service Delivery

Impact Originator: Mike Woolgar, Online Services

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-

2005

Customer Services

Statement: Service Introduction

Impact Originator: John Budworth, Release Management

Comments: No CS Release Management Impact at this stage.

Live implementation stage will impact us depending on delivery method

and frequency.

Customer Services

No Impact: Service Support

Impact Originator: Jez Murray, MSU

Customer Services

No Impact: Service Transformation Impact Originator: Graham Mockridge

Action Number: 2 Date May 15 2006 16:22:08 By: Victoria.Hancock

Customer Services

No Impact: Service Delivery

Impact Originator: Ian Venables, OBC

Customer Services

No Impact: Core Services

Impact Originator: Tim Roper, IS Networks

Customer Services

No Impact: Service Support

Impact Originator: Mik Peach, SSC

Action Number: 2 Date May 15 2006 16:23:47 By: Victoria.Hancock

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-

2005

Customer Services

No Impact: Core Services Impact Originator: NT Team

Customer Services

No Impact: Service Delivery

Impact Originator: Kevin McKeown, Ref Data

Customer Services

No Impact: Service Introduction

Impact Originator: Peter Goodwin, Major Release

Action Number: 2 Date May 15 2006 16:26:09 By: Victoria. Hancock

Customer Services

Statement: Service Delivery

Impact Originator: Dean Felix, Engineering

Comments: COMMENT-

I have had a conversation with Bill Membery.

Our impact in engineering will depend on how system performance is affected by the new anti-virus program. That is to say, if the Horizon counters work more slowly, or hang up more often because of this then we will have increased support costs because of the additional calls logged by PMs. It may be that performance actually improves. But we won't know the answer until testing is complete, so it is hard to put in any impact at

this stage.

So there is no impact from us in terms of designing and testing the

change, but there may be when it is implemented.

Customer Services

Statement: Security & Risk Impact Originator: Pete Sewell

Comments: We must abide by the CCB instruction. Assign the next target release

what ever it is, in this phase the CP requires no work effort from the SI development or test teams. It is design only and the Prove of Concept stage will be tested internally by the CS AV test group only. It means the CP will actually have no effort to take into account and can be accepted

into the next release without overhead.

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-

2005

Action Number: 2 Date May 15 2006 16:28:07 By: Victoria.Hancock

Customer Services

Impact: Core Services

Impact Originator: Richard Stevens, SMG/SMC/MSS/SST

Man Day Effort and Activity (effort per activity)Non-Incr'lIncrementalRes.124 SMC/MSS/SMG2 day(s)0 day(s)SE

Document(s) Affected: None

Program Plan Impact: NONE

Process Deviations: NONE

Comments: SMG

No Impact

MSS

Time already spent by MSS/SMC Technical Consultant STE09 (D.Laker) visiting two AV suppliers to review their offerings

1 man day (non incremental)

Review the two identified solutions on a test rig

1 man day (non incremental)

SMC / SST No Impact

Action Number: 2 Date May 15 2006 17:03:57 By: Victoria.Hancock

Customer Services

Impact: Security & Risk Impact Originator: Peter Sewell

Man Day Effort and Activity (effort per activity) Non-Incr'l Incremental Res.

122 Security & Risk

Document(s) Affected: None

Program Plan Impact: NONE

Process Deviations: NONE

10 day(s)

0 day(s)

SE

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-2005

Action Number: 2 Date May 17 2006 09:15:19 By: Suzanne.Gordon

Development

No Impact: ACE No Impact: AASS No Impact: Agent

No Impact: APOP Admin WS

No Impact: Athene No Impact: Audit

No Impact: AutoConfig & CtrSched

No Impact: Counter APS
No Impact: Counter EOL
No Impact: Cryptography
No Impact: Data Warehouse
No Impact: Design Authority

No Impact: FTMS
No Impact: Host APOP
No Impact: Host APS
No Impact: Host DRS
No Impact: Host LFS
No Impact: Host NPS
No Impact: Host RDMC
No Impact: Host TES
No Impact: Host TPS
No Impact: Host TPS
No Impact: Maestro

No Impact: Message Broadcast

No Impact: MTAS No Impact: Networks No Impact: OCMS

No Impact: Ops Documentation No Impact: Proxy Delivery Service No Impact: Reference Data No Impact: Secure Builds

No Impact: SMG No Impact: SSH

No Impact: Tools & Emulators

No Impact: VPN

Impact Originator: Suzanne Gordon

Action Number: 2 Date May 17 2006 09:35:36 By: Lionel.Higman

No Impact: TEST TD

Impact Originator: Peter Robinson

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-2005

No Impact: TEST AppInt

Impact Originator: Sheila Bamber

No Impact: TEST InfInt

Impact Originator: Denise Morris

No Impact: TEST Pkg

Impact Originator: Denise Morris

No Impact: TEST LST

Impact Originator: Sheila Bamber

Impact: ITU SUPPORT

Impact Originator: Asad Sheikh Asad Sheikh Harjinder Hothi Peter Robinson

Man Day Effort and Activity (effort per activity)	Non-Incr'l	Incremental	Res.
11 Management	2 day(s)	0 day(s)	SCM
56 Product Integration	5.5 day(s)	0 day(s)	SSE
56 Product Integration	1.5 day(s)	0 day(s)	LSE
Total	9 day(s)	0 day(s)	

Document(s) Affected: None

Program Plan Impact: NONE

Process Deviations: NONE

Comments:

===PIT-NT====
Ash 15/05/2006
PIT-NT+++++
43 Design 0
48 Documentation 0
43 Code & Unit Test 0
56 Product Integration 4
53 Utilities 0
51 Script Development 0
51 Build Process Management 0
81 Other (See Comments) 0

-----PIT-NT ====PIT-Unix==== Fujitsu Services Ref:

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-

2005

PA/TEM/004

Ash 15/05/2006 PIT-UNIX+++++ 12 PO Planning 0

12 Internal Unit Planning

0

0

43 Design 0

48 Documentation 43 Code & Unit Test

56 Product Integration 3

53 Utilities 0

51 Script Development 0

51 Build Process Management 0

81 Other (See Comments)

-----PIT-UNIX ====SPTS====

HH 16/05/2006

====Tech Admin====

PR 16/05/2006

Action Number: 2 Date May 17 2006 11:46:26 By: Victoria.Hancock

Customer Services

No Impact: Core Services

Impact Originator: Andrew Gibson, UNIX

Action Number: 2 Date May 17 2006 11:49:28 By: Lionel.Higman

Development

No Impact: Tiv Inf Rel

Impact Originator: Lionel Higman

Action Number: 2 Date May 17 2006 13:13:38 By: Ken. Westfield

Development

Impact: InfrastructureImpact Originator: G Stephens

Man Day Effort and Activity (effort per activity)Non-Incr'lIncrementalRes.25 Design Support4 day(s)0 day(s)CUL

Document(s) Affected: TBA

COMPANY IN-CONFIDENCE

Version: 18.0 Date: 05-DEC-2005

Estimated Software Delivery: TBA

Program Plan Impact: NONE

Process Deviations: NONE

Comments: 4 mdays infra design support to get to product decision

Action Number: 2 Date May 17 2006 15:55:33 By: Gabrielle.Cooper

Development

Impact: SMG

Impact Originator: P Ambrose

Man Day Effort and Activity (effort per activity)Non-Incr'lIncrementalRes.25 Design Support3.5 day(s)0 day(s)SE

Document(s) Affected: TBA

Estimated Software Delivery: TBA

Program Plan Impact: NONE

Process Deviations: NONE

Comments: TDA Evaluation of CA and Sophos integration with SYSMAN.

Document the findings of the SMG investigation and provide indicative

man days for HLD, LLD, development and test

----- END OF CHANGE PROPOSAL PWY_CP_4223 -----