Page 1 of 3

om:	Richard Morgar GRO
ent:	13 October 2006 17:48
<b>)</b> :	Stephen Dilley
ubject	RE: Post Office Limited v Mr L Castleton
nk leav ard	ve it to an IT expert or possibly deal with it in a supplemental
	-Original Message
Ser To: Cc:	m: Stephen Dilley GRO  It: 13 October 2006 17:30  Richard Morgan  Tom Beezer
Sul	pject: FW: Post Office Limited v Mr L Castleton
Dea	ar Richard,
	stleton's solicitors have previously said that software updates interfered with the tem whilst he was using it. I attach 2 excel spreadsheets:
dise be bet 1 e	For the period January to April 2004 - we disclosed this on 21 June. This was closed after exchange of lists, so it is not on our list (and for that reason may not in your bundles). It shows that there for counter 1 there were no updates ween 8.30am and 5.30pm during this period. For counter 2 it shows that (with xception on 2 Feb 2004 explained in the email below) there were no updates ween 8.58am and 10.06pm for this period.
	For the period November 2003 to date. I have not disclosed this just because I n't see how it could help/hinder us given that we've disclosed 1.
of f wh alte	question is whether we should deal with spreadsheet 1. in a witness statement act just to get it in, as we don't at the moment. (e.g I could ask Andy Dunks o deals with the HSH call logs whether he could say sthg about this). The ernative I guess is to leave it to an I.T expert report, if we bother getting one. at do you think?
I lo	ok forward to hearing from you.
Kin	d regards. Stephen
Fro	m: Pinder Brian GRO
	<b>it:</b> 16 June 2006 11:44
10.	Stephen Dilley graham.c.ward GRO Thomas Penny; Dunks Andy

I am advised that it is correct, we do update the software remotely and that a software update could result in the desktop being closed and restarted, however even then, we don't see how it would result in losses as is being claimed. We do hold records of all updates and I have attached an extract of updates for the period Jan - Apr 04 for your perusal. Software updates are relatively rare and (unless prior agreement is made with the postmaster) they only take place outside of office hours with occasional extensions at the weekends, which places them

Page 2 of 3

outside the normal operational hours of Horizon,

- 1. Attached is a copy of all updates for both counters (1 & 2) for Marine Drive between Jan Apr 04 (incl).
- 2. In the Product column is shown an I, U, or a D; I = Install D = Delete U = Update.
- 3. Counter 1 shows there were no updates between 0830 & 1730 hrs over the period
- 4. Counter 2 shows (with exception to 2nd Feb) there were no updates between 0858 & 2206 hrs over the period

The 2nd Feb shows 24 instances of (I,U,D,) at 1409 and 1 instance at 1410 hrs, which indicates that a process (lasting one minute) started at 1409 and completed at 1410. I am advised that this was due probably due to a counter installation and would have been with the postmasters knowledge and approval. Details of the exact reasoning could be found if required.

I hope this is helpful to you,

Kind Regards Brian

From: Stephen Dilley GRO
Sent: 08 June 2006 15:59
To: Pinder Brian
Cc: graham.c.ward GRO mandy.talbot GRO
Subject: Post Office Limited v Mr L Castleton

Dear Brian

Firstly, I would like to thank you and your team for finding the time to meet us on Tuesday. We found the meeting to be really worthwhile, helpful and productive. We will circulate a note of the meeting shortly, just for everybody's record.

In the meantime, I attach a letter received on 7 June from Mr Castleton's solicitors. I have highlighted the two paragraphs that I would like you to have a quick look at. Basically they state the Horizon system is equipped with a facility via which its operating software can be updated remotely via the connection to the PO's head office and that the system sometimes went off line when there are software updates. They have therefore asked for documents relating to the updating of the software of the system. My view is that they are making a stab in the dark, but please could you ask your team whether they would have or could obtain any records of software updates?

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible.

Kind regards.

Stephen Dilley
Solicitor
for and on behalf of Bond Pearce LLP
DDI:
Main
Fax:
www.bondpearce.com

Please note our new contact details from 8 May 2006 as set out above.