

Message

From: Thomas Penny [/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=THOMASP]
Sent: 17/09/2010 12:01:03
To: Jenkins Gareth GI [/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=GARETH.JENKINS]
Subject: FW: Duplicatation of Transaction Records in ARQ Returns
Attachments: Audits.doc; Gareth - DRAFT Duplicated Records V2.doc

Gareth

I received this lot from POL today and then talked it through with Mark. As a result of that discussion I agreed to put together a draft statement for you, which I attach. This is for Rinkfield, by the way.

Are you happy with this?

Kind regards

Penny

Penny Thomas
Security Analyst, Customer Services

Fujitsu Services Retail & Royal Mail Group Account
Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berks RG12 8SN

Tel:

[REDACTED]

GRO

Mob:

Fax:

E-Mail: penny.thomas

[REDACTED]
GRO

Web: <http://uk.fujitsu.com> <<http://uk.fujitsu.com>>

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22, Baker Street, London W1U 3BW

This E-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this E-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

From: Mark Dinsdale [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 September 2010 16:48
To: Thomas Penny
Cc: Jane M Owen
Subject: FW: Duplicatation of Transaction Records in ARQ Returns

Penny, this is for Gareth and a reword of his witness statement. He want to give me a call to discuss.
Thanks

Regards

Mark

From: Juliet Mcfarlane
Sent: 16 September 2010 16:45
To: Mark Dinsdale; Jane M Owen
Subject: RE: Duplicatation of Transaction Records in ARQ Returns

Mark Jane

Further to our discussion regarding this matter.

We will need to provide a statement in due course to deal with the duplication of data. I mentioned that it would be helpful if Gareth's statement could be revisited in part. I am attaching your draft with a few comments which should be amended in conjunction with Gareth's statement.

The statement will have to be made by Gareth or someone who understands the technicalities.

After tomorrow I will be next in the Office on the 4th October. Rob Wilson is aware of this matter should you need to discuss this before then

Juliet McFarlane
Principal Lawyer
Legal Services, Criminal Law Team

From: Mark Dinsdale
Sent: 13 September 2010 12:07
To: Juliet Mcfarlane
Subject: FW: Duplicatation of Transaction Records in ARQ Returns

Juliet, do you think this draft would be okay. I'll run it past Penny at Fujitsu and ask them to 'top and tail' it into a witness statement if you're happy with it (ignore the attachment, this is the original).

On audits of transactions, there occurs a duplication of records when records were in the process of being recorded purely for audit purposes from the correspondence servers to the audit servers. This has always been the case; however the mechanism used on Horizon to retrieve audit data took this into account and only presented one instance of such duplicate data. As offices have migrated to the new HNGX application, any requests made for audit data during this period, the mechanism used to filter out duplicates did not remove them from the audit data.

The duplicates only occur in the audit data, and does not effect the actual physical transactions recorded on any counter at any outlet.

This problem has now been resolved, however a small number of audit requests made during the period from HNGX migration until the resolve will show the duplicate transactions.

Regards

Mark

in your system. *****

***** Royal Mail Group Limited
registered in England and Wales registered number 4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria
Embankment, London, EC4Y 0HQ This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the
addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or
distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the
sender and then delete this email from your system.
