

James,

In case I don't see you this afternoon, I'll meet you at Old Street for the meeting tomorrow morning, which starts at 9.15am. I have loaded to the diary giving plenty of time for you to get to and from.

Alwen said they were trying to get Alan Bates to participate via conference call.

To raise / discuss

Second Sight continuing involvement

1. It is worth your raising, if not fully resolving, the fact that Second Sight really ought to continue to be involved. Whilst the terms of their involvement are not our concern, the fact that they continue to be involved and in what capacity, is. Ian Henderson has called to express some anxiety that neither he nor Ron have heard from the PO since just before the meeting on 8 July. Not entirely surprising. But he did say that given the Ministerial agreement with MP's comments in the statement that Second Sight's continued involvement is necessary, it is a bit unnerving. Second Sight appears to be on some kind of rolling 'agreement' based on an hourly rate rather than having a contract. Not our problem, but worth knowing the agreement seems tenuous rather than formal.
2. Ian also mentioned that he rather expects PO full cooperation to drop off, given the hammering it has taken. I pointed out that this would not be in the interests of PO, and that they would probably see this. However, he did ask what escalation point might he be able to use over summer if his requests for data / documents / cooperation were not met. I said, come to me. I did not say, James is available if absolutely necessary – they should be able to sort this out themselves. But it's worth you just bearing in mind. Ian, Ron, and Alan know recess dates, my holiday dates, and I have said that you are pretty much unavailable during summer.
3. Said has never heard from Ministerial office, should he expect to? I said probably not now, but would check your view on this.

October meeting

Shall we mull over what might comprise an October meeting (14? 21? 28?), and get that in the diary now, with forewarning to Second Sight and PO? You've said that at this meeting, the PO ought to be in a position to respond to investigation findings and speak directly to the MPs. I'd suggest a date for the meeting, then let PO and Second Sight work out between themselves what needs to be done to get them there. Good pressure to keep things moving.

New cases

What would PO like me to do with these?

They need to be acknowledged and held, pending decision on how to proceed.

Should they be acknowledged by and held at this office or PO?

Janet

From: Alwen Lyons [mailto:] GRO
Sent: 09 July 2013 16:04
To: WALKER, Janet
Subject: Re: Wash-up meeting

Not a problem if you come too.

The address is 148 Old street, London , EC1V 9HQ
Thanks
Alwen

rom: WALKER, Janet
Sent: 09 July 2013 15:59
To: 'Alwen Lyons'
Subject: RE: Wash-up meeting

Thanks Alwen,

Let's put this in the diary, and I will confirm as soon as I have spoken to James.

In the meantime, can you confirm exact address please, and would it be a problem if I came along with James?

Many thanks
Janet

From: Alwen Lyons [mailto:] GRO
Sent: 09 July 2013 15:49
To: WALKER, Janet
Cc: CHARLETT, Susanne; Theresa Iles
Subject: Re: Wash-up meeting

Janet

Paula and I are available at 9.15 on Thursday it would be very helpful if James would come to Old Street to meet us. I also think it would be good to invite Alan Bates to join albeit by conference call if that is more convenient for him, so I will drop him an email.

Thanks
Alwen

Alwen Lyons
Company Secretary
GRO

Sent from Blackberry

From: WALKER, Janet [mailto:] GRO
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 01:51 PM
To: Alwen Lyons
Cc: CHARLETT, Susanne < GRO >; Theresa Iles

Subject: Wash-up meeting

Dear Alwen,

James wonders whether you and Paula might like a meeting to wash-up what has happened over the last day or so? He is holding any time on Thursday 11 July for you, and is happy to come to you if that helps.

He hopes you might consider it.

Kind regards,



PO Old Street
map.pdf

Janet