
From: Chris Aujard[IMCEAEX-
_O=MMS_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29
_CN=RECIPIENTS_CN=CHRISTOPHER+20AA0452485-80B7-40D2-ADE7-
6F6FEAE19CC3F88@C72A47.ingest.local]
Sent: Wed 04/06/2014 9:45:10 AM (UTC)
To: Paula Vennells [REDACTED]
Cc: Martin Edwards [REDACTED] **GRO** [REDACTED]; Belinda
Crowe [REDACTED] **GRO** [REDACTED]; Mark R Davies [REDACTED] **GRO**
Subject: RE: Mark Russell

As regards the offer to help with Deloitte, not at this stage. I have asked Deloitte for a review type follow up face to face meeting with their senior bods – possibly if that yields no fruit, then we might take up the offer.....

From: Paula Vennells
Sent: 04 June 2014 10:16
To: Chris Aujard
Cc: Martin Edwards; Belinda Crowe; Mark R Davies
Subject: Re: Mark Russell

Thanks, so should we log with Alice that we might want to ask Mark for help? P

Sent from my iPad
On 4 Jun 2014, at 09:48, "Chris Aujard" <[REDACTED] **GRO** [REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi All – just to close the loop on this, as some of you are more up to speed than others: Deloitte are still on track for delivery tonight (by which they mean before midnight, so in reality it is unlikely to be distributed to the Board before tomorrow morning). On the publication on the report itself, there has been no movement; that said, the “pubic version” of the LL advice is now being titivated by Rod so that it flows and its self-standing without express references to Deloitte or a Deloitte report. Cheers Chris

From: Paula Vennells
Sent: 03 June 2014 18:57
To: Mark Davies; Martin Edwards; Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe
Subject: Fwd: Mark Russell

This is helpful from Alice.
So first of all - thx to M&M, our work - planned and spontaneous - in keeping RC on side clearly paid off.

Belinda, the point re bringing in a GT in place of SS - is that assumption right on cost: are they likely to be higher (how much?).

Chris, any further progress with Deloitte - are they still on for their hard stop of tomorrow? And did you make any more progress with Deloitte agreeing to being named in any disclosure of the report?

Lastly, thank you for all the prep for yesterday and for Friday. Mark, I'd like a debrief on the Monday meeting? Can you call tonight?

Thanks, Paula

Sent from my iPhone
Begin forwarded message:

From: Alice Perkins [REDACTED] **GRO**
Date: 3 June 2014 17:36:30 BST
To: ["paula.vennells"](#) [REDACTED] **GRO**
Cc: ["mark.r.davies"](#) [REDACTED]
["chris.ajard"](#) [REDACTED] **GRO**
["belinda.crowe"](#) [REDACTED] **GRO**
["martin.edwards"](#) [REDACTED] **GRO**
Subject: Mark Russell

I have just come away from my meeting with Mark who has made two helpful offers. On Deloitte, he says they often have difficulty with the Big Four over things like this and he thinks they might be able to help if we need them to.

Second, he is willing to help us with Ministers over our recommendations/options when we are ready.

We went over pretty much all the ground we covered on Monday. He was interested to test the option of bringing in alternative investigators. Am I right in thinking you believe they would be no cheaper (tho better and faster)? No need to reply but to consider as part of the menu of options on Friday.

Otherwise, all fine. No mention of the M word. Very friendly and supportive.

Alice

This message has been scanned for malware by Websense. www.websense.com