

## Message

**From:** Steve Allchorn [/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=STEVE.ALLCHORNC4C0508F-8AAB-43A8-8E73-79BABCD2566B]  
**Sent:** 16/02/2015 17:27:14  
**To:** Mark Underwood1 [/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Mark Underwoo222a42ec-51a8-4dfa-a353-dcea512679657b4]  
**Subject:** FW: Spot Review 5 Summary  
**Attachments:** SR5 Evidence to SS 16.02.15docx.docx

Hi Mark. Apologies I've just realised i forgot to cc you in when I sent this to Belinda earlier today for info.

Steve

---

**From:** Steve Allchorn  
**Sent:** 16 February 2015 11:31  
**To:** Belinda Crowe  
**Subject:** Spot Review 5 Summary

Belinda

This is probably not quite what you want and probably much more than you need but take a look and if there are any other specifics you need me to dig into then please shout.

For me there are two main points regarding the emails request;

- 1) Although the attached provides an overview of Spot Review 5 I believe this is an important context to be demonstrated here between the perceived importance of the emails request and the primary challenge that live data could be accessed by the testing team at Bracknell in 2008.
- 2) What data was actually on the disk? Although I ensured the request was managed accordingly I did not physically see what was on the disk ahead of it going over to SS. I assume the knowledgeable person in this instance is Dave King.

**Steve Allchorn** | Mediation Scheme PMO Manager



5<sup>th</sup> Flr, Bunhill Row Wing, 148 Old St, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

**GRO**

Postline

**GRO**

Mobex:

**GRO**

[Post Office stories](#)

[@postofficenews](#)

POST  
OFFICE