Message					
From:	Parsons, Andrew	GRO			AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
Sent:	29/07/2016 08:29:45				
To: CC:	Thomas P Moran Melanie Corfield	GRO GRO	Jane MacLeod Rodric Williams	GRO GRO	: Patrick
···	Bourke GRO		ark R Davies GRO		echsler
	GRO		l	i	
Subject:	RE: Postmaster Litigation Br	iefing Plan - CONF	IDENTIAL AND SUBJECT TO LEGA	L PRIVILEGE [BD-4A.F	ID26859284]
Jane					
I'm fine with	this too.				
Andy					
Andrew P	arsons				
Partner	neck our ner koner				
, 601 (116)					
12-1	$\mathbf{D}_{i}$				
Dorw	Lickinson				
Direct:	CPO				
Mobile:	GRU				
Follow Bond Di	ckinson:				
www.hon	ddickinson.com				
**************************************	00 100 0 100 1 1 X 10 X 100 100 100 100				
From: Tho	mas P Moran	GRO			
	ıly 2016 09:26				
To: Jane M		striale Davidea, Mr	ouls D. Dovince Tom Machelous I	Dancone Androw	
			ark R Davies; Tom Wechsler; F IDENTIAL AND SUBJECT TO L		
	er i oodinaster magadon biie.				
Jane					
		· ·	ation on our media line shoul	-	
'conspiracy	theories' as this sounds emo	itive. Could we c	hange 'considered and resolv	ed' to 'considered a	nd disproved'?
On way un	to Bradford to day so limited	l access - call me	if urgent		
On way up	to bradiord to day 30 minited	access - call file	ii digent.		
Cheers					
Tom					
_					
On Jul 29, 2	016, at 8:57 AM, Jane MacLe	eod <	gRo > wrote:		
Me	1				
1410	•				
Giv	en the conversation at GE or	n Monday (which	ı Mike G was at), I wonder wh	ether we should ha	ve an

additional line which we could consider using if the remote access issue comes up? This would be along

the line of:

"We are aware of a claim and we are defending our position through the legal processes. We welcome the opportunity for these allegations to be considered and resolved through the Court process." (Al's suggestion went further and referred to conspiracy theories ...)

In relation to the Board (and GE), I would like to send a note out today along the lines set out below – any thoughts?

Many thanks,

Jane

## Draft note for the Board

Subject: Postmaster Litigation

As you will recall from the briefing provided at the May board, although formal proceedings have still not been served on Post Office (although we expect these by 11 August), we have been in discussion with Freeths (the solicitors acting for the claimants) on a range of issues and we were advised yesterday that the number of claimants has now increased to 198; again this was not unexpected.

As mentioned in my May briefing, on 28 April Freeths had sent to us a lengthy Letter of Claim. Bond Dickinson (on our behalf) responded to this last night. The response letter (which runs to 99 pages) provides a detailed rebuttal to each and every issue raised in the Letter of Claim, and was discussed at length within Post Office and has been reviewed by our QC Tony Robinson.

While none of the allegations set out in the Letter of Claim are new, and we are not relying on any new information in our rebuttal, the tone of our response is more assertive than we have used previously, given that our rebuttal arguments will help to shape the issues that will form the basis of any litigation. Although the rebuttal is a legal letter between the two firms of solicitors, we fully expect that it will be made available to the claimants and therefore we should assume that its contents will become public, and it has been written with this risk in mind.

Should we become aware of any planned media coverage, we will let you know, however our communications approach will continue to be the Postmasters have sought to resolve the matter through litigation and that is the appropriate forum through which the issues in the case should be resolved. Therefore we do not plan to make any external comment beyond "we are aware of a claim and are defending our position through the legal processes". To do otherwise will only serve to provoke further coverage.

In addition we have a range of reactive messaging ready to go to staff and postmasters; we have briefings ready to use for relevant managers, and will direct postmasters and staff to our established support procedures in the event of any concerns. We are also updating BIS on the risk of adverse coverage.

Please call me if you would like any further information.

Etc"

<image002.png>

Jane MacLeod

General Counsel Ground Floor 20 Finsbury Street LONDON EC2Y 9AQ

Mobile number: GRO

From: Melanie Corfield

Sent: 28 July 2016 17:32

To: Thomas P Moran GRO

Cc: Jane MacLeod GRO

Subject: RE: Horizon Briefing Plan - CONFIDENTIAL AND SUBJECT TO LEGAL PRIVILEGE

Hello Tom

I attach a short document with comms approach and draft materials (to be kept under review of course).

If you are content then I can circulate to the steering group?

Best wishes,

From: Thomas P Moran Sent: 26 July 2016 13:43 To: Melanie Corfield Cc: Jane MacLeod

Subject: Horizon Briefing Plan - CONFIDENTIAL AND SUBJECT TO LEGAL PRIVILEGE

Mel

Mel

Please can you share the broader Comms plan we have for the Horizon response? We would like to have the following ready (even if we chose not to use them):

- Press line
- Briefing for the Board
- L300 briefing note
- Broader business briefing note

Thanks

Tom

<image003.png>

Tom Moran

General Manager, Network

E: GRO

\*

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named

recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.

\*