

From: Amy Prime

To: Amy Prime

GRO

Subject: Notes from call with FJ, Freeths, Elevate, WBD and Jason Coyne

Date: Thu, 1 Mar 2018 17:46:17 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: imagefa8ef4.PNG; image6591cd.PNG; image90edcc.PNG

Notes from call with FJ, Freeths, Elevate, WBD and Jason Coyne

KEL

AP - Purpose of call to discuss access to KEL and how to extract information from KEL.

FJ position – agree that can give access.

JH - confidentiality agreement in Nov 17 which hope provide re-assurance and comfort needed.

SP – KEL system is proprietary system in Fj which used to store support knowledge which use to assist with answering incident which occurred in live service, Stored in esque service database and presented on a web-based service which in FH private servers and private network which not intended for consummation outside FJ.

How viewed and how info taken from KEL?

JC – viewed via web browser interfaces, hundreds if not thousands of KEL records which can viewed. Once click then displayed in browser with problems comments. List of changes made to the document since first raised which builds on knowledge build by FJ. Good thing is that because in browser can press print and rather than paper print can print to PDF and produce a PDF version of KEL record. When at FJ did searching and printed approx. 100. Bit of a pain it is to unsurmountable process and would expect this do be done for a couple of thousand. PDF of KEL in a couple of days.

FJ issues with following this procedure – no, happy with procedure but concerns about security info,

JH – happen to extend confidentially agreements to agree this,

AP – FJ happy to print screen KEL? Yes, commercial arrangement with finance with POL. FJ to work out time and costs.

AP - What controls around use of information? NDA good enough? **FJ need to check this - make sure that not expose live service.**

JH – POL happy to sign off on confidential then no barriers?

AP – info security people need assurance about where hold info and who use – practical control measures.

JC – do not think saw anything which close to security sensitivity and would be surprised if anything in there which security sensitive.

FJ – looking at branch KEL rather than data centre KELS. They are written with expectation that people only internal would read them – IP addresses which should not be exposed.

AP – NDA enough to protect is the question? FJ and WBD to look at this.

WBD and Freeths to discuss how info is used in Court.

JC - when withdrawn only a status indicator, they are archived so would want archived ones. Not just one KEL, split between legacy and HNG-X, would want both KELs.

Steve – 4,007 active and another lot on top of this which are archived. FJ to look into this.

Unfiltered T&E data

FJ – problem is pure volume of data. ARQ process is a lookup table for where stored audit data and pull data for a branch. Raw data base is unstructured, jump between branches data for different days. Raw data is the haystack and making sense of it uncomplicated.

JC - Filtered data just transactions, unfiltered data was same transactions (branch and day) but also included handshaking information.

FJ – second level of filtering, to provide data relevant to branch which include everything from audit trail is particularly complicated technically but what looking at is messages from branch and gives complete picture of baskets and transactions sent from branch to DC to capture what branch done. If looking for other events such as did something happen (disc fail) then looking in enough part of database.

JC – possible to craft a select command to pull all data together for a branch for a day?

Fj – if looking for all events then would get complex and would need to check precisely how do it. Events such as discs failures go into a different file. Unsure how retrieve it.

JC – sounds look unfiltered was middle stage and enough set of even more detailed data which want to get.

FJ – not relevant as data which get from branch (unfiltered) gives true picture of every basket from branch that day. Fact that had a disc forewarning would not have impacted on those messages.

JH – recovery process?

AP – restrictions on pulling volume of data – explain limitation on pulling large volumes in bulk.

FJ – 10TB of data which needs to presented in a format which makes sense, extract a month for a particular branch in a s/s. Can pick up unfiltered data but running process which means insert parameters and produce data.

JC – this is manual time in selecting branch and months and system processing time to create a text file. Not recommend text file as data is xml structure. Might be case that only after looking after filtered that need to look at unfiltered data. Searching in unfiltered data and create xml passes to do this. Don't think need targeted requests if given corresponding unfiltered data sets.

FJ – 400 months of unfiltered data = if already provided filtered data then chance that have unfiltered data on disk. Do not think have due to volume of data. **FJ to work out ball park costs and time for unfiltered data.**

JC – resource man hours, not volumes to store?

FJ - Yes

JC – some other data as well, even when get unfiltered, this a cut of the data.

JH – FJ to provide complete pull of data?

AP – **WBD to discuss with FJ offline about the remaining data.**

Amy Prime
Solicitor
Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP

