From:	Angela Van-Den-Bogerd		GRO		
Sent:	Tue 13/11/2018 11:36:4	7 PM (UTC)			
То:	Andrew Parsons	GRO]; Dave Panaech	GRO	
Cc:	Rodric Williams Kathryn Alexander Hailstones	GRO GRO GRO]; Amy Prime]; Shirley	GRO];
Subject:	RE: Urgent Query Disp	oute Process 2007	7-2009 [WBDUK-AC.FID26	896945]	
Hi Andy					
That's correct.					
Angela					



Angela Van Den Bogerd

Business Improvement Director

1st Floor,Ty Brwydran, Atlantic Close,Llansamlet Swansea SA7 9FJ

GRO

Confidential Information:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Andrew Parsons [mailto:	G	RO]			
Sent: 13 November 2018 21:44					
To: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd ⟨		GRO	>; Dave Panaech <	GR	0
uk.com>			•		
Cc: Rodric Williams	GRO	>; Amy Pri	ime ⊲ GRO	, >	

Angela

This supports what I always thought - if a SPMR gets to the end of the trading period and has a shortfall of less than £150, they must make it good in order to roll over. They then contact NBSC to raise a complaint about the shortfall, which is then investigated and, if appropriate, a TC is generated in a later TP creating an offsetting gain.

So in effect, for general branch losses under £150, it is "pay now, argue later". And if the SPMR is right to dispute, then they get the money back later.

A similar system applies for TCs under £150 which cannot be resolved during the same trading period. The TC must be accepted before roll over, thus creating a loss that must be made good (because it is for less than £150). The SPMR can contact the named person on the TC (or NBSC who will put them in contact with the right person) to raise a

dispute. That may then generate a further offsetting TC if the dispute was found to be valid. Correct?

Dave – please can you pick this up with Jonny re Alison's evidence.

Α

Andrew Parsons

Partner Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts



womblebonddickinson.com



From: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd [mailto] GRO

Sent: 13 November 2018 15:21 **To:** Andrew Parsons; Dave Panaech **Cc:** Rodric Williams; Amy Prime

Subject: Fwd: FW: Urgent Query Dispute Process 2007-2009

Hi all

The info on the earlier question about the entry level for raising a dispute. Kath's view supports mine that we would and do investigate losses less than £150.

I'll pick up with Alison Bolsover when she returns from leave to understand what documentation/policy she is referencing.

Angela

Get Outlook for Android

From: Kathryn Alexander

Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 3:11:29 PM

To: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd

Subject: FW: Urgent Query Dispute Process 2007-2009

Hi Angela

I have looked through the dispute process info I have and have also contacted Paul Smith from FSC – see email chain below

 Essentially, the only reference to £150 that I (and Paul) are aware of is the ability to settle centrally limit

- The attached Accounting Losses Policy (2003) refers to at Section 3 (extract below) £150 (community) and £400 (commercial) on authority to hold losses in suspense, but no reference to being unable to dispute threshold and cant see this in a later version 2005/2006 (3rd attachment)
- Attachment (dispute process) this was in 2008 (according to email that it was attached in sent to Andy P from Andy W on 11/04/2013). The appeal process part doesn't refer to £150 limit either
- SSRT has investigated less amounts <£150 and over the years in various roles I can't ever remember telling a Pmr that they could not dispute rather the opposite that we would support

Below is from Accounting Policy for Agency Branches (2003) SECTION 3 - AUTHORITY TO HOLD LOSSES

Where authority is given, it will only be provided for the amount of loss discovered above a threshold amount (£150 for those in the community segment and £400 for those in the commercial segment) and providing that no other losses are held in suspense at the time. A unique reference number will be allocated to any authority, which the agent should note for audit purposes.

PDF - email refers to this 2008

Regards Kath





Kathryn Alexander

Support Services Resolution Manager

1st Floor Admin, Ty Brwydran, Atlantic Close, Swansea SA7 9FJ

GRO

Confidential Information: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient (s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact me by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Kathryn Alexander

Sent: Tuesday, 13 November, 2018 2:44 PM

To: Paul I Smith ← GRO >

Subject: RE: Urgent Query Dispute Process 2007-2009

Hi Paul

Thanks, that my thinking, appreciate your time again 😊

Regards Kath





Kathryn Alexander

Support Services Resolution Manager

1st Floor Admin, Ty Brwydran, Atlantic Close, Swansea SA7 9FJ

_	
\boldsymbol{c}	\mathbf{D}
u	Rυ

Confidential Information: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient (s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact me by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Paul I Smith

Sent: Tuesday, 13 November, 2018 2:40 PM

To: Kathryn Alexander < GRO >

Subject: RE: Urgent Query Dispute Process 2007-2009

Hi Kath,

The only thing that I know of that is £150 related is the ability to settle centrally. Maybe the query is thinking about the fact it can't be settle centrally and blocked while disputed so the branch has to take the cash loss at the time.

Regards



Paul Smith

Operations Support Manager Fire Precautions Officer/FSC H&S Finance Service Centre

PO Ltd,

No.1 Future Walk,

Chesterfield,

S49 1PF

Tel: GRO

Mobile: GR

GRO

Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Kathryn Alexander

Sent: 13 November 2018 14:37

To: Paul I Smith **< GRO** >

Subject: RE: Urgent Query Dispute Process 2007-2009

Hi Paul

Thanks for helping, I have gone through these and what I had already and can't so far see that there is anything that mentions anything under £150 can't be disputed? (which is a further query from AVB)

I was of the opinion (irrespective of the limit prior to settling centrally) that a loss below this threshold could be investigated? I know we have in SSRT

I can see reference to limits of £150 & £400 in some older Losses Policy for authority to hold losses but nothing about can't be disputed

The attached from Andy Winn refers to the Appeal Process for a TC/BD -but doesn't refer to a threshold being >£150

Would FSC block a debt and/or investigate for <£150?

Regards Kath





Kathryn Alexander

Support Services Resolution Manager

1st Floor Admin, Ty Brwydran, Atlantic Close, Swansea SA7 9FJ

GRO

Confidential Information: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient (s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact me by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Paul I Smith

Sent: Tuesday, 13 November, 2018 1:37 PM

To: Kathryn Alexander **GRO**

Subject: RE: Urgent Query Dispute Process 2007-2009

Hi Kath,

I will perform a search on sharepoint and see what I can find. I don't personally hold a copy though.

Regards



Paul Smith

Operations Support Manager Fire Precautions Officer/FSC H&S Finance Service Centre

PO Ltd,

No.1 Future Walk,

Chesterfield,

S49 1PF

Tel: GRO
Mobile: GRO

GRO

Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege:

From: Kathryn Alexan	der	
Sent: 13 November 20	018 13:36	
To: Paul I Smith <	GRO	>
Subject: Urgent Query	y Dispute Process 20	07-2009
_		

Importance: High

Hi Paul

I have an urgent query from the lawyers/AVB – do you have a document that covers the dispute process during period 2007-2009

I am checking my own documents but would really appreciate it If you can put your hands on anything quickly Thanks

Regards Kath



Kathryn Alexander Support Services Resolution Manager

1st Floor Admin, Ty Brwydran, Atlantic Close, Swansea SA7 9FJ

Confidential Information: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient (s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact me by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.

"Post Office Limited is committed to protecting your privacy. Information about how we do this can be found on our website at www.postoffice.co.uk/privacy"

Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. angela.van-den-bogerd please notify andrew.parsons GRO as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful. Information about how we use personal data is in our privacy-Policy on our website.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson entity. Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see www.womblebonddickinson.com/legal notices for further details.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.