From: Jane MacLeod {	GR	0	
To: Tom Beezer	GRO	, Mark Under	wood GRO
<	GRO	Amy Prime ≤	GRO
"Rodric William	s" <	GRO	
Cc: Rachel Scott	GRO	, Dave Pana	ech ⊲ GRO
GRO >, andrev	v.parsons ₹	GRO	}

Subject: Re: Appeal Review [WBDUK-AC.FID26896945]

Date: Tue, 12 Mar 2019 07:06:43 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.png; image002.png; image003.png; image004.png; image249148.PNG;

imagea6d007.PNG; image5cc720.PNG

Thanks Tom

My initial reaction was that we should appoint Lord Grabiner - I have briefed him in a previous life in a contested hearing against the FCA and won, and he is available should we need him to appear.

However this morning my thinking is more along the lines of whether Lord Neuberger might be better given his insight into the thinking of the Court of Appeal judges and their approach to current trends of contractual interpretation.

It would be helpful to discuss that with you - are you available before 10 this morning for a quick call?

Many thanks,

Jane

Jane MacLeod
Group Director Legal, Risk & Governance
Post Office
GRO

From: Tom Beezer ₹ GRO

Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2019 7:02 am

To: Mark Underwood; Amy Prime; Jane MacLeod; Rodric Williams

Cc: Rachel Scott; Dave Panaech; andrew.parsons
Subject: RE: Appeal Review [WBDUK-AC.FID26896945]

Mark

We will double check the specifics on that with the clerks at OEC but I am confident that they (the clerks) won't have 'oversold' him and tried to cram too much in to his diary.

Either Amy P or I will revert early this morning when the clerks are in.

Kind regards

Tom



Partner

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts

Join us for Disrupting Disputes 2.0 20 March 2019 at the British Library

Book your place here



womblebonddickinson.com





From: Mark Underwood [mailto: GRO

Sent: 12 March 2019 04:36

To: Amy Prime; Jane MacLeod; Rodric Williams

Cc: Rachel Scott; Tom Beezer; Dave Panaech; Andrew Parsons **Subject:** RE: Appeal Review [WBDUK-AC.FID26896945]

Hi Amy,

Let me check with Jane in the morning, but I think we will almost certainly want to instruct Lord Grabiner QC. My concern however is how much time does he actually have to look at this, this week and next?

Mark



Mark Underwood

Portfolio Director: Legal, Risk & Governance

Ground Floor

20 Finsbury Street London EC2Y 9AQ

2017 Winner of the Global Postal Award for Customer Experience

Mobile number: GRO

From: Amy Prime [mailto]	GRO			
Sent: 11 March 2019 19:37				
To: Mark Underwood	GRO	; Jane MacLeod	1	
GRO	>; Rodric William	s GRO		
Cc: Rachel Scott	GRO >; Tor	n Beezer GRO	<u> </u>	Dave Panaech
GRO	>; andrew.parsons <	GRO	>	
Subject: Appeal Review [W	BDUK-AC.FID26896	945]		

Dear All

We have discussed with Counsel in more detail the appointment of a senior QC to review the judgment, grounds of appeal and provide advice on the merits of an appeal. There are two options:

- The Rt. Hon. Lord Neuberger retired as the President of the United Kingdom Supreme Court in 2017. Lord Neuberger would be able to provide strategic and merits advice on the appeal. He would however not be able to represent Post Office in Court (having previously sat as a Judge). If we wish to instruct Lord Neuberger then it would be necessary to do so asap (ideally tomorrow). Hourly rate of £1,000ph.
- Lord Grabiner QC a very senior QC who would be well placed to provide strategic advice and merits on
 the appeal, but would also be able to represent Post Office in the Court of Appeal if it was decided that a
 senior QC would assist with the presentation of Post Office's case. Lord Grabiner is currently under brief for
 a different matter but has some availability. Hourly rate of £2,000ph.

With either option we would also be looking to appoint a couple of junior Counsel to assist with the production of the advice.

Since Lord Neuberger would not be able to represent Post Office in Court, we would recommend that Post Office instruct Lord Grabiner QC to review the judgment (and surrounding documents such as the opening and closing submissions, witness statements and pleadings) and provide advice on the strategy to be adopted for the appeal and the merits of an appeal. We would roughly estimate the costs of obtaining this advice to be c.£80 to 100k, but would be able to firm this estimate once we have provided Lord Grabiner QC with the papers.

These are the very best QCs/Judges available and clearly command a premium price. The alternative would be to instruct a contemporary of David and Tony (noting that they are already very highly respected silks so this is already a highly-qualified level) but at a more proportionate cost. If you would like us to look at this option, please let me know and we will propose some names.

If you have any questions on the scope of the review to be undertaken, please let me know. Otherwise, please could you confirm that we can begin with instructing Lord Grabiner QC?

Kind regards

Amy

Amy Prime

Solicitor
Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts

Join us for Disrupting Disputes 2.0 20 March 2019 at the British Library

Book your place here



womblebonddickinson.com





Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law mark.underwood to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not mark.underwood GRO please notify amy.prime GRO is soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful. Informationabout how we use personal data is in our Privacy Policy on our website.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson entity. Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see www.womblebonddickinson.com/legal notices for further details.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.

"Post Office Limited is committed to protecting your privacy. Information about how we do this can be found on our website at www.postoffice.co.uk/privacy"