

Message

From: Henry Staunton [REDACTED] **GRO**
Sent: 23/12/2023 11:07:07
To: Gratton, Lorna - UKGI [REDACTED] **GRO** amanda burton [REDACTED] **GRO**
'ben.tidswell' [REDACTED] **GRO**
CC: Brian Gaunt [REDACTED] **GRO**
Subject: Re: Nick's Remuneration

2§2

Henry Staunton

Chairman

100 Wood Street, London, EC2V 7ER

postoffice.co.uk

I work flexibly – so whilst it suits me to email outside of normal working hours, I do not expect a response during that time.

From: Gratton, Lorna - UKGI [REDACTED] **GRO**
Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2023 11:10:21 AM
To: amanda burton [REDACTED] **GRO**; 'ben.tidswell' [REDACTED] **GRO**
Henry Staunton [REDACTED] **GRO**
Cc: Brian Gaunt [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Nick's Remuneration

Caution: This email has been sent by an external contact. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, please use the "Report Phishing" button.

Henry,

Thanks for your message.

I think it's tricky one, and I've spoken to Amanda about it this morning.

I can see that Nick might be tempted to compare his position that to that of others in the business.

However, to my mind, whilst Nick might feel hard done to, as CEO, many of the issues that cause the reduction in his rem ultimately fall at his door. The £54k bonus repayment was voluntary, and caused not only by a Remco issue, but also by a POL people function that had become a bit of a mess. The likely low pay out on the LTIP 21/24 is a function of cost over runs in the business and significant delays to the IT programme. Whilst other people in the business manage the day to day of these, as CEO, the buck ultimately stops with him on them. (I'd also note that people who do manage these programmes, who report into Nick haven't been effectively held to account on them). The terms of this LTIP aren't a surprise to Nick, and he would have known the position on it for a long time. So in short, I'm afraid I'm not sympathetic on this occasion.

Aside from this, when Nick was awarded a 5% backdated pay rise from April 2022, a condition of it being granted was that he wouldn't receive a pay rise in 2023/24. All remuneration increases for Nick require Shareholder consent, and I

can not image that any request would be considered favourably by the department or HMT (approval from both is required).

All this said, I've spoken to Ian Rudkin this week, who has told me that Nick has enquired about joining the POL pension scheme. At the time of his appointment, pay in lieu of pension was explicitly factored into his base salary. I understand however that we due to the auto-enrolment pension legislation, if Nick wishes to join the company scheme, then he may be legally entitled to. Whilst this, like any increase to his remuneration, would require Shareholder consent, I don't think the shareholder would be able to stop it, if it is a legal entitlement (though they are likely to be unhappy about it, and think it's not in the spirit of his contract). My team are picking up with Ian.

Whilst I'm sorry this will lead to further difficult conversations with Nick, I think we should suggest he focus on the 23/24 STIP, which is likely to have a good pay out on it.

Sorry not to go into Christmas with a more positive message.

Lorna

Lorna Gratton | Director

UK Government Investments

1 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0ET

GRO

GRO

www.ukgi.org.uk

PA: **GRO**

Begin forwarded message:

From: Henry Staunton [REDACTED] **GRO**
Date: 20 December 2023 at 16:49:49 GMT
To: Amanda Burton [REDACTED] **GRO**, ben.tidswell [REDACTED] **GRO** Lorna Gratton
[REDACTED] **GRO**
Subject: Nick's Remuneration

Dear All ,

Earlier this week I asked Nick to leave RemCo and discussed what we ought to do about his package. He did not prompt me but I sensed an issue might arise .

We chatted this afternoon . He noted the management team were pleased with a pay rise ; a 50 % deferred retention bonus for those who receive a 4 or a 5 personal rating to be paid this Friday ; and now a replacement for the LTIP 21-24 scheme to pay out at 70 % in the summer .

I sense he feels that similar interest and attention has not been shown in his rem . The above does not apply to him but he also took the financial and reputational hit (as he sees it) for RemCo's bonus governance failure to the tune of £54 K . On a basic comparison with an SLG colleague he reckons it has cost him £ 180 K .

He feels this is unfair treatment and all this is before mentioning Rose and being the only Director in a business as he says is in " complete crisis " .

My assessment before the RemCo was that he may feel this is discriminative and unfair and , after our chat today , I would say we have a very very serious risk of losing our CEO . I think he feels tremendously under valued by the shareholder not only wrt pay and rations . I raised the matter at the beginning of the week and raise it again now even more seriously . We really are at risk I think of losing him .

I know we might say we should try and find a successor but we should start by making some effort to treat him in line with how we treat the rest of the team .

Best ,
Henry

Sent from [Outlook for iOS](#)

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete the email. This footnote also confirms that our email communications may be monitored to ensure the secure and effective operation of our systems and for other lawful purposes, and that this email has been swept for malware and viruses.