

Message

From: Simon Baker [IMCEAEX-
_O=MMS_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29_CN=RECIPIENTS_CN=SIMON+2EBAKER4B1A8
D2E0-4DEC-94EA-591DFA651F2E@C72A47.ingest.local]
on Simon Baker <IMCEAEX-
behalf _O=MMS_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29_CN=RECIPIENTS_CN=SIMON+2EBAKER4B1A8
of D2E0-4DEC-94EA-591DFA651F2E@C72A47.ingest.local> [IMCEAEX-
_O=MMS_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29_CN=RECIPIENTS_CN=SIMON+2EBAKER4B1A8
D2E0-4DEC-94EA-591DFA651F2E@C72A47.ingest.local]
Sent: 03/05/2013 11:20:44
To: gareth jenkins [REDACTED]
CC: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: spot review 1: Strictly Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege - Not for Wider Circulation

Gareth
Can you respond direct to Angela (copying me in)

Thanks,
Simon

From: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
Sent: 03 May 2013 08:19
To: Simon Baker
Subject: RE: spot review 1: Strictly Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege - Not for Wider Circulation

Simon,

Happy in the main but I would like confirmation from Gareth that the system details a gain in the week in question.

Thanks,
Angela

Angela Van Den Bogerd I Head of Partnerships



Confidential Information:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Simon Baker
Sent: 26 April 2013 14:28
To: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
Subject: FW: spot review 1: Strictly Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege - Not for Wider Circulation

Angela

Response from Gareth below.

Let me know if you have any further questions.

Simon

From: Jenkins Gareth GI [GRO]
Sent: 26 April 2013 08:44
To: Simon Baker
Cc: andrew.parsons [GRO]
Subject: RE: spot review 1: Strictly Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege - Not for Wider Circulation

Strictly Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege - Not for Wider Circulation

Simon,

Angela made 3 comments which I have responses for:

1. AV1: "What does this mean? Is there anything on the system/logs that tracks from a gain to a loss?" where "this" is "the Discrepancy committed to the accounts"

When a Stock Unit is Balanced, a check is made as to any Discrepancy between the system derived Cash Figure (calculated by adding up all the Cash movements since the last Balance) and the Cash Declaration (that has to be done as part of the Balancing process). If they differ, then a transaction is generated to alter the system Cash figure to match the Declaration. In order to maintain the double entry, then the balancing transaction is posted to a "Discrepancy account". There are actually 2 Discrepancy accounts – one for gains and one for losses (products 145 and 222).

When the SU is rolled into a new TP, then the Discrepancy Account is cleared by generating a transaction such that the nett value of the sum of 145 and 222 is zero, with the Balancing transaction being written to the Local suspense account.

When the final SU in the Branch is Balances the SPMR is required to Clear the Local Suspense account by either making good or Settling Centrally.

All these transactions are visible in the system logs. The Discrepancies are written in Mode 17 (DDP), while Local Suspense transactions are in Mode 15 (Housekeeping).

So are we saying that there was a corresponding gain (albeit not the exact amount but in the range) in the same week?

2. AV2: "What evidence is there that this LTSB card is a credit card?"

I did not intend to suggest it was. What I am trying to say here is that there is nothing to indicate an attempt to use a Card as a method of Payment. I have also observed that for a BT Bill only a Debit Card would have been acceptable.

Had a card been attempted as a MoP, then even had it failed, a transaction would have been recorded in the logs. There is not such record.

Whether it was a Credit or a debit card is not really relevant as there is no sign of any card being used.

Apologies I've misread – but it is now clear that there is no logged attempt to use a card as MOP.

3. AV3: "How do we know that these LTSB cards aren't one and the same thing?"

I can only see the use of a single card and that was used as a banking Withdrawal. The SPMR has stated that there was an initial attempt to use it as a MoP and that is not substantiated by the Logs.

The Logs show the first 6 digits of the PAN which enables me to check with reference data what type of Card it is. This confirms that the card used for the banking withdrawal was a LTSB card and also that it could be used as a Debit card.

However there were other customers where this did happen and it is possible (or even probable) that he didn't recall the precise sequence of events as it was some time later that he made the complaint.

Hopefully that clarifies Angela's questions. The second relate to section 6 point 1 of the report which although factually correct are probably not too relevant to the overall report.

Regards

Gareth

Gareth Jenkins
Distinguished Engineer
Business Applications Architect
Post Office Account

FUJITSU
Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN
Tel: [REDACTED]
Mobile: [REDACTED]
email: [REDACTED]
Web: <http://uk.fujitsu.com>

Internal: [REDACTED]
External: [REDACTED]



Fujitsu is proud to partner with [Shelter](#), the housing and homeless charity

Reshaping ICT, Reshaping Business in partnership with [FT.com](#)



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

From: Simon Baker [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 April 2013 19:28
To: Jenkins Gareth GI
Cc: [andrew.parsons](#) [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: spot review 1

Gareth

We have a few questions on the spot review from Angela, who I ask to review.

Can you respond to the questions and return to me, I'll then forward to Angela.

Thanks, Simon

From: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
Sent: 25 April 2013 19:25
To: Simon Baker
Subject: FW: spot review 1

Angela Van Den Bogerd I Head of Partnerships



148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

GRO

Mobex

GRO

GRO

[Post Office stories](#)

[@postofficenews](#)

POST
OFFICE

Confidential Information:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
Sent: 25 April 2013 19:09
To: Simon Baker
Subject: RE: spot review 1

Hi Simon,

I have reviewed. In the main all very logical. I have a couple of questions – could you obtain the answers to these please.

Thanks,
Angela

Angela Van Den Bogerd I Head of Partnerships



148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

GRO

Mobex

GRO

GRO

[Post Office stories](#)

[@postofficenews](#)

POST
OFFICE

Confidential Information:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Simon Baker
Sent: 22 April 2013 12:18
To: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
Subject: spot review 1

Angela

This isn't quite ready to release out for Susan, Alwen and your approval which is the last step before releasing to second sight, but as you have been involved in this case, I wanted to give you a heads-up for your early comment.

Regards, Simon

Simon Baker Head of Business Change and Assurance

2nd Floor, 148 Old Street, London, EC1V 9HQ

GRO

GRO

postoffice.co.uk

@postofficeneeds

The logo for the Post Office, featuring the words "POST OFFICE" in a stylized font inside a circular emblem.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

Unless otherwise stated, this email has been sent from Fujitsu Services Limited, from Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, or from Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, together "Fujitsu".

This email is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

Fujitsu Services Limited, registered in England No 96056, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, registered in England No 03808613, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

PFU Imaging Solutions Europe Limited, registered in England No 1578652, registered office Hayes Park Central, Hayes End Road, Hayes, Middlesex, UB4 8FE.

Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, registered in England No 2548187, registered office Solihull Parkway, Birmingham Business Park, Birmingham, B37 7YU.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
