

We always seek to improve our services to our clients

Page 1 of 2

Rachael Panter

From: Sarah Porter
Sent: 04 September 2012 14:24
To: Cyndi Kenny; Rachael Panter; martin smith
Subject: 024676CRI05Z-SP52012090411043056

Crown Court Attendance		
R v . Royal Mail Group	CK Case No(s): 24676	
Judge: HHJ Rose	Date of Hearing: 4 th Sept 12	
Prosecution Counsel: SP	Staff attending:	
Defence Counsel: Georgina Coade	Type of hearing: PCMH	
TIMES and NOTES:		
Preparation before leaving: (planning meeting, papers read etc)		
Conferences:		
Hearing: (note time jury retired)		
Waiting: (Record, but do not include, time of lunch adjournment)		
Travel:		
Mileage, parking, fares: £		
Target Time: (FROM start prep before leaving TO return)		units
Total units:		
RECORD: Advice given / Important instructions received / Comments Summary of Hearing / Directions made / Actions required.		

14/9/12
9/2/11.

NG plea
W's as per letter encl DS – Liaquat, Smith, Bradshaw,

Def to instruct a forensic a/c and therefore need data covering the operational period o
indictment. Court has directed that this be served by 5th October. Def to serve expert re
13th Nov. Prosec response and opening note/case summary by 2nd Jan 13. I/V to be su
by 11th Jan 13.

A trial has been fixed for 25th Feb 13 t/e 3 days. 7 days liberty to apply to move if W's t
did not have Febs DTAs

We always seek to improve our services to our clients

Page 2 of 2

I have made it clear that our stance is that Horizon works and is irrelevant in this case because
he now accepts making the reversals and we say in doing this he was acting dishonestly to cover
his tracks. Any particular problems with the system must be fully particularised before any
further disclosure made. Judge has indicated that the ball is in the def's Court.

Can we get a log of any calls def may have made to the helpline?

Re: specific requests

- (i) only such material as undermines our case or assists the def in light of the DS should be served.
- (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) I understand that the Post Office are compiling some sort of database in relation to this, can the progress of this be checked - but until DS further particularised does not require disclosure. See HB's advice in Wylie (case ref:21392).
- (vi) as above
- (vii) Bradshaw can deal with this
- (viii) Not necessary to comply but could serve as matter of courtesy

Other cases in court attended on same day: